# COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

### LAWRENCE CORWIN

#### **Inducing "supercuspidal" representations of unipotent** *p*-adic groups from compact-mod-center subgroups

*Compositio Mathematica*, tome 84, nº 1 (1992), p. 85-89 <http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM 1992 84 1 85 0>

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1992, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (http: //http://www.compositio.nl/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

## $\mathcal{N}$ umdam

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/

## Inducing 'Supercuspidal' Representations of Unipotent *p*-adic groups from compact-mod-Center Subgroups

#### LAWRENCE CORWIN\*

Department of Mathematics, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ 08903, U.S.A.

Received 10 January 1991; accepted 20 August 1991

**Keywords:** nilpotent *p*-adic Lie group, square integrable irreducible representation, supercuspidal representation, induced representation, compact open subgroup.

**Abstract.** Let G be a p-adic nilpotent Lie group,  $\pi$  an irreducible unitary representation of G with matrix coefficients that are  $L^2$  functions modulo the center Z of G. It is proved that  $\pi$  is induced from a character on a subgroup that is compact modulo Z.

Let G be a totally disconnected locally compact group, with center Z. We shall say that an irreducible unitary representation  $\pi$  of G is *supercuspidal* if  $\pi$  has matrix coefficients with compact support modulo Z. In the case of reductive padic groups, this agrees with the standard definition (see [5]). For these groups, it is a "classical" conjecture that any supercuspidal representation is induced from a finite-dimensional representation on a subgroup that is compact mod Z; while some recent progress has been made, the conjecture is still open.

In this note, we show that the corresponding result for *p*-adic nilpotent groups is true. More precisely, we prove:

THEOREM. Let G be the group of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -rational points of a unipotent algebraic group over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , and let Z be the center of G. If  $\pi$  is an irreducible unitary representation of G with square integrable matrix coefficients mod Z, then there is an open subgroup  $K \supseteq Z$  and a character  $\chi$  on K such that K/Z is compact and  $\pi = \operatorname{Ind}_K^G \chi$ .

REMARK. It is clear that supercuspidal representations have matrix coefficients that are square integrable mod Z. For nilpotent *p*-adic groups, the converse is true (see below); for reductive *p*-adic groups, the converse is known to be false.

Before embarking on the proof, we recall some facts about representation theory for G. The basic principle (see [3]) is that the theory is the same as for real nilpotent Lie groups. We write  $P^n$  for the compact open subgroup  $p^n \mathbb{Z}_p \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_p$ .

<sup>\*</sup>Supported by NSF Grant DMS 89-02993.

Let  $\psi$  be the standard character on  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\psi$  is trivial on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  but not on  $P^{-1}$ ), let g be the Lie algebra of G (over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ ), and let g\* be the dual of g; g\*  $\simeq$  g^ under the correspondence of  $l \in g$ \* with the homomorphism  $X \mapsto \psi(l(X))$  of  $g^* \to S^1$ . G acts on g by Ad and on g\* by the contragredient action, Ad\*, and  $G \simeq g^*/Ad^*(G)$ , just as described by Kirillov theory for real groups. For  $l \in g^*$ , let  $r_l = \{Y \in g: l([X, Y]) = 0 \text{ for all } X \in g\}$ . There exists a subalgebra h of g such that  $l|_{\mathfrak{h}}$  is a Lie homomorphism and 2 dim  $\mathfrak{h} = \dim \mathfrak{g} + \dim \mathfrak{r}_l$  (as  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -vector spaces). Define  $\chi_l$  on  $M = \exp \mathfrak{h}$  by  $\chi_l(\exp Y) = \psi(l(Y))$ . (If one realizes  $\mathfrak{h}$  as a Lie subalgebra of the strictly upper triangular matrices, then exp is the usual exponential,

$$\exp Y = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{Y^j}{j!};$$

the sum is really finite.) Then  $\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}\chi_{l} = \pi_{l}$  is the element of G corresponding to l. For  $\pi \in G$ , we let  $\mathcal{O}_{\pi}$  be the corresponding  $\operatorname{Ad}^{*}(G)$ -orbit; thus  $l \in \mathcal{O}_{\pi_{l}}$ .

For  $\pi \in G$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a)  $\pi$  has square integrable matrix coefficients mod Z.
- (b) For all  $l \in \mathcal{O}_{\pi}$ ,  $\mathfrak{r}_l = \mathfrak{z}$  (the center of g, and the Lie algebra of Z).
- (c) For any  $l \in \mathcal{O}_{\pi}$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_{\pi} = l + \mathfrak{z}^{\perp}$ .
- (d) Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_r$  span a subspace of g complementary to 3. Then the  $r \times r$  matrix  $A = (a_{ij}) = (l([X_i, X_j]))$  is invertible.

In fact, if one defines Haar measure on G/Z by exponentiating the Haar measure on  $g/3 \simeq \mathbb{Q}_p X_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{Q}_p X_r$  that gives  $\mathbb{Z}_p X_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}_p X_r$  mass 1, then the formal degree  $d_{\pi}$  of  $\pi$  with respect to this measure is  $|\text{Det } A|^{1/2}$ , where  $|\cdot|$  denotes the usual *p*-adic absolute value.

The equivalence of (a)–(d) and the other results on square integrable representations are proved in [4] and [1] for real groups; both proofs can be adapted to the *p*-adic situation. Further remarks on this matter can be found in [2], and further details will appear in a forthcoming book by F. P. Greenleaf and the author.

Van Dijk proved in [2] that any  $\pi$  satisfying the equivalent conditions (a)–(d) is in fact supercuspidal. (See our earlier remark.) We do not need van Dijk's result to prove the Theorem; thus we get a new proof that if  $\pi$  has square integrable coefficients, then  $\pi$  is supercuspidal. We say more about the results in [2] below.

Proof of the Theorem. We use induction on dim G; when dim G = 1, G is Abelian and the theorem is trivial. Let  $Z = \exp_3$  be the center of G, let  $\pi$  have square-integrable matrix coefficients mod Z, and let  $l \in \mathcal{O}_{\pi}$ . If dim Z > 1, then there is a 1-dimensional subgroup  $Z_0 \subseteq Z \cap \text{Ker } \pi$ ; this reduces the problem for G to one for  $G/Z_0$ , where the inductive hypothesis applies. We may therefore assume that dim Z = 1 and that l is nontrivial on Z. Let  $X_1 \in \mathfrak{Z}$  satisfy  $l(X_1) = 1$ , let  $\overline{Y}$  be central in  $\overline{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{Z}$ , and let Y be a pre-image of Y in  $\mathfrak{g}$  with l(Y) = 0. (Since Y and  $Y + \alpha X_1$  map to  $\overline{Y}$ , this is possible.) Just as in the real case (see, e.g., Lemma 1.1.12 of [1]), the centralizer  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  of Y in  $\mathfrak{g}$  is an ideal of codimension 1. We can pick a basis  $\{X_1, \ldots, X_n\}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that:

- (i) for all j,  $g_j = \text{span}\{X_1, \dots, X_j\}$  is an ideal of g;
- (ii)  $g_0 = g_{n-1}$  and  $Y = X_2$ .

We may also assume (possibly rescaling elements  $X_j$  with j > 1) that all structure constants for this basis are in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ ; i.e.,  $[X_i, X_j] = \sum_{k=1}^n c_{ijk} X_k$ , with all  $c_{ijk} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . (In addition,  $c_{ijk} = 0$  if  $k \ge i$  or  $k \ge j$ , by (i) above.) We use this basis to define Haar measure on G and on G/Z so that  $\exp(\sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{Z}_p X_j)$  has mass 1 in G and its image in G/Z has mass 1 there.

By construction,  $[X_2, X_j] = 0$  if j < n. Therefore the first row and first column of the matrix  $A = (l([X_i, X_j]): 2 \le i, j \le n)$  have only one nonzero element each, namely  $l([X_2, X_n])$  and  $l([X_n, X_2])$  respectively. In  $\mathbb{G}_0$ , the radical  $r_{l_0}$  of  $l_0 = l|_{g_0}$  is  $\mathfrak{z}_0 = \mathbb{Q}_p X_1 + \mathbb{Q}_p X_2$ , the center of  $\mathbb{G}_0$ . (Obviously  $\mathfrak{z}_0 \subseteq r_{l_0}$ , but dim  $r_{l_0} \le \dim r_l + 1 = 2$ .) The corresponding matrix  $A_0 = (l_0([X_i, X_j]): 3 \le i, j \le n - 1)$  is nonsingular because Det  $A = l([X_2, X_n])^2$  Det  $A_0$ . Let  $\sigma$  be the representation corresponding to  $l_0$ . The above computation of Det  $A_0$  shows that  $\sigma_0$  is square-integrable; Kirillov theory says that  $\sigma$  induces to  $\pi$ . In fact, the computation also shows that if we normalize Haar measure on  $G_0/Z_0$  (where  $Z_0 = \exp \mathfrak{z}_0$ ) by giving  $\exp(\Sigma_{i=3}^{n-1} \mathbb{Z}_p X_j)$  mass 1, then the formal degrees of  $\pi$ ,  $\sigma$ are related by  $d_{\pi} = |l([X_2, X_n])|d_{\sigma}$ .

Let  $K_0$  be a compact-mod-center subgroup of  $G_0$  such that  $\sigma$  is induced from  $\chi_0$  on  $K_0$ . Then  $K_0 = H_0 \exp(\mathbb{Q}_p X_1 + \mathbb{Q}_p X_2)$ , where  $\log H_0 \subseteq \operatorname{span}$  $\{X_3,\ldots,X_{n-1}\}.$ Let  $K_1 \subseteq G_0$  be the group generated by  $H_0 \exp \mathbb{Z}_p X_2 \exp \mathbb{Q}_p X_1$ .  $K_1$  is compact mod Z; the reason is that if we use exponential coordinates on G, we need only to worry that the  $X_2$  coordinates of elements stay bounded, and the compactness of  $H_0$  insures this. We may assume (by perhaps increasing  $K_1$ ) that  $K_1 = H_0 \exp(\mathbb{Q}_p X_1 + P^{g_0} X_2)$ . Choose an open subgroup  $P^h \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_p$ ,  $h \ge 0$ , such that  $\exp(P^h X_n)$  normalizes  $K_1$  and fixes  $\chi_0$  there. (Clearly exp  $tX^n$  normalizes  $K_1$  if it normalizes  $K_1 \mod Z_1$  and it fixes  $\chi_0$  if it fixes  $\chi$  on the compact open group generated by  $H_0 \mathbb{Z}_p X_2 \exp \mathbb{Z}_p X_1$ . Because  $K_1/Z_1$  is open and  $\chi$  is locally constant, for every  $\bar{x} \in K_1/Z_1$  there is an integer  $n(\bar{x})$  and a neighborhood  $U_{\bar{x}}$  of  $\bar{x}$  such that conjugation by any element of  $\exp(P^{n(\bar{x})}X_n)$ maps  $U(\bar{x})$  into  $K_1/Z_1$ . Let  $U(\bar{x}_1), \ldots, U(\bar{x}_m)$  cover  $K_1/Z_1$ , and let  $h_1 = \max(n(x_1), \dots, n(x_m))$ . Then  $\exp(P^{h_1}X_n)$  normalizes  $K_1/Z_1$  and hence  $K_1$ . The proof that we can choose  $h \ge h_1$  so that  $\exp(P^h X_n)$  also fixes  $\chi_0$  is similar.) Suppose that  $[X_n, X_2] = aX_1$ , so that  $l([X_n, X_2]) = a$ ; choose an integer g so that  $aP^{g+h} = \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Since  $h \ge 0$  and  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ ,  $g \le 0$ ; also,  $g \le g_0$ . Then  $|l(a)| = p^{-(g+h)}$ . We know that  $\chi_0$  is trivial on  $\exp \mathbb{Q}_n X_2$ , since  $\exp \mathbb{Q}_{p}X_{2} \subseteq \operatorname{Ker} \sigma_{0}$ . Furthermore,  $\exp(tX_{n})\exp(uX_{2})\exp(-tX_{n}) = \exp(tX_{n})$ 

 $(uX_2 + atuX_1)$ ; this shows that  $\exp tX_n$  fixes  $\chi_0$  on  $K_1 \exp P^g X_2 = K_0 \exp P^g X_2$ . Define  $\chi$  on  $K = K_0 \exp P^g X_2 \exp P^h X_n$  by letting  $\chi(k_0 \exp tX_2 \exp uX_n) = \chi_0(k_0)$ ; the definition of h and the above remarks show that  $\chi$  is a character.

Proving directly that  $\operatorname{Ind}_{K}^{G}\chi \simeq \pi$  presents some problems (though a direct proof does exist); the argument that follows has its own interest. Let  $\rho = \operatorname{Ind}_{K}^{G}\chi$ . Then  $\rho|_{Z}$  is a multiple of  $\chi|_{Z}$ , and the same is true for  $\pi|_{Z}$ . Since the Kirillov orbit of  $\pi$  is  $l + 3^{\perp}$ , any irreducible agreeing with  $\pi$  on Z must be  $\pi$ . Therefore  $\rho$  is a multiple of  $\pi$ .

Realize  $\sigma$  as  $\operatorname{Ind}_{K_0}^{G_0}\chi_0$ ; since  $K_0 \setminus G_0$  is discrete, counting measure on cosets is an invariant measure. The function  $\varphi: G_0 \to \mathbb{C}$  defined by

$$\varphi_0(x_0) = \begin{cases} \chi_0(x_0) & \text{if } x_0 \in K_0, \\ 0 & \text{if } x_0 \notin K_0 \end{cases}$$

is clearly in  $\mathscr{H}_{\pi_0}$ , and  $\|\varphi_0\|_2^2 = 1$ . It is easy to see that  $\langle \sigma(x)\varphi_0, \varphi_0 \rangle = \varphi_0(x)$ . Therefore the matrix coefficient  $f_0 = f_{\varphi_0,\varphi_0}$  is equal to  $\varphi_0$ , and

$$\|f_0\|^2 = \int_{G_0} |\varphi_0(x)|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x = \bar{m}_0(K_0),$$

where  $\bar{m}_0$  is Haar measure on  $G_0/Z_0$ . Since  $||f_0||^2 = d_{\pi_0}^{-1} ||\varphi_0||^4 = d_{\pi_0}^{-1}$ , this means that  $d_{\pi_0}^{-1} = \bar{m}_0(K_0)$ . Hence  $d_{\pi}^{-1} = |a|^{-1}\bar{m}_0(K_0)$ , where *a* was defined above. We have  $K_0 = H_0Z_0$  and  $K = (H_0 \exp(P^g X_2) \exp(P^h X_n))Z$ ; a Fubini-type argument (using the fact that the map of  $g_0 \times \mathbb{Q}_p$  to *G* taking  $(X_0, t)$  to  $\exp X_0 \exp tX_n$ preserves Haar measure; see §1.2 of [1] for the corresponding result in the real case) shows that

$$\bar{m}(K/Z) = \bar{m}_0(K_0/Z_0)|p^{g+h}|,$$

where  $\bar{m}$  is Haar measure on G/Z. Since  $|p^{g+h}| = |a|^{-1}$ , we have

 $d_{\pi}^{-1} = \bar{m}(K/Z).$ 

Because K/G is discrete, counting measure for cosets gives an invariant measure that we use to define  $\mathscr{H}_{q}$ . Define  $\varphi: G \to \mathbb{C}$  by

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} \chi(x) & \text{if } x \in K, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin K. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\varphi \in \mathscr{H}_{\rho}$  and  $\|\varphi\|_{2}^{2} = 1$ . The same calculation as with  $\varphi_{0}$  shows that the matrix coefficient  $f = f_{\varphi,\varphi}$  satisfies  $\|f\|^{2} = \overline{m}(K/Z) = d_{\pi}^{-1}$ .

The vector  $\varphi$  is clearly cyclic for  $\rho$ . Suppose that  $\rho$  is not irreducible and that  $\mathscr{H}_{\rho} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} \mathscr{H}_{j}$  is a decomposition of  $\rho$  into irreducibles (all equivalent to  $\pi$ ; r may be  $\infty$ ). Write  $\varphi = \sum_{j1}^{r} \varphi_{j}$  correspondingly, so that  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|\varphi_{j}\|^{2} = 1$ , and let  $f_{j} = f_{\varphi_{j},\varphi_{j}}$  be the matrix coefficient corresponding to  $\varphi_{j}$ . Clearly  $\langle \rho(x)\varphi_{i}, \varphi_{j} \rangle = 0$  if  $i \neq j$ ; therefore

$$f = \sum_{j=1}^{r} f_j.$$

Now  $||f|| = d_{\pi}^{-1/2}$  and  $||f_j|| = d_{\pi}^{-1/2} ||\varphi_j||^2$ . Hence

$$||f|| = \sum_{j=1}^{r} ||f_j||.$$

This is possible only if the  $f_j$  are all nonnegative multiples of f. Hence if  $U_j$ :  $\mathscr{H}_1 \to \mathscr{H}_j$  gives the unitary equivalence of  $\rho_1$  with  $\rho_j$ , then  $U_j(\varphi_1) = c_j \varphi_j$  for some constants  $c_j$ . But it is then obvious that  $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2, ...)$  is not cyclic in  $\mathscr{H}_{\rho}$ . This contradiction completes the proof.

REMARK. Van Dijk proved in [2] not only that square-integrable  $\pi$  have compact-mod-center matrix coefficients, but, that if  $v, w \in \mathscr{H}_{\pi}$  and  $\varphi, \psi \in C_0^{\infty}(G)$ (the space of locally constant functions with compact support), then  $f_{\pi(\varphi)v,\pi(\psi)w}$ has compact support mod Z. One can also prove this by mimicking the proof of the corresponding result for real groups (see Theorem 4.5 of [1]); we omit the details. There does not seem to be an easy way to modify the above proof to yield Van Dijk's result as well.

#### References

- 1. Corwin, L.J., and Greenleaf, F.P. Representations of Nilpotent Lie Groups and their Applications, Part I. Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- Van Dijk, G., Square integrable representations mod Z of unipotent groups, Compositio Math. 29 (1974), 141–150.
- 3. Moore, C.C., Decomposition of unitary representations defined by discrete subgroups of nilpotent Lie groups, Ann. Math. 82 (1965), 146-182.
- 3. Moore, C.C., and Wolf, J.A., Square integrable representations of nilpotent Lie groups, *Trans.* Am. Math. Soc. 185 (1973), 445-62.
- Silberger, A., Introduction to Harmonic Analysis on Reductive P-adic Groups. Mathematical Notes, #23. Princeton University Press, 1979.