

COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

V. SRINIVAS

Vector bundles on the cone over a curve

Compositio Mathematica, tome 47, n° 3 (1982), p. 249-269

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1982__47_3_249_0

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1982, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (<http://www.compositio.nl/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

VECTOR BUNDLES ON THE CONE OVER A CURVE

V. Srinivas

Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}_k^n$ be a projectively normal curve over an algebraically closed field k , and let $C(X) \subset \mathbb{A}^{n+1}$ be the affine cone over X . The problem studied in this paper is to determine whether $K_0(C(X)) = \mathbb{Z}$, where K_0 denotes the Grothendieck group of vector bundles on $C(X)$ (see [2] for definitions). This is an important special case of a question raised by Murthy, as to whether $K_0(A) = \mathbb{Z}$ for any normal graded ring $A = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} A_n$, finitely generated over $A_0 = k$, where k is a field (see Bass [1]). Spencer Bloch recently showed that $K_0(A) \neq \mathbb{Z}$ for $A = \mathbb{C}[X, Y, Z]/(Z^2 - X^3 - Y^7)$ giving a counterexample to Murthy's question. However, one still suspected that the result would be true for cones. Partial positive results were known (see Varley [3]).

It turns out that the problem has a very different flavour in characteristic 0 than in positive characteristics. First consider the case of characteristic $p > 0$. We have

THEOREM 1: *Let $A = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} A_n$ be a normal graded ring, finitely generated over $A_0 = k$, where k is algebraically closed of characteristic $p > 0$. Suppose that A is Cohen–Macaulay, and that the vertex (corresponding to the ideal $\bigoplus_{n > 0} A_n$) is the only singularity of $\text{Spec } A$. Then $A_0(\text{Spec } A) = 0$.*

Here $A_0(\text{Spec } A)$ denotes the subgroup of $K_0(A)$ generated by the classes of smooth points of $\text{Spec } A$. Now $K_0(A)$ is generated by the class of the trivial line bundle, and classes of sub-varieties not meeting the singular locus (see [3]). Since $\text{Pic } A = (0)$ for a normal graded ring A , we deduce (see §1)

COROLLARY (1.3): *Let A be as in Theorem 1. Suppose that $\dim A = 2$. Then $K_0(A) = \mathbb{Z}$.*

This answers Murthy’s question affirmatively in the two dimensional case, and thus includes the result on cones over curves.

Next, we have a partial positive result in characteristic 0.

THEOREM 2: *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}_k^n$ be a projectively normal curve, where k is an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. Assume that X is not contained in a hyperplane, and that $\deg X \leq 2n - 1$. Then $A_0(C(X)) = 0$, where $C(X) \subseteq \mathbb{A}^{n+1}$ is the affine cone over X .*

As a consequence, we obtain

THEOREM 2’: *Let X/k be a curve of genus g , and D a divisor on X such that $\deg D \geq 2g + 1$. Then $A_0(C(X)) = 0$, where $C(X)$ is the cone over X in the embedding $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{|\mathcal{D}|}$ given by the complete linear system $|D|$.*

Using the cancellation theorem of Murthy and Swan, we can formulate the above theorems as follows.

THEOREM: *Let k be an algebraically closed field, and let $A = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} A_n$ be a finitely generated graded k -algebra with $A_0 = k$. Then every projective module over A is free, in each of the following cases:*

- i) $\text{char } k = p > 0$, and A is normal of dimension 2.
- ii) $\text{char } k = 0$, and $\text{Spec } A$ is the cone over a projectively normal curve X properly contained in \mathbb{P}^n , and satisfying $\deg X \leq 2n - 1$.
- iii) $\text{char } k = 0$, and $A = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(nD))$ where X/k is a smooth curve of genus g , and D a divisor on X satisfying $\deg D \geq 2g + 1$.

Finally, we construct an infinite family of examples of cones over \mathbb{C} which admit non-trivial vector bundles. Let L denote the field of algebraic numbers.

THEOREM 3: *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}_L^n$ be a projectively normal curve such that $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1)) \neq 0$. Then if $C(X_{\mathbb{C}})$ denotes the cone over the corresponding complex curve, we have $K_0(C(X_{\mathbb{C}})) \neq \mathbb{Z}$. (In fact, a slight modification of our argument will show that $K_0(C(X_{\mathbb{C}}))$ is uncountable).*

One remarkable fact about theorem 3 is the following. For a curve $X \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^n$, let $Y \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1}$ denote the projective cone over X . Let $Z \xrightarrow{\pi} Y$ be the blow up of Y at the vertex. Then $Y \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(1))$. The Leray spectral sequence applied to the map π yields an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \rightarrow H^1(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z) \rightarrow \Gamma(Y, R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_Z) \rightarrow \\ \rightarrow H^2(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \rightarrow H^2(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since Z is a ruled surface, $p_g(Z) = 0$, and $q = g(X)$, the genus of X . (In fact, all elements of $H^1(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$ are pulled back from $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$). Now $R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_Z$ is a torsion sheaf on Y supported only at the vertex of the cone. From the formal function theorem (see [7]), $\Gamma(R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_Z)$ has a filtration whose associated graded module is $\bigoplus_{m \geq 0} H^1(E, I^m/I^{m+1})$ where E is the exceptional set, and I is its sheaf of ideals on Z . Now E is a section of the fibration $Z \rightarrow X$, hence $E \cong X$. One easily checks that $I/I^2 \cong \mathcal{O}_X(1)$, and thus $I^m/I^{m+1} \cong \mathcal{O}_X(m)$. Also, the map $H^1(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z) \rightarrow \Gamma(R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_Z)$ maps the former isomorphically onto $H^1(E, \mathcal{O}_E)$. Hence $h^2(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ vanishes precisely when $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1)) = 0$. Thus, the curves $X \subset \mathbb{P}_\mathbb{C}^n$ with $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1)) \neq 0$ correspond precisely to the cones Y with “*geometric genus*” (i.e. $h^2(\mathcal{O}) > 0$). Hence, Theorem 3 may be regarded as an analogue for cones of a famous result of Mumford on the infinite dimensionality of the Chow group of zero cycles on a surface with $p_g > 0$ (see [5]). In fact, one might conjecture that at least for cones, $A_0(C(X)) = 0 \Leftrightarrow p_g(Y) = 0$ (where p_g stands for $h^2(\mathcal{O})$); this is the analogue of a conjecture of Bloch for smooth surfaces with $p_g = 0$ (see [13], ch. 1 for motivation and further references for that conjecture).

This work was done in partial fulfillment of the requirements for a Ph.D. at the University of Chicago. I wish to thank my thesis advisor Professor Spencer Bloch, and Professor M.P. Murthy, for teaching me about geometry and cycles, and for many helpful conversations on this work. I also wish to thank Kevin Coombes for helping me with some K -theoretic points. I am grateful to the University of Chicago for financial support during my stay.

§1) Results in characteristic $p > 0$

In this section we prove theorem 1. In this paper, the Chow group of zero cycles will always be the subgroup of the Grothendieck group K_0 generated by the classes of smooth points. In particular, it is *not* the same (in general) as the Chow group of Fulton [6] when the variety we are dealing with is singular. The proof of the theorem is based on two lemmas:

LEMMA (1.1): *Let Y be an affine normal variety with isolated singularities over an algebraically closed field (of arbitrary characteristic). If $U \subset Y$ is an open (dense) set, then $A_0(Y)$ is generated by the classes of smooth points of U . $A_0(Y)$ is a divisible group.*

PROOF: If Y is a curve, the result holds from the theory of Jacobians. In general, if $P \in Y$ is a smooth point, we can find a curve $C \subset Y$ such that $P \in C$, $C \cap U \neq \emptyset$, and C misses the singular locus of Y ; we may take C to be smooth. Then there is a natural map $\text{Pic } C \rightarrow A_0(Y)$, and the class of P is in the image of this map. Hence the result follows from the previous case.

LEMMA (1.2): Let $A = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} A_n$ be a graded normal ring of dimension 1, where $A_0 = k$, and A is finitely generated over A_0 . Then $A \cong k[t]$, where t is homogeneous (perhaps of degree $d > 1$).

PROOF: It is amusing to give two proofs. First, an algebraic one. Let $M = \bigoplus_{n > 0} A_n$. Then A_M is a P.I.D. as A is normal. Since MA_M is generated by one element, but also has a set of homogeneous generators, it is generated by one homogeneous element (Nakayama's Lemma). Let $MA_M = fA_M$, with $f \in A$ homogeneous, and let $g \in A$ be any homogeneous element of positive degree. Since $g \in f \cdot A_M$, $g = u \cdot f^n = \frac{u_1}{u_2} \cdot f^n$, where $u_1, u_2 \in A - M$. Comparing homogeneous terms of lowest degree on both sides of $u_2g = u_1f^n$, we see that we may assume u_1, u_2 to be homogeneous. Since $u_i \notin M$, $u_i \in A_0 = k$. Thus $A = k[f]$ (since every element of A is a finite sum of homogeneous elements).

The second proof is geometric – since $\text{Spec } A$ is an affine curve over k with a non-trivial \mathbb{G}_m -action, it is a rational curve. Since it is normal, and has no units (because A is graded) apart from k^* , it must be \mathbb{A}_k^1 . The group of automorphisms of \mathbb{A}_k^1 fixing a point is \mathbb{G}_m ; hence the grading on the coordinate ring of \mathbb{A}_k^1 induced from A must be the usual one.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1: We first give a simple proof in the case when A is the homogeneous coordinate ring of a plane curve.

Let $X = \text{Proj } A \subset \mathbb{P}_k^2$ be a smooth plane curve, and let $C(X) \subset \mathbb{A}^3$ be the cone over X (so that $C(X) = \text{Spec } A$). Let $0 \in C(X)$ be the vertex, and let $\pi : C(X) - \{0\} \rightarrow X$ be the projection. Let $P \in C(X)$ be a smooth point and $\pi(P) = \bar{P}$. Choose a line $l \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ such that $l \cap X = \{P_1, \dots, P_n\}$, where $P_1 = \bar{P}$, and $n = \text{deg } X$, and the P_i are distinct. Then $\pi^{-1}(l) \cup \{0\} = S_1 \cap C(X)$, where $S_1 \subset \mathbb{A}^3$ is a plane (the cone over the line l). Thus $S_1 \cap C(X) = l_1 \cup \dots \cup l_n$, where $\pi(l_i - \{0\}) = P_i$, and the l_i are lines on S_1 which concur at 0. We can choose coordinates x and y on S_1 so that l_1 is the y -axis, $P \in l_1$ is the point $(0, 1)$, and the lines l_2, \dots, l_n respectively have slopes $\lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$. Thus

$$S_1 \cap C(X) = \text{Spec } k[x, y] / (x \cdot \prod_{i=2}^n (y - \lambda_i x))$$

Consider the function $f = 1 - (y - \lambda_2 x)^r \prod_{i=3}^n (y - \lambda_i x)$ (where $r > 0$ will be chosen in a moment). Then f is identically equal to 1 on $l_2 \cup \dots \cup l_n$. On $l_1, x = 0$, so that $f|_{l_1} = 1 - y^{r+n-2}$. Choose $r > 0$ to be the smallest integer such that $r + n - 2 = p^v$, where $p = \text{char } k$. Then $f|_{l_1} = (1 - y)^{p^v}$. Thus, the zero cycle (P) represents a p^v -torsion element of $\text{Pic}(S_1 \cap C(X))$, and hence a p^v -torsion element of $A_0(C(X))$. Since $A_0(C(X))$ is generated by the classes of smooth points $P \in C(X)$, we have $p^v \cdot A_0(C(X)) = 0$. Now the divisibility of $A_0(C(X))$ forces $A_0(C(X)) = 0$.

Now we give the proof in the general case, based on the same idea. Let $\mathcal{O}(1)$ denote the sheaf on $\text{Proj } A$ associated to the graded module

$\bigoplus_{n > 0} A_n$ (see [7], ch. II). Fix a large integer $m > 0$ such that $\mathcal{O}(m)$ embeds

$\text{Proj } A$ is some projective space, and let $\dim \text{Proj } A = r$ (so that $\dim A = r + 1$). Let $0 \in \text{Spec } A$ be the vertex, and let $\pi : \text{Spec } A - \{0\} \rightarrow \text{Proj } A$ be the projection. Let $U \subset \text{Spec } A - \{0\}$ be the inverse image of the locus of smooth points of $\text{Proj } A$, and let $P \in U$. Let $\pi(P) = \bar{P}$. Choose r general hyperplane sections of $\text{Proj } A$ through \bar{P} , so that the intersection of all of them with $\text{Proj } A$ consists of $d = \text{deg}(\text{Proj } A)$ points $P_1 = \bar{P}, P_2, \dots, P_d$, where all the P_i are smooth. Let $Y = \pi^{-1}(\{P_1, \dots, P_d\}) \cup \{0\}$, so that $Y = \text{Spec}(A/(f_1, \dots, f_r))$ where f_i is homogeneous of degree m for each i . Since A is Cohen–Macaulay, and $\text{height}(f_1, \dots, f_r) = r$, the ring $B = A/(f_1, \dots, f_r)$ is a reduced graded ring of dimension 1. The minimal primes $\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_d$ of B satisfy $\text{Spec}(B/\mathcal{P}_i) = \pi^{-1}(P_i) - \{0\}$. Let \tilde{B} denote the normalisation of B (i.e. its integral closure in its total quotient ring), and let $I \subset B$ be the conductor of $B \rightarrow \tilde{B}$ (see [12] for the definition). We have the well known exact sequence (where $*$ denotes the unit group)

$$0 \rightarrow B^* \rightarrow \tilde{B}^* \rightarrow (\tilde{B}/I\tilde{B})^*/(B/IB)^* \rightarrow \text{Pic } B \rightarrow \text{Pic } \tilde{B} \rightarrow 0$$

From lemma (1.2), $\tilde{B} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^d k[t]$, so that $\text{Pic } \tilde{B} = 0$. Thus we have a sur-

jection $(\tilde{B}/I\tilde{B})^*/(\text{Image } \tilde{B}^*) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Pic } B$. Since $\tilde{B} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^d k[t]$, $\tilde{B}^* \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^d k^*$;

also $\tilde{B}/I\tilde{B} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^d k[t]/(t^{n_i})$ for some exponents $n_i > 0$. Now $\left(\frac{k[t]}{(t^n)}\right)^* = k^* R_n$, where R_n is p^v -torsion for any v such that $p^v \geq n$ (this boils down to the identity

$$(1 + a_1 t + a_2 t^2 + \dots + a_n t^n)^{p^v} = 1 + a_1^{p^v} t^{p^v} + \dots + a_n^{p^v} t^{k \cdot p^v}.$$

Thus if $p^v \geq \sup n_i$, then $p^v \cdot (\text{Pic } B) = (0)$. If we can find a value of $v > 0$

such that v is independent of the choice of the initial point $P \in U$, and the hyperplane sections f_1, \dots, f_r , then as in the case of plane curves we can conclude that $p^v \cdot A_0(\text{Spec } A) = 0$; hence $A_0(\text{Spec } A) = 0$ by divisibility. The rest of the proof will consist in showing that by shrinking U to some non-empty open subset V , and for any choices of f_1, \dots, f_r corresponding to any given point $P \in V$, the resulting exponent v is bounded by some preassigned number depending only on A .

Factor the inclusion $B \hookrightarrow \tilde{B}$ as $B \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^d B/\mathcal{P}_i$ and

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^d B/\mathcal{P}_i \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^d (B/\mathcal{P}_i) \sim \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^d k[t].$$

If J_1 and J_2 are the respective conductors, then $J_1 J_2 \tilde{B} \subset I\tilde{B} = I$. Thus if $J_1 \tilde{B} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^d t^{n_{i1}} k[t]$, and $J_2 \tilde{B} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^d t^{n_{i2}} k[t]$, it is enough to separately bound the exponents n_{i1} and n_{i2} for all i .

Let $A = k[\phi_1, \dots, \phi_s]$ where $\phi_i \in A$ is homogeneous of degree m_i for each i . For any homogeneous prime \mathcal{P}_0 of A of height r (corresponding to a point $\mathcal{P}_0 \in \text{Proj } A$), $A/\mathcal{P}_0 \cong k[t]$, where t has degree e (say) in the grading induced from A . Thus $A/\mathcal{P}_0 \hookrightarrow k[u]$, where $u^e = t$, and u has degree 1. Clearly ϕ_i is mapped to an element of degree m_i in $k[u]$, which is homogeneous i.e. $\phi_i \mapsto \alpha_i \cdot u^{m_i}$ for some $\alpha_i \in k$. So $A/\mathcal{P}_0 \cong \cong k[\alpha_1 u^{m_1}, \dots, \alpha_s u^{m_s}] \subset k[u]$. Now $\alpha_i = 0 \Leftrightarrow \phi_i$ (considered as a section of $\mathcal{O}(m_i)$) vanishes at $\mathcal{P}_0 \in \text{Proj } A$. Hence deleting the finite set of zeroes of the sections $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_s \in \Gamma(\text{Proj } A, \bigoplus \mathcal{O}(m))$, and correspondingly shrinking our open set $U \subset \text{Spec } A - \{0\}$ to a smaller open set V , we may assume that none of the α_i vanish when \mathcal{P}_0 is one of the primes we construct by taking hyperplane sections of $\text{Proj } A$. But if $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s$ are non-zero, then $k[\alpha_1 u^{m_1}, \dots, \alpha_s u^{m_s}] = k[u^{m_1}, u^{m_2}, \dots, u^{m_s}]$ i.e. all the B/\mathcal{P}_i are isomorphic. Since m_1, \dots, m_s depends only on A , this bounds the exponents n_{i2} for J_2 .

We claim that if $J = \bigcap_i (\mathcal{P}_i + \bigcap_{j \neq i} \mathcal{P}_j)$, then $J \subset J_1$. By definition of the conductor, J_1 is the largest ideal in B which is a $\bigoplus_{i=1}^d B/\mathcal{P}_i$ -module.

Hence, to verify the claim, it is enough to prove the following –

given $a_1, \dots, a_d \in J$, there exists $a \in B$ such that $a - a_i \in \mathcal{P}_i$ (i.e. $a \mapsto (\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_d)$ under $B \hookrightarrow \bigoplus B/\mathcal{P}_i$). But if $a_i = b_i + c_i$, with $b_i \in \mathcal{P}_i$, $c_i \in \bigcap_{j \neq i} \mathcal{P}_j$, then $a = \sum_{i=1}^d c_i$ works, since $a - a_i = \sum_{j \neq i} c_j - b_i \in \mathcal{P}_i$ as desired. Now suppose $f \in J$ satisfies $f = (\beta_1 t^{v_1}, \dots, \beta_d t^{v_d})$ where $\beta_1 \dots \beta_d \neq 0$. Then clearly $v_i \geq n_{i2}$ for all i . So if we can suitably bound v_i , we will be done. In fact, by symmetry it suffices to find f with suitably bounded v_1 , and $\beta_1 \neq 0$.

Consider the homomorphisms $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow B/\mathcal{P}_i$, where we identify B/\mathcal{P}_i with $k[u^{m_1}, \dots, u^{m_s}] \subset k[u]$. Then for each $i \neq 1$, we can find μ, ν such that $1 \leq \mu, \nu \leq s$, and $\alpha_{1\mu}^{m_\nu} \cdot \alpha_{i\nu}^{m_\mu} - \alpha_{1\nu}^{m_\mu} \cdot \alpha_{i\mu}^{m_\nu} \neq 0$ (i.e. if two points of $\text{Proj } A$, namely P_1 and P_i , are distinct, then they have distinct “weighted homogeneous” coordinates – recall that $\phi_\mu \mapsto \alpha_{i\mu} \cdot u^{m_\mu}$ under $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow B/\mathcal{P}_i$). Let $\gamma \in A$ be the element defined by $\gamma = \gamma_{\mu, \nu} = \alpha_{i\nu}^{m_\mu} \cdot \phi_\mu^{m_\nu} - \alpha_{i\mu}^{m_\nu} \cdot \phi_\nu^{m_\mu}$. Then the image of γ in B/\mathcal{P}_i is

$$\alpha_{i\nu}^{m_\mu} (\alpha_{i\mu} u^{m_\mu})^{m_\nu} - \alpha_{i\mu}^{m_\nu} (\alpha_{i\nu} u^{m_\nu})^{m_\mu} = (\alpha_{i\nu}^{m_\mu} \cdot \alpha_{i\mu}^{m_\nu} - \alpha_{i\mu}^{m_\nu} \cdot \alpha_{i\nu}^{m_\mu}) \cdot u^{m_\mu m_\nu} = 0$$

i.e. the image $\bar{\gamma}$ of γ in B actually lies in \mathcal{P}_i . The image of γ in B/\mathcal{P}_1 is $[\alpha_{i\nu}^{m_\mu} \cdot \alpha_{1\mu}^{m_\nu} - \alpha_{i\mu}^{m_\nu} \cdot \alpha_{1\nu}^{m_\mu}] \cdot u^{m_\nu \cdot m_\mu} = \delta_i \cdot u^{t_i}$, where $\delta_i \neq 0$, and t_i is bounded by a number depending only on A . Since $\mathcal{P}_1 + J = \mathcal{P}_1 + \bigcap_{j \neq 1} \mathcal{P}_j$, the element $\gamma_0 = \prod_{i=2}^d \bar{\gamma}_{\mu, \nu}$ is such that the image of γ_0 in B/\mathcal{P}_1 is actually in $J \cdot B/\mathcal{P}_1$, and hence in $J_1 \cdot B/\mathcal{P}_1$. But $\gamma_0 \cdot k[u] = u^{t_2 + \dots + t_d} k[u]$, and $t_2 + \dots + t_d$ is bounded by a number depending only on A .

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

COROLLARY (1.3): *Let A be as in Theorem 1. Then if $\dim A = 2$, we have $K_0(A) = \mathbb{Z}$. Hence all vector bundles on $\text{Spec } A$ are trivial.*

PROOF: By a remark of Murthy (see [1]) we know that $\text{Pic } A = (0)$. By the standard argument using the cancellation theorem of Bass, it suffices to prove that vector bundles of rank 2 represent trivial elements of $K_0(A)$ to prove that $K_0(A) = \mathbb{Z}$. Now we can find a section of a given vector bundle of rank 2 which has isolated zeroes at smooth points of $\text{Spec } A$. If P is the projective A -module of global sections of the bundle, we have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow P^* \rightarrow I \rightarrow 0$, where $I \subset A$ is the ideal of zeroes of the chosen section, P^* is the dual projective module. An argument using the determinant shows that $L \cong A^2 P^* \in \text{Pic } A$ i.e. $L \cong A$. Next, $[A/I] \in K_0(A)$ gives an element of $A_0(\text{Spec } A)$ which is trivial by Theorem 1. Hence $[A] = [I]$ in $K_0(A)$. Putting these facts together gives $[P^*] \cong [A^{\oplus 2}]$ i.e. all vector bundles of rank 2 are stably trivial. This proves $K_0(A) = \mathbb{Z}$. Now the cancellation theorem of Murthy and Swan [4] proves that all vector bundles are trivial.

The argument needed to deduce the triviality of vector bundles from the vanishing of the Chow group works in all characteristics (see section 2 of this paper).

§2) Some positive results in characteristic 0

In this section we obtain partial positive results for cones over

smooth projective curves in characteristic zero. Our result is a slight improvement on results of Varley (see [3]). The proof is based on an idea of Ojanguren [8], who used it to prove the result for plane cubics.

THEOREM 2: *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}_k^n$ be a projectively normal curve over the algebraically closed field k of characteristic 0. Assume that X is not contained in a hyperplane, and has degree at most $2n - 1$. Then $A_0(C(X)) = 0$, where $C(X) \subset \mathbb{A}^{n+1}$ is the affine cone over X . Hence vector bundles on $C(X)$ are trivial. (See the proof of Corollary (1.3).)*

PROOF: The triviality of vector bundles follows from the vanishing of the Chow group, using the triviality of line bundles (a remark of Murthy – see [1]) and the cancellation theorem of Murthy and Swan [4]. The proof of the vanishing of $A_0(C(X))$ is based on two lemmas.

Let $\deg X = d \leq 2n - 1$, and set $r = d - n$.

LEMMA (2.1): *Assume that $P \in X$ is not a Weierstrass point. Let $H \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be the osculating hyperplane to X at P , so that the zero cycle $(H \cdot X) = n(P) + \sum_{i=1}^r (P_i)$ (where the $P_i \in X$ may not be distinct from each other or from P , in general). Then $\{P, P_1, \dots, P_r\}$ span a $\mathbb{P}^r \subset \mathbb{P}^n$.*

PROOF: Suppose that $\{P, P_1, \dots, P_r\} \subset L \subset \mathbb{P}^n$, where L is a linear space of dimension $r - 1$. Since the space \hat{L} of hyperplanes (in the dual projective space) which contain L is a \mathbb{P}^{n-r} , we have

$$h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D - P - \sum_{i=1}^r P_i)) \geq n - r + 1 \quad (\text{where } \mathcal{O}_X(D) = \mathcal{O}_X(1))$$

Choosing the representative $n(P) + \sum_{i=1}^r (P_i) \in |D|$, we have

$$h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(n - 1)P) \geq n - r + 1.$$

Now $\deg X = d$, and $\dim |D| = n$. Since $n > d/2$, the divisor D is non-special by Clifford's Theorem (see [7], ch. IV). Hence by the Riemann-Roch theorem, the genus g of X satisfies

$$g = \deg D - \dim |D| = d - n = r.$$

Since $n - 1 = g + (n - r - 1)$,

$$h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(n - 1)P) \geq n - r + 1 \Rightarrow h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(gP)) \geq (n - r + 1) - (n - r - 1) = 2$$

i.e. $P \in X$ is a Weierstrass point.

LEMMA (2.2): *There is a non-empty open set $U \subset X$ with the following property – if $P \in U$, then there exist points P_0, P_1, \dots, P_{r-1} of X such that (i) P, P_0, \dots, P_{r-1} span a $\mathbb{P}^r \subset \mathbb{P}^n$, and (ii) if H is the osculating hyperplane to P_0 , then $(H \cdot X) = n(P_0) + (P) + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} P_i$.*

PROOF: The set S in the dual projective space of hyperplanes which parametrizes osculating hyperplanes is birational to X . As s ranges over S , the hyperplane sections $(H(s) \cdot X)$ have the form $(H(s) \cdot X) = nP(s) + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} P_i(s)$ (through the individual $P_i(s)$ don't make sense, the zero cycle $\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} P_i(s)$ does). Then the lemma amounts to the claim that $\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} P_i(s)$ is not independent of s . Suppose that the lemma is false. Let $L \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be the \mathbb{P}^{r-1} spanned by P_1, \dots, P_r (for general $s \in S$, $P_1(s), \dots, P_r(s)$ span a \mathbb{P}^{r-1} , by Lemma (2.1)). Projection from L to a suitable \mathbb{P}^{n-r} yields a curve $\bar{X} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-r}$ with the following property – if $P \in \bar{X}$ is general, then there exists a hyperplane $H_P \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-r}$ such that the local intersection multiplicity $(H_P \cdot \bar{X})_P \geq n$ (choose H_P to be the image of a suitable osculating hyperplane to X). But this is impossible – at a general point of a curve in \mathbb{P}^{n-r} , the maximum local intersection multiplicity with a hyperplane is $n - r$. This contradiction finishes the proof of the lemma.

We now prove theorem 2. Let $0 \in C(X)$ be the vertex, and $\phi: C(X) - (0) \rightarrow X$ the projection. Let $P \in \phi^{-1}(U)$, where $U \subset X$ is the open set of lemma (2.2). Then if $\bar{P} = \phi(P)$, we can find $P_0, \dots, P_{r-1} \in X$ and a hyperplane H such that $(H \cdot X) = n(P_0) + (\bar{P}) + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} (P_{r-1})$. Then $\phi^{-1}(H) \cup \{0\} \cong \mathbb{A}^n \subset \mathbb{A}^{n+1}$ (by abuse of notation, let ϕ also denote the projection $\mathbb{A}^{n+1} - \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$). Also, $(\phi^{-1}(H) \cup \{0\}) \cap C(X) = l_0 \cup l_1 \dots \cup l_{r-1} \cup \bar{l}$, where l_i and \bar{l} are lines through 0 in $\phi^{-1}(H) \cup \{0\}$. Since P, P_0, \dots, P_{r-1} can be chosen to span a $\mathbb{P}^r \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ by lemma (2.2), the lines $\bar{l}, l_0, \dots, l_{r-1}$ span an $\mathbb{A}^{r+1} \subset \phi^{-1}(H) - \{0\}$, and satisfy $\phi(l_i - \{0\}) = P_i$, $\phi(\bar{l} - \{0\}) = \bar{P}$, and $P \in \bar{l} - \{0\}$. The lines $\bar{l}, l_1, \dots, l_{r-1}$ occur with multiplicity 1 in the intersection $(\phi^{-1}(H) \cup \{0\}) \cap C(X)$, while l_0 occurs with multiplicity n .

There exists a unique linear subspace $L \cong \mathbb{A}^r$, with $L \subset \text{span}\{\bar{l}, l_0, \dots, l_{r-1}\}$, such that $P \in L$, and $L \cap \text{span}\{l_0, \dots, l_{r-1}\} = \phi$. (This is just the unique \mathbb{A}^r through P which is parallel to $\text{span}\{l_0, \dots, l_{r-1}\} \cong \mathbb{A}^r$). If $f = 0$ is the equation of L in the affine space $\mathbb{A}^{r+1} = \text{span}\{\bar{l}, l_0, \dots, l_{r-1}\}$, then the restriction of f to the curve $Y = (\phi^{-1}(H) \cup \{0\}) \cap C(X)$ is a regular function on Y whose divisor of zeroes is (P) . Thus $(P) = 0$ in $\text{Pic}^0(Y)$, and hence in $A_0(C(X))$. By lemma (1.1), this proves the result.

We easily deduce theorem 2' from theorem 2.

THEOREM 2': *Let X be a smooth curve of genus g over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. Let D be a divisor on X such that $\deg D \geq 2g + 1$. (Thus D is very ample – see [7], ch. IV). Assume that X is projectively normal in this embedding. Then $A_0(A) = 0$, where $A = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(nD))$.*

PROOF: Since $\deg D \geq 2g + 1$, D is non-special. Hence by the Riemann–Roch theorem, $n = \dim |D| = \deg D - g$. We claim that $\deg D \leq 2n - 1$ (so that theorem 2 applies). For

$$\begin{aligned} 2n - 1 - \deg D &= 2(\deg D - g) - 1 - \deg D = \\ &= \deg D - (2g + 1) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

REMARK: In fact, a result of Castelnuovo implies that for the range of degrees in theorem 2', X will always be projectively normal. See [12], p. 52.

§3) A counterexample in characteristic 0

In this section we construct examples of cones over projectively normal complex curves which admit non-trivial vector bundles. Let L denote the field of algebraic numbers.

THEOREM 3: *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}_L^n$ be a projectively normal curve such that $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1)) \neq 0$. Then $K_0(C(X_C)) \neq \mathbb{Z}$.*

COROLLARY (3.1): *Let X be a non-hyperelliptic curve, defined over L . Then $K_0(A) \neq \mathbb{Z}$, where $A = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(X_C, \omega_{X_C}^{\otimes n})$. (The cone over the canonical embedding.)*

COROLLARY (3.2): *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}_L^2$ be a smooth curve of degree at least 4. Then $C(X_C)$ admits non-trivial vector bundles.*

This is in contrast to the situation in characteristic $p > 0$, and to the situation for analytic vector bundles (since any analytic vector bundle on a contractible Stein space is trivial). The method of proof is based on an idea of Spencer Bloch. He showed that $\mathbb{C}[x, y, z]/(z^7 - x^2 - y^3)$ provides a counterexample to the statement of theorem 1 in characteristic 0. Let me sketch his idea.

Let $X = \text{spec } \mathbb{C}[x, y, z]/(z^7 - x^2 - y^3)$. Then the origin is the only sin-

gular point of X . Let \tilde{X} be a projective surface containing X as an open subset, such that $\tilde{X}_{\text{sing}} = X_{\text{sing}} = \{0\}$, the origin. Let $\pi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ be a resolution of the singularity. Then \tilde{X} can be chosen so that $\pi^{-1}(\{0\})$ is a cuspidal rational curve E . Now $K_0(\tilde{X}) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \text{Pic}(\tilde{X})$, and $SK_1(\tilde{X}) \cong \cong \text{Pic}(\tilde{X}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^* \cong (\mathbb{C}^*)^{\otimes n}$ for some n , since \tilde{X} is a rational surface. Also $SK_1(E) \cong \Omega_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}}^1$, the module of Kahler differentials of \mathbb{C} (see [7] for the definition, some properties and references). Since \mathbb{C} has uncountable transcendence degree over \mathbb{Q} , and $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Omega_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}}^1, \mathbb{C}) = (\text{vector space of all derivations } \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C})$, $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}}^1$ is a \mathbb{C} -vector space of uncountable dimension.

Now one considers the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 K_1(\tilde{X}) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & K_1(E) & \xrightarrow{\beta} & K_0(\tilde{X}, E) & \rightarrow & K_0(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow K_0(E) \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \pi^* \uparrow & & \uparrow & \uparrow \\
 K_1(\tilde{X}) & \xrightarrow{\alpha'} & K_1(\{0\}) & \xrightarrow{\beta'} & K_0(\tilde{X}, \{0\}) & \rightarrow & K_0(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow K_0(\{0\})
 \end{array}$$

Here $K_0(\tilde{X}, E)$ and $K_0(\tilde{X}, P)$ are relative K -groups (we give the definitions below). Clearly α' is onto, as $K_1(\{0\}) = \mathbb{C}^*$; hence $\beta' = 0$. It turns out that points of $\tilde{X} - \{0\}$ admit cycle classes in $K_0(\tilde{X}, \{0\})$, and similarly for $K_0(\tilde{X}, E)$. Define $F_0K_0(\tilde{X}, E)$ to be the subgroup of $K_0(\tilde{X}, E)$ generated by classes of points of $\tilde{X} - E$, and similarly define $F_0K_0(\tilde{X}, \{0\})$. Evidently $\pi^*: F_0K_0(\tilde{X}, \{0\}) \rightarrow F_0K_0(\tilde{X}, E)$ as $\pi: \tilde{X} - E \simeq \tilde{X} - \{0\}$. One main ingredient of the proof is a geometric description of $\beta|_{SK_1(E)}$. A class in $SK_1(E)$ is represented by finite sets of points of $E - E_{\text{sing}}$, together with non-zero elements of the residue fields of each of the points. If $P_1, \dots, P_r \in E - E_{\text{sing}}$, and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \in \mathbb{C}^*$ (where we think of $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}(P_i)^*$), we choose a curve $C \subset \tilde{X}$ which meets E transversally at P_1, \dots, P_r . If C meets E at additional points P_{r+1}, \dots, P_s , assume that these intersections are also transverse and the points $P_i \in E$ are all smooth. Let $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}(P_i)^*$ be set equal to 1 for $r + 1 \leq i \leq s$. Choose a rational function $f \in \mathbb{C}(C)^*$, such that $f(P_i) = \alpha_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq s$). Then the element $\beta(\{\{P_1, \alpha_1\}, \dots, \{P_s, \alpha_s\}\}) = (\text{cycle class of the divisor of } f) \in F_0K_0(\tilde{X}, E)$. Once one has this, one can show that $F_0K_0(\tilde{X}, E) \neq 0$, and hence $F_0K_0(\tilde{X}, \{0\}) \neq 0$, as desired.

In our case, we have to work harder, because SK_1 of the ambient surface maps onto SK_1 of the exceptional set when we resolve the singularity of the cone. However, if we work with a *multiple* of the exceptional set, then obstructions to the triviality of vector bundles appear.

Let $X_L \subset \mathbb{P}_L^n$ be our given curve, and let Y_L be the affine cone over X_L . We will make use of the following convention – unless “ L ” appears as a subscript on the symbol for a variety, we will be working over \mathbb{C} . Let \tilde{Y} be the blow up of Y at P . Then $\tilde{Y} \cong \mathbb{V}(\mathcal{O}_X(-1))$, a ruled surface over X , and the exceptional set $\pi^{-1}(P) = E_0$ (where $P \in Y$ is the vertex) is a section of $\tilde{Y} \rightarrow X$ with normal bundle $\cong \mathcal{O}_X(-1)$. Let E be the subscheme

$2E_0$: thus if I is the sheaf of ideals of E_0 on \tilde{Y} , then E is defined by the sheaf I^2 . We write “ $2P$ ” for the scheme $\text{spec } A/M^2$, where $Y = \text{spec } A$, and M is the maximal ideal of P .

For any scheme T , let $\mathcal{P}(T)$ denote the category of locally free sheaves of finite rank; $\mathcal{H}(T)$ will denote the category of coherent sheaves of finite homological dimension on T . If $S \subset T$ is a subscheme, let $\mathcal{H}(S, T)$ denote the category of \mathcal{O}_T -modules which are coherent, of finite homological dimension, and vanish on $T - S$. If S is a single point $x \in T$, we may write \mathcal{H}_x for $\mathcal{H}(x, T)$.

Now we define the relative K -groups and cycle classes in them. Let $i: Y \hookrightarrow X$ be a closed immersion. We have a natural map $i^*: \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(Y)$. For any exact category \mathcal{C} , let $BQ\mathcal{C}$ be the topological space (together with its natural base point) as defined by Quillen [9].

Then we have a natural map (of based spaces) $BQ\mathcal{P}(X) \xrightarrow{i^*} BQ\mathcal{P}(Y)$.

Let $F(i^*)$ denote the homotopy fiber of i^* (for a map $(X, P) \xrightarrow{f} (Y, P')$ of based spaces, the *homotopy fiber* is the set of pairs (ω, x) where $x \in X$, $\omega: [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$ is a path, with $\omega(0) = P'$, $\omega(1) = f(x)$. The base point is (ω_0, P) where $\omega_0: [0, 1] \rightarrow P'$). One of the basic properties of the homotopy fiber is that its homotopy groups fit into a long exact sequence with those of the domain and range. So if we set $K_n(X, Y) = \pi_{n+1}(F(i^*), *)$, where $* \in F(i^*)$ is the base point, then we have a long exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow K_n(X, Y) \rightarrow K_n(X) \rightarrow K_n(Y) \rightarrow K_{n-1}(X, Y) \rightarrow \dots$$

A general reference for the definitions and basic properties of higher K -groups is the fundamental paper [9] of Quillen. A summary of Quillen’s results, and some applications to questions in the theory of algebraic cycles, can be found in Bloch’s lecture notes [13].

Let $Z \subset X - Y$ be a subscheme, closed in X , and of finite homological dimension. Then we claim that there is a natural cycle class $[Z] \in K_0(X, Y)$. To construct it, we use the category $\mathcal{H}_0(X) \subset \mathcal{H}(X)$, defined to be the full subcategory consisting of all coherent \mathcal{O}_X -modules \mathcal{F} satisfying $\text{Tor}_i^{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_Y) = 0$ for $i > 0$. Then the map $i: Y \hookrightarrow X$ induces a functor $i^*: \mathcal{H}_0(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(Y)$. By Quillen’s resolution theorem [9], the maps $BQ\mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow BQ\mathcal{H}_0(X)$ and $BQ\mathcal{P}(Y) \rightarrow BQ\mathcal{H}(Y)$ are homotopy equivalences. Hence the natural induced map between the homotopy fibers $F(i^*)$ and $F(\bar{i}^*)$ is also a homotopy equivalence. The inclusion $j: Z \hookrightarrow X$ induces a functor $j_*: \mathcal{P}(Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_0(X)$, since $Z \cap Y = \emptyset$; and the composite functor $\bar{i}^* \circ j_*: \mathcal{P}(Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(Y)$ is the 0-functor. Hence the induced map $BQ\mathcal{P}(Z) \rightarrow BQ\mathcal{H}(Y)$ maps everything to the base point.

Hence we have an induced natural map $BQ\mathcal{P}(Z) \rightarrow F(\bar{i}^*)$, and thus a map $K_0(Z) \rightarrow K_0(X, Y)$. The image of $[\mathcal{O}_Z] \in K_0(Z)$ under this map is the required cycle class; by construction, it maps to the usual cycle class in $K_0(X)$ under the natural map $K_0(X, Y) \rightarrow K_0(X)$.

The relative K -groups, and the cycle classes, enjoy the following naturality properties. If $i: Y \hookrightarrow X$ and $i': Y' \hookrightarrow X'$, and $\pi: X \rightarrow X'$ is a morphism such that $\pi^{-1}(Y') = Y$, then we have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc} \dots & \rightarrow & K_n(X, Y) & \rightarrow & K_n(X) & \rightarrow & K_n(Y) & \rightarrow & K_{n-1}(X, Y) & \rightarrow & \dots \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\ \dots & \rightarrow & K_n(X', Y') & \rightarrow & K_n(X') & \rightarrow & K_n(Y') & \rightarrow & K_{n-1}(X', Y') & \rightarrow & \dots \end{array}$$

Further, let $Z' \subset X'$ be a subscheme of finite homological dimension, satisfying $Z' \cap Y' = \emptyset$, and $\text{Tor}_i^{\mathcal{O}_{X'}}(\mathcal{O}_{Z'}, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ for $i > 0$. Let $\mathcal{H}_1(X')$ denote the category of coherent $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$ -modules \mathcal{F} of finite homological dimension satisfying $\text{Tor}_i^{\mathcal{O}_{X'}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_{Y'}) = \text{Tor}_i^{\mathcal{O}_{X'}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_X) = \text{Tor}_i^{\mathcal{O}_{X'}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_{Y'}) = 0$ for $i > 0$. Then consider the commutative square of categories (where $Z = \pi^{-1}(Z')$)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{P}(Z) & \rightarrow & \mathcal{H}_0(X) \\ \pi^* \uparrow & & \uparrow \pi^* \\ \mathcal{P}(Z') & \rightarrow & \mathcal{H}_1(X') \end{array}$$

This gives the equation $\pi^*([Z']) = [Z]$ in $K_0(X, Y)$. Two cases where the hypothesis are satisfied are when $X - Y \rightarrow X' - Y'$ is an open immersion, and when the map π is flat. In our applications, we only work with $K_0(X, Y)$ where $X - Y$ is smooth.

There is one technical point that we systematically ignore. When we say that a diagram of categories

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{B} \\ H \downarrow & & \downarrow G \\ \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{K} & \mathcal{D} \end{array}$$

commutes, what we often mean is that the functors $G \circ F$ and $K \circ H$ are naturally equivalent. Thus, the corresponding diagram of classifying spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B\mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{B(F)} & B\mathcal{B} \\ B(H) \downarrow & & \downarrow B(G) \\ B\mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{B(K)} & B\mathcal{D} \end{array}$$

only commutes upto homotopy. The induced map on homotopy fibers $F(B(F)) \rightarrow F(B(K))$ depends on the choice of this homotopy i.e. on the choice of the equivalence of functors $G \circ F \cong K \circ H$. However, in all our situations, there is always one “natural” choice of the equivalence – for example, there is an obvious choice of a natural isomorphism $(M \otimes_A N) \otimes_B P \cong M \otimes_A (N \otimes_B P)$; this is the kind of choice which has to be made consistently. More details will appear in my thesis. I wish to thank Professor Swan for pointing out that some care is needed here.

We need to make use of certain results from K-theory. We give them in a sequence of lemmas. Recall that L denotes the field of algebraic numbers.

LEMMA (3.2) (Van der Kallen [14]): *Let \mathcal{O} be a regular local ring containing L , and let $\mathcal{O}[t]/(t^2)$ be the ring of dual numbers over \mathcal{O} . Then $K_2(\mathcal{O}[t]/(t^2))$ fits into the exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{O}/L}^1 \rightarrow K_2(\mathcal{O}[t]/(t^2)) \rightarrow K_2(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow 0.$$

The isomorphism $\ker(K_2(\mathcal{O}[t]/(t^2)) \rightarrow K_2(\mathcal{O})) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{O}/L}^1$ is given follows: the kernel is generated by symbols of the form $\{u, 1 + vt\}$ where $u \in \mathcal{O}^*$, $v \in \mathcal{O}$, and

$$\{u, 1 + vt\} \rightarrow v \cdot \frac{du}{u} \in \Omega_{\mathcal{O}/L}^1.$$

(Note that $\Omega_{\mathcal{O}/L}^1 = \Omega_{\mathcal{O}/\mathbb{Z}}^1$, since L/\mathbb{Q} is separable algebraic.)

From now on, all differentials will be relative to L unless indicated otherwise.

LEMMA (3.3) (Localisation sequence [11]): *Let $U \hookrightarrow X$ be an open immersion, where U is affine, and $X - U$ is defined by an ideal sheaf which is locally principal and generated by a non zero-divisor. Let \mathcal{H} be the category of coherent \mathcal{O}_X -modules which are 0 on U and have homological dimension 1 on X . Then we have a localisation sequence*

$$\dots \rightarrow K_{q+1}(U) \rightarrow K_q(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow K_q(X) \rightarrow K_q(U) \rightarrow \dots$$

Now we come back to cones. Recall that $E \subset \tilde{Y}$ is the non-reduced scheme “ $2E_0$ ” where E_0 is the exceptional set. For any finite subscheme $S \subset E$, the localisation sequence gives

$$\dots \rightarrow K_2(E) \rightarrow K_2(E - S) \rightarrow K_1(\mathcal{H}(S, E)) \rightarrow K_1(E) \rightarrow K_1(E - S) \rightarrow \dots$$

Taking limits over all such S (see Quillen [9], p. 96) we get

$$\dots \rightarrow K_2(E) \rightarrow K_2(F) \rightarrow \coprod_{\substack{x \in E \\ x \text{ closed}}} K_1(\mathcal{H}_x) \rightarrow K_1(E) \rightarrow K_1(F) \rightarrow \dots$$

where F is the local ring at the generic point of E . Define $SK_1(E) = \text{Ker}(K_1(E) \rightarrow K_1(F))$. Then we have a presentation

$$K_2(F) \rightarrow \coprod_{x \in E} K_1(\mathcal{H}_x) \rightarrow SK_1(E) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since it is difficult to work with \mathcal{H}_x , we wish to obtain another viewpoint on $SK_1(E)$. To do this, replace E by $\mathcal{O}_{x,E}$, for any closed point $x \in E$, in the above argument. We obtain an exact sequence

$$K_2(\mathcal{O}_{x,E}) \rightarrow K_2(F) \rightarrow K_1(\mathcal{H}_x) \rightarrow 0,$$

because $K_1(\mathcal{O}_{x,E}) \hookrightarrow K_1(F)$ (since $K_1(\text{local ring}) = \text{units}$). Now let $x \in E_0$ be a smooth closed point; since any infinitesimal deformation of the regular local ring \mathcal{O}_{x,E_0} is trivial (as \mathcal{O}_{x,E_0} is essentially of finite type over \mathbb{C}), we see that $\mathcal{O}_{x,E} \cong \mathcal{O}_{x,E_0}[t]/(t^2)$. Hence lemma (3.2) applies to give a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & \Omega^1_{\mathcal{O}_{x,E_0}} & \rightarrow & K_2(\mathcal{O}_{x,E}) & \rightarrow & K_2(\mathcal{O}_{x,E_0}) \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \Omega^1_{\mathbb{C}(E_0)} & \rightarrow & K_2(F) & \rightarrow & K_2(\mathbb{C}(E_0)) \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

By a result of Dennis and Stein [10], $K_2(\mathcal{O}_{x,E_0}) \hookrightarrow K_2(\mathbb{C}(E_0))$. Also, $\Omega^1_{\mathcal{O}_{x,E_0}} \hookrightarrow \Omega^1_{\mathbb{C}(E_0)}$, since $\Omega^1_{\mathcal{O}_{x,E_0}}$ is a free \mathcal{O}_{x,E_0} -module, and the inclusion is just the localisation at the generic point. Hence $K_2(\mathcal{O}_{x,E}) \hookrightarrow K_2(F)$.

Let $\eta \in E$ be the generic point; for any point $x \in E$ let $i_x : \overline{\{x\}} \hookrightarrow E$. Then we have constructed an exact sequence of sheaves (for the Zariski topology)

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{2,E} \rightarrow (i_\eta)_* K_2(F) \rightarrow \coprod_{\substack{x \in E \\ x \text{ closed}}} (i_x)_* K_1(\mathcal{H}_x) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\mathcal{H}_{2,E}$ is the sheaf associated to the presheaf $U \mapsto K_2(\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_E))$. Here $K_2(F), K_1(\mathcal{H}_x)$ are regarded as constant sheaves supported on a subvariety.

Since $(i_\eta)_* K_2(F), (i_x)_* K_1(\mathcal{H}_x)$ are flasque, they have no higher cohomology, and so we can use the above resolution of $\mathcal{H}_{2,E}$ to compute its

cohomology. Hence, we obtain an isomorphism $SK_1(E) \cong H^1(E, \mathcal{K}_{2,E})$, since both are presented as $\text{coker}(K_2(F) \rightarrow \coprod_{x \in E} K_1(\mathcal{K}_x))$.

Next, we go back to the identification of $\mathcal{O}_{x,E}$ with $\mathcal{O}_{x,E_0}[t]/(t^2)$. The map $\text{Ker}(K_2(\mathcal{O}_{x,E}) \rightarrow K_2(\mathcal{O}_{x,E_0})) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{x,E_0}}^1$ was given by

$$\{u, 1 + vt\} \mapsto v \frac{du}{u}.$$

This is not quite canonical, as it involves the choice of t generating $\text{Ker}(\mathcal{O}_{x,E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{x,E_0})$. However, $v \frac{du}{u} \otimes t \in \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{x,E_0}}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{E_0}} I/I^2$ is clearly canonical. Thus we obtain an exact sequence of sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow I/I^2 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{E_0}} \Omega_{E_0}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{2,E} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{2,E_0} \rightarrow 0.$$

In fact, this exact sequence splits naturally, using the fibration $\tilde{Y} \rightarrow X$ together with the isomorphism $X \cong E_0$ to split the inclusion $E_0 \hookrightarrow E$. Hence, we have a naturally split exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(E_0, \Omega_{E_0}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{E_0}} I/I^2) \rightarrow SK_1(E) \rightarrow SK_1(E_0) \rightarrow 0.$$

Now $E_0 \cong X_L \times_L \mathbb{C}$. Hence $\Omega_{E_0}^1 \cong (\Omega_{X_L}^1 \otimes_L \mathbb{C}) \oplus (\mathcal{O}_{X_L} \otimes_L \Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^1)$. This gives a corresponding splitting of $\Omega_{E_0}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{E_0}} I/I^2$. Since $\Omega_{X_L}^1 \otimes_L \mathbb{C} = \Omega_{X/\mathbb{C}}^1$, and $I/I^2 \cong \mathcal{O}_X(1)$, we have

$$H^1(E_0, (\Omega_{X_L}^1 \otimes_L \mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{E_0}} I/I^2) = H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1) \otimes \Omega_{X/\mathbb{C}}^1) = 0$$

by Serre duality.

Again using $E_0 \cong X_L \times \mathbb{C}$, and the Künneth formula, the other direct summand reduces to $H^1(X_L, \mathcal{O}_{X_L}(1)) \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^1$.

LEMMA (3.4): $K_i(\tilde{Y}) \cong K_i(X)$, and the natural maps $K_i(\tilde{Y}) \rightarrow K_i(E)$ are injective. Further, $\text{coker}(K_i(\tilde{Y}) \rightarrow K_i(E)) \cong \text{Ker}(K_i(E) \rightarrow K_i(E_0))$.

PROOF: Since $\tilde{Y} \rightarrow X$ is an \mathbb{A}^1 -bundle, the first claim follows from [9], sec. 7, prop. (4.1). The remaining claims just exploit the fact that in $E_0 \hookrightarrow E \hookrightarrow \tilde{Y} \rightarrow X$, the composite $E_0 \rightarrow X$ is an isomorphism.

In particular, $H^1(X_L, \mathcal{O}_{X_L}(1)) \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \hookrightarrow K_0(\tilde{Y}, E)$. The next task is to imitate the geometric construction of the boundary map used by Spencer Bloch to show that at least some of these elements land in $F_0 K_0(\tilde{Y}, E)$.

From the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 K_1(\tilde{Y}) & \longrightarrow & K_1(E) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & K_0(\tilde{Y}, E) & \longrightarrow & K_0(\tilde{Y}) \\
 \uparrow & & \pi^* \uparrow & & \pi^* \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 K_1(Y) & \longrightarrow & K_1(2P) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & K_0(Y, 2P) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & K_0(Y)
 \end{array}$$

and the fact that $SK_1(2P) = 0$, we claim that if $\alpha \in H^1(X_L, \mathcal{O}_{X_L}(1)) \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ is non-zero, and $\partial\alpha = \pi^*\delta$, then $\phi(\delta) \in K_0(Y)$ is also non-zero. For suppose $\delta = \psi(\gamma)$. Then $\pi^*(\gamma) - \alpha \in \text{Image}(K_1(\tilde{Y}) \rightarrow K_1(E))$, which maps isomorphically to $K_1(E_0)$. But, by changing γ by an element of $K_1(Y)$ (in fact, an element of \mathbb{C}^*) we may assume $\gamma \mapsto 0$ in $K_1(P)$. Clearly $\pi^*(\gamma) \rightarrow 0$ in $K_1(E_0)$, from

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 K_1(E) & \rightarrow & K_1(E_0) \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 K_1(2P) & \rightarrow & K_1(P)
 \end{array}$$

Since $\alpha \mapsto 0$ in $K_1(E_0)$, $\pi^*(\gamma) - \alpha \mapsto 0$ in $K_1(E_0)$. But this forces $\pi^*\gamma - \alpha = 0$ i.e. $\pi^*(\gamma) = \alpha$. Since $K_1(2P) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} K_1(E) \rightarrow K_1(F)$ is injective (use the grading) while $\alpha \in SK_1(E)$, this forces $\alpha = 0$.

Now let $C \subset \tilde{Y}$ be a smooth (possibly disconnected) affine closed curve. Then we claim there is a map between the sequence of $(C, C \cap E)$ and (\tilde{Y}, E) . Let $j: C \hookrightarrow \tilde{Y}, j': C \cap E \rightarrow E$. Then we have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{H}_0(\tilde{Y}) & \rightarrow & \mathcal{H}(E) \\
 j_* \uparrow & & \uparrow j'_* \\
 \mathcal{P}(C) & \rightarrow & \mathcal{P}(C \cap E)
 \end{array}$$

(\mathcal{H}_0 was defined when we introduced cycle classes).

This induces the maps between the sequences.

LEMMA (3.5): *Let C be a smooth affine curve, $S \subset C$ a finite subscheme, $\mathcal{O}_{S,C}$ the semilocal ring of S on C . Then there is a commutative diagram (upto sign)*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \xrightarrow{\eta} & K_0(C, S) \\
 \mathcal{O}_{S,C}^* & \searrow & \varepsilon \uparrow \partial \\
 & & K_1(S)
 \end{array}$$

where $\partial: K_1(S) \rightarrow K_0(C, S)$ is the boundary map of the pair (C, S) , $\varepsilon: \mathcal{O}_{S,C}^* \rightarrow K_1(S)$ is the natural map on units, and η sends $f \in \mathcal{O}_{S,C}^*$ to the cycle class of the divisor (f) of f on C .

PROOF: It clearly suffices to check that $\partial \circ \varepsilon(f) = \eta(f)$ for all $f \in \text{Image}(\mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S,C}) \cap \mathcal{O}_{S,C}^*$. Such an f can be regarded as a morphism $C \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$, and $[(f)] \in K_0(C, S)$ is just $f^*([0])$, where $[0] \in K_0(\mathbb{A}^1, f(S))$; and $f(S) \subset \mathbb{A}^1 - \{0\}$. So we are reduced to checking the claim in the case when $C \cong \mathbb{A}^1$, $S \subset \mathbb{A}^1 - \{0\}$, and $f = t$, the standard function on \mathbb{A}^1 . The image of t in $K_1(S)$ is a unit. If $\mathbb{A}^1 = \text{Spec } k[t]$, $S = \text{Spec } k[t]/I$, then we have a diagram of rings

$$\begin{array}{ccc} k[t] & \rightarrow & k[t, t^{-1}] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ k[t] & \rightarrow & k[t]/I \end{array}$$

This induces a map between the localisation sequence for $(k[t], k[t, t^{-1}])$ and the exact sequence of the pair (\mathbb{A}^1, S) . In terms of categories, we have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{H}_0(\mathbb{A}^1) & \rightarrow & \mathcal{H}_0(\mathbb{G}_m) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{H}_0(\mathbb{A}^1) & \rightarrow & \mathcal{H}(S) \end{array}$$

Hence we have a diagram of spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} BQ\mathcal{P}(\{0\}) & \rightarrow & BQ\mathcal{H}_0(\mathbb{A}^1) & \rightarrow & BQ\mathcal{H}_0(\mathbb{G}_m) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ F(i^*) & \rightarrow & BQ\mathcal{H}_0(\mathbb{A}^1) & \rightarrow & BQ\mathcal{H}(S) \end{array}$$

since the homotopy fiber in the localisation sequence is known to be homotopy equivalent to $BQ\mathcal{P}(\{0\})$. The induced map $BQ\mathcal{P}(\{0\}) \rightarrow BQ\mathcal{H}_0(\mathbb{A}^1)$ is the one which was used to define the cycle class of $[0]$ in $K_0(\mathbb{A}^1, S)$. So the lemma will follow if we can show that for $t \in K_1(k[t, t^{-1}])$, $\partial(t) = \pm [0] \in K_0(\{0\})$ in the localisation sequence. This is proved in Quillen [9].

We need one more lemma. Let $C \subset \tilde{Y}$ be as before, and let $\Pi \in \mathcal{O}_{C \cap E, Y}$ be a local generator for the ideal sheaf of C on \tilde{Y} . Then we have a diagram of localisation sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} K_2(\mathcal{O}_{C \cap E, E}) & \rightarrow & K_2(F) & \rightarrow & K_1(\mathcal{H}(C \cap E, E)) & \rightarrow & 0 \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \alpha & & \\ K_2(\mathcal{O}_{C \cap E, Y}) & \rightarrow & K_2(\mathcal{O}_{C \cap E, Y}[\Pi^{-1}]) & \rightarrow & K_1(\mathcal{O}_{C \cap E, C}) & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

(induced from the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 BQ\mathcal{H}(C \cap E, E) & \rightarrow & BQ\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{O}_{C \cap E, E}) & \rightarrow & BQ\mathcal{H}(F) \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 BQ\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{O}_{C \cap E, E}) & \rightarrow & BQ\mathcal{H}_0(\mathcal{O}_{C \cap E, Y}) & \rightarrow & BQ\mathcal{H}_0(\mathcal{O}_{C \cap E, Y}[\Pi^{-1}])
 \end{array}$$

Here $\mathcal{H}_0(\mathcal{O}_{C \cap E, Y})$ is the category of coherent $\mathcal{O}_{C \cap E, Y}$ modules M satisfying $\text{Tor}_i(M, \mathcal{O}_{C \cap E, E}) = 0$ for $i > 0$, and similarly for $\mathcal{O}_{C \cap E, Y}[\Pi^{-1}]$. Note that both rings are regular). There is another map

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \beta: K_1(\mathcal{O}_{C \cap E, C}) = \mathcal{O}_{C \cap E}^* & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} & K_1(C \cap E) & \xrightarrow{j^*} & K_1(\mathcal{H}) \\
 & & \downarrow & & \uparrow \\
 & & & & \beta
 \end{array}$$

(where $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(C \cap E, E)$).

LEMMA (3.6): $\alpha = \beta$.

PROOF: $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{O}_{C \cap E, C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(C \cap E, E)$ factors through the full subcategory $\mathcal{P}(C \cap E) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}(C \cap E, E)$.

The point of Lemma (3.6) is to use symbols for calculations, to avoid dealing with $\mathcal{H}(C \cap E, E)$. Lemmas (3.5) and (3.6) give the geometric description of the boundary map $K_1(E) \rightarrow K_0(\tilde{Y}, E)$, since we know that $K_2(\mathcal{O}_{C \cap E, Y}[\Pi^{-1}]) \rightarrow K_1(\mathcal{O}_{C \cap E, C})$ is the tame symbol (see Quillen [9]).

Finally we are ready to prove the theorem. Let x_0, \dots, x_n be homogeneous coordinates on \mathbb{P}_L^n . Let $a_0, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{C}$ be algebraically independent over L , and let $\Pi = a_0 + a_1 x_1/x_0 + \dots + a_n x_n/x_0$ be a rational function on \tilde{Y} . The divisor of zeroes of Π consists of a union of fibres of the map $p: \tilde{Y} \rightarrow X$; indeed, if $H \subset \mathbb{P}_\mathbb{C}^n$ is the hyperplane $a_0 x_0 + \dots + a_n x_n = 0$, the divisor is just $p^{-1}(H \cap X)$. Let D be a derivation of \mathbb{C} extending $\partial/\partial a_0$ of $L[a_0, \dots, a_n]$. Then $(d\Pi, D) = 1$, where we regard D as a derivation on functions on \tilde{Y} which is 0 on functions defined over L . Now the homogeneous coord- x_0 may be regarded as a regular function on \tilde{Y} in the ideal of E_0 , which generates that ideal at points of $X - \{x_0 = 0\}$ (under the identification of X with E_0). Since $(\Pi = 0) \cap E_0$ consists of points not defined over L , x_0 generates I (and hence I/I^2) at these points.

Let ϕ be a rational function on \tilde{Y} which is regular at the points $\{t_1, \dots, t_p\} = (x_0 = 0) \cap E_\mathbb{C}$. Then $S = \phi(d\Pi/\Pi) \otimes x_0$ (where ϕ, Π are restricted to E_0) represents an element of $H^1(E_0, \Omega_{E_0}^1 \otimes I/I^2) \subset SK_1(E)$, whose boundary is a relative 0-cycle. Hence Theorem 3 is proved if this

class is nonzero. Clearly it is enough to show that $(S, D) \in H^1(E_0, I/I^2)$ is nonzero (where $(, D)$ denotes contraction with the derivation D). We will do this using Serre Duality, in its formulation in terms of residues (see [7]). Let $\omega \in H^0(E_0, \mathcal{O}_{E_0}(-1) \otimes \omega_{E_0})$, the dual vector space to $H^1(E_0, I/I^2)$; assume ω is defined over L . Then ω is nonzero at t_1, \dots, t_p , and $x_0\omega/\Pi$ has a simple pole with nonzero residue at each t_i . On the affine curve $\Pi = 0$, we can find a regular function ϕ with prescribed values at each t_i . Thus, by properly choosing ϕ , we can arrange that $\sum_{i=1}^p \text{res}_{t_i}[(\phi/\Pi) \otimes x_0\omega]$ is nonzero. This finishes the proof.

REMARKS: 1) The proof in fact shows that $K_0(Y)$ is uncountably generated, since there are uncountably many mutually algebraically independent choices of numbers a_0, \dots, a_n .

2) Since derivations of the form $\partial/\partial a$ (with a running through a transcendence base for \mathbb{C}) span the dual of $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^1$, at least if we allow infinite linear combinations, one can show that $\text{image}(SK_1(E) - K_0(\tilde{Y}, E)) = F_0K_0(\tilde{Y}, E)$. Hence $K_0(\tilde{Y}, E)$ is generated by algebraic cycles. This is no longer clear if the curve X is not defined over a number field, since the vector space Ω_k^1 may play some role, where k is a field of definition of X . However, theorem 3 is still valid; in the final step of the proof, choose a_0, \dots, a_n to be algebraically independent over k .

REFERENCES

- [1] H. BASS: Some old and new problems in "Classical" Algebraic K -Theory. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* 342, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York, 1973.
- [2] H. BASS: Algebraic K -Theory. Benjamin, 1968.
- [3] R. VARLEY: Vector bundles on cones over projective schemes. *Invent. Math.* 62 (1980) 15–22.
- [4] M.P. MURTHY and R. SWAN: Vector bundles on affine surfaces. *Invent. Math.* 36 (1976) 125–165.
- [5] D. MUMFORD: Rational equivalence of zero cycles on algebraic surfaces. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* 9 (1968) 195–204.
- [6] W. FULTON: Rational equivalence on singular varieties. *Publ. Math. I.H.E.S.* 45 (1975) 147–167.
- [7] R. HARTSHORNE: Algebraic geometry. *Graduate texts in Math.* 52, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York, 1978.
- [8] M. OJANGUREN: Fibrati vettoriali sulle superfici cubiche. *Archiv. Math. (Basel)* 30 (1978) 143–145.
- [9] D. QUILLEN: Higher algebraic K -theory I. In: Algebraic K -theory I. *Lecture Notes in Math.* 341, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York, 1972.
- [10] K. DENNIS and M. STEIN: K_2 of discrete valuations. *Advances in Math.* 18 (1975) 182–238.
- [11] D. GRAYSON: Higher algebraic K -theory II (after Daniel Quillen). *Lecture Notes in Math.* 551, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York, 1976.
- [12] L. SZPIRO: Lectures on equations defining space curves. *Tata Inst. Lecture Notes*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York, 1979.

- [13] S. BLOCH: Lectures on algebraic cycles. *Duke Univ. Math. Ser. IV*, Math. Dept., Duke Univ., Durham, N.C., U.S.A., 1980.
- [14] W. VAN DER KALLEN: Le K_2 des nombres d'auax. *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris 273A* (1971) 1204–1207.

(Oblatum 1-VII-1981 & 15-II-1982)

Department of Mathematics
University of Chicago
Chicago, IL 60637
U.S.A.

and

School of Mathematics
Institute for Advanced Study
Princeton, N.J. 08540 U.S.A.