

COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

K.-H. ULBRICH

On cohomology of graded group categories

Compositio Mathematica, tome 63, n° 3 (1987), p. 409-417

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1987__63_3_409_0

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1987, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (<http://www.compositio.nl/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

On cohomology of graded group categories

K.-H. ULBRICH

Mathematisches Seminar, Universität Hamburg, Bundesstrasse 55, 2000 Hamburg 13, FRG

Received 16 March 1987; accepted 12 May 1987

In [2] Fröhlich and Wall defined cohomology groups $H^n(G, \mathcal{C})$ for G a group and \mathcal{C} a strictly coherent group-like G -monoidal category. We show here a one-to-one correspondence between these categories and the categories with coherent left G -module structure; this correspondence takes \mathcal{C} to its subcategory $\text{Ker}(\mathcal{C})$ of morphisms of grade 1. We prove that the groups $H^n(G, \mathcal{C})$ are isomorphic to the cohomology groups $H^n(G, \text{Ker} \mathcal{C})$ as defined in [8]. Finally we give a characterization of these groups as right derived functors.

1. Let G be a group and \mathcal{A} a category with a coherent (left) G -module structure as defined in [8], 1.1, and [7, II]. For \mathcal{A} -morphisms $g: P \rightarrow Q$ and $h: M \rightarrow N$ we write $g \otimes h: PM \rightarrow QN$ for the monoidal product, $g^0: P^0 \rightarrow Q^0$ for the monoidal inverse, and $g^\sigma: P^\sigma \rightarrow Q^\sigma$ for the image of g under the action of $\sigma \in G$ on \mathcal{A} . The corresponding natural transformations are:

$$a: (PQ)N \rightarrow P(QN), \quad c: PQ \rightarrow QP, \quad e: PI \rightarrow P, \quad i: PP^0 \rightarrow I,$$

$$t_\sigma: (PQ)^\sigma \rightarrow P^\sigma Q^\sigma, \quad \lambda_\sigma: I^\sigma \rightarrow I, \quad \xi: (P^\tau)^\sigma \rightarrow P^{\sigma\tau}, \quad \zeta: P^1 \rightarrow P,$$

where I denotes the neutral object of \mathcal{A} . We first want to show that \mathcal{A} determines a strictly coherent group-like G -monoidal category $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{A}^{g^0}$ in the sense of [2], p. 257. We set

$$\text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}) = \text{Ob}(\mathcal{A}), \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(P, Q) = \bigcup_{\sigma \in G} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(P^\sigma, Q) \text{ (disjoint)}.$$

Thus each \mathcal{C} -morphism $g: P \rightarrow Q$ determines (and is uniquely determined by) its “grade” $\sigma \in G$ and its “projection” $g_\sigma: P^\sigma \rightarrow Q$ in \mathcal{A} . For $h: N \rightarrow P$ of grade τ and $g: P \rightarrow Q$ of grade σ , the composite gh is defined to have grade $\sigma\tau$ and projection

$$N^{\sigma\tau} \xrightarrow{\xi^{-1}} (N^\tau)^\sigma \xrightarrow{h_\tau^\sigma} P^\sigma \xrightarrow{g_\sigma} Q.$$

Observe that $\zeta: P^1 \rightarrow P$ is the projection of id_P , and that there is a canonical embedding

$$\iota: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{gr} = \mathcal{C}, \quad \iota(P) = P,$$

which identifies \mathcal{A} with the subcategory of morphisms of grade 1 of \mathcal{C} . Moreover, there is a functor

$$\mathcal{C} \times_G \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}, \quad (P, Q) \mapsto PQ,$$

which maps a pair $g: P \rightarrow Q, h: M \rightarrow N$ of \mathcal{C} -morphisms of the same grade σ to $g \otimes h: PM \rightarrow QN$ with projection

$$(PM)^\sigma \xrightarrow{\iota_\sigma} P^\sigma M^\sigma \xrightarrow{g_\sigma \otimes h_\sigma} QN$$

and grade σ . Finally, we have $\varepsilon: G \rightarrow \mathcal{C}, \varepsilon(\sigma): I \rightarrow I$, where $\varepsilon(\sigma)$ has grade σ and projection $\lambda_\sigma: I^\sigma \rightarrow I$.

LEMMA (1.1). *The above data make $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{A}^{gr}$, together with the natural transformations $\iota(a), \iota(c)$ and $\iota(e)$, into a strictly coherent group-like G -monoidal category.*

Proof: Simply check the definitions [2], pp. 237, 240, 247.

The (small) categories with coherent G -module structure form a category \mathfrak{M}_G where a morphism $\Psi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a monoidal functor (Ψ, t_Ψ) supplied with monoidal [2], (1.10), natural transformations

$$\mu_\sigma: \Psi(P)^\sigma \rightarrow \Psi(P^\sigma), \quad P \in \mathcal{A}, \quad \sigma \in G,$$

such that

$$\mu_{\sigma\tau} \xi_{\Psi(P)} = \Psi(\xi_P) \mu_\sigma \mu_\tau^\sigma, \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi(\zeta_P) \mu_1 = \zeta_{\Psi(P)}, \tag{1}$$

for all $\sigma, \tau \in G$, and $P \in \mathcal{A}$. Notice that $t_\Psi: \Psi(PQ) \rightarrow \Psi(P)\Psi(Q)$ satisfies [2], (1.7) and (1.8), and that by [7, II], t_Ψ determines $\lambda_\Psi: \Psi(I) \rightarrow I$ such that [2], (1.9), holds. With any such $(\Psi, t_\Psi, \mu_\sigma)$ we associate a grade preserving functor

$$\Psi^{gr}: \mathcal{A}^{gr} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{gr}, \quad P \mapsto \Psi(P),$$

which maps $g: P \rightarrow Q$ of grade σ to $\Psi^{gr}(g)$ having projection

$$\Psi(P)^\sigma \xrightarrow{\mu_\sigma} \Psi(P^\sigma) \xrightarrow{\Psi(g_\sigma)} \Psi(Q).$$

Then $\iota(t_\Psi)$ and $\iota(\lambda_\Psi)$ make Ψ^{gr} a morphism of G -monoidal categories [2], p. 240. In this way we obtain a faithful functor from $\check{\mathbf{U}}b_G$ to the category $\check{\mathbf{U}}b_G^{gr}$ of strictly coherent group-like G -monoidal (small) categories. It is clear that if Ψ is an equivalence of categories, then so is Ψ^{gr} .

THEOREM (1.2): *The functor $\check{\mathbf{U}}b_G \rightarrow \check{\mathbf{U}}b_G^{gr}$, $\mathcal{A} \mapsto \mathcal{A}^{gr}$, is an equivalence of categories.*

Proof: We first show that, given any morphism $T: \mathcal{A}^{gr} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{gr}$ in $\check{\mathbf{U}}b_G^{gr}$, T is of the form Ψ^{gr} . T determines a unique monoidal functor $\Psi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ satisfying $\iota_{\mathcal{B}}\Psi = T\iota_{\mathcal{A}}$. In particular, $\Psi(P) = T(P)$ for objects $P \in \mathcal{A}$. We now consider the \mathcal{A}^{gr} -morphisms $f_{P,\sigma}: P \rightarrow P^\sigma$ having grade σ and projection id_{P^σ} . They obviously satisfy:

$$f_{Q,\sigma}\iota(g) = \iota(g^\sigma)f_{P,\sigma}, \quad \text{for } g: P \rightarrow Q \text{ in } \mathcal{A}, \tag{2}$$

$$\iota(t_\sigma)f_{PQ,\sigma} = f_{P,\sigma} \otimes f_{Q,\sigma}, \quad P, Q \in \mathcal{A}, \tag{3}$$

$$f_{P,\sigma\tau} = \iota(\xi_P)f_{P^\sigma,\sigma}f_{P,\tau}, \quad P \in \mathcal{A}, \tag{4}$$

$$f_{P,1} = \iota(\zeta_P)^{-1}, \quad P \in \mathcal{A}. \tag{5}$$

We define $\mu_\sigma: T(P)^\sigma \rightarrow T(P^\sigma)$ to be the projection of $T(f_{P,\sigma})$. Then (2) implies that μ_σ is natural in P , (3) implies that μ_σ is monoidal, and (4) and (5) imply condition (1). Furthermore we have $g = \iota(g_\sigma)f_{P,\sigma}$ for any morphism $g: P \rightarrow Q$ of grade σ in \mathcal{A}^{gr} . Hence $T(g) = T\iota(g_\sigma)T(f_{P,\sigma})$, proving $T = \Psi^{gr}$.

Next let \mathcal{C} be a given object of $\check{\mathbf{U}}b_G^{gr}$. Let $\mathcal{A} = \text{Ker}(\mathcal{C}) \subset \mathcal{C}$ be the subcategory of morphisms of grade 1. Thus $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{A}) = \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ and \mathcal{A} is a strictly coherent group-like monoidal category, [2], p. 240. We now fix for any $P \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\sigma \in G$ objects P^σ, P^0 in \mathcal{A} and morphisms

$$f_{P,\sigma}: P \rightarrow P^\sigma \text{ of grade } \sigma, \quad i_P: PP^0 \rightarrow I \text{ of grade 1.} \tag{6}$$

Note that $f_{P,\sigma}$ exists since \mathcal{C} is stably graded, [2], p. 240. For any morphism $g: P \rightarrow Q$ in \mathcal{A} we define $g^\sigma: P^\sigma \rightarrow Q^\sigma$ by

$$g^\sigma = f_{Q,\sigma}g f_{P,\sigma}^{-1}.$$

Moreover we define $t_\sigma: (PQ)^\sigma \rightarrow P^\sigma Q^\sigma$, $\xi: (P^\tau)^\sigma \rightarrow P^{\sigma\tau}$, and $\zeta: P^1 \rightarrow P$ by

$$t_\sigma = (f_{P,\sigma} \otimes f_{Q,\sigma})f_{PQ,\sigma}^{-1}, \quad \xi = f_{P,\sigma\tau}f_{P,\tau}^{-1}f_{P^\tau,\sigma}^{-1}, \quad \zeta = f_{P,1}^{-1}.$$

Finally, for each morphism $g: P \rightarrow Q$ of grade 1, let $g^0: P^0 \rightarrow Q^0$ be the unique \mathcal{A} -morphism such that $g \otimes g^0 = i_Q^{-1}i_P$, [2], lemma 2.6. It is easy to verify that these definitions give \mathcal{A} a coherent G -module structure. Now consider the functor

$$T: \mathcal{A}^{gr} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}, \quad T(P) = P, \quad T(g) = g_\sigma f_{P,\sigma},$$

where $g: P \rightarrow Q$ has grade σ and projection $g_\sigma: P^\sigma \rightarrow Q$. T is a morphism of G -monoidal categories where the corresponding natural transformations are identities. We claim that T is bijective. For any $P, Q \in \mathcal{C}$ the given grade functor $\mathfrak{D}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow G$ induces

$$\text{Hom}_\mathcal{C}(P, Q) = \bigcup_{\sigma \in G} \mathfrak{D}_{P,Q}^{-1}(\sigma) \quad (\text{disjoint})$$

where $\mathfrak{D}_{P,Q}^{-1}(\sigma)$ is the set of \mathcal{C} -morphisms $P \rightarrow Q$ of grade σ . But

$$\mathfrak{D}_{P,Q}^{-1}(\sigma) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\mathcal{A}(P^\sigma, Q), \quad g \mapsto g f_{P,\sigma}^{-1}$$

is clearly a bijection. This shows that T is an isomorphism and this completes the proof.

We now fix for every $\mathcal{C} \in \check{\mathfrak{U}}\mathfrak{b}_G^{gr}$ a set of data (6) thereby making $\text{Ker}(\mathcal{C})$ into an object of $\check{\mathfrak{U}}\mathfrak{b}_G$. Any morphism $T: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ in $\check{\mathfrak{U}}\mathfrak{b}_G^{gr}$ induces a monoidal functor $\text{Ker}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Ker}(\mathcal{D})$, $P \mapsto T(P)$, which becomes a morphism in $\check{\mathfrak{U}}\mathfrak{b}_G$ if we define $\mu_\sigma: T(P)^\sigma \rightarrow T(P^\sigma)$ by $\mu_\sigma = T(f_{P,\sigma})f_{T(P),\sigma}^{-1}$. This gives a functor

$$\check{\mathfrak{U}}\mathfrak{b}_G^{gr} \rightarrow \check{\mathfrak{U}}\mathfrak{b}_G, \quad \mathcal{C} \mapsto \text{Ker}(\mathcal{C}),$$

which is quasi inverse to the functor that takes \mathcal{A} to \mathcal{A}^{gr} .

2. We briefly recall the definition of the cohomology groups $H^n(G, \mathcal{A})$ for $\mathcal{A} \in \check{\mathfrak{U}}\mathfrak{b}_G$, [8], 1.1. There is a ‘‘cochain complex’’ of the form

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^0(G, \mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathcal{C}^1(G, \mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\delta} \dots \mathcal{C}^n(G, \mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathcal{C}^{n+1}(G, \mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\delta} \dots$$

where $\mathcal{C}^n(G, \mathcal{A})$ is the category of all maps $G^n \rightarrow \text{Ob}(\mathcal{A})$ and the functors are defined by the coboundary operator of group cohomology. From this one obtains cocycle categories $\mathcal{Z}^n(G, \mathcal{A})$ as follows: the objects are the pairs (P, g) where P is an object of $\mathcal{C}^{n-1}(G, \mathcal{A})$ and $g: \delta(P) \rightarrow I$ a morphism in $\mathcal{C}^n(G, \mathcal{A})$ such that

$$\delta\delta(P) \xrightarrow{\delta(g)} \delta(I) \simeq I$$

is the canonical morphism determined by the coherent G -module structure of \mathcal{A} ; a morphism $\alpha: (P, g) \rightarrow (Q, h)$ is a morphism $\alpha: P \rightarrow Q$ in $\mathcal{C}^{n-1}(G, \mathcal{A})$ which satisfies $h\delta(\alpha) = g$. Passing to isomorphism classes, we obtain an abelian group $Z^n(G, \mathcal{A})$ containing the subgroup $B^n(G, \mathcal{A})$ of elements represented by pairs $(\delta(M), \text{can})$ with $M \in \mathcal{C}^{n-2}(G, \mathcal{A})$. So $B^0(G, \mathcal{A}) = B^1(G, \mathcal{A}) = 0$. By definition,

$$H^n(G, \mathcal{A}) = Z^n(G, \mathcal{A})/B^n(G, \mathcal{A}), \quad n \geq 0.$$

Any morphism $\Psi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ in $\check{\text{U}}\text{b}_G$ induces group homomorphisms

$$\Psi_n: H^n(G, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow H^n(G, \mathcal{B})$$

according to [8], prop. 0.1, (use [7, III], Satz 5.1). These maps are bijective if Ψ is an equivalence of categories.

LEMMA (2.1): *Let $\eta: \Psi \rightarrow \Psi'$ be a monoidal natural transformation between morphisms $\Psi, \Psi': \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ in $\check{\text{U}}\text{b}_G$. Assume $\mu_\sigma \eta_P^\sigma = \eta_{p\sigma} \mu_\sigma$ for all $\sigma \in G$ and $P \in \mathcal{A}$. Then the maps $H^n(G, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow H^n(G, \mathcal{B})$ induced by Ψ and Ψ' are equal.*

Proof: This follows from the fact that η induces a natural transformation between the functors $\mathcal{Z}^n(G, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^n(G, \mathcal{B})$ induced by Ψ and Ψ' , (see [8], proof of prop. 0.1).

Now let $H^n(G, \mathcal{C})$ for $\mathcal{C} \in \check{\text{U}}\text{b}_G^g$ denote the cohomology groups of [2], prop. 7.5. According to [2], p. 262, $H^0(G, \mathcal{C})$ is the group of G -invariant automorphisms $I \rightarrow I$ of grade 1, and therefore $H^0(G, \mathcal{C})$ coincides with $H^0(G, \text{Ker } \mathcal{C})$, [8], p. 473. We want to show for all n :

THEOREM (2.2): *The functors $H^n(G, \mathcal{C})$ of strictly coherent group-like G -monoidal categories are isomorphic to $H^n(G, \text{Ker } \mathcal{C})$.*

Proof: First observe that $H^n(G, \text{Ker } \mathcal{C})$ is a homotopy functor as follows easily from lemma 2.1. We may therefore assume by [2], prop. 7.5, that \mathcal{C}

is a G -graded group category in the sense of [2], p. 255, 257. This means that the objects of $\mathcal{A} = \text{Ker } \mathcal{C}$ form an abelian group. As before, the natural transformations t_σ , ξ , and ζ are defined by the fixed morphisms

$$f = f_{P,\sigma}: P \rightarrow P^\sigma \text{ of grade } \sigma, \quad P \in \mathcal{C},$$

while we now can choose $P^0 = P^{-1}$ and $i_P = id_I$. The groups $H^n(G, \mathcal{C})$ are the cohomology groups of a cochain complex

$$C^0(G, \mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\delta} C^1(G, \mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\delta} \dots C^n(G, \mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\delta} C^{n+1}(G, \mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\delta} \dots$$

where $C^0(G, \mathcal{C})$ is the group of \mathcal{C} -morphisms with domain I and grade 1 and $C^{n+1}(G, \mathcal{C})$ for $n \geq 0$ is the group of maps $c: G^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ such that

- (i) the grade of $c(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_n)$ is σ_0 .
- (ii) the domain of $c(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_n)$ does not depend on σ_0 .

See [2], p. 259, for the definition of δ . We first consider $H^1(G, \mathcal{C})$. If $c \in C^1(G, \mathcal{C})$ is of the form

$$c(\sigma): P \rightarrow P \text{ (of grade } \sigma), \quad \sigma \in G,$$

then c is a cocycle if and only if $c(\sigma\tau) = c(\sigma)c(\tau)$ for all $\sigma, \tau \in G$, [2], proof of prop. 7.6. On the other hand, consider \mathcal{A} -morphisms $\gamma_\sigma: P^\sigma \rightarrow P$, $\sigma \in G$, and define $g(\sigma): P^\sigma P^{-1} \rightarrow I$ by $g(\sigma) = \gamma_\sigma \otimes 1$. Then (P, g) belongs to $\mathcal{L}^1(G, \mathcal{A})$ if and only if

$$\gamma_{\sigma\tau} \xi_P = \gamma_\sigma \gamma_\tau^g, \quad \sigma, \tau \in G,$$

as is easily seen. Moreover, an \mathcal{A} -morphism $\alpha: I \rightarrow P$ defines a morphism $\alpha: (I, can) \rightarrow (P, g)$ if and only if the composite

$$I^\sigma I^{-1} \xrightarrow{\alpha^\sigma \otimes \alpha^0} P^\sigma P^{-1} \xrightarrow{\gamma_\sigma \otimes 1} P P^{-1} = I$$

is equal to $\lambda_\sigma \otimes 1$. Now let $\gamma_\sigma = c(\sigma) f_{P,\sigma}^{-1}$. Then c is a cocycle if and only if (P, g) is a cocycle, and there exists $\alpha: (I, can) \rightarrow (P, g)$ if and only if $\delta(\alpha) = c$. Thus we conclude $H^1(G, \mathcal{C}) \cong Z^1(G, \mathcal{A}) = H^1(G, \mathcal{A})$.

Now we want to construct homomorphisms $H^n(G, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow H^n(G, \mathcal{A})$ for $n \geq 2$. Any $c \in Z^n(G, \mathcal{C})$ has the form

$$c(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}): \hat{P}(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}) \rightarrow Q(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}) \text{ (of grade } \sigma_0)$$

with $\hat{P}(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}) = \hat{P}(1, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-1})$; $\delta(c) = 1$ means each $\delta(c)(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_n)$ equals $\varepsilon(\sigma_0): I \rightarrow I$ (where $\varepsilon: G \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is the given section of the grade functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow G$). In particular we have

$$I = Q(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n) \hat{P}(\sigma_0, \sigma_1 \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n)^{-1} \dots \hat{P}(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{n-1})^{\pm 1}, \quad (7)$$

because this is the domain of $\delta(c)(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_n)$. We let

$$P(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}) = \hat{P}(1, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-1})$$

and define $\hat{g}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n): P(\sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n)^{\sigma_1} \rightarrow Q(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$ to be the composite

$$\hat{P}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)^{\sigma_1} \xrightarrow{f^{-1}} \hat{P}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n) \xrightarrow{c(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)} Q(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n).$$

Then $g(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n) = \hat{g}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n) \otimes 1$ transforms $\delta(P)(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$ into (7). This means we have a morphism $g: \delta(P) \rightarrow I$ in $\mathcal{C}^n(G, \mathcal{A})$, and a routine verification shows (P, g) is an object of $\mathcal{Z}^n(G, \mathcal{A})$ as a consequence of $\delta(c)(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_n) = \varepsilon(\sigma_0)$. Now suppose $c = \delta(b)$ for a $b \in C^{n-1}(G, \mathcal{C})$,

$$b(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{n-2}): \hat{M}(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{n-2}) \rightarrow N(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{n-2}).$$

Then the domain of $\delta(b)(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{n-1})$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{P}(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}) &= N(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}) \hat{M}(\sigma_0, \sigma_1 \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_{n-1})^{-1} \\ &\dots \hat{M}(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{n-2})^{\pm 1}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Let $M(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-2}) = \hat{M}(1, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-2})$ and define

$$\hat{\beta}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}): M(\sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_{n-1})^{\sigma_1} \rightarrow N(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-1})$$

to be $b(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}) f_{\sigma_1}^{-1}$. Then $\beta(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}) = \hat{\beta}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}) \otimes 1$ transforms $\delta(M)(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-1})$ into (8). Hence we have a morphism $\beta: \delta(M) \rightarrow P$ in $\mathcal{C}^{n-1}(G, \mathcal{A})$. But this is actually a morphism $\beta: (\delta(M), can) \rightarrow (P, g)$ in $\mathcal{Z}^n(G, \mathcal{A})$ as follows from $c = \delta(b)$. Thus (P, g) is trivial in $H^n(G, \mathcal{A})$.

We now obtain well-defined homomorphisms $H^n(G, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow H^n(G, \mathcal{A})$. But these homomorphisms transform the exact cohomology sequence of [2], cor. 7.2, into that of [8], p. 473, (note that $U(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{A}^*$ and $k_G(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{A}^+$).

Applying then the five-lemma we conclude $H^n(G, \mathcal{C}) \simeq H^n(G, \mathcal{A})$, and the theorem is proved.

3. Let $\mathbb{U}b_G \subset \check{\mathbb{U}}b_G$ consist of the categories with strict G -module structure (i.e. the natural transformations a, c, e, \dots are identities) and of the strict morphisms Ψ (i.e., $t_\Psi = id$ and $\mu_\sigma = id$). Each $\mathcal{A} \in \check{\mathbb{U}}b_G$ is equivalent to an object of $\mathbb{U}b_G$ as follows easily from the coherence theorem for \mathcal{A} along with the construction of factoring out atomic subcategories [7, II]. It is not difficult to see that $\mathbb{U}b_G$ is an abelian category, cf. [3], prop. 1.6.1, example f). Furthermore, we have as in [6], theorem 1.1, an exact equivalence

$$\mathbf{Ab}_G^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{U}b_G, \quad \varphi \mapsto \mathcal{A}_\varphi,$$

where \mathbf{Ab}_G^2 denotes the abelian category of all G -module homomorphisms $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$; the category \mathcal{A}_φ is defined by

$$\text{Ob}(\mathcal{A}_\varphi) = B, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{A}_\varphi(u, v) = \{a \in A \mid u = \varphi(a) + v\}, \quad u, v \in B.$$

REMARK (3.1): When we define a G -graded group category $\mathcal{C}(\varphi)$ as in [2], prop. 8.1, then it is evident that $\mathcal{A}_\varphi \cong \text{Ker}(\mathcal{C}(\varphi))$. Thus it follows from theorem 1.2 that every $\mathcal{C} \in \check{\mathbb{U}}b_G^{\text{gr}}$ is equivalent to a suitable $\mathcal{C}(\varphi)$.

Let \mathbf{Ab} denote the category of abelian groups.

THEOREM (3.2): *The functor $\mathbb{U}b_G \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}, \mathcal{A} \mapsto H^n(G, \mathcal{A})$, is the n th derived functor of the left exact functor $\mathcal{A} \mapsto H^0(G, \mathcal{A}) = \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{A}}(I)^G$.*

Proof: By [6], theorem 1.2, we may identify $H^n(G, \mathcal{A}_\varphi)$ with the cohomology group $H^n(\varphi)$ of the mapping cone of φ . Therefore it is enough to show that

$$\mathbf{Ab}_G^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}, \quad \varphi \mapsto H^n(\varphi), \quad n \geq 0, \tag{9}$$

is the n -th derived functor of the left exact functor $\varphi \mapsto H^0(\varphi) = \text{Ker}(\varphi)^G$. To show that (9) is a cohomological functor can be done by a direct, but tedious computation. Alternatively one can argue as follows. Let $\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{Ab})$ be the category of positive cochain complexes (A_n, d) in \mathbf{Ab} (thus $A_n = 0$ if $n < 0$). The mapping cone can be regarded as a functor

$$\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{Ab})^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{Ab}), \quad \varphi \mapsto M(\varphi),$$

which sends a morphism of complexes $\varphi = (\varphi_n: A_n \rightarrow B_n)$ to the complex $M(\varphi)$ defined by

$$M(\varphi)_n = A_n \oplus B_{n-1}, \quad d(a_n, b_{n-1}) = (-d(a_n), \varphi_n(a_n) + d(b_{n-1})).$$

cf. [4], [1], § 2.6. This functor is clearly exact and therefore $\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{Ab})^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$, $\varphi \mapsto H^n(M(\varphi)) = H^n(\varphi)$, $n \geq 0$, is a cohomological functor (it is even universal). Furthermore, since the canonical functor $C: \mathbf{Ab}_G \rightarrow \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{Ab})$ is exact, so is the functor $C^2: \mathbf{Ab}_G^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{Ab})^2$. Thus, (9) is a cohomological functor.

Since we know by [5], lemma 5.3, that \mathbf{Ab}_G^2 has enough injectives, it remains to prove $H^n(\varphi) = 0$, $n \geq 1$, for the injective objects φ of \mathbf{Ab}_G^2 , [3], prop. 2.2.1. But if $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ is injective as an object of \mathbf{Ab}_G^2 , then A, B , and $\text{Ker}(\varphi)$ are injective in \mathbf{Ab}_G and φ is a retraction, [5], proof of lemma 5.3. Hence by looking at the exact sequences

$$\dots \rightarrow H^{n-1}(G, B) \rightarrow H^n(\varphi) \rightarrow H^n(G, A) \rightarrow \dots,$$

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(G, \text{Ker} \varphi) \rightarrow H^1(\varphi) \rightarrow H^0(G, \text{Coker} \varphi) \rightarrow \dots$$

[4], theorem 4, we conclude $H^n(\varphi) = 0$ for $n \geq 1$.

References

1. N. Bourbaki: *Algèbre*, chap. 10, Masson, Paris (1980).
2. A. Fröhlich and C.T.C. Wall: Graded monoidal categories, *Comp. Math.* 28 (1974), 229–285.
3. A. Grothendieck: Sur quelques points d'algèbre homologique, *Tôhoku Math. J.* 9 (1957) 119–221.
4. S. MacLane: Group extensions by primary abelian groups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 95 (1960) 1–16.
5. I. Pressman: Functors whose domain is a category of morphisms, *Acta Math.* 118 (1967) 223–249.
6. M. Takeuchi and K.-H. Ulbrich: Complexes of categories with abelian group structure, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 27 (1983) 61–73.
7. K.-H. Ulbrich: Kohärenz in Kategorien mit Gruppenstruktur II, *J. Algebra* 81 (1983) 279–294; III, *J. Algebra* 88 (1984) 292–316.
8. K.-H. Ulbrich: Group cohomology for Picard categories, *J. Algebra* 91 (1984) 464–498.