

# COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

RUISHI KUWABARA

## **On isospectral deformations of riemannian metrics. II**

*Compositio Mathematica*, tome 47, n° 2 (1982), p. 195-205

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM\\_1982\\_\\_47\\_2\\_195\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1982__47_2_195_0)

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1982, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (<http://www.compositio.nl/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/legal.php>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

## ON ISOSPECTRAL DEFORMATIONS OF RIEMANNIAN METRICS. II

Ruishi Kuwabara

### 1. Introduction

Let  $M$  be an  $n(\geq 2)$  dimensional compact oriented  $C^\infty$  manifold without boundary. Let  $g$  be a  $C^\infty$  Riemannian metric on  $M$ , and  $\text{Spec}(M, g)$  denote the set of eigenvalues of the Laplace-Beltrami operator  $\Delta_g = -g^{jk}\nabla_j\nabla_k$  acting on real  $C^\infty$  functions on  $M$ . A 1-parameter  $C^\infty$  deformation  $g(t)$  ( $-\varepsilon < t < \varepsilon$ ) of a Riemannian metric on  $M$  is called an *isospectral deformation* of  $g(0)$  if  $\text{Spec}(M, g(t)) = \text{Spec}(M, g(0))$  holds for every  $t$ . We call  $g(t)$  to be *trivial* if there is a 1-parameter family  $\eta(t)$  of diffeomorphisms of  $M$  such that  $g(t) = \eta(t)^*g(0)$ . We have considered in [1], [2] the following problem (given in [3, p. 233]).

**PROBLEM A:** *Is there a non-trivial isospectral deformation of a Riemannian metric?*

So far, we have few results concerning this problem except for special cases [1] ~ [6]. Among others the following is known.

**THEOREM:** *There are no non-trivial isospectral deformations of  $g$ , if*

(1)  $(M, g)$  is  $(1/n)$ -pinched, that is, for each  $x \in M$ , there exists a positive number  $A$  (depending on  $x$ ) such that  $-1 - (1/n) < K/A < -1 + (1/n)$ ,  $K$  being the sectional curvature associated with any two dimensional subspace of  $T_xM$ , or

(2)  $(M, g)$  is of non-negative constant curvature.

The case (1) was proved by Guillemin and Kazhdan [4], [5], and (2) is due to Kuwabara [2] for flat case and to Tanno [6] for the case of positive constant curvature. Moreover, for the case (2), a stronger result

was shown as follows. Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be the manifold of  $C^\infty$  Riemannian metrics on  $M$  with  $C^\infty$  topology. If  $(M, g)$  is flat or a standard sphere, there is a neighborhood  $U$  of  $g$  in  $\mathcal{R}$  such that if  $\text{Spec}(M, g) = \text{Spec}(M, g')$  and  $g' \in U$  then  $(M, g')$  is isometric with  $(M, g)$ .

In the previous paper [1], [2] we studied the problem by considering the variations of Minakshisundaram's coefficients under the deformation of the metric. We try in this paper a different approach to the problem based on Lax's idea which plays a fundamental role in theory of nonlinear waves [7]. We consider the isospectral deformations confined to Lax's sense which are called  $L$ -isospectral deformations, and set up the following problem.

**PROBLEM B:** *Is there a non-trivial  $L$ -isospectral deformation of a matrix?*

We see that there are no non-trivial  $L$ -isospectral deformations under suitable conditions.

In §2 we introduce the notion of  $L$ -isospectral deformations. In §3 we consider the non-existence of  $L$ -isospectral deformations and give a sufficient condition for it. It is shown in §4 that this condition is related to the non-existence of first integrals of the geodesic flow, and we give some results concerning the non-existence of  $L$ -isospectral deformations.

The author wishes to express his thanks to the referee for his kind advice.

## 2. $L$ -isospectral deformations

Let  $g(t)$  be a  $C^\infty$  isospectral deformation of  $g = g(0)$ , that is,

$$\Delta_{g(t)}\phi_j(t) \equiv \Delta_t\phi_j(t) = \lambda_j\phi_j(t), \quad (2.1)$$

and  $\{\phi_j(t)\}_{j=0}^\infty$  is the system of real eigenfunctions orthonormal with respect to the inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)_t$  defined from the metric  $g(t)$ , namely,  $(\phi, \psi)_t = \int \phi\psi dV(g(t))$ ,  $dV(g(t)) = \sqrt{\det g(t)} dx^1 \dots dx^n$ . Moreover by Browder's theorem [8], we can choose  $\phi_j(t)$  to be of  $C^\infty$  class with respect to  $t$ .

First, we give the following lemma.

**LEMMA 2.1:** *Let  $g(t)$  be a  $C^\infty$  isospectral deformation of  $g$ , and  $\mu = dV(g)$ . Then, there is a  $C^\infty$  isospectral deformation  $\tilde{g}(t)$  of  $g$  such that  $\tilde{g}(t) = \eta(t)^*g(t)$  for a 1-parameter family  $\eta(t)$  of diffeomorphisms of  $M$ , and  $dV(\tilde{g}(t)) = \mu$ .*

PROOF: It is well known that  $\text{vol}(M, g(t))$  is left invariant under the isospectral deformation  $g(t)$  (cf. [3, p.216]). Hence, the lemma is immediately obtained by the following lemma due to Moser [9].

LEMMA (Moser): *Let  $\mu(t)$  be a  $C^\infty$  deformation of  $n$ -form on  $M$  which is non-degenerate and  $\int_M \mu(t) = \int_M \mu(0)$  for each  $t$ . Then, there is a  $C^\infty$  family  $\eta(t)$  of diffeomorphisms of  $M$  such that  $\eta(t)^*\mu(t) = \mu(0)$ .*

By Lemma 2.1, we consider hereafter only volume-element preserving deformations, for which the infinitesimal deformation (*i*-deformation, for short)  $h(t) = dg(t)/dt$  satisfies (cf. [10])

$$\text{Tr}_{g(t)} h(t) = h_{jk}(t)g^{jk}(t) = 0.$$

We denote the set of all square integrable real functions on  $M$  by  $L^2(M)$ , the inner product being  $(\cdot, \cdot) = (\cdot, \cdot)_t = (\cdot, \cdot)_0$ , and the space of distributions on  $M$  by  $\mathcal{E}'(M)$ . For an isospectral deformation  $g(t)$ , we introduce a linear operator  $B_t: L^2(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'(M)$  for each  $t$  as follows. Suppose an element  $\phi$  of  $L^2(M)$  is expressed as  $\sum_{j=0}^\infty a_j(t)\phi_j(t)$ ,  $a_j(t) \in \mathbf{R}$ . Then for  $\psi \in C^\infty(M)$ , we define

$$\langle B_t \phi, \psi \rangle = \sum_{j=0}^\infty a_j(t)(\phi'_j(t), \psi),$$

where  $\phi'_j(t) \equiv d\phi_j(t)/dt$  and the domain  $D(B_t)$  of the operator  $B_t$  is the set of all  $\phi \in L^2(M)$  for which the right hand side of the above has a real finite value. Note that  $B_t \phi_j(t) = \phi'_j(t) \in C^\infty(M)$  holds good.

Now, differentiate (2.1) with respect to  $t$ , and we have

$$\Delta'_t \phi_j(t) + \Delta_t B_t \phi_j(t) - \lambda_j B_t \phi_j(t) = 0,$$

hence,

$$(\Delta'_t + \Delta_t B_t - B_t \Delta_t) \phi_j(t) = 0.$$

Therefore, we get the following equation of operators on  $D(B_t) \cap C^\infty(M)$ ;

$$\Delta'_t + [\Delta_t, B_t] = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

Thus we have

PROPOSITION 2.2: *If  $g(t)$  is an isospectral deformation, there is a linear operator  $B_t$  satisfying (2.2), where*

$$\Delta'_t = h^{jk} \nabla_j \nabla_k + (\nabla_k h^{jk}) \nabla_j = \nabla_j (h^{jk} \nabla_k), \tag{2.3}$$

$\nabla$  being the covariant differentiation defined by  $g(t)$ .

PROOF: (2.3) is immediately derived from variational formulas of Riemannian structure [10]. Q.E.D.

REMARK: The operator  $B_t$  depends on the choice of the orthonormal basis of eigenfunctions  $\{\phi_j(t)\}$ .

The equation (2.2) may be called Lax's equation, which is originally studied concerning Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) equation (see Lax [7]):

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x^3} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = 0.$$

For the Schrödinger operator  $L_t = (d^2/dx^2) + (1/6)u(x, t)$ , consider a third order differential operator

$$B_t = -4 \frac{d^3}{dx^3} - u \frac{d}{dx} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{du}{dx}.$$

Then the equation  $L'_t + [L_t, B_t] = 0$  is equivalent to the KdV equation and  $\text{Spec}(L_t)$  is left invariant when  $u$  changes with  $t$  subject to the KdV equation. Moreover, for higher odd order differential operators  $B_t$  we get a series of higher order KdV equations, and  $\text{Spec}(L_t)$  is invariant if  $u$  changes according to them.

On the basis of the above discussion, we introduce the following definition.

DEFINITION: Let  $g(t)$  be an isospectral deformation. If  $B_t$  is a differential operator for each  $t$ , we call  $g(t)$  an *isospectral deformation in Lax's sense*, or *L-isospectral deformation*. If  $B_t$  is a  $k$ -th order differential operator for each  $t$ , we call  $g(t)$  an  *$L_k$ -isospectral deformation*. Note that  $D(B_t) = L^2(M)$  for the  $L$ -isospectral deformation.

LEMMA 2.3: Let  $g(t)$  be an  $L_k$ -isospectral deformation. Then, the  $k$ -th differential operator  $B_t$  is skew-symmetric, that is,

$$B_t + B_t^* = 0, \tag{2.4}$$

where  $B_t^*$  is the formal adjoint of  $B_t$  with respect to  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ .

PROOF: By differentiating  $(\phi_j(t), \phi_k(t)) = \delta_{jk}$  with respect to  $t$ , we have

$$(B_t \phi_j(t), \phi_k(t)) + (\phi_j(t), B_t \phi_k(t)) = 0,$$

and (2.4) because the above holds for all  $\phi_j$ 's. Q.E.D.

As a converse of Proposition 2.2, we have the following.

**PROPOSITION 2.4:** *Suppose there are a volume-element preserving  $C^\infty$  deformation  $g(t)$  of a metric and a skew-symmetric  $k$ -th order differential operator  $B_t$  smoothly depending on  $t$ , which satisfy eq. (2.2). Assume that there exists a 1-parameter family of linear operators  $T_t: C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ ,  $-\varepsilon < t < \varepsilon$ , whose infinitesimal generator is  $B_t$ , that is,  $T_t = \exp(\int_0^t B_s ds)$  and  $T_0 = \text{Identity}$ . Then the deformation  $g(t)$  ( $-\varepsilon < t < \varepsilon$ ) is an isospectral deformation of  $g(0)$ .*

**PROOF:** Let  $\{\psi_j\}$  be a set of orthonormal eigenfunctions associated with  $\text{Spec}(M, g(0)) = \{\lambda_j\}$ , and set  $\phi_j(t) = T_t \psi_j$ . Then  $\{\phi_j(t)\}_{j=0}^\infty$  forms an orthonormal basis of  $L^2(M)$  for each  $t$ . In fact,

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\phi_j(t), \phi_k(t)) = (B_t \phi_j(t), \phi_k(t)) + (\phi_j(t), B_t \phi_k(t)) = 0,$$

hence  $(\phi_j(t), \phi_k(t)) = (\psi_j, \psi_k) = \delta_{jk}$  holds. Set

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_t \phi_j(t) &= \sum_{k=0}^\infty a_j^k(t) \phi_k(t), \\ a_j^k(t) &= (\Delta_t \phi_j(t), \phi_k(t)), \quad a_j^k(0) = \lambda_j \delta_j^k. \end{aligned}$$

The coefficients  $a_j^k(t)$  are  $C^\infty$  functions and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} a_j^k(t) &= (\Delta'_t \phi_j(t) + \Delta_t B_t \phi_j(t), \phi_k(t)) + (\Delta_t \phi_j(t), B_t \phi_k(t)) = \\ &= ((\Delta'_t + [\Delta_t, B_t]) \phi_j(t), \phi_k(t)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $a_j^k(t) = \lambda_j \delta_j^k$  and accordingly  $\text{Spec}(M, g(t)) = \{\lambda_j\}$ .

Q.E.D.

A fundamental example of  $L$ -isospectral deformation is a trivial deformation, that is,

**LEMMA 2.5:** *A trivial deformation is an  $L_1$ -isospectral deformation.*

**PROOF:** Let  $g(t) = \eta(t)^* g(0)$  for a 1-parameter family  $\eta(t)$  of volume preserving diffeomorphisms of  $M$ . Then, we have for each eigenfunction,

$$\phi_j(x, s) = \phi_j(\eta(s - t)x, t) = \eta(s - t)^* \phi_j(x, t).$$

Therefore, we get  $\phi'_j(t) = X_t \phi_j(t)$ , where  $X_t = d\eta(t)/dt$  is a vector field satisfying  $\nabla_j X_t^j = 0$  (cf. [11]). Thus  $B_t = X_t$  is a first order differential operator and satisfies (2.2) and (2.4).

Q.E.D.

### 3. Non-existence of $L$ -ispectral deformations

Let  $g(t)$  be a  $C^\infty$  deformation with  $g(0) = g$ . We consider the equation (2.2) at  $t = 0$  (the suffix 0 being omitted). A  $k$ -th order differential operator  $B$  on  $(M, g)$  is expressed as

$$B = a_{(k)}^{i_1 \dots i_k} \nabla_{i_1} \dots \nabla_{i_k} + a_{(k-1)}^{j_1 \dots j_{k-1}} \nabla_{j_1} \dots \nabla_{j_{k-1}} + \dots + a_{(0)}, \tag{3.1}$$

where  $a_{(m)}^{i_1 \dots i_m}$  are components of a contravariant symmetric  $m$ -tensor. For this operator  $B$ , we have

$$B^* = (-1)^k a_{(k)}^{i_1 \dots i_k} \nabla_{i_1} \dots \nabla_{i_k} + (\text{lower order terms}).$$

Therefore,  $k$  is odd because  $B$  is skew-symmetric (Lemma 2.3). Thus we have only to consider odd order differential operators  $B$ .

First, we deal with  $L_1$ -ispectral deformations, and have the following which is the converse of Lemma 2.5.

**PROPOSITION 3.1:** *There are no non-trivial  $L_1$ -ispectral deformations.*

**PROOF:** Let  $B$  is a first order skew-symmetric differential operator, namely,  $B = a^i \nabla_i + (1/2)(\nabla_i a^i)$ . Then, we have from (2.2),

$$(h^{jk} - 2\nabla^j a^k) \nabla_j \nabla_k + \{\nabla^k h_k^i - \nabla_k \nabla^k a^j - \nabla^j \nabla_i a^i - a^k R_k^j\} \nabla_j + \frac{1}{2} \Delta(\nabla_i a^i) = 0,$$

where  $R_{jk}$  is the Ricci curvature tensor of  $(M, g)$ . Therefore, we get  $h^{jk} = \nabla^j a^k + \nabla^k a^j$ , that is,  $h(= (dg/dt)(0))$  is a trivial  $i$ -deformation (see [1]). Thus, if  $g(t)$  is an  $L_1$ -ispectral deformation, then  $h(t)$  is trivial with respect to  $g(t)$  for each  $t$ . Hence the proposition is obtained by the following lemma.

**LEMMA (Koiso [12, Lemma 2.9]):** *If  $h(t) = dg(t)/dt$  is trivial for each  $t$ , then  $g(t)$  is a trivial deformation.*

Next, we consider  $L_k$ -ispectral deformations for  $k(\text{odd}) \geq 3$ . Substituting the differential operator  $B$  given by (3.1) into eq. (2.2), we get a necessary and sufficient condition that the coefficients  $a_{(m)}$  and  $h$  should be satisfied. The computation, however, is so complicated that we cannot write it explicitly.

As a necessary condition, we have the following.

**PROPOSITION 3.2:** *If  $g(t)$  is an  $L_k$ -ispectral deformation for  $k(\text{odd}) \geq 3$ , then the highest order coefficients of  $B$  satisfy*

$$\nabla^\rho a_{(k)}^{j_1 \dots j_k} + \nabla^{j_1} a_{(k)}^{\rho j_2 \dots j_k} + \dots + \nabla^{j_k} a_{(k)}^{\rho j_1 \dots j_{k-1}} = 0. \tag{3.2}$$

PROOF: By straightforward calculations, eq. (2.2) leads to

$$(\nabla^\rho a_{(k)}^{i_1 \dots i_k}) \nabla_\rho \nabla_{j_1} \dots \nabla_{j_k} + (\text{lower order terms}) = 0.$$

Thus we get (3.2).

Q.E.D.

Let  $S_k$  be the space of all  $C^\infty$  contravariant symmetric  $k$ -tensor fields on  $M$  endowed with  $C^\infty$  topology. For a  $C^\infty$  Riemannian metric  $g$ , we define  $\hat{\nabla}_g^k: S_k \rightarrow S_{k+1}$  by

$$(\hat{\nabla}_g^k a)^{i_1 \dots i_{k+1}} = \nabla^{i_1} a^{i_2 \dots i_{k+1}} + \nabla^{i_2} a^{i_1 i_3 \dots i_{k+1}} + \dots + \nabla^{i_{k+1}} a^{i_1 \dots i_k},$$

where  $\nabla$  is the covariant differentiation defined by  $g$ . Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be the manifold of all  $C^\infty$  Riemannian metrics with  $C^\infty$  topology, and

$$\mathcal{N}_k = \{g \in \mathcal{R}; (\hat{\nabla}_g^k)^{-1}(0) = \{0\}\}.$$

LEMMA 3.3:

- (1)  $\mathcal{N}_k$  is an open subset of  $\mathcal{R}$ .
- (2)  $\mathcal{R} \supset \mathcal{N}_1 \supset \mathcal{N}_3 \supset \dots \supset \mathcal{N}_{2m-1} \supset \mathcal{N}_{2m+1} \supset \dots$

PROOF: (1) Define  $\hat{\nabla}^k: \mathcal{R} \times (S_k \setminus \{0\}) \rightarrow S_{k+1}$  by  $\hat{\nabla}^k(g, a) = \hat{\nabla}_g^k a$ . Then we have  $\mathcal{N}_k = \mathcal{R} \setminus \pi(\ker(\hat{\nabla}^k))$ , where  $\pi: \mathcal{R} \times (S_k \setminus \{0\}) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$  is the projection. It is easy to see that  $\hat{\nabla}^k$  is continuous and  $\pi$  is an open mapping. Hence  $\mathcal{N}_k$  is open in  $\mathcal{R}$ .

(2) We show  $(\mathcal{R} \setminus \mathcal{N}_{2m-1}) \subset (\mathcal{R} \setminus \mathcal{N}_{2m+1})$ . Let  $g \in (\mathcal{R} \setminus \mathcal{N}_{2m-1})$  and  $\hat{\nabla}_g^{2m-1} a = 0$ . Then, obviously,  $\hat{\nabla}_g^{2m+1}(a \hat{\otimes} g^{-1}) = 0$  holds, where  $a \hat{\otimes} g^{-1}$  denotes the symmetrization of  $a \otimes g^{-1}$ . Q.E.D.

We have the following proposition by virtue of Proposition 3.2.

PROPOSITION 3.4: *If the metric  $g$  belongs to  $\mathcal{N}_k$ ,  $k(\text{odd}) \geq 3$ , then there are no non-trivial  $L_k$ -isospectral deformations of  $g$ .*

PROOF: Assume  $B$  is the  $k$ -th order differential operator satisfying (2.2). If  $g \in \mathcal{N}_k$ , then it follows from Proposition 3.2 and Lemma 3.3, (2) that the operator  $B$  reduces to be of first order. Since the set  $\mathcal{N}_k$  is open, the isospectral deformation must be trivial by virtue of Proposition 3.1. Q.E.D.

REMARK: We conjecture that for each positive odd integer  $k$ , the set  $\mathcal{N}_k$  is dense in  $\mathcal{R}$ . It is known that the statement is valid for the case of  $k = 1$  (cf. Ebin [13, Proposition 8.3]).



Set  $\mathcal{N}_\infty = \bigcap_{k:\text{odd}} \mathcal{N}_k$ . Noting that  $\mathcal{N}_\infty$  is not necessarily open, we get the following.

**PROPOSITION 3.5:** *If the metric  $g$  belongs to  $\mathcal{N}_\infty$ , there are no non-trivial  $L$ -isospectral  $i$ -deformations of  $g$ .*

#### 4. Relation with first integrals of geodesic flows

Consider the cotangent bundle  $T^*M$  with the natural symplectic structure. Let  $(x^i, p_i)$  be the local coordinate system of  $T^*M$  naturally induced from the coordinates  $(x^i)$  of  $M$ . For a Riemannian metric  $g$  on  $M$ , define a function  $H_g$  on  $T^*M$  by

$$H_g = \frac{1}{2}g^{jk}p_jp_k.$$

The Hamiltonian flow on  $T^*M$  defined by  $H_g$  is called the geodesic flow, and the image of its integral curves projected on  $M$  are geodesics of  $(M, g)$ .

Let  $P_k$  ( $k$ : positive integer) be the set of all polynomial functions on  $T^*M$  which are homogeneous of degree  $k$  in  $(p_i)$ . We define a one-one correspondence  $\Phi: S_k \rightarrow P_k$  by

$$\Phi(a) = \frac{1}{k} a^{i_1 \dots i_k} p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k}.$$

Then, we have the following (cf. [5, Proposition 3.1]).

**LEMMA 4.1:** *For each positive integer  $k$ , the equation  $\widehat{\nabla}_g^k a = 0$  is equivalent to*

$$\{\Phi(a), H_g\} = 0.$$

Here  $\{, \}$  is the Poisson bracket defined from the symplectic structure of  $T^*M$ .

**PROOF:** For  $\Phi(a) = (1/k)a^{i_1 \dots i_k} p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{\Phi(a), H_g\} &= \frac{1}{k} \frac{\partial a^{i_1 \dots i_k}}{\partial x^j} p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k} g^{jm} p_m - \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} a^{j i_1 \dots i_k} p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k} \frac{\partial g^{km}}{\partial x^j} p_k p_m = \\ &= \frac{1}{k} (\nabla^m a^{i_1 \dots i_k}) p_m p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the lemma is proved.

Q.E.D.

DEFINITION: A  $C^\infty$  function  $f$  on  $T^*M$  is called the *first integral* of the geodesic flow if  $\{f, H_g\} = 0$ , and  $f$  is not constant on any open set of any level surface of  $H_g$ . Moreover, if  $f$  belongs to  $P_k$ , we call  $f$  the *first integral of degree  $k$* .

From the above lemma, we have for odd  $k$ ,

$$\mathcal{N}_k = \{g \in \mathcal{R}; \text{ the geodesic flow has no first integral of degree } k\}.$$

We have the following theorem from Propositions 3.4 and 3.5.

THEOREM 4.2: *There are no non-trivial  $L$ -isospectral  $i$ -deformations (resp.  $L_k$ -isospectral deformations for odd integer  $k \geq 3$ ) of  $g$ , if the geodesic flow defined by  $g$  has no first integrals (resp. first integrals of degree  $k$ ).*

By Anosov [14] the geodesic flow defined by the metric of negative curvature is ergodic and has no first integrals. Thus we have

COROLLARY 4.3: *If  $(M, g)$  is of negative sectional curvature, there are no non-trivial  $L$ -isospectral deformations of  $g$ .*

REMARK: In [4] Guillemin and Kazhdan showed that if  $(M, g)$  is of negative sectional curvature and  $g(t)$  is an isospectral deformation of  $g$ , then there is a  $C^1$  function  $f$  on  $T^*M$  such that

$$H'_g + \{H_g, f\} = 0, \tag{4.1}$$

where  $H'_g = (1/2)h^{jk}p_j p_k$ . Moreover if  $(M, g)$  is  $(1/n)$ -pinched, it is shown that the function  $f$  satisfying (4.1) belongs to  $P_1$  and accordingly  $h = (dg/dt)(0)$  is trivial. We note that the equation (2.2) may be regarded as a quantum version of eq. (4.1).

Finally, we consider the case where the metric does not belong to  $\mathcal{N}_k$ , and have the following theorem.

THEOREM 4.4: *Let  $k$  be a positive odd integer, and assume that every first integral of odd degree  $\leq k$  of the geodesic flow defined by the metric  $g$  is expressed as a linear combination of the products of the first integrals of degree one and  $H_g$ . Then there are no non-trivial  $L_k$ -isospectral  $i$ -deformations of  $g$ .*

PROOF: We prove the theorem by induction on  $k$ . For the case  $k = 1$ , the statement reduces to Proposition 3.1. For general odd  $k$ , suppose  $h$

is an  $L_k$ -isospectral  $i$ -deformation of  $g$ , and

$$\Delta' + [\Delta, B] = 0,$$

where

$$\Delta' = \nabla_j (h^{jk} \nabla_k),$$

$$B = a^{i_1 \dots i_k} \nabla_{i_1} \dots \nabla_{i_k} + (\text{lower order terms}).$$

By Proposition 3.2, Lemma 4.1, and the assumption of the theorem, we have

$$a = \sum_{k=2r+s} g^{-1} \overbrace{\widehat{\otimes} \dots \widehat{\otimes}}^r g^{-1} \widehat{\otimes} \xi_1 \widehat{\otimes} \dots \widehat{\otimes} \xi_s,$$

where  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_s$  are the Killing vectors on  $(M, g)$ . Set  $\Omega_k = \xi_k^j \nabla_j$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, s$ , and

$$B_1 = \sum_{k=2r+s} (\Delta' \Omega_1 \dots \Omega_s)$$

corresponding to  $a$ , where  $(\ )$  denotes the symmetrization. We see easily that  $B_1$  is a skew-symmetric  $k$ -th differential operator, and  $[\Delta, B_1] = 0$ . Moreover, we have  $B = B_1 + B_2$ , where  $B_2$  is a skew-symmetric  $(k-2)$ -th differential operator, and

$$\Delta' + [\Delta, B_2] = 0$$

holds good. Thus  $h$  is an  $L_{k-2}$ -isospectral  $i$ -deformation of  $g$ . Therefore  $h$  is trivial by the assumption of induction. Q.E.D.

We conjecture that the assumption of the theorem is satisfied for every Riemannian symmetric spaces.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R. KUWABARA: On isospectral deformations of Riemannian metrics. *Comp. Math.* 40 (1980) 319–324.
- [2] R. KUWABARA: On the characterization of flat metrics by the spectrum. *Comment. Math. Helv.* 55 (1980) 427–444.
- [3] M. BERGER, P. GAUDUCHON, and E. MAZET: Le spectre d'une variété riemannienne. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* 194. Springer-Verlag, 1971.
- [4] V. GUILLEMIN and D. KAZHDAN: Some inverse spectral results for negatively curved 2-manifolds. *Topology* 19 (1980) 301–312.
- [5] V. GUILLEMIN and D. KAZHDAN: Some inverse spectral results for negatively curved  $n$ -manifolds. *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.* 36 (1980) 153–180.
- [6] S. TANNO: A characterization of the canonical sphere by the spectrum. *Math. Z.* 175 (1980) 267–274.
- [7] P.D. LAX: Integrals of nonlinear equations of evolution and solitary waves. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 21 (1968) 467–490.

- [8] F.E. BROWDER: Families of linear operators depending upon a parameter. *Am. J. Math.* 87 (1965) 752–758.
- [9] J. MOSER: On the volume elements on a manifold. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 120 (1965) 286–294.
- [10] M. BERGER: Quelques formules de variation pour une structure riemannienne. *Ann. sci. Éc. Norm. Sup., 4<sup>e</sup> serie* 3 (1970) 285–294.
- [11] D.G. EBIN and J. MARSDEN: Groups of diffeomorphisms and the motion of an incompressible fluid. *Ann. of Math.* 92 (1970) 102–163.
- [12] N. KOISO: Non-deformability of Einstein metrics. *Osaka J. Math.* 15 (1978) 419–433.
- [13] D.G. EBIN: The manifold of Riemannian metrics. *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.* 15 (1970) 11–40.
- [14] D.V. ANOSOV: Geodesic flow on closed Riemannian manifolds with negative curvature. *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov* 90 (1967).

(Oblatum 10-VI-1981 & 16-XII-1981)

Department of Mathematics  
College of General Education  
The University of Tokushima  
Minami-Josanjima-cho  
Tokushima 770, Japan