

# COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

JEFFREY LANG

## **The factoriality of Zariski rings**

*Compositio Mathematica*, tome 63, n° 3 (1987), p. 273-290

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM\\_1987\\_\\_63\\_3\\_273\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1987__63_3_273_0)

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1987, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (<http://www.compositio.nl/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

## The factoriality of Zariski rings

JEFFREY LANG

*Mathematics Department, University of San Francisco, San Francisco, CA 94117, USA*

*Present address: Mathematics Dept., University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS 66044, USA*

Received 10 November 1986; accepted 19 February 1987

### Introduction

Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p \neq 0$ ,  $g \in k[x, y]$  be such that  $g_x$  and  $g_y$  have no common factors in  $k[x, y]$ ,  $E \subset A_k^3$  be the surface defined by the equation  $z^p = g(x, y)$  and  $A = k[x^p, y^p, g]$ . In previous articles (see [1], [3] and [13])  $E$  was called a Zariski surface and attempts were made to find generic conditions on  $g$  that would force the coordinate ring of  $E$  to be factorial. These papers used the fact that the coordinate ring of  $E$  is isomorphic to  $A$  and some partial results were obtained.

In this article the divisor class group of these surfaces is investigated from a slightly different angle. Let  $F$  be a non-algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p \neq 0$ . Let  $\bar{F}$  be an algebraic closure of  $F$ . Given  $g$  in  $\bar{F}[x, y]$  let  $F_g$  be the field extension of  $F$  obtained by adjoining the coefficients of  $g$  to  $F$ . This paper investigates the relationship between the singular points of the surface  $z^p = g(x, y)$  in  $k^2$  and the divisor class group of the ring  $F_g[x^p, y^p, g]$ .

After some preliminary results in Section 1, Zariski rings are discussed in Section 2. In this section singularity conditions affecting the order of the divisor class group of a Zariski ring are presented.

Some general facts about Zariski rings appear in Section 3.

In Section 4, the main section of the article, the fact that for  $p > 3$ , Zariski rings are factorial for a generic choice of  $g$  is proved by showing that for a generic  $g$ , the class group of the surface  $z^p = g$  is trivial.

Section 5 closes this article with a theorem about logarithmic derivatives of the Jacobian derivation and some open problems.

### 0. Notation

- (0.1)  $GF(p^n)$  – the finite field with  $p^n$  elements.
- (0.2)  $F$  – a field of characteristic  $p \neq 0$ .
- (0.3)  $\bar{F}$  – an algebraic closure of  $F$ .

- (0.4) For  $g \in \bar{F}[x, y]$  we denote by  $F_g$  the field extension of  $F$  obtained by adjoining to  $F$  the coefficients of  $g$ .
- (0.5) For  $g \in \bar{F}[x, y]$  we denote by  $A_g$  the ring  $F_g[x^p, y^p, g]$ . We call these rings **Zariski rings**.
- (0.6) If  $A$  is a Krull ring we denote by  $Cl(A)$  the divisor class group of  $A$ .
- (0.7) Surface-irreducible, reduced, two dimensional quasiprojective variety over an algebraically closed field.
- (0.8) If  $E$  is a surface we denote by  $Cl(E)$  the divisor class group of the coordinate ring of  $E$ .
- (0.9)  $k$  – an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p \neq 0$ .
- (0.10)  $A_k^n$  – affine  $n$ -space over  $k$ .
- (0.11)  $k^n$  – the set of all  $n$ -tuples of elements of  $k$ .
- (0.12) For  $g \in k[x, y]$  we let  $S_g = \{(\alpha, \beta) \in k^2: g_x(\alpha, \beta) = g_y(\alpha, \beta) = 0\}$ .

## 1. Preliminaries

The following results, (1.1) to (1.4), can be found in P. Samuel's 1964 Tata notes [17]. For the definition of a Krull ring the reader is referred to either Samuel's notes or R. Fossum's book, "The Divisor Class Group of a Krull Domain" [5]. All of the rings considered in this paper are noetherian integrally closed domains and are therefore Krull rings.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $A \subset B$  be Krull rings. If each height one prime of  $B$  contracts to a prime of height less than or equal to one of  $A$  then there is a well defined group homomorphism  $\phi: Cl(A) \rightarrow Cl(B)$ . If  $B$  is integral over  $A$  or if  $B$  is  $A$ -flat then this condition is satisfied. (See [17] pp. 19–20 for details.)*

**REMARK 1.2.** Let  $B$  be a Krull ring of characteristic  $p \neq 0$ . Let  $\Delta$  be a derivation of the quotient field of  $B$  such that  $\Delta(B) \subset B$ . Let  $K = \ker \Delta$  and  $A = B \cap K$ . Then  $A$  is a Krull ring with  $B$  integral over  $A$ . Thus by (1.1) there is a well-defined map  $\phi: Cl(A) \rightarrow Cl(B)$ . Set  $\mathcal{L} = \{t^{-1}\Delta t: t \text{ belongs to the quotient field of } B \text{ and } t^{-1}\Delta t \in B\}$  and  $\mathcal{L}' = \{u^{-1}\Delta u: u \text{ is a unit in } B\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}'$  is a subgroup of  $\mathcal{L}$ .

**THEOREM 1.3.**

- (a) *There exists a canonical homomorphism  $\bar{\phi}: \ker \phi \rightarrow \mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}'$ .*
- (b) *If  $L$  is the quotient field of  $B$  and  $[L:K] = p$  and  $\Delta(B)$  is not contained in any height one prime of  $B$ , then  $\bar{\phi}$  is an isomorphism ([17] pp. 63–64).*

**THEOREM 1.4.** *If  $[L:K] = p$ , then*

- (a) *there exists an  $\alpha \in A$  such that  $\Delta^p = \alpha\Delta$  and*
- (b) *an element  $t \in K$  is equal to  $Dv/v$  for some  $v \in K$  if and only if  $\Delta^{p-1}t - \alpha t = -t^p$  ([17] pp. 63–64.).*

**REMARK 1.5.** These results, (1.6) and (1.8) are to be found in [11] pages 394–395. These theorems assume that  $F$  is a field of characteristic  $p \neq 0$ ,  $g(x, y) \in F[x, y]$  is such that  $g_x$  and  $g_y$  have no common factors in  $\bar{F}[x, y]$ .

**THEOREM 1.6.** (*Ganong’s Formula*) *Let  $D: F(x, y) \rightarrow F(x, y)$  be the  $F$  derivation defined by  $D = g_y(\partial/\partial x) - g_x(\partial/\partial y)$ . Then for each  $\alpha \in F(x, y)$ ,*

$$D^{p-1}\alpha - c\alpha = - \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} g^j \nabla(g^{p-j-1}\alpha)$$

where  $D^p = cD$  and  $\nabla = \partial^{2p-2}/\partial x^{p-1}\partial y^{p-1}$ .

**REMARK 1.7.** In [11] the writer proved this result for the case  $\deg(g_x) = \deg(g) - 1$ . In [16] Stöhr and Voloch proved this formula in general.

**THEOREM 1.8.** *Let  $D = g_y(\partial/\partial x) - g_x(\partial/\partial y)$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the additive group of logarithmic derivatives of  $D$  in  $F[x, y]$  (See (1.2).) and  $A = F[x^p, y^p, g]$ . Then*

- (i)  $D^{-1}(0) \cap F[x, y] = A$ ,
- (ii)  $Cl(A) \cong \mathcal{L}$ ,
- (iii)  $t \in \mathcal{L}$  implies that  $\deg t \leq \deg(g) - 2$ ,
- (iv) *The coordinate ring of the surface defined by  $z^p = g(x, y)$  is isomorphic to  $A \otimes \bar{F}$ .*

(See [11] pp. 393–394.)

## 2. Singularity conditions on Zariski rings

**REMARK 2.1.** A surface in affine 3-space defined by an equation of the form  $z^p = g(x, y)$  with only a finite number of isolated singularities is called a Zariski surface, where the ground field is algebraically closed of characteristic  $p \neq 0$ . The coordinate ring of such a surface is isomorphic to  $k[x^p, y^p, g]$  where  $k$  is the ground field ([11] p. 393). Hereafter, in this paper all rings of the form  $F[x^p, y^p, g]$  where  $F$  is a field, not necessarily algebraically closed, of characteristic  $p \neq 0$  will be referred to as Zariski rings. This section studies Zariski rings defined over non-algebraically closed fields.

An important tool is the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.2. Let  $D : k(x, y) \rightarrow k(x, y)$  be the  $k$ -derivation defined by  $D = g_y(\partial/\partial x) - g_x(\partial/\partial y)$  and  $c$  be such that  $D^p = cD$ . If  $(a, b) \in k^2$  is such that  $g_x(a, b) = g_y(a, b) = 0$ , then  $c(a, b) = (\sqrt{H(a, b)})^{p-1}$  where  $H(x, y) = g_{yy}^2 - g_{xx}g_{yy}$ .

*Proof.* For each  $\alpha \in k(x, y)$ ,

$$D^{p-1}\alpha - c\alpha = - \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} g^i \nabla(g^{p-i-1}\alpha) \tag{2.2.1}$$

by (1.6).

Set  $\alpha = 1$ , then  $c = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} g^i \nabla(g^{p-i-1})$ .

Let  $\bar{g} = g(x + a, y + b)$  and  $\bar{c} = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \bar{g}^i \nabla(\bar{g}^{p-i-1})$ . Then  $\bar{c}(0, 0) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} g(a, b)^i \nabla(g^{p-i-1})(a, b) = c(a, b)$ . By Taylor's formula,

$$\begin{aligned} g(x, y) &= g(a, b) + g_{xx}(a, b) \frac{(x - a)^2}{2} + g_{xy}(a, b) (x - a) (y - b) \\ &\quad + g_{yy}(a, b) \frac{(y - b)^2}{2} + (\text{higher degree terms}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g}(x, y) &= g(a, b) + g_{xx}(a, b) \frac{x^2}{2} + g_{xy}(a, b)xy \\ &\quad + g_{yy}(a, b) \frac{y^2}{2} + (\text{higher degree terms}). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\bar{g} = \bar{g} - g(a, b)$  and  $\bar{c} = - \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \bar{g}^i \nabla(\bar{g}^{p-i-1})$ . Since  $(\bar{g})_x = (g)_x$  and  $(\bar{g})_y = (g)_y$ , it follows that  $\bar{c}(x, y) = \bar{c}(x, y)$  and  $\bar{c}(0, 0) = c(a, b)$ . Since  $\bar{g}(0, 0) = 0$  it follows that  $\bar{c}(0, 0) = \nabla(\bar{g}^{p-1})(0, 0)$ . A simple calculation yields that the lowest degree term in  $\bar{g}^{p-1}$  is

$$\left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{(p-1)/2} \binom{p-1}{2i} \binom{2i}{i} g_{xy}^{p-2i-1} \left(\frac{g_{xx}}{2}\right)^i \left(\frac{g_{yy}}{2}\right)^i \right\} (a, b) \cdot x^{p-1} y^{p-1}.$$

Thus the lowest degree term of  $\nabla(\bar{g}^{p-1})$  is the constant term,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{(p-1)/2} (-1)^i \binom{(p-1)/2}{i} g_{xy}^{p-2i-1} (g_{xx}g_{yy})^i.$$

In the previous step a combinatorial identity was used (see [6] page 90, identity z.40). Thus the constant term in  $\nabla(\bar{g}^{p-1})$  is  $(H(a, b))^{(p-1)/2}$ . Therefore  $\nabla(\bar{g}^{p-1})(0, 0) = (\sqrt{H(a, b)})^{p-1}$ .

REMARK 2.3. Let  $F$  be a non-algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p \neq 0$  and  $\bar{F}$  an algebraic closure of  $F$ . For  $g \in \bar{F}[x, y]$ , let  $F_g$  be the field extension of  $F$  obtained by adjoining to  $F$  the coefficients of  $g$ . Throughout the remainder of this article  $g$  will always satisfy two conditions

- (1)  $g_x$  and  $g_y$  have no common factors in  $\bar{F}[x, y]$  and that  $g_x$  and  $g_y$  intersect in the maximum possible number of points in  $\bar{F}^2((n - 1)^2$  if  $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ ,  $n^2 - 3n + 3$  otherwise, where  $n = \deg(g)$ ), and
- (2)  $g_x, g_y$  and  $H = g_{xy}^2 - g_{xx}g_{yy}$  are never simultaneously zero at any point in  $\bar{F}^2$  (see [1] for the generic nature of these conditions). The effect of these conditions and others on the divisor class group of  $A_g = F_g[x^p, y^p, g]$  will be explored in the rest of this paper. The assumption will always be made that  $g$  has no monomials of the form  $x^{rp}y^{sp}$ , since  $F_g[x^p, y^p, g] = F_g[x^p, y^p, g + x^{rp}y^{sp}]$ .

THEOREM 2.4. *If the ideal  $I = (g_x, g_y)F_g[x, y] \cap F_g[x]$  in  $F_g[x]$  is prime and if no two points of  $S_g = \{(\alpha, \beta) \in \bar{F}^2 : g_x(\alpha, \beta) = g_y(\alpha, \beta) = 0\}$  have the same  $x$ -coordinate then for each  $(a, b) \in S_g$ , the field degree  $[F_g(a) : F_g]$  equals*

$$\begin{cases} (n - 1)^2; & \text{if } n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \\ n^2 - 3n + 3; & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{p}. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Consider the case  $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Let  $f(x)$  be the resultant with respect to  $x$  of  $g_x$  and  $g_y$ . Then  $f(x)$  is of degree  $(n - 1)^2$  and belongs to  $I$  ([15] page 186).  $I$  is a principal ideal generated by a polynomial of degree at least  $(n - 1)^2$ . Therefore  $I = (f(x))$ . If  $(a, b) \in S_g$  then  $f(a) = 0$  which implies that  $[F_g(a) : F_g] = (n - 1)^2$ . The  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  case is similar.

COROLLARY 2.5. *If  $m = (g_x, g_y)F_g[x, y]$  is a prime ideal in  $F_g[x, y]$  and if no two points of  $S_g$  have the same  $x$ -coordinate or the same  $y$ -coordinate, then  $F_g(a, b) = F_g(a) = F_g(b)$ , for all  $(a, b) \in S_g$ .*

*Proof.* By (2.4) both  $a$  and  $b$  are separable over  $F_g$  of degree equal to the number of elements in  $S_g$ . Then  $F_g(a, b)$  is separable over  $F_g$  of degree equal to the number of  $F_g$ -injections of  $F_g(a, b)$  into  $\bar{F}$  ([15], p. 65). Since each such injection must take an element of  $S_g$  into another element of  $S_g$  it follows that  $[F_g(a, b) : F_g(a)] = [F_g(a, b) : F_g(b)] = 1$ .

**COROLLARY 2.7.** *If no two points of  $S_g$  have the same  $x$  or  $y$  coordinate and both of the ideals  $(g_x, g_y)F_g[x, y] \cap F_g[x]$  and  $(g_x, g_y)F_g[x, y] \cap F_g[y]$  are prime then  $F_g(a) = F_g(b) = F_g(a, b)$ .*

**REMARK 2.8.** Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p \neq 0$  and  $D: k[x, y] \rightarrow k[x, y]$  be defined by  $D = g_y(\partial/\partial x) - g_x(\partial/\partial y)$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the group of logarithmic derivatives of  $D$  in  $k[x, y]$ . By (1.4) an element  $t \in k[x, y]$  is in  $\mathcal{L}$  if and only if  $D^{p-1}t - ct = -t^p$  where  $D^p = cD$ . It follows that if  $(a, b) \in S_g$ , then  $c(a, b)t(a, b) = t(a, b)^p$ , which by (2.2) implies that  $(t(a, b))^p = (\sqrt{H(a, b)})^{p-1}(t(a, b))$ . Since  $H(a, b) \neq 0$  by condition (2), the set of solutions in  $k$  to the polynomial equation  $z^p - (\sqrt{H(a, b)})^{p-1}z = 0$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ . Thus  $\theta: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  defined by  $\theta(t) = t(a, b)/\sqrt{H(a, b)}$  is a homomorphism of additive groups.

**THEOREM 2.9.** *Let  $g$  satisfy conditions (1) and (2). If  $0 \neq t \in \mathcal{L}$  then  $t(Q) \neq 0$  for at least*

$$\begin{cases} (n - 1)(n - 1 - \deg(t)), & \text{if } n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \\ (n - 1)(n - 2 - \deg(t)) + 1, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \end{cases}$$

*points  $Q \in S_g$ , where  $n = \deg(g)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $0 \neq t \in \mathcal{L}$ . By condition (1), each irreducible factor of  $t$  in  $k[x, y]$  is relatively prime to either  $g_x$  or  $g_y$ . Therefore  $t$  can be factored in  $k[x, y]$  as  $t = uv$  where  $u$  is relatively prime to  $g_x$  and  $v$  is relatively prime to  $g_y$  (If  $t$  is already prime to  $g_x$  then let  $u = t$  and  $v = 1$ .) Then  $u$  meets  $g_x$  in at most  $(n - 1) \deg(u)$  points and  $v$  meets  $g_y$  in at most  $(n - 1) \deg(v)$  points. Thus  $u$  (resp.  $v$ ) is 0 at most  $(n - 1) \deg(u)$  (resp.  $(n - 1) \deg(v)$ ) points of  $S_g$ . This implies that  $t$  is not 0 for at least

$$\begin{cases} (n - 1)^2 - ((n - 1) \deg(u) + (n - 1) \deg(v)), & \text{if } n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \\ n^2 - n + 3 - ((n - 1) \deg(u) + (n - 1) \deg(v)), & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \end{cases}$$

points of  $S$ . Since  $\deg(u) + \deg(v) = \deg(t)$  the desired result is obtained.

**COROLLARY 2.10** *Let  $g$  satisfy (1) and (2). If  $0 \neq t \in \mathcal{L}$  then  $t(Q) \neq 0$  for at least  $(n - 1)$  points of  $S_g$  if  $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  and for at least one point of  $S_g$  otherwise.*

*Proof.* By (1.8)  $\deg t \leq n - 2$ . The result is now an immediate consequence of (2.9).

**COROLLARY 2.11.** *Let  $g$  satisfy (1) and (2). Then the homomorphism  $\Phi: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{Q \in S_g} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \cdot \sqrt{H(Q)}$  defined by  $\Phi(t) = (t(Q))_{Q \in S_g}$  is an injection.*

**COROLLARY 2.12.** *If  $(g_x, g_y)F_g[x, y] \cap F_g[x]$  is prime in  $F_g[x]$  and if no two points of  $S_g$  have the same  $x$ -coordinate then the restriction of  $\theta: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  to  $\mathcal{L}_g = \mathcal{L} \cap F_g[x, y]$  is an injection.*

*Proof.* For  $t \in \mathcal{L}_g$ ,  $\theta(t) = t(a, b)$  where  $(a, b) \in S_g$ . Suppose that  $\theta(t) = 0$ . Let  $(a', b') \in S_g$ . As in the proof of (2.5) there exists an  $F_g$ -isomorphism from  $F_g(a, b)$  onto  $F_g(a', b')$  such that  $\sigma(a) = a'$  and  $\sigma(b) = b'$ . Since  $t(a, b) = 0$ , then  $\sigma(t(a, b)) = t(a', b') = 0$ . Therefore  $\Phi$  as defined in (2.11) maps  $t$  to 0 in  $\bigoplus_{Q \in S_g} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \cdot \sqrt{H(Q)}$ . By (2.11),  $t = 0$ .

**DEFINITION 2.13.** The conditions on  $g$  that no two points of  $S_g$  have the same  $x$ -coordinate and that  $(g_x, g_y)F_g[x, y] \cap F_g[x]$  is a prime ideal in  $F_g[x]$  will hereafter be referred to as conditions (3) and (4) respectively.

**THEOREM 2.14.** *Let  $g$  satisfy conditions (1)–(4). Let  $A_g = F_g[x^p, y^p, g]$ . If  $p = 2$ , then  $Cl(A_g) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . If  $p > 2$ , then  $Cl(A_g)$  is trivial or is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ .*

**PROOF** The  $p > 2$  case is an immediate consequence of (2.12). Assume then that  $p = 2$ . Then  $D(g_x)/g_x = (g_{xx}g_y - g_{xy}g_x)/g_x = g_{xy}$  is a nonzero element of  $\mathcal{L}_g$  by condition (2). By (2.12),  $Cl(A_g) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ .

**EXAMPLE 2.15.** If  $p > 2$ ,  $g = x^2 - y^2$  and  $F = GF(p)$ , then  $g$  satisfies conditions (1)–(4). Since  $z^p = x^2 - y^2$  is clearly not factorial,  $Cl(A_g) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ .

**EXAMPLE 2.16** Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p \neq 0$ . Let  $n \geq 4$  be a positive integer. Let  $\{T_{ij}: 0 \leq i + j \leq n\}$  be a set of indeterminates over  $k$ . Let  $F = k(T_{ij})$  and  $g = \sum_{0 \leq i+j \leq n} T_{ij}x^i y^j$ .

Then  $g$  satisfies conditions (1)–(3). To see this let  $R(x)$  be the resultant with respect to  $x$  of  $g_x$  and  $g_y$ . Then  $R(x) \neq 0$ . This can be demonstrated by showing that for some specialization of the  $T_{ij}$ ,  $R(x) \neq 0$ . If  $n$  is not divisible by  $p$ , then  $g = xy + (1/n)(x^n - y^n)$  gives  $R(x) = x^{(n-1)^2} + x$ .

Furthermore, if  $D$  is the discriminant of  $R(x)$ , then  $D$  is a nonzero polynomial expression in the  $T_{ij}$ . Again this can be shown by demonstrating

that  $D \neq 0$  for some specialization of the  $T_{ij}$ . For example, if  $n \neq 0, 2 \pmod p$ , and  $g = xy + (1/n)(x^n - y^n)$ , then  $D = n(n - 2)$ . Similarly, it is easy to show that if  $\bar{R}(x)$  is the resultant of  $g_x$  and  $H$  and if  $\bar{D}$  is the resultant of  $R(x)$  and  $\bar{R}(x)$ , then  $\bar{D}$  is a nonzero polynomial in the  $T_{ij}$ . Again if we specialize and let  $g = xy + (1/n)(x^n - y^n)$  then  $\bar{D}$  becomes  $n^2 - 2n + 2$ . One concludes that

- (a)  $R(x)$  is a nonzero polynomial in the  $T_{ij}$  and  $x$  of degree in  $x$  equal to  $(n - 1)^2$  if  $n \neq 0 \pmod p$ , of degree  $n^2 - 3n + 3$  otherwise. Therefore  $g_x$  and  $g_y$  are relatively prime,
- (b)  $D$  is a non-zero polynomial in the  $T_{ij}$  which implies that  $g_x$  and  $g_y$  intersect in the maximum possible number of points in  $\bar{F}^2$ .
- (c)  $\bar{D}$  is also a nonzero polynomial in the  $T_{ij}$  which implies condition (2). (b) above also implies condition (3). (See [18] pages 23 to 31 for further discussion on the resultant.)

REMARK 2.17. Note that for any specialization of the  $T_{ij}$  for which  $R(x)$ ,  $D$ , and  $\bar{D}$  become nonzero, then for that choice of  $g$  conditions (1), (2) and (3) will be met. Thus conditions (1), (2) and (3) are generic conditions on  $g$ .

(2.16 continued . . .) Condition (4) is also met. First of all,  $g_x = t_{10} + 2t_{20}x + t_{11}y + \dots$  and  $g_y = t_{01} + 2t_{02}y + t_{11}x + \dots$ . Then  $k[T_{ij}][[x, y]]/(g_x, g_y) = k[t_{00}, t_{20}, t_{11}, t_{02}, \dots][x, y]$ . Therefore  $g_x$  and  $g_y$  generate a prime ideal in  $k[t_{ij}][x, y]$ . By condition (1), the ideal generated by  $g_x$  and  $g_y$  in  $k[t_{ij}][x, y]$  does not meet the multiplicatively closed set generated by the nonzero elements of  $k[T_{ij}]$ . Thus  $g_x$  and  $g_y$  generate a maximal ideal in  $k(T_{ij})[x, y]$ , implying condition (4). Therefore  $Cl(A_g) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  if  $p = 2$  and  $Cl(A_g) \cong 0$  or  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  if  $p > 2$ . (For  $p \geq 5$  see (2.34)).

Question 2.18. Is condition (4) a generic condition on  $g$ ?

THEOREM 2.19. *Let  $g$  satisfy conditions (1)–(3). Let  $(f(x)) = (g_x, g_y)F_g[x, y] \cap F_g[x]$ . Suppose that  $f(x)$  factors into a product of  $r$ -irreducible factors in  $F_g[x]$ . Then the order of  $CL(A_g) \leq p^r$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f(x) = f_1(x) \dots f_r(x)$  be a factorization of  $f(x)$  in  $F_g[x]$  into prime factors. For each  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , let  $\alpha_i$  be a root of  $f_i(x)$  in  $\bar{F}$ . For each  $i$ , there is a  $\beta_i \in \bar{F}$  such that  $(\alpha_i, \beta_i) \in S_g$ . Let  $\bar{\theta}: \mathcal{L}_g \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=0}^r \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  be defined by  $\bar{\theta}(t) = (t(\alpha_i, \beta_i)/\sqrt{H(\alpha_i, \beta_i)})_{i=1}^r$ . Let  $t \in \ker \bar{\theta}$  and let  $(\alpha, \beta) \in S_g$ . Then  $f_i(\alpha) = 0$  for some  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . Therefore  $\alpha$  is conjugate to  $\alpha_i$  so that there exists an  $F_g$ -automorphism  $\sigma: \bar{F} \rightarrow \bar{F}$  such that  $\sigma(\alpha_i) = \alpha$ . Then  $\sigma(\alpha_i, \beta_i) = (\alpha, \beta)$ . Since  $t(\alpha_i, \beta_i) = 0$  this implies that  $t(\alpha, \beta) = \sigma t(\alpha_i, \beta_i) = 0$ . By (2.11)  $t$  is identically 0. Thus  $\bar{\theta}$  is an injection. By (1.8), the order of  $Cl(A_g) \leq p^r$ .

REMARK 2.20. The ideal generated by  $f(x)$  in (2.19) is identical to the ideal generated by the resultant,  $R(x)$ , of  $g_x$  and  $g_y$  with respect to  $x$ . This is because in this case,  $R(x)$  is of degree equal to the number of elements in  $S_g$ . Since  $R(x) \in (f(x))$  and  $f(\alpha) = 0$  for each  $(\alpha, \beta) \in S_g$ , then  $(R(x)) = (f(x))$ . (See [15] p. 185.).

EXAMPLE 2.21. Let  $F = GF(3)$  and  $g = -y + xy + x^4 + y^4$ . Then  $g$  satisfies conditions (1)–(3). Note that  $(g_x, g_y)F_g[x, y] \cap F_g[x] = (x^9 - x + 1)F_g[x]$ . It can be shown that the prime factorization of  $x^9 - x + 1$  over  $F_g = GF(3)$  is  $x^9 - x + 1 = (x^3 - x + 1)(x^6 + x^4 + x^3 + x^2 - x - 1)$ . Thus by (2.1) the class group of  $F_g[x^3, y^3, g]$  is  $0, \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $D(g_x)/g_x = 1$  is in  $\mathcal{L}_g$ ,  $Cl(A_g)$  is either  $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ .

This calculation can be verified as follows. (1.3)–(1.6) are used to calculate  $\mathcal{L}$ , the logarithmic derivatives of  $D$  in  $\bar{F}[x, y]$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}_g = \mathcal{L} \cap F_g[x, y]$ . Thus  $t \in \mathcal{L}$  if and only if  $t = \alpha_{00} + \alpha_{10}x + \alpha_{01}y + \alpha_{20}x^2 + \alpha_{02}y^2$  where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{00} + \alpha_{10} + \alpha_{20} &= \alpha_{00}^3, \\ -\alpha_{10} + \alpha_{20} &= \alpha_{10}^3, \\ -\alpha_{01} &= \alpha_{01}^3, \\ \alpha_{02} &= \alpha_{20}^3, \\ \alpha_{20} &= \alpha_{02}^3. \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

By eliminating variables we find that  $\alpha_{00}^{3^5} - \alpha_{00}^{3^4} + \alpha_{00}^{3^2} + \alpha_{00}^3 - \alpha_{00} = 0$  and that the rest of the  $\alpha_{ij}$  depend on  $\alpha_{00}$ . Therefore the order of  $\mathcal{L}$  is  $3^5$ . Also if  $\alpha_{00}^3 = \alpha_{00}$  then all other  $\alpha_{ij} = 0$ . Thus  $\mathcal{L}_g$  is of order 3 generated by  $t = 1$ .

2.23. For more details on how to explicitly calculate  $\mathcal{L}$  the reader is referred to [9], [10], [11] and [12].

This next result refines the upper bound in (2.19) slightly.

COROLLARY 2.24. *Let  $g$  satisfy conditions (1)–(3). Let  $(f(x)) = (g_x, g_y)F_g[x, y] \cap F_g[x]$ . Let  $f(x) = f_1(x)f_2(x) \dots f_r(x)$  be a prime factorization of  $f(x)$  in  $F_g[x]$  such that for some  $s = 1, \dots, r$ ,  $\deg f_1 + \deg f_2 + \dots + \deg f_s > (n - 1)(n - 2)$  where  $n = \deg(g)$ . Then the order of  $Cl(Ag) \leq p^s$ .*

*Proof.* Uses the same type of argument used in (2.19) and the result of (2.9).

EXAMPLE 2.25. Let  $F = GF(3)$ ,  $g = xy + x^4 + y^4$ . Then  $g_x = y + x^3$ ,  $g_y = x + y^3$  and  $H = 1$ . Then  $g$  satisfies (1)–(3).  $f(x) = x^9 - x = (x^2 - x - 1)(x^2 + x - 1)(x^2 + 1)(x + 1)(x - 1)x$ . By (2.24) the order of  $Cl(A_g) \leq 3^4$ .

This can be verified by direct computation of  $\mathcal{L}_g$ . One finds that  $\mathcal{L}$  is of order  $3^5$  generated by  $1, x - y, ax - a^3y, x^2 + y^2, ax^2 + a^3y^2$  where  $a \in GF(9) - GF(3)$ . Thus, in fact,  $\mathcal{L}_g$  and therefore  $Cl(A_g)$  is of order  $3^3$ .

REMARK 2.26. If  $g$  satisfies conditions (1)–(4), then  $Cl(A_g) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  if  $p = 2$  and  $Cl(A_g) = 0$  or  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  if  $p > 2$ . Example (2.15) shows that these conditions are not enough to insure that  $Cl(A_g) = 0$  if  $p > 2$ . The next theorem adds one more condition, that appears to be not a generic one, that guarantees that  $Cl(A_g) = 0$ .

THEOREM 2.27. *Let  $g$  satisfy conditions (1) and (2). If for each  $(\alpha, \beta) \in S_g$ ,  $\sqrt{H(\alpha, \beta)} \notin F_g(\alpha, \beta)$ . Then  $Cl(A_g) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* If  $Cl(A_g) \neq 0$  then by (2.11) there exists  $(\alpha, \beta) \in S_g, t \in \mathcal{L}_g$  such that  $t(\alpha, \beta) = n\sqrt{H(\alpha, \beta)}$  for some  $n \neq 0$  in  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $t \in F_g[x, y]$ , this is a contradiction.

COROLLARY 2.28. *Let  $g$  satisfy conditions (1)–(4). Suppose also that no two elements of  $S_g$  have the same  $y$ -coordinate. If for some  $(\alpha, \beta) \in S_g, \sqrt{H(\alpha, \beta)} \notin F_g(\alpha)$  then  $Cl(A_g) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(a, b) \in S_g$ . Then there is an  $F_g$ -automorphism of  $\bar{F}$  that maps  $(\alpha, b)$  to  $(\alpha, \beta)$ . If  $\sqrt{H(a, b)} \in F_g(a) = F_g(a, b)$  by (2.5), then  $\sigma\sqrt{H(a, b)} \in F_g(\alpha)$ . But  $(\sigma\sqrt{H(a, b)})^2 = \sigma H(a, b) = H(\alpha, \beta)$ . This implies that  $\sigma\sqrt{H(a, b)} = \pm\sqrt{H(\alpha, \beta)} \in F_g(\alpha)$ . A contradiction.

REMARK 2.29. There are two reasons why the hypothesis of (2.27) appears to be not a generic one. The first is that in calculations I found that this condition appears to hold about half the time. The second, and this might explain the first, is that for any finite field,  $GF(p^m)$ ,  $(p^m + 1)/2$  elements of it have a square root in  $GF(p^m)$ .

EXAMPLE 2.30. Let  $p = 3$  and  $g = x^2 + y^2$ . Then  $g_x = 2x, g_y = 2y$  and  $H = 2$ . The conditions of (2.27) are easily seen to hold. Therefore  $Cl(A_g) = 0$ . This is verified by the fact that  $\mathcal{L}$  is of order three generated by  $\sqrt{2} \notin F_g = GF(3)$ .

REMARK 2.31. The next two results were proved by Blass [3]. Although in the introduction to his article Blass assumes that the degree of  $g$  is divisible by  $p$ , the proofs of these results are independent of this assumption.

LEMMA 2.32. *Let  $g$  be as in (2.16) and  $p \geq 5$ . Then the Galois group of  $\overline{k(T_{ij})}/k(T_{ij})$  acts as the full symmetric group on  $S_g$  (see [3] page 10).*

LEMMA 2.33. *Let  $g$  be as in (2.16) and  $p \leq 5$ . Let  $Q_1 \neq Q_2 \in S_g$ . Then there exists an automorphism  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{k(T_{ij})}/k(T_{ij}))$  such that  $\sigma(\sqrt{H(Q_1)}) = -\sqrt{H(Q_1)}$ ,  $\sigma(\sqrt{H(Q_2)}) = -\sqrt{H(Q_2)}$ ,  $\sigma\sqrt{H(Q)} = \sqrt{H(Q)}$  for all  $Q \in S_g$  with  $Q \neq Q_1, Q_2$  and such that  $\sigma$  act as the identity of  $S_g$  (see [3] page 10).*

EXAMPLE 2.34. Let  $g = \sum T_{ij}x^i y^j$  be as in (2.16). Then the ring  $A_g = k(T_{ij})[x^p, y^p, g]$  is factorial where  $p \geq 5$ . This result follows immediately from (2.27) and (2.33).

### 3. Properties of $Cl(A_g)$

REMARK 3.1. Before moving on to the main section of this article, some general facts about  $Cl(A_g)$  should be mentioned. First of all, we have that if  $A = \overline{F}[x^p, y^p, g]$ , then  $Cl(A_g)$  injects into  $Cl(A)$ . The simplest way to see this, is to observe that  $Cl(A) \cong \mathcal{L}$ ,  $Cl(A_g) \cong \mathcal{L}_g$  and that  $\mathcal{L}_g \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}$ . Then any general statements that can be made about  $Cl(A)$  concerning order, type, etc., can also be made about  $Cl(A_g)$ . In [11] the following results were proved for  $Cl(A)$  which therefore also apply for  $Cl(A_g)$ .

THEOREM 3.2. *Let  $g$  satisfy conditions (1) and (2). Then  $Cl(F_g)$  is a  $p$ -group of type  $(p, \dots, p)$  of order  $p^m$ , where  $m \leq \text{deg}(g)(\text{deg}(g) - 1)/2$  (see [11] page 397).*

THEOREM 3.3. *Let  $g$  satisfy conditions (1) and (2). For each positive integer  $n$ , let  $A_g^{(n)} = F_g[x^{p^n}, y^{p^n}, g]$ . Then,*

- (a) *for each  $n$ ,  $Cl(A_g^{(n)})$  injects into  $Cl(A_g^{(n+1)})$ ,*
- (b) *for each  $n$ ,  $Cl(A_g^{(n)})$  is a  $p$ -group of type  $(p^{i_1}, \dots, p^{i_r})$  where each  $i_j \leq n$ ,*
- (c) *the order of  $Cl(A_g^{(n)}) = p^f$ , where  $f \leq n(\text{deg}(g))(\text{deg}(g) - 1)/2$  ([11] page 406).*

### 4. The main theorem

This section begins by presenting a new algorithm (see [12] page 247) for computing the divisor class group of a Zariski ring  $A = k[x^p, y^p, g]$  defined over an algebraically closed field  $k$  of characteristic  $p \neq 0$ .

Then Theorem (4.14) proves that the ring  $\overline{k(T_{ij})}[x^p, y^p, g]$ , where  $g = \sum T_{ij}x^i y^j$  is as in example (2.16), is factorial. P. Blass proved this result for the case  $\deg(g) \equiv 0 \pmod p$  in [3].

The algorithm and Theorem (4.14) are then combined to prove that for a generic  $g$ , the ring  $A$  is factorial.

4.1. Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p \neq 0$ . Let  $g \in k[x, y]$  satisfy condition (1). Then by (1.8),  $Cl(k[x^p, y^p, g])$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{L}$ , the additive group of logarithmic derivatives of  $D = g_y(\partial/\partial x) - g_x(\partial/\partial y)$  in  $k[x, y]$ . If  $t \in k[x, y]$  is in  $\mathcal{L}$  then by (1.8),  $\deg(t) \leq n - 2$  where  $n = \deg(g)$ . Furthermore,  $t$  is in  $\mathcal{L}$  if and only if  $D^{p-1}t - ct = -t^p$  where  $D^p = cD$ . By (1.6) it follows that  $t$  is in  $\mathcal{L}$  if and only if

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} (a) \quad & \nabla(G^r t) = 0 \text{ for } r = 0, 1, \dots, p - 2, \text{ and} \\ (b) \quad & \nabla(G^{p-1} t) = t^p, \text{ where } \nabla = \partial^{2p-2}/\partial x^{p-1} \partial y^{p-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the elements of  $\mathcal{L}$  can be determined in the following way.

Let  $t = \sum_{0 \leq i+j \leq n-2} \alpha_{ij} x^i y^j$  be a polynomial in  $x$  and  $y$  with undetermined coefficients. Substitute  $t$  into (4.2a) and (4.2b) and compare coefficients.

When  $t$  is substituted into (4.2a) one obtains linear expressions in the  $\alpha_{ij}$  with coefficients in  $k$ , say  $l_s = 0, 0 \leq s \leq m$  with  $m$  a nonnegative integer. When  $t$  is substituted into (4.2b) one obtains  $p$ -linear equations of the form  $l_{ij}(\alpha) = \alpha_{ij}^p, 0 \leq i + j \leq n - 2$ , where  $l_{ij}(\alpha)$  is a linear expression in the  $\alpha_{ij}$  with coefficients in  $k$ .

Thus it is readily seen that  $\mathcal{L}$  is isomorphic to the additive group of solutions to the  $p$ -linear system of equations

$$l_s = 0, 0 \leq s \leq n \quad \text{and} \quad l_{ij}(\alpha) = \alpha_{ij}^p, 0 \leq i + j \leq n - 2. \tag{4.3}$$

In [12] an algorithm for computing the number of solutions to a system such as (4.3) was described.

What follows is a description of another algorithm which better suits the purposes of this article.

Let  $N = n(n - 1)/2$ . let  $C$  be the coefficient matrix of the linear expressions  $l_{ij}, 0 \leq i + j \leq n - 2$ . Then  $C$  is an  $N$  by  $N$  square matrix.

Assume first of all that  $\det C \neq 0$ . Then each linear expression  $l_s$  with  $0 \leq s \leq m$  can be expressed as a linear combination of the  $l_{ij}$  with coefficients in  $k$ . Thus beginning with  $l_1$  there exists  $a_{ij}, 0 \leq i + j \leq N$  such that  $\sum a_{ij} l_{ij} = l_1$ . Since  $l_1(\alpha) = 0$ , this leads to  $\sum a_{ij} \alpha_{ij}^p = 0$ , which results in the linear equation  $l'_1 : \sum a_{ij}^{(1/p)} \alpha_{ij} = 0$ . Thus for each  $s, 0 \leq s \leq m$ , another linear equation  $l'_s, 0 \leq s \leq m$ , is produced. From these  $2m$  linear equations, choose a basis  $l''_1, l''_2, \dots, l''_u$  where  $0 \leq u \leq 2m$ . Now repeat the first step of generating linear equations by writing each  $l''_s, 0 \leq s \leq u$ , as a linear

combination of the  $l_{ij}$ . From these  $2u$  linear equations, choose a basis and continue this process. One of two possibilities will take place. One, is that at some point  $N$  independent linear equations will be produced in  $N$  unknowns. If this is the case then each  $\alpha_{ij} = 0$  which implies that  $\mathcal{L} = 0$ .

The alternative to this situation is that at some point  $R$  linearly independent equations will be produced and no more than that, with  $R < N$ . Any new equations produced will be a linear combination of these  $R$  independent equations. If this is the case then the number of solutions to the system (4.3) is  $p^{N-R}$ . To see this, choose  $N - R$   $p$ -linear expressions from the equations  $l_{ij} = \alpha_{ij}^p$  so that the linear part of these equations together with the  $R$  linear equations form a  $k$ -basis for the space of all linear expressions in the  $\alpha_{ij}$  with coefficients in  $k$ . This can be done since the  $l_{ij}$  are a basis for this space. It then follows that the system of equations consisting of these  $R$  linear and  $N - R$   $p$ -linear equations is equivalent to the original system (4.3). For if  $l_{cd} = \alpha_{cd}^p$  is one of the  $p$ -linear equations in (4.3) then  $l_{cd}$  is a linear combination of the linear expressions in the  $N - R$   $p$ -linear equations and the  $R$  constructed linear equations. It then follows that  $\alpha_{cd}^p$  is a linear combination of the  $\alpha_{ij}^p$  that appear in the  $N - R$   $p$ -linear equations. This of course leads to another linear equation after taking  $p$ -th roots which must by assumption be dependent on the  $P$  linear expressions. It then follows from Bezout's theorem that there are  $p^{N-R}$  solutions (see 4.4) below).

If it turns out that  $\det C = 0$ , where  $C$  is the coefficient matrix of the linear expressions  $l_{ij}$  in (4.3), then the rank of  $C = N - M$  for some  $M > 0$ . Therefore from the equations  $l_{ij} = \alpha_{ij}^p$ ,  $0 \leq i + j \leq n - 2$ , one can immediately generate  $M$  linear equations. These  $M$  linear equations are then combined with the  $m$  linear equations  $l_s = 0$ ,  $0 \leq s \leq m$ , and a basis for the linear equations is chosen. At this point there are  $N - M$   $p$ -linear equations whose linear parts are linearly independent and some linearly independent linear equations. If these linear expressions (from the  $N - M$   $p$ -linear equations and the linear equations) are dependent then some non-trivial linear combinations of these expressions are 0. As above, these combinations will produce nontrivial homogeneous linear equations. A basis for the linear equations is then chosen and combined with the  $p$ -linear equations to form a system that is equivalent to the original system (4.3). This process is repeated until one of two possibilities occurs. Either  $N$  independent linear equations will be produced in which case  $\mathcal{L} = 0$  or  $R$  linearly independent linear equations will be produced where  $R < N$  and where the linear expressions from the  $p$ -linear equations and the  $R$  linear equations cannot be used to produce any new linear equations that are independent from the existing linear homogeneous equations. If this is the

case then  $\mathcal{L}$  is of order  $p^{N-R}$ . To see this consider the  $k$ -vector space spanned by the linear expressions in these  $p$ -linear equations and in the  $R$  linearly independent homogeneous linear equations. Then a basis for this space can be constructed that includes the  $R$  linearly independent linear equations. Then arguing as above one sees that the system of equations consisting of the  $R$  linear equations and those  $p$ -linear equations used to construct the basis is equivalent to the system (4.3). This equivalent system must consist of a total of  $N$  equations otherwise there would be more unknowns than equations and hence an infinite number of solutions, which would imply that  $\mathcal{L}$  is infinite. This contradicts (3.1). Therefore an equivalent system of  $N - R$   $p$ -linear and  $R$  linear equations in  $N$  unknowns has been constructed with these properties that are easy to verify:

- (4.4) (a) There are no intersections at infinity, and
- (b) The multiplicity of each point of intersection is one.

Then by Bezout's theorem the total number of intersection points is  $p^{N-R}$ .

This then is the algorithm for determining the order of  $\mathcal{L}$ .

REMARK 4.5. Although this algorithm is much more clumsy than the algorithm in [12] for computing the divisor class group of  $A = k[x^p, y^p, g]$ , it proves very useful in determining  $Cl(A)$  for a generic  $g$ .

EXAMPLE 4.6. Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 3 and  $g = x + y + x^5 + y^5$ . Applying this algorithm one finds that  $Cl(A)$  is isomorphic to the additive group of solutions to the system

$$\begin{aligned}
 -\alpha_{20} + \alpha_{11} - \alpha_{02} &= -\alpha_{00}^3, & (4.7) \\
 \alpha_{01} &= -\alpha_{10}^3 \\
 \alpha_{10} &= -\alpha_{01}^3 \\
 \alpha_{00} &= -\alpha_{11}^3 \\
 -\alpha_{12} &= -\alpha_{30}^3 \\
 \alpha_{30} &= -\alpha_{21}^3 \\
 \alpha_{03} &= -\alpha_{12}^3 \\
 -\alpha_{21} &= -\alpha_{03}^3
 \end{aligned}$$

$$l_1 : \alpha_{12} + \alpha_{21} = 0$$

$$l_2 : \alpha_{02} = 0$$

$$l_3 : \alpha_{20} = 0.$$

This system is easily seen to be equivalent to the system

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{11} &= \alpha_{00}^3, & \alpha_{12} &= \alpha_{30}^3 \\ \alpha_{01} &= -\alpha_{10}^3, & \alpha_{30} &= -\alpha_{21}^3 \\ \alpha_{10} &= -\alpha_{01}^3, & \alpha_{21} &= -\alpha_{03}^3 \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

$$l_1 : \alpha_{12} + \alpha_{21} = 0$$

In the first step of the algorithm (with  $\det C \neq 0$ ) one obtains the linear equations

$$l_1 : \alpha_{12} + \alpha_{21} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad l_2 : \alpha_{30} + \alpha_{03} = 0 \tag{4.9}$$

In the next step no new independent equations are produced. Thus the order of  $Cl(A)$  is  $p^{8-2} = 3^6$ .

The most important application of this algorithm is the next result.

**THEOREM 4.10.** *Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p \neq 0$ ,  $n \geq 4$  be a positive integer,  $\{T_{ij} : 0 \leq i + j \leq n\}$  be a set of indeterminates over  $k$ ,  $F = k(T_{ij})$  and  $g = \sum_{0 \leq i+j \leq n} T_{ij} x^i y^j$ . If  $Cl(\overline{k(T_{ij})}[x^p, y^p, h]) \cong 0$  then  $Cl(k[x^p, y^p, \tilde{g}]) \cong 0$  for a generic choice of coefficients  $a_{ij} \in k$  of  $\tilde{g} = \sum_{0 \leq i+j \leq n} a_{ij} x^i y^j$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $Cl(\overline{k(T_{ij})}[x^p, y^p, g])$  is 0. When the algorithm in (4.1) is applied to  $g$ , we arrive at the system of equations (4.3) consisting of  $p$ -linear and linear equations with coefficients in the polynomial ring  $GF(p)[T_{ij}]$ . In the next step of the algorithm additional linear equations are generated, this time with coefficients in  $[GF(p)(T_{ij})]^{(1/p)}$  where for a field  $L$  of characteristic  $p \neq 0$ ,  $L^{(1/p)}$  is the field of all elements  $\alpha \in L$  such that  $\alpha^{p^n} \in L$ . In the  $m$ -th step, more linear homogeneous equations are generated with coefficients in the field  $[GF(p)(T_{ij})]^{(1/p^m)}$ . The class group of  $\overline{k(T_{ij})}[x^p, y^p, g]$  is trivial if and only if eventually  $N$  linearly independent homogeneous linear equations in  $N$  unknowns are generated by this algorithm,  $N = (n - 1)n/2$ . That is, if and only if  $N$  homogeneous linear equations in  $N$  unknowns are generated with coefficient matrix  $B$  such that  $\det(B) \neq 0$ . Note that  $\det(B) \in [GF(p)$

$(T_{ij})^{(1/p^s)}$  for some positive integer  $s$ . Therefore  $(\det(B))^{p^s} \in GF(p)(T_{ij})$  and  $\det B \neq 0$  if and only if  $(\det(B))^{p^s} \neq 0$ .

Thus if the class group of  $\overline{k(T_{ij})}[x^p, y^p, g]$  is 0 and  $a_{ij} \in k$  is any specialization of  $g$  such that  $(\det(B))^{p^s}$  is defined and nonzero then the same sequence of steps that led to the construction of  $N$  linearly independent homogeneous linear equations in  $N$  unknowns will also do the same for  $\tilde{g} = \sum a_{ij}x^i y^j$ , which proves the theorem.

**REMARK 4.11.** Another proof of (4.10) was given by Blass and Lang in [4], but an error was discovered by the authors in that proof (see [4], pages 36–39).

**REMARK 4.12.** Although the next result may have application only to the  $p = 2$  or 3 case by virtue of (4.14), the proof of it easily follows the same line of argument used in (4.10).

**THEOREM 4.13.** *Let  $k, g$  and  $\tilde{g}$  be as in (4.10). If the order of  $Cl(k(T_{ij})[x^p, y^p, g])$  is  $p^r$  for some  $r$ , then the order of  $Cl(k[x^p, y^p, \tilde{g}])$  is  $p^r$  for a generic  $\tilde{g} \in k[x, y]$ .*

**THE MAIN THEOREM 4.14.** *Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p \geq 5, n \geq 4$  a positive integer,  $\{T_{ij}: 0 \leq i + j \leq n\}$  be a set of indeterminates over  $k, F = k(T_{ij}), g = \sum T_{ij}x^i y^j$  and  $A = \overline{F}[x^p, y^p, g]$ . Then  $Cl(A) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* By (2.11) and (2.16) the map  $\Phi: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{Q \in S_g} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \cdot \sqrt{H(Q)}$  defined by  $\Phi(t) = (t(Q))_{Q \in S_g}$  is an injection. From (2.33) it follows that the elements  $\sqrt{H(Q)}, Q \in S_g$ , are independent over the prime subfield of  $k$ . Therefore each element of  $t$  can be uniquely identified with a sum  $\sum_{Q \in S_g} n_Q \sqrt{H(Q)}$  where  $0 \leq n_Q < p$  for each  $Q$ .

Suppose that  $t \in \mathcal{L}$  and let  $t = \sum n_Q \sqrt{H(Q)}$ . Consider two cases.

*Case 1.*  $n = \deg(g) \neq 0 \pmod{p}$ .

Let  $Q', Q'' \in S_g$ . By (2.3) there exists  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$  such that  $\sigma\sqrt{H(Q')} = -\sqrt{H(Q')}, \sigma\sqrt{H(Q'')} = \sqrt{H(Q'')}, \sigma\sqrt{H(Q)} = \sqrt{H(Q)}$  if  $Q \neq Q', Q''$  and  $\sigma$  acts as the identity on the elements of  $S_g$ .

Since  $t \in \mathcal{L}$  it follows that  $\sigma(t) \in \mathcal{L}$ , which implies that  $t - \sigma(t) = 2(n_{Q'}\sqrt{H(Q')} + n_{Q''}\sqrt{H(Q'')}) \in \mathcal{L}$ . Thus  $(t + \sigma(t))(Q) = 0$  for all  $Q \neq Q', Q''$ . By (2.10) this implies that  $t - \sigma(t) \equiv 0$ . Thus  $n_{Q'} = n_{Q''} = 0$ . Since  $Q'$  and  $Q''$  are arbitrary it follows that  $t \equiv 0$ .

Case 2.  $n = \deg(g) = 0 \pmod p$ .

Let  $t, Q', Q''$ , be as in case 1. Then  $t' = n_{Q'}\sqrt{H(Q')} + n_{Q''}\sqrt{H(Q'')} \in \mathcal{L}$ . Let  $Q \neq Q', Q''$  belong to  $S_g$ . By (2.33) there exists  $\bar{\sigma} \in \text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)$  such that  $\bar{\sigma}\sqrt{H(Q')} = -\sqrt{H(Q')}$ ,  $\bar{\sigma}\sqrt{H(Q)} = -\sqrt{H(Q)}$ ,  $\bar{\sigma}\sqrt{H(Q'')} = \sqrt{H(Q'')}$  and  $\bar{\sigma}$  is the identity on  $S_g$ . Then  $t' - \bar{\sigma}t' = 2n_{Q'}\sqrt{H(Q')} \in \mathcal{L}$ . If  $n_{Q'} \neq 0$ , then by (2.32) there exists for each  $Q \in S_g$  a  $t_Q \in \mathcal{L}$  such that  $t_Q(Q) \neq 0$  and  $t_Q$  is 0 at every other element of  $S_g$ . The  $t_Q$ 's would necessarily be independent over  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ , contradicting (3.2). Therefore  $n_{Q'} = 0$ . Since  $Q'$  is arbitrary,  $t \equiv 0$ .

Thus  $\mathcal{L} = 0$ .

The main result of this article now follows as a corollary to (4.10) and (4.14).

**THE MAIN RESULT (4.15).** *Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic  $p \geq 5$ ,  $g \in k[x, y]$  be of degree at least 4 and  $A = k[x^p, y^p, g]$ . Then for a generic  $g$  the ring  $A$  is factorial.*

**REMARK 4.16.** For an alternate proof of case 2 of Theorem (4.14) see [3].

### 5. On finding $\mathcal{L}$

5.1. In [1] an algorithm and computer program was given for calculating the order and type of  $\mathcal{L}$ , the group of logarithmic derivatives of  $D = g_y(\partial/\partial x) - g_x(\partial/\partial y)$  in  $k[x, y]$ , where the coefficients of  $g$  are in  $GF(p^m)$  for some  $m$ . An algorithm for calculating the actual elements of  $\mathcal{L}$  was not given, partly because it could not be found in what finite field are the coefficients of the elements of  $\mathcal{L}$ . The next result answers this question.

**THEOREM 5.2.** *Let  $g \in GF(p^m)$  for some  $m$  and  $k$  be an algebraic closure of  $GF(p^m)$ . If  $t \in \mathcal{L}$ , then  $t \in F_g(\{\alpha, \beta, \sqrt{H(\alpha, \beta)} : (\alpha, \beta) \in S_g\})$ , the field extension of  $F_g$  obtained by adjoining all  $\alpha, \beta, \sqrt{H(\alpha, \beta)}$  for  $(\alpha, \beta) \in S_g$  to  $F_g$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $K = F_g(\{\alpha, \beta, \sqrt{H(\alpha, \beta)} : (\alpha, \beta) \in S_g\})$ . Let  $E$  be the field extension of  $K$  obtained by adjoining the coefficients of the elements of  $\mathcal{L}$  to  $K$ . Then  $K$  and  $E$  are finite fields with  $E$  algebraic over  $K$ , hence separable over  $K$  (see [14] pages 63 and 64). Let  $\sigma$  be a  $K$ -injection of  $E$  into  $k$ . Then  $\sigma$  can be extended to form a  $K[x, y]$ -injection of  $E[x, y]$  into  $k[x, y]$  by letting  $\sigma(\sum a_{ij}x^i y^j) = \sum \sigma(a_{ij})x^i y^j$ .

If  $t \in \mathcal{L}$  then by (1.4),  $D^{p-1}t - ct = -t^p$ . It follows that  $D^{p-1}(\sigma t) - c\sigma(t) = -(\sigma(t))^p$ . Thus  $\sigma(t) \in \mathcal{L}$ . By (2.8), for all  $(\alpha, \beta) \in S_g$  and  $t \in \mathcal{L}$ , there exists  $r \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $t(\alpha, \beta) = r\sqrt{H(\alpha, \beta)}$ . Therefore for all such  $(\alpha, \beta) \in S_g$ ,  $\sigma(t)(\alpha, \beta) = \sigma(t(\alpha, \beta)) = \sigma(r\sqrt{H(\alpha, \beta)}) = r\sqrt{H(\alpha, \beta)} = t(\alpha, \beta)$ .

Then  $\sigma(t) - t \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $(\sigma(t) - t)(\alpha, \beta) = 0$  for all  $(\alpha, \beta) \in S_g$ . By (2.10)  $\sigma(t) - t \equiv 0$ . Hence there is but one  $K$ -injection of  $E$  into  $k$  which implies that  $[E:K] = 1$  ([14] page 65).

The reader is left with some open problems. Among them are:

- (5.3) What is  $Cl(k[x^p, y^p, g])$  for a generic choice of  $g$  if  $p = 2$  or  $3$ ?
- (5.4) Is condition (4) of (2.13) a generic condition?
- (5.5) How does the order of  $Cl(k[x^p, y^p, g])$  stratify the coefficient space of  $g$ ? For example, for  $p > 3$ , we saw that on a subset of the coefficient space of  $g$  of codimension 0 this order is  $p^0$ . What then is the relationship between  $p^s$  for  $s = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  and the codimension of the subset of the coefficient space of  $g$  consisting of those  $g \in k[x, y]$  such that the order of  $Cl(k[x^p, y^p, g])$  is  $p^s$ ?
- (5.6) Is  $k[x^{p^n}, y^{p^n}, g]$  factorial for a generic  $g$ ?
- (5.7) The author gratefully acknowledges the many insightful conversations with Professors Piotr Blass, Michael Fried and William Heinzer.

## References

1. P. Blass: Zariski Surfaces. *Dissertationes Mathematicae* 200 (1980).
2. P. Blass: Some geometric applications of a differential equation in characteristic  $p > 0$  to the theory of algebraic surfaces. *Contemp. Math.* A.M.S. 13 (1982).
3. P. Blass: Picard groups of Zariski Surfaces I. *Comp. Math.* 54 (1985) 3–86.
4. P. Blass and J. Lang: Picard groups of Zariski Surfaces II. *Comp. Math.* 54 (1985) 36–39.
5. R. Fossum: *The Divisor Class Group of a Krull Domain*. Springer-Verlag, New York (1973).
6. H.W. Gould: *Combinatorial Identities*. Morgantown, W. Va (1972).
7. R. Hartshorne: *Algebraic Geometry*. Springer-Verlag, New York (1977).
8. I. Kaplansky: *Commutative Rings*. Allyn and Bacon, Boston (1970).
9. J. Lang: An example related to the affine theorem of Castelnuovo. *Michigan Math. J.* 28 (1981).
10. J. Lang: The divisor classes of the hypersurfaces  $z^{p^n} = G(x_1, \dots, x_m)$  in characteristic  $p > 0$ . *Trans. A.M.S.* 278 2 (1983).
11. J. Lang: The divisor class group of the surface  $z^{p^n} = G(x, y)$  over fields of characteristic  $p > 0$ . *J. Alg.* 84, 2 (1983).
12. J. Lang: The divisor classes of the surface  $z^p = G(x, y)$ , a programmable problem. *J. Alg.* 100, (1986).
13. J. Lang: Locally factorial generic Zariski surfaces are factorial. *J. Alg.*, to appear.
14. M. Nagata: *Local Rings*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. (1962).
15. M. Nagata: *Field Theory*. Marcel Dekker, Inc. (1977).
16. Stohr and Voloch: *A formula for the Cartier operator on plane algebraic curves*. Submitted for publication.
17. P. Samuel: *Lectures on Unique Factorization Domains*. Tata Lecture Notes (1964).
18. R. Walker: *Algebraic Curves*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, (1950).