

COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

JAMES D. LEWIS

The cylinder homomorphism associated to quintic fourfolds

Compositio Mathematica, tome 56, n° 3 (1985), p. 315-329

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1985__56_3_315_0

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1985, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (<http://http://www.compositio.nl/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

THE CYLINDER HOMOMORPHISM ASSOCIATED TO QUINTIC FOURFOLDS

James D. Lewis

§0. Introduction

Let X be a quintic fourfold (smooth hypersurface of degree 5 in \mathbb{P}^5), and Ω_X the variety of lines in X . According to [1], if X is generically chosen, then Ω_X is a smooth surface. Let $\Phi_*: H_2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_4(X, \mathbb{Q})$ be the “cylinder homomorphism” obtained by blowing up each point on $\gamma \in H_2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q})$ to a corresponding line in X (thus sweeping out a 4 cycle in X). This homomorphism was studied in [4], and in particular, viewing Φ_* on cohomology (viz Poincaré duality):

(0.1) THEOREM: ([4; (4.4)]). *Let X be generic, $\omega \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ the Kähler class dual to the hyperplane section of X . Then $\Phi_*: H^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^4(X, \mathbb{Q})/\mathbb{Q}\omega \wedge \omega$ is an epimorphism.*

For relatively elementary reasons (see (5.5)), it is also true that $\Phi_: H^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^4(X, \mathbb{Q})$ is an epimorphism for generic X . This paper is devoted to the answering of the following question:*

(0.2) *What is the kernel of Φ_* ?*

In order to satisfactorily answer (0.2), some terminology has to be introduced. The family of hypersurfaces $\{X_v\}_{v \in \mathbb{P}^N}$ of degree 5 in \mathbb{P}^5 is a projective space of dimension $N = 251$. Let $U \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be the open set parameterizing the smooth X_v , $U_0 \subset U$ the open subset corresponding to those X for which Ω_X is a smooth, irreducible surface. Let $\Delta \subset U_0$ be a polydisk centered at $0 \in \Delta$, $X = X_0$, and for any $v \in \Delta$, define $j_v: \Omega_{X_v} \hookrightarrow \coprod_{v \in \Delta} \Omega_{X_v}$ to be the inclusion morphism. Now $\coprod_{v \in \Delta} \Omega_{X_v}$ is topologically equivalent to $\Delta \times \Omega_X$ (see [7]) for any given $v \in \Delta$, and therefore there is an isomorphism $j_v^* \circ (j_0^*)^{-1}: H^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^2(\Omega_{X_v}, \mathbb{Q})$.

(0.3) DEFINITION:

- (i) $H_A^{1,1}(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q}) = \{\gamma \in H^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q}) \mid j_v^* \circ (j_0^*)^{-1}(\gamma) \in H^{1,1}(\Omega_{X_v}, \mathbb{Q}) \text{ for all } v \in \Delta\}$.
- (ii) $H_B^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q}) =$ orthogonal complement of $H_A^{1,1}(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q})$ in $H^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q})$.

defined as follows (see (3.1) for a precise definition): (0.5) Let l_x be the line corresponding to $x \in \Omega_X$. Define $D(x) = \{y \in \Omega_X \mid y \neq x \ \& \ l_x \cap l_y \neq \emptyset\}$. It is proven (see (2.5)) that for generic X , $D(x)$ is a finite set for generic $x \in \Omega_X$.

Our theorem is: (X generic)

(0.6) THEOREM:

(i) i preserves the subspaces defined in (0.3)(i)&(ii); moreover $i:$

$$H_P^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_P^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q}) \text{ is an isomorphism.}$$

(ii) There is a s.e.s.:

$$0 \rightarrow (i + 119 \cdot I)H_P^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{i} H_P^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\Phi^*} \text{Prim}^4(X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow 0,$$

where i and I are respectively the inclusion and identity morphisms.

(iii) $\Phi_*(H_A^{1,1}(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q})) = \mathbb{Q}\omega \wedge \omega.$

(0.7) COROLLARY:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_P^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{\Phi^*} & \text{Prim}^4(X, \mathbb{Q}) \\ i \downarrow & & \downarrow \times 119 \\ H_P^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{\Phi^*} & \text{Prim}^4(X, \mathbb{Q}) \end{array}$$

is sign commutative.

Much of the techniques of this paper are borrowed from an interesting paper by Tyurin ([6]).

§1. Notation

(i) \mathbb{Z} = integers, \mathbb{Q} = rational numbers, \mathbb{C} = complex numbers

(ii) X is a quintic fourfold, \mathbb{P}^M is complex, projective M -space.

(iii) If Y is a projective, algebraic manifold, then $H^{p,p}(Y)$ is Dolbeault cohomology of type (p, p) and $H^{p,p}(Y, K) = H^{p,p}(Y) \cap H^{2p}(Y, K)$, where $K = \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}.$

(iv) Prim stands for primitive cohomology.

(v) There are 2 senses to the word “generic” in this paper. We say that X is generic if it is a member of a family $\{X_v\}_{v \in W}$ satisfying a given property, and where $W \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ is a Zariski open subset. The other use of the word “generic” is where X satisfies a given property that is transcendental in nature, and in this case the word generic will be prefixed by “transcendental”.

(vi) Let $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^M$ be given as in (iii) above, $G =$ Grassmannian of lines in \mathbb{P}^M . For $x \in G$, let l_x be the corresponding line in \mathbb{P}^M . The variety of lines in Y , denoted by Ω_Y is defined as follows: $\Omega_Y = \{x \in G \mid l_x \subset Y\}$.

(vii) Given Y as in (iii) and $S \subset Y$ an algebraic subset. Then $\dim S = \max\{\dim \text{ of irreducible components of } S\}$, and $\text{codim}_Y S = \dim Y - \dim S$.

§2. The variety of lines in X

Let $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a generic hypersurface of degree d , and assume $2n - d - 5 \geq 0$. An immediate consequence of [1] is:

(2.1) THEOREM: Ω_Y is smooth and irreducible, of dimension $2n - d - 3$.

There are two noteworthy cases to consider:

(2.2) COROLLARY: Given X a generic quintic fourfold, and Z a generic fivefold of degree 5 in \mathbb{P}^6 , then:

(i) Ω_X is a smooth, irreducible surface and

(ii) Ω_Z is a smooth, irreducible fourfold.

An argument identical to one given in [6; p. 38] yields:

(2.3) PROPOSITION: Given Z as in (2.2). Then through a generic point of Z passes $5!$ lines.

Before stating the main result of this section, we introduce the following notation: Let $c \in \Omega_X$, $l_c \subset X$ the corresponding line.

(2.4) $\Omega_{X,c} = \{y \in \Omega_X \mid l_y \cap l_c \neq \emptyset\}$. We prove:

(2.5) THEOREM: Let X be generic.

(i) $\dim \Omega_{X,c} = 0$ for generic $c \in \Omega_X$.

(ii) Let $c \in \Omega_X$ be generic. Then for any $y \in l_c$, there is at most one line $l_0 \subset X$ other than l_c passing through y .

PROOF: We start by letting X be any degree 5 hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^5 , and $x \in X$. If we let $[X_0, X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5]$ be the homogeneous coordinates defining \mathbb{P}^5 , then X admits as its defining equation $F = 0$, $F \in \mathbb{C}[X_0, \dots, X_5]$ a homogeneous polynomial of degree 5. Now after applying a projective transformation, there is no loss of generality in assuming $x = [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1]$. In this case F takes the form: $F = X_5^4 F_1 + X_5^3 F_2 + X_5^2 F_3 + X_5 F_4 + F_5$, where $F_i \in \mathbb{C}[X_0, \dots, X_4]$ is homogeneous of degree i . We now convert to affine coordinates by setting $x_i = X_i/X_5$, $i = 0, \dots, 4$. Define $f_i = F_i/X_5^i$ and note that $f_i \in \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_4]$ is homogeneous of degree i . Likewise, set $f = F/X_5^5$, and note that $f = f_1 + f_2 + f_3 + f_4 + f_5$. In affine coordinates $x = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$, therefore any line l_a passing through x must be of the form $l_a = \{ta \mid t \in \mathbb{C}\}$, where $a \in \mathbb{C}^5$ is non-zero.

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 l_a \subset X &\Leftrightarrow f_1(ta) + \dots + f_5(ta) = 0 \quad \text{for all } t \\
 \text{i.e.} &\Leftrightarrow tf_1(a) + \dots + t^5f_5(a) = 0 \quad \text{for all } t \\
 &\Leftrightarrow f_1(a) = \dots = f_5(a) = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

The upshot of this argument is that the lines in X passing through x correspond to the zeros of f_1, \dots, f_5 in \mathbb{P}^4 . Note that for generic $x \in X$, no such line exists. Let $V(i)$ be the vector space of homogeneous polynomials of degree i in $\mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_4]$, and set $V = V(1) \oplus \dots \oplus V(5)$. It is clear from our construction that X determines a point $v \in \mathbb{P}(V)$, conversely, any $v \in \mathbb{P}(V)$ determines X so that $x \in X$.

(2.6) Every $v \in \mathbb{P}(V)$ determines an algebraic set $S(v)$ defined as the zeros of f_1, \dots, f_5 in \mathbb{P}^4 . Define $V_1 = \{v \in \mathbb{P}(V) \mid \dim S(v) \geq 0\}$. If $v \in \mathbb{P}(V)$ is given so that $\dim S(v) = 0$, then define $\#S(v)$ to be the cardinality of $S(v)$ as a set. For $i = 2, 3$ define $V_i = \{v \in V_1 \mid \dim S(v) \geq 1 \text{ or } \#S(v) \geq i\}$, and set $V_B = \{v \in V_1 \mid \dim S(v) \geq 1\}$. We need the following:

(2.7) LEMMA: $\text{codim}_{\mathbb{P}(V)} V_i = i$, for $i = 1, 2, 3$ & $\text{codim}_{\mathbb{P}(V)} V_B \geq 5$.

PROOF: Let $V' = V(j) \oplus \dots \oplus V(5) \subset V$, for $j = 1, \dots, 5$, and $\mathbb{P}(V') \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$ the corresponding projective subspaces. Note that for $v \in \mathbb{P}(V')$, $S(v) = \text{zeros of } \{f_j, \dots, f_5\} \text{ in } \mathbb{P}^4$. We will prove (2.7) case-by-case:

(a) $\text{codim}_{\mathbb{P}(V)} V_1 = 1$: It follows from general principles ([5; (3.30)]) that $v \in \mathbb{P}(V^2) \Rightarrow S(v) \neq \emptyset$, so for such v , choose any $y \in S(v)$. Clearly $\{f_1 \in \mathbb{P}(V(1)) \mid f_1(y) = 0\}$ cuts out a codimension 1 subspace of $\mathbb{P}(V(1))$, hence $\text{codim}_{\mathbb{P}(V)} V_1 = 1$.

(b) $\text{codim}_{\mathbb{P}(V)} V_2 = 2$: Let $v \in V^2$ be given so that $\dim S(v) = 0$ and $\#S(v) \geq 2$. Let $y_1, y_2 \in S(v)$ with $y_1 \neq y_2$. Then $\{f_1 \in \mathbb{P}(V(1)) \mid f_1(y_1) = f_1(y_2) = 0\}$ cuts out a subspace of codimension 2 in $\mathbb{P}(V(1))$. Statement (b) now follows from:

(2.8) SUBLEMMA: $\{v \in \mathbb{P}(V^2) \mid \dim S(v) \geq 1\}$ has codimension ≥ 3 in $\mathbb{P}(V^2)$.

PROOF: If $v \in \mathbb{P}(V^3)$, then $\dim S(v) \geq 1$ and equal to 1 for generic v . Define $H = \{(y, v) \in \mathbb{P}^4 \times \mathbb{P}(V^3) \mid y \in S(v)\}$, and let q_1, q_2 be the canonical projections in the diagram below:



Note that the fibers of q_1 are projective spaces, all of which are projectively equivalent to each other; moreover q_1 (and q_2) are surjective, hence H is irreducible. In addition $q_2^{-1}(v) = S(v)$, and by our construction, the generic fiber of q_2 is a smooth, irreducible curve of degree 60 (Bezout's theorem). Let $K = \{v \in \mathbb{P}(V^3) \mid \dim S(v) \geq 2\}$. Then by considering the morphism q_2 , it follows that $\text{codim}_{\mathbb{P}(V^3)} K \geq 2$, (in fact $\text{codim}_{\mathbb{P}(V^3)} K \geq 3$). If $v \in \mathbb{P}(V^3)$ is given so that $\dim S(v) = 1$, then elementary reasoning implies $\{f_2 \in \mathbb{P}(V(2)) \mid f_2 \text{ vanishes on a component of } S(v) \text{ of dimension } 1\}$ is of codimension ≥ 3 in $\mathbb{P}(V(2))$. On the other hand if $v \in \mathbb{P}(V^3)$ is given so that $\dim S(v) \geq 2$, then one constructs a diagram analogous to (2.9), replacing $\mathbb{P}(V^3)$ by $\mathbb{P}(V^4)$, modifying H accordingly, and applying a similar reasoning as above to conclude $\text{codim}_{\mathbb{P}(V^3)} K \geq 3$, hence (2.8).

(c) $\text{codim}_{\mathbb{P}(V)} V_3 = 3$: If $v \in V^2$ is generically chosen, then $\#S(v) = 5!$ (bezout's theorem), moreover no 3 points in $S(v)$ are collinear. If $y_1, y_2, y_3 \in S(v)$ are distinct, then $\{f_1 \in \mathbb{P}(V(1)) \mid f_1(y_1) = f_1(y_2) = f_1(y_3) = 0\}$ is a subspace of codimension 3 in $\mathbb{P}(V(1))$. The case that $v \in V^2$ is given so that $\dim S(v) \geq 1$ is taken care of by (2.8). There remains the possibility that $v \in V^2$ is given so that $\dim S(v) = 0$ and that some collinearity (of 3 points) exists. For this to happen, v would have to belong to a proper subvariety of V^2 , and one can easily argue that statement (c) still holds.

(d) $\text{codim}_{\mathbb{P}(V)} V_B \geq 5$: A construction similar to the proof of (2.8) implies $\{v \in \mathbb{P}(V^2) \mid \dim S(v) \geq 2\}$ is of codimension ≥ 5 in $\mathbb{P}(V^2)$. Now suppose $v \in \mathbb{P}(V^2)$ is given so that $\dim S(v) = 1$. Then $\{f_1 \in \mathbb{P}(V(1)) \mid f_1 \text{ vanishes on a dimension } 1 \text{ component of } S(v)\}$ is of codimension ≥ 2 in $\mathbb{P}(V(1))$. We now apply (2.8) to conclude statement (d), and the proof of (2.7).

(2.10) Conclusion of the proof of (2.5)

Recall at the beginning of the proof a choice of $x \in \mathbb{P}^5$ which determines $\mathbb{P}(V), V_1, V_2, V_3, V_B$, where $\mathbb{P}(V)$ corresponds to those $X \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ for which $x \in X$. To indicate that our choice of x determines $\mathbb{P}(V)$, we will relabel things with the obvious meaning as $\mathbb{P}(V_x), V_{1,x}, V_{2,x}, V_{3,x}, V_{B,x}$. Now define $W = \coprod_{x \in \mathbb{P}^5} \mathbb{P}(V_x), W_i = \coprod_{x \in \mathbb{P}^5} V_{i,x}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, W_B = \coprod_{x \in \mathbb{P}^5} V_{B,x}$. It is easy to verify that W, W_i 's, W_B all have the structure of an algebraic variety, moreover by (2.7):

(2.11) $\text{codim}_W W_i = i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $\text{codim}_W W_B \geq 5$.

Recall the statement just preceding (2.6), that for any X and $x \in X, X$ determines a point $v_x \in \mathbb{P}(V_x)$. Therefore X determines a fourfold $X_W \subset W$ given by the formula $X_W = \coprod_{x \in X} v_x$. For generic $X \subset \mathbb{P}^5, \dim\{X_W \cap W_i\} = 4 - i$, and $X_W \cap W_B = \emptyset$. Translating this in terms of $\Omega_X, (2.5)$ clearly holds.

Q.E.D.

§3. The incidence and cylinder homomorphisms

Let $D_1 \subset \Omega_X \times \Omega_X$ be given by the formula: $D_1 = \{(x_1, x_2) \in \Omega_X \times \Omega_X \mid l_{x_1} \cap l_{x_2} \neq \emptyset \ \& \ x_1 \neq x_2\}$. It is clear from the definition that $\{x, D_1(x)\} = \Omega_{X,x}$. Throughout this section X will be assumed to be generic.

(3.1) DEFINITION: The incidence correspondance $D \subset \Omega_X \times \Omega_X$ is defined to be: $D = \bar{D}_1$.

Note that $\text{codim}_{\Omega_X \times \Omega_X} D = 2$, therefore the fundamental class of D defines a cocycle $[D] \in H^4(\Omega_X \times \Omega_X, \mathbb{Q})$. Now the component of $[D]$ in $H^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q})$, via the Künneth formula $H^4(\Omega_X \times \Omega_X, \mathbb{Q}) = \oplus_{p+q=4} H^p(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H^q(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q})$, induces a morphism $i: H^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q})$, where we use the fact $H^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q})^* \cong H^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q})$ (Poincaré duality).

(3.2) DEFINITION: The homomorphism $i: H^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q})$ is called the incidence homomorphism.

The morphism i factors into a composite of 3 other morphisms given as follows:

(3.3) Let

- (i) $p: D \rightarrow \Omega_X$ be the projection onto the first factor,
- (ii) $j: \Omega_X \times \Omega_X \rightarrow \Omega_X \times \Omega_X$ the morphism which permutes the factors, i.e. $j(x_1, x_2) = (x_2, x_1)$. Note that $j(D) = D$.


Then:

(3.4) PROPOSITION: $i = p_* \circ j \circ p^*$.

PROOF: Use the fact that the correspondence defined by $p_* \circ j \circ p^*$ in $\Omega_X \times \Omega_X$ is precisely D .

(3.5) The cylinder homomorphism

We will be constantly referring to the following diagram:



where, Z is a smooth degree 5 hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^6 , for which $X \subset Z$ is a (smooth) hyperplane section

$$P(X) = \{(c, x) \in \Omega_X \times X \mid x \in l_c\}$$

$$P(Z) = \{(c, z) \in \Omega_Z \times Z \mid z \in l_c\}$$

ρ (resp. ρ_Z) is the projection of $P(X)$ (resp. $P(Z)$) onto the first factor

φ (resp. φ_Z) is the projection of $P(X)$ (resp. $P(Z)$) onto the second factor

$$\tilde{X} = \varphi_Z^{-1}(X), \varphi_X = \varphi_Z|_{\tilde{X}}: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X, \rho_X = \rho_Z|_{\tilde{X}}: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \Omega_Z$$

i_1, i_2, i_3, j_1, j_2 are inclusion morphisms.

The same reasoning given in [2; p. 81] implies the following:

(3.7) PROPOSITION (see [4]):

- (i) $P(X), P(Z)$ are \mathbb{P}^1 bundles over Ω_X and Ω_Z respectively.
- (ii) $P(X), P(Z), \tilde{X}, \Omega_X, \Omega_Z$ are smooth and irreducible.
- (iii) All morphisms in (3.6), except for inclusions, are surjective.
- (iv) $\deg \varphi_Z = \deg \varphi_X = 5!$.
- (v) ρ_X is birational and induces: $\tilde{X} \cong$ blow up of Ω_Z along Ω_X .

(3.8) REMARKS:

- (i) (2.2) implies the smoothness and irreducibility for Ω_X and Ω_Z .
- (ii) (3.7) (iv) is a consequence of (2.3).

As will be discussed in §4, the threefold $\varphi(P(X))$ has a 2-dimensional singular set. Let S be a generic hyperplane section of $\varphi(P(X))$. One should expect S to be singular. The next result is a direct consequence of (2.5), together with the definitions of $P(X), \rho, \varphi$:

(3.9) PROPOSITION: φ is a birational morphism, moreover φ induces a birational map $\Omega_X \approx S$.

(3.10) DEFINITION: The cylinder homomorphism $\Phi_*: H^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^4(X, \mathbb{Q})$ is given by: $\Phi_* = j_{1,*} \circ \varphi_* \circ \rho^*$.

Let $I: H^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q})$ be the identity morphism, $\omega \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ the Kähler class defined in (0.1). The next result ties in a relationship between i and Φ_* .

(3.11) PROPOSITION: $\Phi_*((i + 119 \cdot I)H^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q})) = 0$ in $H^4(X, \mathbb{Q}) / \mathbb{Q}\omega \wedge \omega$

PROOF: The proof of (3.11) is formally identical to the proof of lemma 6 in [6; p. 42] where

- (a) Z and $\deg \varphi_Z = 5!$ replace X_4 and $\deg \varphi$ in [6].
- (b) the cycles are even dimensional.
- (c) the weak Lefschetz theorem applied to the inclusions $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^6$ & j_2 :
 $X \hookrightarrow Z$ implies $j_2^*(H^4(Z, \mathbb{Q})) = \mathbb{Q} \omega \wedge \omega$.
- (d) $119 = 5! - 1$.

§4. The numerical characteristic of the surface Ω_X

Let $\psi_1: D_1 \rightarrow X$ be the morphism defined by the formula: $\psi_1(x_1, x_2) = l_{x_1} \cap l_{x_2} \in X$. Then ψ_1 extends to a rational map $\psi_0: D \rightarrow X$, moreover $\deg \psi_0 = 2$ by (2.5)(ii). Let $\Gamma = D/\{j\}$ with quotient morphism $\psi: D \rightarrow \Gamma$. There is a factorization of ψ_0 :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 D & \xrightarrow{\psi_0} & X \\
 \searrow \psi & & \nearrow k \\
 & \Gamma &
 \end{array}
 \tag{4.1}$$

where k is a birational map onto its image, $\psi_0(D)$. This factorization will be useful in the next section where we consider an analogue to the fundamental computational lemma in [6; p. 45]. Note that the fibers of φ in (3.6) are a discrete over every point in $\varphi(P(X))$, moreover $\#\varphi^{-1}(x) \geq 2$ over $\overline{\psi_1(D_1)}$ and $\#\varphi^{-1}(x) = 1$ over $\varphi(P(X)) - \overline{\psi_1(D_1)}$, where $\#$ includes multiplicity. By applying Zariski's Main theorem to φ , it is clear that $\text{sing}(\varphi(P(X))) = \overline{\psi_1(D_1)}$. On the other hand, $\overline{\psi_1(D_1)} = \psi_0(D)$, therefore, taking into account the result (2.5)(ii), we can summarize the above discussion in:

(4.2) PROPOSITION: $\text{sing}(\varphi(P(X))) = \psi_0(D)$, moreover through a generic point of $\text{sing}(\varphi(P(X)))$ passes exactly 2 lines in X .

So far we have only focused on the number of lines passing through a given point in $\varphi(P(X))$. We now turn our attention to the problem of determining the number of lines meeting a generic line in X . This number will be denoted by N_0 , and bears the title of this section, namely, recall the definition of p in (3.3)(i):

(4.3) DEFINITION: The numerical characteristic N_0 of Ω_X is given by: $N_0 = \deg p$.

(4.4) REMARK: This definition is borrowed in part from [6; p. 40].

There is another ingredient we want to introduce, but before doing so, we recall from the Lefschetz theorem applied to $X \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ that $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z} \omega$. Let $[\varphi(P(X))]$ be the fundamental class of $\varphi(P(X))$ in $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$. Then there is a positive integer d for which $[\varphi(P(X))] = d\omega$. Geometri-

cally, d is the degree of the hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^5 cutting out $\varphi(P(X))$ in X . A partial generalization of Fano's work (see [6; p. 40]) implies d and N_0 are related by the simple:

(4.5) PROPOSITION: $d - N_0 \leq -2$.

PROOF: The proof is essentially borrowed from lemma 5 in [6; p. 40], but there are important differences accounting for the changes in statements between (4.5) and [6]. Let $l \in \mathbb{P}^1, \mathbb{P}^3, X$ be generically chosen in \mathbb{P}^5 , so that $l \subset X \cap \mathbb{P}^3$, and that $S_0 = \mathbb{P}^3 \cap X$ is a smooth quintic surface. The adjunction formula for $S_0 \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ implies $\Omega_{S_0}^2 = \mathcal{O}_{S_0}(1)$, where $\Omega_{S_0}^2$ is the canonical sheaf of S_0 . Note that l is the only line in S_0 , since a generic hyperplane section of X contains only a finite number of lines ([1]), and S_0 is cut out by a generic \mathbb{P}^3 . If H is a generic hyperplane in \mathbb{P}^5 containing l , then $H \cap S_0 = l + C_0$, where C_0 is a smooth and irreducible curve. Note from the above expression for $\Omega_{S_0}^2$ that $\Omega_{S_0}^2 = \mathcal{O}_{S_0}(H \cap S_0) = \mathcal{O}_{S_0}(l + C_0)$. Now taking intersections: $1 = (l \cdot H)_{\mathbb{P}^5} = (l \cdot (H \cdot S_0))_{S_0} = (l \cdot (l + C_0))_{S_0}$, (where $\cdot = \cap$), consequently $(l \cdot C_0)_{S_0} = 1 - l^2$. On the other hand, the adjunction formula applied to $l \subset S_0$ implies: $-2 = (l \cdot (l + (H \cdot S_0)))_{S_0} = l^2 + 1$, hence $l^2 = -3$, afortiori $(l \cdot C_0)_{S_0} = 4$. Next $S_0 \cap \varphi(P(X)) = l + C_1 \sim d(H \cdot S_0) = dl + dC_0$, hence $C_1 \sim (d-1)l + dC_0$, therefore $(C_1 \cdot l)_{S_0} = (d-1)l^2 + d(l \cdot C_0)_{S_0} = d+3$. Now $\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(P(X)) \cap S_0) = l + \varphi^{-1}(C_1)$ where $\varphi^{-1}(C_1)$ is no longer regarded as a global section of the fibering $p: P(X) \rightarrow \Omega_X$ as in [6], but rather as a section of ρ over a curve in Ω_X , where we use the aforementioned fact that l is the only line in S . Then among the points of intersection in $C_1 \cdot l$ is a possible point of intersection of l with $\varphi^{-1}(C_1)$, and the remaining points are the intersections of l with at most the other lines in X meeting l . Therefore $(C_1 \cdot l)_{S_0} \leq N_0 + 1$, afortiori $d+3 \leq N_0 + 1$, which proves (4.5).

Let H_1 be the hypersurface of degree d which cuts out $\varphi(P(X)) \subset X$, and let $l \subset X$ be any line. Since $l \subset X$, we have $(H_1 \cdot l)_{\mathbb{P}^5} = ((H_1 \cdot X) \cdot l)_X$. Furthermore $d = (H_1 \cdot l)_{\mathbb{P}^5}$, moreover $H_1 \cap X = \varphi(P(X))$. In summary:

(4.6) PROPOSITION: $d = (\varphi(P(X)) \cdot l)_X$.

This concludes §4.

§5. The fundamental computational lemma (F.C.L.)

In this section we will arrive at a version of the F.C.L. in [6] for Φ_* : $H_2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_4(X, \mathbb{Q})$ where Φ_* is studied on the homology level via Poincaré duality. As in §4, X will be a generic quintic. Now recalling the

diagram in (4.1) together with (4.2), there is a diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \Omega_X \times \Omega_X & \\
 & \cup & \\
 & D & \\
 p \swarrow & & \searrow \psi \\
 \Omega_X & & \Gamma \xrightarrow[k \cong]{} \text{sing}(\varphi(P(X)))
 \end{array} \tag{5.1}$$

Define $\Gamma_0 = \{y \in \Gamma \mid k \text{ is regular at } y \text{ \& } k(y) \notin \text{sing}(\text{sing } \varphi(P(X)))\}$. Clearly Γ_0 is smooth and Zariski open in Γ . Next define $D_0 = \psi^{-1}(\Gamma_0)$, $\Sigma_0 = D - D_0$, and note that $j(D_0) = D_0$ and Σ_0 is closed in D . Note that $\Sigma = p(\Sigma_0) \subset \Omega_X$ is closed and of codimension ≥ 1 . Define $\Omega_{X,0} = \Omega_X - \Sigma$. We can desingularize the diagram in (5.1) to:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \tilde{D} & \\
 p \swarrow & & \searrow \sigma_1 \\
 \Omega_X & & \tilde{\Gamma},
 \end{array} \tag{5.2}$$

where all maps are morphisms, and $\tilde{D}, \tilde{\Gamma}$ are smooth. Diagrams (5.1) & (5.2) are analogous to the diagrams on p. 46 & 47 in [6], indeed we have even tried to retain similar notation. Let $i_0: \Omega_{X,0} \hookrightarrow \Omega_X$ be the inclusion, and set $H_2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q})_\Sigma = i_{0,*}(H_2(\Omega_{X,0}, \mathbb{Q})) \subset H_2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q})$. We can now state:

(5.3) THEOREM (F.C.L.): *Let $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in H_2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q})_\Sigma$. Then $(\Phi_*(\gamma_1) \cdot \Phi_*(\gamma_2))_X = (d - N_0)(\gamma_1 \cdot \gamma_2)_{\Omega_X} + (i\gamma_1 \cdot \gamma_2)_{\Omega_X}$.*

PROOF: Except for dimensions of cycles in question, the proof of (5.3) is formally identical to the proof of the F.C.L. in [6; p. 45], which begins on p. 46 of [6], and involves the integral invariants N_0 , and d of (4.6).

(5.4) For the remainder of this section, we will occupy ourselves with the problem of reformulating (5.3) so as to not involve the particular algebraic cycle $\Sigma \subset \Omega_X$.

We will now fulfill a promise made earlier:

(5.5) PROPOSITION: $\Phi_*: H^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^4(X, \mathbb{Q})$ is surjective.

PROOF: We will use the notation following (0.2) where $\Delta \subset U_0$ is a polydisk centered at $0 \in \Delta$, $X = X_0 \in \coprod_{v \in \Delta} X_v$. Let $i_v: X_v \hookrightarrow \coprod_{v \in \Delta} X_v$ be the inclusion morphism. Let X be transcendently generic. Now because Δ is uncountable, any $\gamma \in H^{2,2}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ will have a horizontal displace-

ment in $\prod_{v \in \Delta} H^4(X_v, \mathbb{Q})$ which is also of Hodge type (2, 2), i.e. $i_v^* \circ (i_0^*)^{-1}(\gamma) \in H^{2,2}(X_v, \mathbb{Q})$ for all $v \in \Delta$. However it is a general fact (using Lefschetz pencils) that such $\gamma \in \mathbb{Q} \omega \wedge \omega$, hence X transcendently generic $\Rightarrow H^{2,2}(X, \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q} \omega \wedge \omega$. This means that the only algebraic cocycle in $H^4(X, \mathbb{Q})$ is a \mathbb{Q} multiple of $\omega \wedge \omega$. Since Φ_* preserves algebraicity, clearly Φ_* is surjective for transcendental X . Now it can be easily seen that the cylinder homomorphisms $\Phi_{v,*}: H^2(\Omega_{X_v}, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^4(X_v, \mathbb{C})$ piece together to form a morphism $\bar{\Phi}: \prod_{v \in \Delta} H^2(\Omega_{X_v}, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \prod_{v \in \Delta} H^4(X_v, \mathbb{C})$ of (trivial) analytic vector bundles over Δ . From the above discussion $\bar{\Phi}$ is fiberwise surjective on a uncountable dense subset of Δ , hence by analytic considerations, must be surjective over Δ . Q.E.D.

Let $k_0: \Sigma \hookrightarrow \Omega_X$ be the inclusion. Our next result is:

(5.6) PROPOSITION:

$$H_2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q})_{\Sigma} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \gamma \in H_2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q}) \mid (\gamma \cdot k_{0,*}(\alpha))_{\Omega_X} = 0 \\ \text{for all } \alpha \in H_2(\Sigma, \mathbb{Q}) \end{array} \right\}.$$

PROOF: It follows from [3; ch. 27] that there is a commutative diagram: (for our purposes $H^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{C})$ will be viewed as deRham cohomology)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{k_0^*} & H^2(\Sigma, \mathbb{Q}) \\ \uparrow D_P \quad | \quad \uparrow D_A & & \uparrow | \\ H_2(\Omega_{X,0}, \mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{i_{0,*}} & H_2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{f_*} H_2(\Omega_X, \Omega_{X,0}) \end{array} \quad (5.7)$$

where D_P and D_A are respectively Poincaré and Alexander duality. Now for

$$\begin{aligned} & \gamma \in H_2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q}), f_*(\gamma) \\ & = 0 \Leftrightarrow k_0^* \circ D_P(\gamma) = 0 \\ & \Leftrightarrow \int_{k_{0,*}(\alpha)} D_P(\gamma) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in H_2(\Sigma, \mathbb{Q}) \\ & \Leftrightarrow (\gamma \cdot k_{0,*}(\alpha))_{\Omega_X} = 0 \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in H_2(\Sigma, \mathbb{Q}). \end{aligned}$$

Now recall the Lefschetz (1, 1) theorem which states that $H^{1,1}(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by the fundamental classes of algebraic curves in Ω_X . We introduce the following notation:

(5.8) DEFINITION:

- (i) The transcendental cohomology, $H_T^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q})$, is given by:

$$H_T^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q}) = \{ \gamma \in H^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q}) \mid \gamma \wedge H^{1,1}(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q}) = 0 \}.$$
- (ii) $H_\Sigma^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q}) = D_P(H_2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q})_\Sigma).$

(5.9) COROLLARY: $H_T^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q}) \subset H_\Sigma^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q}).$

PROOF: Compare (5.6) to (5.8)(i).

According to (5.9), it is clear that one can formulate a version of (5.3) for cocycles in $H_T^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q})$, however there is another subspace in $H_\Sigma^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q})$ which contains $H_T^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q})$ and best suits our purposes. Recall the definition of $H_A^{1,1}(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q})$ in (0.3). There is an equivalent definition of $H_A^{1,1}(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q})$ using the notation in the proof of (5.5) and the Lefschetz (1, 1) Theorem.

(5.10) DEFINITION:

$$(i) \quad H_A^{1,1}(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q}) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l|l} \text{algebraic cocycles} & \text{a horizontal} \\ \gamma \in H^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q}) & \text{deformation of } \gamma \text{ in} \\ & \coprod_{v \in \Delta} H^2(\Omega_{X_v}, \mathbb{Q}) \text{ is} \\ & \text{algebraic} \end{array} \right\}.$$

$$(ii) \quad H_P^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q}) = \{ \gamma \in H^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q}) \mid \gamma \wedge H_A^{1,1}(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q}) = 0 \}.$$

(5.11) REMARKS: From the general theory of Hilbert schemes, $H_A^{1,1}(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q})$ is independent of the choice of polydisk $\Delta \subset U_0$, $\dim H_A^{1,1}(\Omega_{X_v}, \mathbb{Q})$ is constant over $v \in U_0$, and $H_A^{1,1}(\Omega_{X_v}, \mathbb{Q}) = H^{1,1}(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q})$ for transcendentially generic X .

(5.12) PROPOSITION: $H_T^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q}) \subset H_P^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q}) \subset H_\Sigma^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q}).$

PROOF: The inclusion $H_T^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q}) \subset H_P^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q})$ is obvious from the definitions, moreover is an equality for transcendentially generic X ((5.11)). Next as X varies, i.e. $v \in U_0$ varies, Σ also varies algebraically, hence $[\Sigma] \in H_A^{1,1}(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q})$, therefore the second inclusion follows from (5.6), (5.8)(ii)&(5.10)(ii).

(5.13) REMARKS:

- (i) The well known properties of the pairing $H^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{C}) \times H^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ imply $H^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q}) = H_P^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q}) \oplus H_A^{1,1}(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q})$ is an orthogonal decomposition under \wedge .
- (ii) As X varies, i.e. $v \in U_0$ varies, the incidence correspondence $D \subset \Omega_X \times \Omega_X$ also varies algebraically. Therefore $i(H_A^{1,1}(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q})) \subset H_A^{1,1}(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q}).$

We need the following:

(5.14) LEMMA: $\Phi_*(H_P^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q})) \subset \text{Prim}^4(X, \mathbb{Q})$.

PROOF: Let H_1, H_2 be generic hyperplanes in \mathbb{P}^5 , $X_s = H_1 \cap H_2 \cap X$, $Y_s = X_s \cap \varphi(P(X))$. Note that $[X_s] = \omega \wedge \omega \in H^{2,2}(X, \mathbb{Z})$, and Y_s is a curve in $S = H_1 \cap \varphi(P(X))$. By (3.9), Y_s induces a corresponding curve C_1 in Ω_X , given by the formula $C_1 = \rho_* \circ \varphi^*(Y_s)$. Since Y_s varies algebraically as X varies, clearly $[C_1] \in H_A^{1,1}(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q})$. Now let $\gamma \in H_2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q})$ be given so that $D_P(\gamma) \in H_P^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q})$. From the techniques of the proof of (5.6), it is clear that γ can be chosen to be supported on $\Omega_X\text{-supp}(C_1)$. Therefore, on the cycle level, $\Phi_*(\gamma) \cap Y_s = 0$, hence $(\Phi_*(\gamma) \cdot X_s)_X = 0$. By translating this in terms of cohomology, $\Phi_*(H_P^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q})) \wedge \omega \wedge \omega = 0$. But $\wedge \omega: H^6(X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^8(X, \mathbb{Q})$ is an isomorphism, hence $\Phi_*(H_P^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q})) \wedge \omega = 0$, i.e. $\Phi_*(H_P^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q})) \subset \text{Prim}^4(X, \mathbb{Q})$. Q.E.D.

There is another needed result:

(5.15) LEMMA: Let $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in H_2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q})$. Then $(i\gamma_1 \cdot \gamma_2)_{\Omega_X} = (\gamma_1 \cdot i\gamma_2)_{\Omega_X}$.

PROOF: Using the notation of (5.2), together with the definition of j , there is a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \check{D} & \xrightarrow{\check{j}} & \check{D} \\
 g \downarrow & & g \downarrow \\
 D & \xrightarrow{j} & D
 \end{array} \tag{5.16}$$

where \check{j} is biregular, and g is a birational morphism. Define $\check{p} = p \circ g: \check{D} \rightarrow \Omega_X$. It is easy to verify that the correspondence defined by $\check{p}_* \circ \check{j}_* \circ \check{p}^*$ is the same as $p_* \circ j \circ p^* = D$, hence $\check{p}_* \circ \check{j}_* \circ \check{p}^* = i$. Now by applying the projection formula 3 times we have: (Note $\check{j}^* = \check{j}_*$)

$$\begin{aligned}
 (i\gamma_1 \cdot \gamma_2)_{\Omega_X} &= (\check{p}_* \circ \check{j}_* \circ \check{p}^*(\gamma_1) \cdot \gamma_2)_{\Omega_X} \\
 &= (\gamma_1 \cdot \check{p}_* \circ \check{j}_* \circ \check{p}^*(\gamma_2))_{\Omega_X} \\
 &= (\gamma_1 \cdot i\gamma_2)_{\Omega_X}.
 \end{aligned}$$

(5.17) COROLLARY: $i(H_P^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q})) \subset H_P^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q})$.

PROOF: Otherwise there exists $\gamma_1 \in H_P^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q})$, $\gamma_2 \in H_A^{1,1}(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q})$ such that $i(\gamma_1) \wedge \gamma_2 \neq 0$. But $i(\gamma_1) \wedge \gamma_2 = \gamma_1 \wedge i(\gamma_2)$ by (5.15) = 0 by (5.13), a contradiction.

We can formulate (5.3) for $H_P^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q})$:

(5.18) PROPOSITION: Given $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in H_2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q})$ with $D_P(\gamma_1), D_P(\gamma_2)$ in $H_P^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q})$. Then $(\Phi_*(\gamma_1) \cdot \Phi_*(\gamma_2))_X = (d - N_0)(\gamma_1 \cdot \gamma_2)_{\Omega_X} + (i\gamma_1 \cdot \gamma_2)_{\Omega_X}$.

PROOF: Use (5.3) & (5.12).

Combining everything together so far we arrive at the final result of this section:

(5.19) THEOREM. The following subspaces are the same:

- (i) $S_1 = \{\gamma \in H_P^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q}) \mid \Phi_*(\gamma) = 0\}$
- (ii) $S_2 = \{\gamma \in H_P^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q}) \mid (d - N_0)\gamma + i(\gamma) = 0\}$
- (iii) $S_3 = (i + 119 \cdot I)H_P^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q})$.

PROOF: $S_1 = S_2$ follows immediately from (5.18) and (5.5). Next (3.11), (5.14), (5.17) imply $S_3 \subset S_1$. We first justify the claim: $\{\ker(i + 119 \cdot I)\} \cap S_1 = 0$. If $\gamma \in \ker(i + 119 \cdot I) \cap S_1$, then $i(\gamma) + 119\gamma = (d - N_0)\gamma + i(\gamma) = 0$, hence $(119 - (d - N_0))\gamma = 0$, $\Rightarrow \gamma = 0$ by (4.5), which proves the claim. Using the claim, it is clear that the homomorphism $(i + 119 \cdot I): S_1 \rightarrow S_3$ is injective, hence an isomorphism as $S_3 \subset S_1$. (5.19) now follows.

§6. A quadratic relation and the proof of the main theorem

We now attend to the proof of the main theorem ((0.6)). Let $r = d - N_0$, and set $Q(i) = (rI + i)(i + 119 \cdot I) = i^2 + (119 + r)i + r \cdot 119 \cdot I$. We prove:

(6.1) PROPOSITION:

- (i) $Q(i): H_P^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_P^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q})$ is the zero morphism.
- (ii) $i: H_P^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_P^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q})$ is an isomorphism.

PROOF: Part (i) is an immediate consequence of (5.19). For part (ii), note that $i(\gamma) = Q(i)(\gamma) = 0 \Rightarrow r \cdot 199\gamma = 0$, afortiori $\gamma = 0$. Q.E.D.

Note that for any $\gamma \in H_A^{1,1}(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q})$, $\Phi_*(\gamma)$ has the property that under a horizontal displacement in $\coprod_{v \in \Delta} H^4(X_v, \mathbb{Q})$, $\Phi_*(\gamma)$ is still algebraic. One concludes from the proof of (5.5) that $\Phi_*(\gamma) \in \mathbb{Q}\omega \wedge \omega$. Therefore $\Phi_*(H_A^{1,1}(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q})) = \mathbb{Q}\omega \wedge \omega$, hence:

(6.2) COROLLARY: $\Phi_*(H_P^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q})) = \text{Prim}^4(X, \mathbb{Q})$.

PROOF: Use the above remark, (5.5)&(5.14).

Combining (6.2) with (5.19)&(6.1), we arrive at our main result.

(6.3) THEOREM:

- (i) i respects the decomposition $H^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q}) = H_P^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q}) \oplus H_A^{1,1}(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q})$, moreover $i: H_P^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_P^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q})$ is an isomorphism.

(ii) *There is a s.e.s.:*

$$0 \rightarrow (i + 119 \cdot I) H_P^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_P^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q})$$

$$\xrightarrow{\Phi_*} \text{Prim}^4(X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow 0.$$

(iii) $\Phi_*(H_A^{1,1}(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q})) = \mathbb{Q} \omega \wedge \omega.$

(6.4) COROLLARY: The diagram below:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_P^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_*} & \text{Prim}^4(X, \mathbb{Q}) \\ i \downarrow & & \downarrow \times 119 \\ H^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_*} & \text{Prim}^4(X, \mathbb{Q}) \end{array}$$

is sign commutative.

PROOF: Let $\gamma \in H_P^2(\Omega_X, \mathbb{Q})$. Then $(i + 119 \cdot I)\gamma \in \ker \Phi_*$, hence $\Phi_*(i\gamma) + 119\Phi_*(\gamma) = 0$, which proves (6.4).

References

[1] W. BARTH and A. VAN DE VEN: Fano-varieties of lines on hypersurfaces. *Arch. Math.* 31 (1978).

[2] S. BLOCH and MURRE, J.P.: On the Chow groups of certain types of Fano threefolds, *Compositio Mathematica* 39 (1979) 47-105.

[3] M.J. GREENBERG: Lectures on algebraic topology, *Mathematics Lecture Note Series*. W.A. Benjamin, Inc. (1967).

[4] J. LEWIS: The Hodge conjecture for a certain class of fourfolds. To appear.

[5] D. MUMFORD: Algebraic Geometry. I. Complex Projective Varieties, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York (1976).

[6] A.N. Tyurin, Five lectures on three-dimensional varieties, *Russian math. Surveys* 27 (1972) 1-53.

[7] R.O. WELLS Jr.: Differential Analysis on Complex Manifolds, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey (1973).

(Oblatum 5-X-1983)

Assistant Professor
 Department of Mathematics
 University of Saskatchewan
 Saskatoon, Saskatchewan
 Canada S7N 0W0