COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

MARIA ZORAIDE M. COSTA SOARES

Best approximants from non-archimedean Stone-Weierstrass subspaces

Compositio Mathematica, tome 56, nº 3 (1985), p. 331-349
<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1985__56_3_331_0>

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1985, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (http://http://www.compositio.nl/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM
Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
http://www.numdam.org/

Compositio Mathematica 56 (1985) 331–349.
© 1985 Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, Dordrecht. Printed in The Netherlands.

BEST APPROXIMANTS FROM NON-ARCHIMEDEAN STONE-WEIERSTRASS SUBSPACES

Maria Zoraide M. Costa Soares

0. Introduction

Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space, let $(F, |\cdot|)$ be a non-archimedean non-trivially valued division ring and $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ a normed space over $(F, |\cdot|)$.

We say that $g\colon X \to E$ vanishes at infinity if, for each $\epsilon > 0$, the set $\{x \in X;\ \|g(x)\| \geqslant \epsilon\}$ is compact.

We denote by $\mathscr{C}(X;\ E)$ the vector space of all continuous functions from X into E. $\mathscr{C}_0(X;\ E)$ will denote the vector space of all continuous functions which vanish at infinity, equipped with the norm $f \mapsto \|f\| = \sup\{\|f(x)\|;\ x \in X\}$.

The vector subspace of $\mathscr{C}(X;\ F)$ consisting of all continuous functions $f\colon X \to F$ such that $f(X)$ has compact closure in F, is denoted by $\mathscr{C}^*(X;\ F)$.

If Δ is the equivalence relation determined by $A \subset \mathscr{C}(X;\ F)$, $\Delta(x) = \{y \in X;\ a(y) = a(x) \text{ for all } a \in A\}$ is the Δ-equivalence class containing x.

If $Y \subset X$ is any non-empty set, we denote by $f|_Y$ the mapping $y \in Y \to f(y)$. If $\mathscr{F}$ is any family of mappings $f\colon X \to S$, we denote by $\mathscr{F}|_Y$ the set $\{f|_Y;\ f \in \mathscr{F}\}$.

In this paper, we extend some results of Machado and Prolla [3] to the case of non-archimedean normed spaces, and other results of Prolla [4].

If $A \subset \mathscr{C}^*(X;\ F)$ is a subalgebra and $W \subset \mathscr{C}_0(X;\ E)$ is a vector subspace which is an A-module, we proved in [5] that for each $f \in \mathscr{C}_0(X;\ E)$,

$$\operatorname{dist}(f;\ W) = \sup_{x \in X} \operatorname{dist}\big(f|_{\Delta(x)};\ W|_{\Delta(x)}\big).$$

We extend this "localization formula" for set-valued mappings under an upper semicontinuity hypothesis (see Theorem 1.7 below) generalizing a result of Prolla [4].

In Approximation Theory, given a normed space $(N, \|\cdot\|)$ and a non-empty subset $W \subset N$, there are two main problems. The first one is to characterize the closure of W in N, i.e., the set of all $f \in N$ such that $\operatorname{dist}(f;\ W) = 0$. When N is a normed space of functions, this leads to

Stone-Weierstrass type theorems by choosing appropriate algebraic conditions on W. (For example, W is an A-module, etc.).

The second problem arises when $\operatorname{dist}(f;\ W) > 0$. Does there exist $g \in W$ such that

$$\| f - g \| = \operatorname{dist}(f;\ W)?$$

More generally, if instead of a single f one deals with a bounded set $B \subset N$, does there exist $g \in W$ such that

$$\sup_{f \in B} \| f - g \| = \inf_{w \in W} \sup_{f \in B} \| f - w \|?$$

Such a g, when it exists is called a Chebyshev center of B in W. We present some results (see Theorems 3.8 and 3.9) when N is $\mathscr{C}_0(X;\ E)$ and W is a so-called Stone-Weierstrass subspace. (see Olech [2]).

When W is a $\mathscr{C}^*(X;\ F)$-module (or more generally an A-module, for some separating subalgebra $A \subset \mathscr{C}^*(X;\ F)$) it is natural to ask whether approximation properties of $W(x) = \{ w(x);\ w \in W \}$ in E, for every $x \in X$, will ensure the same for W in $\mathscr{C}_0(X;\ E)$. Theorem 3.10 and 3.11 are along this line: in 3.10 one assumes that, for each $s \in X$, and $v \in E$ there is some element $w(x)$ such that $\| v - w(x) \| = \operatorname{dist}(v;\ W(x))$. Theorem 3.11 deals with the analogous question for Chebyshev centers.

This work represents part of the author's dissertation at the Universidade de Campinas.

1. Stone-Weierstrass theorems

Let X, $(F, |\cdot|)$ and $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ be as in the introduction.

1.1. Definition: A *carrier* φ *from* X *to* E is a mapping from X into the non-empty subsets of E.

1.2. Definition: Let φ be a carrier from X into E. We define the *distance of* φ *from a function* $g \in \mathscr{C}_0(X;\ E)$ to be

$$\operatorname{dist}(\varphi;\ g) = \sup_{x \in X} \left\{ \sup_{y \in \varphi(x)} \| y - g(x) \| \right\}$$

and *the distance of* φ *from a subset* $W \subset \mathscr{C}_0(X;\ E)$ to be

$$\operatorname{dist}(\varphi;\ W) = \inf\{ \operatorname{dist}(\varphi;\ g);\ g \in W \}.$$

1.3. Definition: Let φ a carrier of X into E. We say that φ *is upper semicontinuous* (u.s.c.) *with respect to* $W \subset \mathscr{C}_0(X;\ E)$, if given $w \in W$ and

$r > 0$, for each $x \in X$ such that $\varphi(x) \in B(w(x); r)$ and each $\epsilon > 0$, there is a neighborhood U of x such that $\varphi(y) \subset B(w(y); r+\epsilon)$ for all $y \in U$. (If $v \in E$ and $s > 0$ we denote by $B(v; s)$ the set $\{u \in E; \|u - v\| < s\}$).

1.4. EXAMPLE: If $f \in \mathscr{C}_0(X; E)$, then $\varphi(x) = \{f(x)\}$, $x \in X$, is upper semicontinuous with respect to any $W \subset \mathscr{C}_0(X; E)$. Indeed, for each $w \in W$ and $r > 0$, the set

$$\{x \in X;\ \varphi(x) \subset B(w(x); r)\} = \{x \in X;\ \|f(x) - w(x)\| < r\}$$

is open.

1.5. EXAMPLE: Let $N \subset \mathscr{C}_0(X; E)$ be a equicontinuous subset. Define a carrier φ from X into E by setting

$$\varphi(x) = \{f(x);\ f \in N\},$$

for all $x \in X$. We claim that φ is u.s.c. with respect to any $W \subset \mathscr{C}_0(X; E)$. Indeed, let $w \in W$, $r > 0$ and $x \in X$ with $\varphi(x) \subset B(w(x); r)$ be given. Let $\epsilon > 0$. If N is equicontinuous then $N - \{w\}$ is equicontinuous too, and there is a neighborhood U of x such that $\|f(y) - w(y) - (f(x) - w(x))\| < \epsilon$ for all $y \in U$.

Hence, for all $y \in U$

$$\begin{aligned}\|f(y) - w(y)\| &= \|f(y) - w(y) - (f(x) - w(x)) \\ &\quad + (f(x) - w(x))\| \\ &\leqslant \|f(y) - w(y) - (f(x) - w(x))\| \\ &\quad + \|f(x) - w(x)\| \\ &< \epsilon + r.\end{aligned}$$

1.6. DEFINITION: Let φ be a carrier of X into E and let $W \subset \mathscr{C}_0(X; E)$. We say that φ *vanishes at infinity with respect to* W, if for each $w \in W$ and $\epsilon > 0$ the set

$$\{x \in X;\ \varphi(x) \cap (E \setminus B(w(x); \epsilon)) \neq \emptyset\}$$

is relatively compact, i.e. has compact closure.

1.7. THEOREM: *Let $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ be a non-archimedean normed space over $(F, |\cdot|)$; let $A \subset \mathscr{C}^*(X; F)$ be a subalgebra and $W \subset \mathscr{C}_0(X; E)$ a vector subspace which is an A-module. For any carrier φ of X into E which is*

upper semicontinuous and vanishes at infinity with respect to W, we have:

$$\operatorname{dist}(\varphi;\, W) = \sup_{x \in X} \operatorname{dist}\big(\varphi|_{\Delta(x)};\, W|_{\Delta(x)}\big).$$

PROOF: Let

$$\lambda = \sup_{x \in X} \operatorname{dist}\big(\varphi|_{\Delta(x)};\, W|_{\Delta(x)}\big).$$

We always have $\lambda \leqslant \operatorname{dist}(\varphi;\, W)$.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ and $x \in X$ be given; there exists $g_x \in W$ such that

$$\operatorname{dist}\big(\varphi|_{\Delta(x)};\, g_x|_{\Delta(x)}\big) < \lambda + \epsilon.$$

This implies that

$$\| t - g_x(y) \| < \lambda + \epsilon \qquad \text{for all } t \in \varphi(y) \text{ and } y \in \Delta(x).$$

Since φ is upper semicontinuous with respect to W, there is an open neighborhood U_x of x such that

$$\| t - g_x(z) \| < \lambda + \epsilon \qquad \text{for all } t \in \varphi(z) \text{ and } z \in U_x.$$

Clearly, $\Delta(x) \subset U_x$.

Since φ vanishes at infinity with respect to W, the closure K_x of

$$S_x = \{ y \in X;\ \varphi(y) \cap \big(E \setminus B(g_x(y);\, \lambda + \epsilon)\big) \neq \emptyset \}$$

is compact. We claim that $\Delta(x) \cap K_x = \emptyset$. Indeed, assume $z \in \Delta(x) \cap K_x$. Since $\Delta(x) \subset U_x$ and K_x is the closure of S_x, there is some $y \in U_x \cap S_x$. But $\varphi(y) \subset B(g_x(y);\, \lambda + \epsilon)$ for all $y \in U_x$ and so y cannot be in S_x.

By Lemma 2.4, [5], there exists a finite set $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\} \subset X$ such that for each $0 < \delta < 1$, there are functions $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in A_0$ satisfying:

(1) $|a_i(x)| \leqslant 1$ for all $x \in X$; $i = 1, \ldots, n$;

(2) $|a_i(t)| < \delta$ for all $t \in K_{x_i}$; $i = 1, \ldots, n$;

(3) $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i(x) = 1$ for all $x \in X$;

where A_0 is the subalgebra generated by A and the constant functions.

We choose $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\delta \cdot \max_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n} \| t - g_{x_i}(x) \| < \lambda + \epsilon \qquad \text{for all } t \in \varphi(x)$$

and to this δ let $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in A$ be given satisfying (1) to (3).

Define

$$g = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i g_{x_i}.$$

Then $g \in W$, and for each $x \in X$ and $t \in \varphi(x)$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \| t - g(x) \| &= \| \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i(x) t - \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i(x) g_{x_i}(x) \| \\ &= \| \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i(x)\big(t - g_{x_i}(x)\big) \|. \end{aligned}$$

If $x \in K_{x_i}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \| a_i(x)\big(t - g_{x_i}(x)\big) \| &< \delta \cdot \| t - g_{x_i}(x) \| \\ &\leqslant \delta \cdot \max_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n} \| t - g_{x_i}(x) \| < \lambda + \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

If $x \notin K_{x_i}$, then

$$\| a_i(x)\big(t - g_{x_i}(x)\big) \| \leqslant 1 \cdot \| t - g_{x_i}(x) \| < \lambda + \epsilon.$$

Hence, for all $x \in X$ and $t \in \varphi(x)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \| t - g(x) \| &= \| \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i(x)\big(t - g_{x_i}(x)\big) \| \\ &\leqslant \max_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n} \| a_i(x)\big(t - g_{x_i}(x)\big) \| \\ &< \lambda + \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\operatorname{dist}(\varphi;\ g) \leqslant \lambda + \epsilon.$$

A fortiori, $\operatorname{dist}(\varphi;\ W) \leqslant \lambda + \epsilon$. Since $\epsilon > 0$ was arbitrary,

$$\operatorname{dist}(\varphi;\ W) \leqslant \lambda = \sup_{x \in X} \operatorname{dist}\big(\varphi|_{\Delta(x)};\ W|_{\Delta(x)}\big).$$

1.8. Definition: A family of functions $N \subset \mathscr{C}_0(X;\ E)$ is said to *vanish collectively at infinity* if, for each $\epsilon > 0$, there is a compact subset $K \subset X$ such that $\| f(x) \| < \epsilon$ for all $x \notin K$ and $f \in N$.

1.9. EXAMPLE: Let $N \subset \mathscr{C}_0(X;\ E)$ be a totally bounded subset. Then N vanishes collectively at infinity. Indeed, let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. There exists a finite set $\{f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n\} \subset N$ such that, for each $f \in N$, there is $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ with $\| f - f_i \| < \epsilon/2$. For each $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, there is a compact subset $K_i \subset X$ such that $\| f_i(x) \| < \epsilon/2$ for all $x \notin K_i$. Let K be the union $K_1 \cup K_2 \cup \ldots \cup K_n$. Then for all $x \notin K$ and $f \in N$, $\| f(x) \| < \epsilon$.

1.10. PROPOSITION: *Let $N \subset \mathscr{C}_0(X;\ E)$ be a family which vanishes collectively at infinity and let $W \subset \mathscr{C}_0(X;\ E)$. The carrier*

$$\varphi(x) = \{ f(x);\ f \in N \}, \quad x \in X,$$

vanishes at infinity with respect to W.

PROOF: If $N \subset \mathscr{C}_0(X;\ E)$ vanishes collectively at infinity and $w \in \mathscr{C}_0(X;\ E)$, then $G = \{ f - w;\ f \in N \}$ vanishes collectively at infinity too.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ and $K \subset X$ be a compact set such that

$$\| f(x) - w(x) \| < \epsilon$$

for all $x \notin K$ and $f \in N$.

Then $\varphi(x) \subset B(w(x);\ \epsilon)$ for all $x \notin K$ and

$$X \setminus \{ x \in X;\ \varphi(x) \subset B(w(x);\ \epsilon) \} \subset K$$

and so the set

$$\{ x \in X;\ \varphi(x) \cap (E \setminus B(w(x);\ \epsilon)) \neq \emptyset \}$$

is relatively compact.

1.11. THEOREM: *Let $(E, \| \cdot \|)$ be a non-archimedean normed space over $(F, \| \cdot \|)$; let $A \subset \mathscr{C}^*(X;\ F)$ be a subalgebra; let $W \subset \mathscr{C}_0(X;\ E)$ be a vector subspace which is an A-module; and $N \subset \mathscr{C}_0(X;\ E)$ a totally bounded subset and define for all $x \in X$, $\varphi(x) = \{ f(x);\ f \in N \}$. Then,*

$$\operatorname{dist}(\varphi;\ W) = \sup_{x \in X} \operatorname{dist}\big(\varphi|_{\Delta(x)};\ W|_{\Delta(x)}\big).$$

PROOF: By Example 1.5, φ is upper semicontinuous, and by Example 1.9, N vanishes collectively at infinity and by Proposition 1.10, φ vanishes at infinity with respect to any $W \subset \mathscr{C}_0(X;\ E)$. It remains to apply Theorem 1.7.

2. Chebyshev centers

2.1. DEFINITION: Let $(N, \| \cdot \|)$ be a normed space over $(F, | \cdot |)$, $W \subset N$ and B be a non-empty bounded subset of N. The *relative Chebyshev*

radius of B (with respect to W) is, by definition, the number

$$\operatorname{rad}_W(B) = \inf\left\{ \sup_{f \in B} \| w - f \|;\ w \in W \right\}.$$

If $W = N$, then we write

$$\operatorname{rad}_N(B) = \operatorname{rad}(B)$$

and call it the *Chebyshev radius of B*.

The elements $w_0 \in W$ where the infimum is attained are called *relative Chebyshev centers of B (with respect to W)*, and we denote by $\operatorname{cent}_W(B)$ the set of all such $w_0 \in W$.

If $W = N$, there we write $\operatorname{cent}_N(B) = \operatorname{cent} B$ and call it the set of *Chebyshev centers of B*.

We say that W has the *relative Chebyshev center property in N* if $\operatorname{cent}_W(B) \neq \emptyset$ for all non-empty bounded sets $B \subset N$.

When $W = N$, and $\operatorname{cent}(B) \neq \emptyset$ for every non-empty bounded subset $B \subset N$, i.e. if N has the relative Chebyshev center property in N, we say that *N admits Chebyshev centers*.

Let $M \subset N$ be a closed linear subspace and $f \in N$. *A best approximant* of f in M is any element $g \in M$ such that

$$\| f - g \| = \inf_{h \in M} \| f - h \| = \operatorname{dist}(f;\ M).$$

We denote by $P_M(f)$ the set of all best approximants of f in M. If $P_M(f)$ contains at least one element for all $f \in N$, M is called *proximinal*.

The main problems of *best (simultaneous) approximation theory* are the following (in decreasing order of generality):

PROBLEM I: Let $W \subset N$ be given. Determine if W has the relative Chebyshev center property in N. In particular, determine if N admits Chebyshev centers.

PROBLEM II: Let $W \subset N$ be given. Determine the class B of all non-empty bounded sets $B \subset N$ such that $\operatorname{cent}_W(B) \neq \emptyset$.

PROBLEM III: Let $W \subset N$ be given. Determine if W is proximinal in N, i.e., determine if the class B of Problem II contains all sets of the form $B = \{ f \}$, $f \in N$.

Suppose that N is $\mathscr{C}_0(X;\ E)$ equipped with the sup-norm an let $W \subset \mathscr{C}_0(X;\ E)$. To each non-empty and bounded set $B \subset \mathscr{C}_0(X;\ E)$, we

define the carrier

$$\varphi_B(x) = \{ f(x);\ f \in B \}$$

for all $x \in X$. It follows that

$$\operatorname{dist}(\varphi_B;\ W) = \operatorname{rad}_W(B).$$

Consequently, by Theorem 1.11, we have the following formula of localizability for the Chebyshev radius.

2.2. THEOREM: *Let $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ be a non-archimedean normed space over $(F, |\cdot|)$; let $A \subset \mathscr{C}^*(X;\ F)$ be a subalgebra and $W \subset \mathscr{C}_0(X;\ E)$ a vector subspace which is an A-module. For each non-empty and totally bounded subset $B \subset \mathscr{C}_0(X;\ E)$ we have*

$$\operatorname{rad}_W(B) = \sup_{x \in X} \operatorname{rad}_{W|_{\Delta(x)}}(B|_{\Delta(x)}).$$

2.3. DEFINITION: Let Δ be an equivalence relation in X. We say that a carrier φ from X into E is *Δ-bounded* if

$$\varphi(\Delta(x)) = \cup \{ \varphi(t);\ t \in \Delta(x) \}$$

is a bounded subset of E, for all $x \in X$. Let us define

$$\delta(\varphi) = \sup_{x \in X} \operatorname{rad}(\varphi(\Delta(x)))$$

2.4. THEOREM: *Let $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ be a non-archimedean normed space over $(F, |\cdot|)$ and $A \subset \mathscr{C}^*(X;\ F)$ a subalgebra. Let $W \subset \mathscr{C}_0(X;\ E)$ be an A-module such that for each $x \in X$ and $z \in E$, there is some $w \in W$ such that $w(t) = z$ for all $t \in \Delta(x)$. Then for any Δ-bounded carrier φ from X into E which is upper semicontinuous and vanishes at infinity with respect to W, we have:*

$$\operatorname{dist}(\varphi;\ W) \leqslant \delta(\varphi).$$

PROOF: By Theorem 1.7, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dist}(\varphi;\ W) &= \sup_{x \in X} \operatorname{dist}(\varphi|_{\Delta(x)};\ W|_{\Delta(x)}) \\
&= \sup_{x \in X} \inf_{w \in W} \operatorname{dist}(\varphi|_{\Delta(x)};\ w) \\
&= \sup_{x \in X} \inf_{w \in W} \sup_{t \in \Delta(x)} \sup_{y \in \varphi(t)} \| y - w(t) \|.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $x \in X$. For each $z \in E$, choose $w_z \in W$ such that $w_z(t) = z$ for all $t \in \Delta(x)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\inf_{w \in W} \sup_{t \in \Delta(x)} \sup_{y \in \varphi(t)} \| y - w(t) \| \\ &\quad \leqslant \sup_{t \in \Delta(x)} \sup_{y \in \varphi(t)} \| y - w_z(t) \| \\ &\quad = \sup_{y \in \varphi(\Delta(x))} \| y - z \|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $z \in E$ was arbitrary, we have

$$\inf_{w \in W} \sup_{t \in \Delta(x)} \sup_{y \in \varphi(t)} \| y - w(t) \| \leqslant \inf_{z \in E} \sup_{y \in \varphi(\Delta(x))} \| y - z \|.$$

Hence,

$$\operatorname{dist}(\varphi;\, W) \leqslant \delta(\varphi).$$

3. Stone-Weierstrass subspaces

3.1. Definition: A vector subspace $W \subset \mathscr{C}_0(X;\, E)$ is said to be a *Stone-Weierstrass subspace* if there is a locally compact Hausdorff space Y and a proper continuous surjection $\pi\colon X \to Y$ such that

$$W = \{ g \circ \pi;\ g \in \mathscr{C}_0(Y;\, E) \}.$$

We denote by W_π the Stone-Weierstrass subspace determined by π.

If $W_\pi \subset \mathscr{C}_0(X;\, E)$ is a Stone-Weierstrass subspace, then

$$A_\pi = \{ \varphi \circ \pi;\ \varphi \in \mathscr{C}^*(X;\, F) \}$$

is a subalgebra of $\mathscr{C}^*$(X; F) which contains the constants and

$$\{ \pi^{-1}(y);\ y \in Y \}$$

is the set of equivalence classes modulo A_π. Therefore, W_π is an A_π-module.

Clearly W_π is closed in $\mathscr{C}_0(X;\, E)$.

We will prove that this definition of Stone-Weierstrass subspace is the same as Definition 3.5, [5], by proving that $\Delta(W_\pi) \subset W_\pi$, where $\Delta(W_\pi)$ is the Stone-Weierstrass hull of W_π in $\mathscr{C}_0(X;\, E)$.

Let $f \in \Delta(W_\pi)$. We will prove that f is constant on the sets $\pi^{-1}(y)$ for all $y \in Y$.

Let t and t' be in X such that $\pi(t)=\pi(t')$. Then $g(t)=g(t')$ for all $g \in W_\pi$. Then, the pair $(t, t') \in \Delta_{W_\pi}$.

If $\delta(t, t')=0$ then $\delta_{t|W_\pi}=\delta_{t'|W_\pi}=0$ and by hypothesis $f \in \Delta(W_\pi)$, then we have $f(t)=0 \cdot f(t')=0$.

If $\delta(t, t')=1$ then $0 \neq \delta_{t|W_\pi}=\delta_{t'|W_\pi}$ and since $f \in \Delta(W_\pi)$ we have $f(t)=1 \cdot f(t')=f(t')$.

Therefore, $f \in W_\pi$.

Let $f \in \mathscr{C}_0(X; E)$ be given. Since π is proper, $\pi^{-1}(y)$ is compact and then $f(\pi^{-1}(y))$ is compact, hence bounded in E, for each $y \in Y$. Let us define

$$\delta(f)=\sup_{y \in Y} \operatorname{rad}\big(f(\pi^{-1}(y))\big).$$

If $w \in W_\pi$ then

$$\|f-w\|=\sup_{y \in Y} \sup_{t \in \pi^{-1}(y)} \|f(t)-w(t)\| \geqslant \delta(f).$$

Hence

$$\delta(f) \leqslant \operatorname{dist}(f; W_\pi).$$

3.2. THEOREM: *Let $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ be a non-archimedean normed space over $(F, |\cdot|)$ and $W_\pi \subset \mathscr{C}_0(X; E)$ a Stone-Weierstrass subspace. Then, for all $f \in \mathscr{C}_0(X; E)$*

$$\operatorname{dist}(f; W_\pi)=\delta(f).$$

PROOF: By Theorem 2.4, $\operatorname{dist}(f; W_\pi) \leqslant \delta(f)$ and by remarks made before we have $\delta(f) \leqslant \operatorname{dist}(f; W_\pi)$.

Let us now generalize the above results for the case of Chebyshev centers. Consider then a bounded and equicontinuous subset $B \subset \mathscr{C}_0(X; E)$ and the associated carrier φ_B from X into E defined by

$$\varphi_B(x)=\{f(x); f \in B\} \qquad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

Since B is bounded, it follows that φ_B is Δ-bounded for any equivalence relation Δ on X.

For each $y \in Y$ define

$$B(\pi^{-1}(y))=\cup\{f(\pi^{-1}(y)); f \in B\}$$

and

$$\delta(B)=\sup\{\operatorname{rad}(B(\pi^{-1}(y))); y \in Y\}.$$

then $\delta(B) = \delta(\varphi_B)$, and by Theorem 2.4,

$$\operatorname{rad}_{W_\pi}(B) \leqslant \delta(B)$$

because W_π is a Stone-Weierstrass subspace.

Conversely, each $w \in W_\pi$ is constant on $\pi^{-1}(y)$ for every $y \in Y$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dist}(\varphi_B;\, w) &= \sup_{y \in Y} \sup_{t \in \pi^{-1}(y)} \sup_{z \in \varphi_B(t)} \|z - w(t)\| \\
&\geqslant \sup_{y \in Y} \inf_{v \in E} \sup_{t \in \pi^{-1}(y)} \sup_{z \in \varphi_B(t)} \|z - v\| \\
&= \sup_{y \in Y} \inf_{v \in E} \sup_{t \in \pi^{-1}(y)} \sup_{f \in B} \|f(t) - v\| \\
&= \sup_{y \in Y} \operatorname{rad}\big(B(\pi^{-1}(y))\big) = \delta(B).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\delta(B) \leqslant \operatorname{dist}(\varphi_B;\, W_\pi) = \operatorname{rad}_{W_\pi}(B).$$

We have thus proved the following.

3.3. THEOREM: *Let $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ be a non-archimedean normed space over $(F, |\cdot|)$ and $W_\pi \subset \mathscr{C}_0(X;\, E)$ a Stone-Weierstrass subspace. Then, for any bounded and equicontinuous subset $B \subset \mathscr{C}_0(X;\, E)$*, we have

$$\operatorname{rad}_{W_\pi}(B) = \sup_{y \in Y} \operatorname{rad}\big(B(\pi^{-1}(y))\big).$$

3.4. DEFINITION: Let X and Z be two topological spaces. A set valued mapping φ from X into Z is said to be *lower semicontinuous* if $\{x \in X;\, \varphi(x) \cap G \neq \emptyset\}$ is open in X for every open subset $G \subset Z$.

A continuous mapping $f\colon X \to Z$ is called a *continuous selection for* a carrier φ if $f(x) \in \varphi(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

The following result is a consequence of Michael [1], Theorem 2, page 233.

3.5. THEOREM: *Let X be a 0-dimensional compact T_1-space and let $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space over a non-trivially valued division ring $(F, |\cdot|)$. Every lower semicontinuous carrier φ from X into the non-empty, closed subsets of E admits a continuous selection.*

3.6. REMARK: Let X be a 0-dimensional, Hausdorff and locally compact space. The Alexandroff compactification, X_ω, of X is 0-dimensional and Hausdorff space. There is a linear isometry of $\mathscr{C}_0(X;\ E)$ into $\mathscr{C}(X_\omega;\ E)$.

Let X be a locally compact T_1-space, and π a proper continuous surjection of X onto another locally compact T_1-space Y. Let $(E,\ \|\cdot\|)$ be a non-archimedean normed space over $(F,\ |\cdot|)$. Let $B \subset \mathscr{C}_0(X;\ E)$ be a bounded non-empty subset which is equicontinuous and vanishes collectively at infinity. For each $x \in E$ let be given a closed vector subspace $W(x) \subset E$. Let $\delta > 0$ be given.

Let us define two set valued mappings φ_ω and ψ_ω on Y_ω and X_ω respectively, by setting for any $y \in Y$

$$\varphi_\omega(y) = \left\{ s \in E;\ \sup_{f \in B}\ \sup_{x \in \pi^{-1}(y)} \|f(x) - s\| \leqslant \delta \right\}$$

and

$$\varphi_\omega(\omega) = \{0\};$$

and for any $x \in X$

$$\psi_\omega(x) = W(x) \cap \left\{ s \in E;\ \sup_{f \in B} |f(x) - s| \leqslant \delta \right\}$$

$$\psi_\omega(\omega) = \{0\}.$$

3.7. LEMMA: *Under the preceding hypothesis, the set valued mappings φ_ω and ψ_ω are lower semicontinuous on Y_ω and X_ω respectively.*

PROOF: a) Let $g \subset E$ be open such that $\varphi_\omega(y_0) \cap G \neq \emptyset$. If $y_0 \in Y$, we choose $s_0 \in \varphi_\omega(y_0) \cap G$, then

$$\sup_{f \in B}\ \sup_{x \in \pi^{-1}(y_0)} |f(x) - s_0| \leqslant \delta.$$

Since $\pi^{-1}(y_0)$ is a compact subset of X, there exists a finite open covering $V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_n$ of $\pi^{-1}(y_0)$, with

$$V_i \cap \pi^{-1}(y_0) \neq \emptyset, \quad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n,$$

such that

$$x,\ x' \in V_i \Rightarrow \|f(x) - f(x')\| < \delta$$

for all $f \in B$. This is possible because the set $B \subset \mathscr{C}_0(X;\ E)$ is equicontinuous.

Let $x \in X$. For each $z \in E$, choose $w_z \in W$ such that $w_z(t) = z$ for all $t \in \Delta(x)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\inf_{w \in W} \sup_{t \in \Delta(x)} \sup_{y \in \varphi(t)} \| y - w(t) \| \\
&\quad \leqslant \sup_{t \in \Delta(x)} \sup_{y \in \varphi(t)} \| y - w_z(t) \| \\
&\quad = \sup_{y \in \varphi(\Delta(x))} \| y - z \|.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $z \in E$ was arbitrary, we have

$$\inf_{w \in W} \sup_{t \in \Delta(x)} \sup_{y \in \varphi(t)} \| y - w(t) \| \leqslant \inf_{z \in E} \sup_{y \in \varphi(\Delta(x))} \| y - z \|.$$

Hence,

$$\operatorname{dist}(\varphi;\ W) \leqslant \delta(\varphi).$$

3. Stone-Weierstrass subspaces

3.1. Definition: A vector subspace $W \subset \mathscr{C}_0(X;\ E)$ is said to be a *Stone-Weierstrass subspace* if there is a locally compact Hausdorff space Y and a proper continuous surjection π: $X \to Y$ such that

$$W = \{ g \circ \pi;\ g \in \mathscr{C}_0(Y;\ E) \}.$$

We denote by W_π the Stone-Weierstrass subspace determined by π.

If $W_\pi \subset {}_0(X;\ E)$ is a Stone-Weierstrass subspace, then

$$A_\pi = \{ \varphi \circ \pi;\ \varphi \in \mathscr{C}^*(X;\ F) \}$$

is a subalgebra of $\mathscr{C}^*$(X; F) which contains the constants and

$$\{ \pi^{-1}(y);\ y \in Y \}$$

is the set of equivalence classes modulo A_π. Therefore, W_π is an A_π-module.

Clearly W_π is closed in $\mathscr{C}_0(X;\ E)$.

We will prove that this definition of Stone-Weierstrass subspace is the same as Definition 3.5, [5], by proving that $\Delta(W_\pi) \subset W_\pi$, where $\Delta(W_\pi)$ is the Stone-Weierstrass hull of W_π in $\mathscr{C}_0(X;\ E)$.

Let $f \in \Delta(W_\pi)$. We will prove that f is constant on the sets $\pi^{-1}(y)$ for all $y \in Y$.

Let t and t' be in X such that $\pi(t)=\pi(t')$. Then $g(t)=g(t')$ for all $g\in W_\pi$. Then, the pair $(t, t')\in\Delta_{W_\pi}$.

If $\delta(t, t')=0$ then $\delta_{t|W_\pi}=\delta_{t'|W_\pi}=0$ and by hypothesis $f\in\Delta(W_\pi)$, then we have $f(t)=0\cdot f(t')=0$.

If $\delta(t, t')=1$ then $0\neq\delta_{t|W_\pi}=\delta_{t'|W_\pi}$ and since $f\in\Delta(W_\pi)$ we have $f(t)=1\cdot f(t')=f(t')$.

Therefore, $f\in W_\pi$.

Let $f\in\mathscr{C}_0(X;\ E)$ be given. Since π is proper, $\pi^{-1}(y)$ is compact and then $f(\pi^{-1}(y))$ is compact, hence bounded in E, for each $y\in Y$. Let us define

$$\delta(f)=\sup_{y\in Y}\operatorname{rad}\big(f(\pi^{-1}(y))\big).$$

If $w\in W_\pi$ then

$$\|f-w\|=\sup_{y\in Y}\ \sup_{t\in\pi^{-1}(y)}\|f(t)-w(t)\|\geqslant\delta(f).$$

Hence

$$\delta(f)\leqslant\operatorname{dist}(f;\ W_\pi).$$

3.2. THEOREM: *Let $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ be a non-archimedean normed space over $(F, |\cdot|)$ and $W_\pi\subset\mathscr{C}_0(X;\ E)$ a Stone-Weierstrass subspace. Then, for all $f\in\mathscr{C}_0(X;\ E)$*

$$\operatorname{dist}(f;\ W_\pi)=\delta(f).$$

PROOF: By Theorem 2.4, $\operatorname{dist}(f;\ W_\pi)\leqslant\delta(f)$ and by remarks made before we have $\delta(f)\leqslant\operatorname{dist}(f;\ W_\pi)$.

Let us now generalize the above results for the case of Chebyshev centers. Consider then a bounded and equicontinuous subset $B\subset\mathscr{C}_0(X;\ E)$ and the associated carrier φ_B from X into E defined by

$$\varphi_B(x)=\{f(x);\ f\in B\}\qquad\text{for all } x\in X.$$

Since B is bounded, it follows that φ_B is Δ-bounded for any equivalence relation Δ on X.

For each $y\in Y$ define

$$B(\pi^{-1}(y))=\cup\{f(\pi^{-1}(y));\ f\in B\}$$

and

$$\delta(B)=\sup\{\operatorname{rad}(B(\pi^{-1}(y));\ y\in Y\}.$$

We claim that $\operatorname{rad}(K) \leqslant \delta$. Indeed, let $g \in W_\pi$ be given. Then

$$\operatorname{rad}(K) = \inf_{z \in E} \sup_{x \in \pi^{-1}(y)} \| f(x) - z \|$$

$$\leqslant \sup_{x \in \pi^{-1}(y)} \| f(x) - g(x) \| \leqslant \| f - g \|.$$

Since g was arbitrary,

$$\operatorname{rad}(K) \leqslant \inf_{g \in W_\pi} \| f - g \|.$$

It follows that $s_0 \in \varphi_\omega(y)$ and hence $\varphi_\omega(y) \neq \emptyset$ for all $y \in Y$.

By Lemma 3.7 applied to $B = \{f\}$, φ_ω is lower semicontinuous.

By Theorem 3.5, there is $g_\omega \in \mathscr{C}(Y_\omega; E)$ with $g_\omega(y) \in \varphi_\omega(y)$ for all $y \in Y_\omega$, furthermore $g_\omega(\omega) = 0$. Let $g \in \mathscr{C}_0(X; E)$ be the restriction of g_ω to Y. Then $g(y) \in \varphi(y)$ for all $y \in Y$. Let $w = g \circ \pi$. Then $w \in W_\pi$ and, for any $x \in X$ let $y = \pi(x)$. Then

$$\| f(x) - w(x) \| = \| f(x) - g(y) \| \leqslant \delta.$$

Hence

$$\| f - w \| \leqslant \operatorname{dist}(f; W_\pi).$$

This ends the proof that W_π is proximinal in $\mathscr{C}_0(X; E)$.

3.9. THEOREM: *Let X be a 0-dimensional, locally compact T_1-space. Let $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ be a non-archimedean Banach space over $(F, |\cdot|)$. If E admits Chebyshev centers, and $W_\pi \subset \mathscr{C}_0(X; E)$ is a Stone-Weierstrass subspace, then* $\operatorname{cent}_{W_\pi}(B) \neq \emptyset$ *for every non-empty bounded subset $B \subset \mathscr{C}_0(X; E)$ which is equicontinuous and vanishes collectively at infinity.*

PROOF: Let π: $X \to Y$ be the continuous and proper mapping of X onto a locally compact Hausdorff space Y such that

$$W_\pi = \{ g \circ \pi;\ g \in \mathscr{C}_0(Y; E) \}.$$

Let $B \subset \mathscr{C}_0(X; E)$ be a non-empty bounded subset which is equicontinuous.

Let $\delta = \operatorname{rad}_{W_\pi}(B)$:

CASE I: $\delta > 0$. Consider $Y_\omega = Y \cup \{\omega\}$ the compactification of Alexandroff of Y.

For each $y \in Y$, let

$$\varphi_\omega(y) = \left\{ s \in E;\ \sup_{f \in B} \sup_{x \in \pi^{-1}(y)} \| f(x) - s \| \leqslant \delta \right\}$$

and

$$\varphi_\omega(\omega) = \{0\}.$$

Let us prove that φ_ω is a carrier from Y_ω into the non-empty closed subsets of E. Let $y \in Y_\omega$ be given. If $y = \omega$ then $\varphi_\omega(y) = \{0\}$ and hence $\varphi_\omega(y)$ is non-empty and closed. If $y \in Y$ then $\varphi_\omega(y)$ is closed in E. Since $B \subset \mathscr{C}_0(X;\ E)$ is bounded,

$$B(y) = \{ f(x);\ x \in \pi^{-1}(y),\ f \in B \}$$

is bounded in E, and by hypothesis $\operatorname{cent}(B(y)) \neq \emptyset$, i.e., there exists $s_0 \in E$ such that

$$\sup_{f \in B} \sup_{x \in \pi^{-1}(y)} \| f(x) - s_0 \| = \operatorname{rad}(B(y)).$$

To each $g \in W_\pi$, we have

$$\operatorname{rad}(B(y)) \leqslant \sup_{f \in B} \sup_{x \in \pi^{-1}(y)} \| f(x) - g(x) \|$$

because g is constant on $\pi^{-1}(y)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{rad}(B(y)) &\leqslant \sup_{y \in Y} \sup_{f \in B} \sup_{x \in \pi^{-1}(y)} \| f(x) - g(x) \| \\ &= \sup_{f \in B} \sup_{y \in Y} \sup_{x \in \pi^{-1}(y)} \| f(x) - g(x) \| \\ &= \sup_{f \in B} \| f - g \|. \end{aligned}$$

Since g was arbitrary,

$$\operatorname{rad}(B(y)) \leqslant \inf_{g \in W_\pi} \sup_{f \in B} \| f - g \| = \operatorname{rad}_{W_\pi}(B) = \delta.$$

Therefore, $s_0 \in \varphi_\omega(y)$ and $\varphi_\omega(y)$ is non-empty.

By Lemma 3.7, φ_ω is lower semicontinuous.

By Theorem 3.5, there is $g_\omega \in \mathscr{C}(Y_\omega;\ E)$ with $g_\omega(y) \in \varphi_\omega(y)$ for all $y \in Y_\omega$. Notice that $g_\omega(\omega) = 0$. Let $g \in \mathscr{C}_0(X;\ E)$ be the restriction of g_ω

to Y. Then $g(y) \in \varphi_\omega(y)$ for all $y \in Y$. Let $w = g \circ \pi$. Then $w \in W_\pi$ and for any $x \in X$, let $y = \pi(x)$. Then for any $f \in B$ we have

$$\| f(x) - w(x) \| = \| f(x) - g(y) \| \leqslant \sup_{t \in \pi^{-1}(y)} \| f(t) - g(y) \| \leqslant \delta.$$

Hence

$$\sup_{f \in B} \| f - w \| \leqslant \delta, \qquad \text{and so } w \in \operatorname{cent}_{W_\pi}(B).$$

CASE II: $\delta = 0$.

Now $\operatorname{rad}_{W_\pi}(B) = 0$ implies $B = \{f\}$ and $\operatorname{dist}(f;\, W_\pi) = \operatorname{rad}_{W_\pi}(B) = 0$. therefore $f \in W_\pi$ and there is nothing to prove.

3.10. THEOREM: *Let X be a 0-dimensional, locally compact T_1-space. Let $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ be a non-archimedean Banach space over $(F, |\cdot|)$. Let $A \subset \mathscr{C}^*(X;\, F)$ be a separating subalgebra and let $W \subset \mathscr{C}_0(X;\, E)$ be a closed vector subspace which is an A-module such that $W(x)$ is proximinal in E for every $x \in X$. Then, W is proximinal in $\mathscr{C}_0(X;\, E)$.*

PROOF: Let $f \in \mathscr{C}_0(X;\, E)$ be given with $f \notin W$. Then

$$\delta = \operatorname{dist}(f;\, W) > 0,$$

because W is closed. Consider $X_\omega = X \cup \{\omega\}$ the compactification of Alexandroff of X. For each $x \in X$, let

$$\psi_\omega(x) = W(x) \cap \{ s \in E;\, \| f(x) - s \| < \delta \}$$

and

$$\psi_\omega(\omega) = \{0\}.$$

Let us prove that ψ_ω is a carrier from X_ω into the non-empty closed subset of E. Indeed, let $x \in X_\omega$. If $x = \omega$ then $\psi_\omega(x) = \{0\}$ and then $\psi_\omega(x)$ is non-empty and closed. If $x \in X$, there exists $w \in W$ such that

$$\| w(x) - f(x) \| \leqslant \operatorname{dist}(f(x);\, W(x)) \leqslant \delta$$

and hence $\psi_\omega(x) \neq \emptyset$ and closed since $W(x)$ is proximinal.

By Lemma 3.7 applied with $B = \{f\}$, ψ_ω is lower semicontinuous.

By Theorem 3.5, there exists $g_\omega \in \mathscr{C}(X_\omega;\, E)$ such that $g_\omega(x) \in \psi_\omega(x)$ for all $x \in X_\omega$, furthermore $g_\omega(\omega) = 0$.

Let $g \in \mathscr{C}_0(X;\, E)$ be the restriction of g_ω to X. Hence $g(x) \in W(x)$. By Theorem 2.5 [5], $g \in \overline{W}$. Since W is closed, $g \in W$. On the other hand

$$\| f(x) - g(x) \| \leqslant \delta = \operatorname{dist}(f;\, W)$$

for all $x \in X$, and therefore

$$\| f - g \| \leqslant \operatorname{dist}(f;\ W),$$

i.e., W is proximinal in $\mathscr{C}_0(X;\ E)$.

3.11. THEOREM: *Let X and E as Theorem* 3.10. *Let $A \subset \mathscr{C}^*(X;\ F)$ be a separating subalgebra and let $W \subset \mathscr{C}_0(X;\ E)$ be a closed vector subspace which is an A-module and such that $W(x)$ has the relative Chebyshev center property in E, for every $x \in X$. Then*

$$\operatorname{cent}_W(B) \neq \emptyset,$$

for every non-empty equicontinuous and bounded $B \subset \mathscr{C}_0(X;\ E)$ which vanishes collectively at infinity.

PROOF: Let $B \subset \mathscr{C}_0(X;\ E)$ be a non-empty bounded subset which is equicontinuous at every point of X and vanishes at infinity. Let $\delta = \operatorname{rad}_W(B)$. If $\delta = 0$, then B is a singleton $\{f\}$ with $f \in W$ and there is nothing to prove. We may assume that $\delta > 0$.

Let X_ω be the compactification of Alexandroff of X. To each $x \in X$,

$$\psi_\omega(x) = W(x) \cap \left\{ s \in E;\ \sup_{f \in B} \| f(x) - s \| \leqslant \delta \right\}$$

and

$$\psi_\omega(\omega) = \{0\}.$$

We will prove that ψ_ω is a carrier from X_ω into the nonempty closed subsets of E. Indeed. Let $x \in X_\omega$. If $x = \omega$ then $\psi_\omega(x) = \{0\} \neq \emptyset$ and $\psi_\omega(x)$ is closed in E. If $x \neq \omega$, we define $B(x) = \{f(x);\ f \in B\}$, then $B(x)$ is bounded in E and by hypothesis there is some $w \in W$ such that

$$\sup_{f \in B} \| f(x) - w(x) \| \leqslant \operatorname{rad}_{W(x)}(B(x)).$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{rad}_{W(x)}(B(x)) &= \inf_{w \in W} \sup_{f \in B} \| f(x) - w(x) \| \\ &\leqslant \inf_{w \in W} \sup_{f \in B} \| f - w \| = \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\psi_\omega(x) \neq \emptyset$. Clearly, $\psi_\omega(x)$ is closed.

By Lemma 3.7, ψ_ω is lower semicontinuous.

By Theorem 3.5, there exists $g_\omega \in \mathscr{C}(X_\omega;\ E)$ such that $g_\omega(x) \in \psi_\omega(x)$ an $g_\omega(\omega) = 0$.

Let $g \in \mathscr{C}_0(X;\ E)$ be the restriction of g_ω to X. Hence $g(x) \in W(x)$ for all $x \in X$. By Theorem 2.5 [5], $g \in \overline{W}$. Since W is closed, $g \in W$. On the other hand,

$$\sup_{f \in B} \| f(x) - g(x) \| \leqslant \delta$$

for all $x \in X$, and hence

$$\sup_{f \in B} \| f - g \| \leqslant \delta = \mathrm{rad}_W(B) \quad \text{and} \quad g \in \mathrm{cent}_W(B).$$

References

[1] E. Michael: Selected selection theorems, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 63 (1956) 233–238.

[2] C. Olech: Approximation of set-valued functions by continuous functions, *Colloquium Mathematicum* 19 (1968) 285–303.

[3] J.B. Prolla and S. Machado: Stone-Weierstrass theorems for set-valued mappings, *Journal of Approximation Theory*, Vol. 36 (1982) 1–15.

[4] J.B. Prolla: *Topics in Functional Analysis over Valued Division Rings*, North-Holland, Mathematics Studies; 77 (1982).

[5] M.Z.M.C. Soares: Non-Archimedean Nachbin Spaces, *Portugaliae Mathematica*, Vol. 39 (1980) to appear.

(Oblatum 25-X-1983 & 3-IV-1984)

Maria Zoraide M. Costa Soares
Mathematics Department
Universidade Estadual de Campinas
13.100 - Campinas - SP
Brazil