

COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

ROBERT KAUFMAN

JANG-MEI WU

Parabolic measure on domains of class $Lip \frac{1}{2}$

Compositio Mathematica, tome 65, n° 2 (1988), p. 201-207

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1988__65_2_201_0

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1988, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (<http://http://www.compositio.nl/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

Parabolic measure on domains of class $\text{Lip } \frac{1}{2}$

ROBERT KAUFMAN & JANG-MEI WU

Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois, 1409 West Green Street, Urbana, IL 61801, USA

Received 5 February 1987; accepted in revised form 12 August 1987

1. We shall construct a domain Ω in $\mathbb{R}_{x,t}^2$ whose boundary is given by the graph of a $\text{Lip } \frac{1}{2}$ function $x = F(t)$, so that on $\partial\Omega$ the parabolic measure ω and the adjoint parabolic measure ω^* are concentrated on two disjoint sets, whose projections onto the t -axis have Hausdorff dimensions strictly less than 1.

We do not know how small the dimensions can be made in the example. But the dimensions must be at least $\frac{1}{2}$, by a theorem of Taylor and Watson [8; p. 337], which states that a set E on a $\text{Lip } \frac{1}{2}$ curve $x = F(t)$ has heat capacity zero if and only if its projection onto the t -axis has zero $\frac{1}{2}$ -capacity.

In a previous paper [3], we constructed a $\text{Lip } \frac{1}{2}$ domain $\{x > F(t)\}$ satisfying the weaker property that the projections of supports of ω and ω^* have zero 1-dimensional Hausdorff measure. There are two technical improvements made here: an explicit construction of $F(t)$ is given and shown to satisfy an explicit inequality in class $\text{Lip } \frac{1}{2}$, and a more careful estimation of parabolic measure is necessary. F is a lacunary sum but the gaps are not too large to obtain an estimate of the dimension. The size of the gaps is critical in obtaining an explicit estimate of F in $\text{Lip } \frac{1}{2}$.

Because the only diffeomorphisms that preserve the solutions of the heat equation $((\partial^2/\partial x^2) - (\partial/\partial t))u = 0$ are $\{(x, t) \rightarrow (ax + b, a^2t + c)\}$, [2], domains $\Omega = \{x > f(t)\}$, with $\text{Lip } \frac{1}{2}$ boundary $x = f(t)$ are very natural for studying solutions of the heat equation. It follows from theorems of Petrowsky [7] that these domains are Dirichlet regular for the solutions of the heat equation.

For a Borel set $E \subset \partial\Omega$, we denote by $\omega^{(x,t)}(E)$ (or $\omega^{*(x,t)}(E)$) the parabolic measure (or the adjoint parabolic measure) of E with respect to Ω , i.e., the solution of the heat equation (or the adjoint heat equation) on Ω with boundary value 1 on E , 0 on $\partial\Omega \setminus E$, in the BreLOT–Perron–Wiener sense.

We say that ω is supported on a Borel set $S \subset \partial\Omega$ provided that $\omega^{(x,t)}(\partial\Omega \setminus S) = 0$ for every $(x, t) \in \Omega$; and similarly for ω^* .

We say that u is a parabolic (or adjoint parabolic) function provided that

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = 0 \quad \left(\text{or } \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = 0 \right).$$

In the case of Laplace’s equation, on the boundary of a Jordan domain in \mathbb{R}^2 , the harmonic measure is concentrated on a set of Hausdorff dimension 1; and any set of Hausdorff dimension less than 1 has zero harmonic measure [6]. For the heat equation, we conjecture that the parabolic measure on the boundary of a Jordan domain is supported on a set of “parabolic dimension” at most 2. We refer to [8] for the definition of parabolic dimension, and recall that the parabolic dimension of the line $\{t = 0\}$ is 1, of the line $\{x = at + b\}$ is 2, and of \mathbb{R}^2 is 3.

The parabolic measure for $\{x > 0\}$ is supported only by sets of full linear measure, therefore of parabolic dimension exactly 2. For the example to be constructed, the parabolic measure is supported by a subset of the graph $\{x = F(t)\}$ of parabolic dimension < 2 .

2. A. function of class $\text{Lip } \frac{1}{2}$

LEMMA 1. *Given $0 < \varepsilon < 10^{-2}$, let $h(t)$ be a C^1 function on $(-\infty, \infty)$, periodic with period 1, so that $h(0) = 0$, $h(t) = h(1 - t)$, $h(t) = t^{1/2}$ for $\varepsilon \leq t \leq \frac{1}{4}$, $|h| < 1$, $|h'| \leq 2\varepsilon^{-1/2}$, and $|h(t) - h(s)| \leq 2|t - s|^{1/2}$ for all s, t . Let $N \geq \varepsilon^{-5/2}$ be an integer and $f(t) = \sum_1^\infty N^{-n} h(N^{2n}t)$. Then*

- (a) $|f(t) - f(s)| \leq (2 + 6\varepsilon)|t - s|^{1/2}$ for all s, t .
Let $\tau = mN^{-2k}$, with an integer $m = 0, 1, \dots, N^{2k} - 1$. Then
- (b) $|f(t) - f(\tau)| \leq 3\varepsilon^{1/2} N^{-k}$, whenever $0 \leq t - \tau \leq \varepsilon N^{-2k}$, and
- (c) $\frac{1}{2}(t - \tau)^{1/2} \leq f(t) - f(\tau) \leq \frac{3}{2}(t - \tau)^{1/2}$, whenever $\varepsilon N^{-2k} \leq t - \tau \leq \frac{1}{4} N^{-2k}$.

Proof. To prove (a) we observe that

$$|f(t) - f(s)| \leq 2 \sum_{n=1}^\infty \min(N^{-n}, |t - s|^{1/2}, N^n \varepsilon^{-1/2} |t - s|).$$

We obtain each term inside the minimum by using one of the inequalities on h . The numbers $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ are divided into three groups.

- (i) $N^{-n} \leq \varepsilon |t - s|^{1/2}$. Using N^{-n} in the minimum, and $N \geq 4$, we see that the contribution from this group is at most $2\varepsilon |t - s|^{1/2} N(N - 1)^{-1} < 3\varepsilon |t - s|^{1/2}$.

(ii) $N^n \varepsilon^{-1/2} |t - s| \leq \varepsilon |t - s|^{1/2}$. The same estimate applies, if we use the third term in the minimum.

(iii) $\varepsilon |t - s|^{1/2} < N^{-n} < \varepsilon^{-3/2} |t - s|^{1/2}$.

There can be at most one solution n to this pair of inequalities: if $n_1 \leq n_2$ and both are solutions, then $(n_2 - n_1) \log N < \log \varepsilon^{-5/2}$, so $n_2 - n_1 < 1$ or $n_2 = n_1$. The contribution from (iii) is thus at most $2|t - s|^{1/2}$.

Adding up for (i), (ii), (iii) gives (a).

In view of (a), inequality (b) is evident.

To prove (c), with $s = \tau$, we observe that $n = k$ belongs to (iii).

However, a more precise estimate can be given: $N^{-k}h(N^{2k}t) - N^{-k}h(N^{2k}\tau) = N^{-k}h(N^{2k}t) = (t - \tau)^{1/2}$. The total contribution from (i) and (ii) is at most $6\varepsilon(t - \tau)^{1/2}$. Since $6\varepsilon < 1/2$, (c) follows.

3. Estimates of parabolic measure

Suppose that $x = F(t)$ is $Lip \frac{1}{2}$ on $(-\infty, \infty)$ with $|F(t) - F(s)| \leq M|t - s|^{1/2}$. For $a > 0$, we denote by $\Delta(t, a) = \{(F(s), s): |s - t| < a\}$ and $A(t, a) = (F(t) + 2M\sqrt{a}, t + 2a)$. Lemma 1.4 in [5] can be restated as follows.

LEMMA 2. *There is a positive constant $C(M)$ depending on M only, so that if u is a nonnegative parabolic function on $\Omega \equiv \{x > F(t)\}$, vanishing on $\{(F(s), S): |s - t| > a/64\}$, then*

$$u(y, s) \leq C(M)u(A(t, a))\omega^{(y,s)}(\Delta(t, a))$$

whenever $(y, s) \in \Omega$ satisfies $|s - t| > a/8$ or $|y - F(t)| \geq M\sqrt{a}$.

We may view Lemma 2 as a quantitative version of the Harnack inequality.

Given $0 < \varepsilon < 10^{-4}$ and $N \geq \varepsilon^{-4}$, let $f(t)$ be the function defined in Lemma 1, $F(t) = 2\sqrt{2}f(t)$ and $\Omega = \{x > F(t)\}$. It follows from (a) in Lemma 1 that $|F(t) - F(s)| \leq 9|t - s|^{1/2}$ for all s, t . We fix a reference point $(100, 100)$, and denote $\omega^{(100,100)}$ by ω .

LEMMA 3. *There is an absolute constant $c_0 > 0$, so that whenever $\tau = mN^{-2k}$ with $m = 0, 1, \dots, N^{2k} - 1$,*

$$I_k = \{(F(t), t): |t - \tau| < N^{-2k}\} \text{ and}$$

$$E_k = \{(F(t), t): 0 < t - \tau < \varepsilon N^{-2k}\},$$

we have $\omega(E_k) \leq c_0 \varepsilon^{3/2} \omega(I_k)$.

Proof. For a fixed $\tau = mN^{-2k}$, we let $A = (F(t) + 5N^{-k}, \tau + \frac{1}{8}N^{-2k})$, $J_k = \{(F(t), t): 0 < t - \tau < \frac{1}{4}N^{-2k}\}$, and $L_k = \{(F(t), t): |t - \tau| < \frac{1}{16}N^{-2k}\}$. Applying Lemma 2 with $M = 10$, $a = \frac{1}{16}N^{-2k}$ and $u = \omega(E_k)$, we obtain

$$\omega(E_k) \leq C_1 \omega(L_k) \omega^A(E_k) \leq C_1 \omega(J_k) \omega^A(E_k),$$

where C_1 is an absolute constant.

To estimate $\omega^A(E_k)$, we define Φ to be the transformation: $(x, t) \rightarrow (20\sqrt{\varepsilon} + (x - F(\tau))N^k, \varepsilon + (t - \tau)N^{2k})$. Hence $\Phi(A) = (20\sqrt{\varepsilon} + 5, \varepsilon + \frac{1}{8})$; and because of (b) and (c),

$$\Phi(E_k) \subset \{(x, t): \varepsilon \leq t \leq 2\varepsilon, 10\sqrt{\varepsilon} \leq x \leq 30\sqrt{\varepsilon}\},$$

$$\Phi(J_k) \subset \{(x, t): \varepsilon \leq t \leq \frac{1}{4} + \varepsilon, x > \sqrt{2t}\}.$$

Since Φ preserves parabolic functions, $u(Q) \equiv \omega^{\Phi^{-1}(Q)}(E_k)$ is the parabolic measure of $\Phi(E_k)$ with respect to the domain $\Phi(\Omega)$, and $u(\Phi(A)) = \omega^A(E_k)$. Because $u = 0$ on $\partial\Phi(\Omega) \cap \{t < \varepsilon\}$, we have $u = 0$ on $\Phi(\Omega) \cap \{t = \varepsilon\}$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} K(x, t) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} W(x, t; 0, 0) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} t^{-3/2} \left(\frac{x^2}{4t} - \frac{1}{2} \right) e^{-(x^2/4t)}, \quad t > 0, \end{aligned}$$

which is parabolic for $t > 0$, and is positive when $x > \sqrt{2t}$. When $(x, t) \in \Phi(E_k)$, $K(x, t) \geq c_2 \varepsilon^{-3/2}$ for some absolute constant $c_2 > 0$. Applying the maximum principle to $c_2^{-1} \varepsilon^{3/2} K$ and u over the region $\Phi(\Omega) \cap \{\varepsilon \leq t \leq \varepsilon + \frac{1}{4}\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} u(\Phi(A)) &\leq C_2^{-1} \varepsilon^{3/2} K(20\sqrt{\varepsilon} + 5, \varepsilon + \frac{1}{8}) \\ &\leq C_2^{-1} \varepsilon^{3/2} \sup \{K(x, t): 5 \leq x \leq 6, \frac{1}{8} \leq t \leq \frac{1}{4}\} = C_3 \varepsilon^{3/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where C_3 is an absolute constant. Since $\omega^A(E_k) = u(\Phi(A))$, we conclude the lemma.

REMARK. If we choose $x = Bf(t)$, with $B > 2\sqrt{2}$, in the construction of Ω , the domain has a bigger $\text{Lip } \frac{1}{2}$ constant. We need then to use higher partials $(\partial^n / \partial t^n)W(x, t; 0, 0)$ as the comparison functions in estimation and obtain $\omega(E_k) \leq C_B \varepsilon^{\varrho_B} \omega(I_k)$ in the lemma with $C_B > 0$ and $\varrho_B > 3/2$ depending on B .

4. Conclusion

LEMMA 4. Suppose that ε is the reciprocal of a positive even integer with $\varepsilon < \min \{10^{-4}, (2c_0)^{-2}\}$, c_0 as in Lemma 3, and that $N = \varepsilon^{-4}$. Then there exist sets $T, T^* \subseteq (-\infty, \infty)$ of dimension strictly less than 1, so that ω and ω^* are supported on $\{x = F(t): t \in T\}$ and $\{x = F(t): t \in T^*\}$ respectively. Moreover T and T^* can be chosen to be disjoint.

Proof. Because $F(t) = F(-t)$, the conclusion for ω^* follows from that for ω by symmetry.

Because a set of the form $\{x = F(t): t \in E\}$, with $|E| = 0$, can be written as the union of two disjoint sets E_1 and E_2 with $\omega(E_1) = 0$ and $\omega^*(E_2) = 0$ at any point in Ω [9]; we may modify T and T^* to become disjoint after we prove their existence.

Because F has period one, we need only to study the support of ω on $\partial\Omega \cap \{0 \leq t \leq 1\}$. We shall fix the reference point $(100, 100)$ and denote by $\omega = \omega^{(100,100)}$.

An increasing sequence $A_0 \subset A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \dots$ of algebras of subsets of $[0, 1)$ is defined as follows. A_k is the algebra generated by the intervals $[2pN^{-2k}, (2p + 2)N^{-2k})$, where p is an integer and $0 \leq 2p \leq N^{2k} - 2$. (A_0 is the trivial algebra). Since $2pN^{-2k} = 2pN^2 \cdot N^{-2k-2}$, we have $A_k \subset A_{k+1}$. Let $\tau = (2p + 1)N^{-2k}$ as above; then the interval $[\tau, \tau + \varepsilon N^{-2k})$ belongs to the algebra A_{k+1} if $(2p + 1)N^{-2k} + \varepsilon N^{-2k} = 2qN^{-2k-2}$ defines an integer q . Now $q = N^2(2p + 1 + \varepsilon) = \varepsilon^{-8}(2p + 1 + \varepsilon)$, so that q is indeed an integer. The interval $[\tau, \tau + \varepsilon N^{-2k})$, called $B(p, k)$, is contained in a basic interval $\tilde{B}(p, k)$ of A_k and $\lambda(B(p, k)) \leq c_0 \varepsilon^{3/2} \lambda(\tilde{B}(p, k))$, where λ is the normalized projection of ω on $[0, 1)$ with $\lambda([0, 1)) = 1$. Let B_k be the union of the sets $B(p, k)$, $0 \leq p \leq N^{2k} - 2$; the conditional probability $\lambda(B_k | A_k) \leq c_0 \varepsilon^{3/2}$.

Let f_k be the characteristic function of B_k . Hence $g_k \equiv f_k - E(f_k | A_k)$ defines an orthogonal sequence with $|g_k| \leq 1$. Using the orthogonality, and Chebyshev's inequality as in [4] we see that $g_2 + g_4 + \dots + g_{2r} = o(r)$ λ -almost everywhere, or $f_2 + f_4 + \dots + f_{2r} \leq c_0 \varepsilon^{3/2} r + o(r)$ λ -a.e. Thus for λ -almost all t , the number $n(r, t)$ of integers $k \leq r$, such that $t \in B_{2k}$, is at most $c_0 \varepsilon^{3/2} r + o(r)$. (The number c_0 retains the same value.) Fix δ , with $c_0 \varepsilon^{3/2} < \delta < \varepsilon/2$ and let $E_m = \{t \in [0, 1): n(r, t) \leq \delta r, \text{ for every } r \geq m\}$. Then $\lambda(\bigcup_1^\infty E_m) = 1$.

Let $t = \sum_1^\infty C_k(t)N^{-2k}$ be the expansion in base N^2 , excepting the rational numbers. Then $t \in B_{2k}$ if and only if $C_{2k}(t)$ is odd and $0 \leq C_{2k+1}(t) < \varepsilon N^2$.

For large r , E_m is contained in a union of K basic intervals of A_{2^r} , where

$$\begin{aligned}
 K &= O(1) \sum_{n=0}^{[\delta r]} \binom{r}{n} \left(\frac{\varepsilon N^4}{2}\right)^n \left[\left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)N^4\right]^{r-n} \\
 &= O(1)M^{4r}, \text{ with a constant } M < N.
 \end{aligned}$$

This may be seen as follows. Fixing $m, r \geq m$, and $0 \leq n \leq \delta r$, we consider those $t \in E_m$, so that $n(r, t) = n$, i.e., those $t \in E_m$, such that the event $t \in B_{2^k}$ occurs for exactly n values of $k, 0 \leq k \leq r$. These n places can be chosen in $\binom{r}{n}$ ways. When $t \in B_{2^k}$, the number of choices for C_{2^k} and $C_{2^{k+1}}$ is $(\varepsilon/2)N^4$; when $t \notin B_{2^k}$, the number of choices for C_{2^k} and $C_{2^{k+1}}$ is $N^4 - (\varepsilon/2)N^4$. This yields the first estimation for K .

To obtain the second estimation, we use Stirling's formula $n! \approx n^n e^{-n} \sqrt{n}$, for large n . The largest term occurs when $n \sim \delta r$ (since $\delta < \varepsilon/2$) and is approximately $N^{4r} \eta^r$, where $\eta = \delta^{-\delta} (1 - \delta)^{-(1-\delta)} (\varepsilon/2)^\delta (1 - (\varepsilon/2))^{1-\delta}$. Now η depends on δ , and increases up to $\eta = 1$ when $\delta = \varepsilon/2$. Especially $\eta < 1$ when $\delta < \varepsilon/2$. From the definition of Hausdorff dimension, we conclude that $\bigcup_1^\infty E_m$ has dimension at most $\log M / \log N < 1$. (Apart from the details introduced to make the σ -algebras match up, the method is due to Besicovitch [1]; certain choices of successive digits in the expansion occur with a frequency different from – in fact, less than – the natural frequency. This pushes the dimension below 1.)

Therefore the domain $\{x < F(t)\}$ so constructed has all the properties promised at the beginning.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge valuable comments from the referee.

This work was partially supported by the National Science Foundation.

References

1. A.S. Besicovitch, On the sum of digits of real numbers represented in the dyadic system, (On sets of fractional dimensions II), *Math. Annalen* 110 (1934–35) 321–330.
2. E.G. Effros and J.L. Kazdan, On the Dirichlet problem for the heat equation, *Indiana Math. Jour.* 20 (1971) 683–693.
3. R. Kaufman and J.-M. Wu, Singularity of parabolic measures, *Compositio Math.* 40 (1980) 243–250.
4. R. Kaufman and J.-M. Wu, On the snow flake domain, *Arkiv Mat.* 23 (1985) 177–183.

5. J.T. Kemper, Temperatures in several variables: kernel functions, representations and parabolic boundary values, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 167 (1972) 243–262.
6. N.G. Makarov, On the distortion of boundary sets under conformal mappings, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) 51 (1985) 369–384.
7. I.G. Petrowsky: Zur Ersten Randwertaufgaben der Wärmeleitungsgleichung, *Compositio Math* 1 (1935) 383–419.
8. S.J. Taylor and N.A. Watson, A Hausdorff measure classification of polar sets for the heat equation, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* 97 (1985) 325–344.
9. J.-M. Wu, On parabolic measures and subparabolic functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 251 (1979) 171–186.