

# COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

M. DE WILDE

P. LECOMTE

**Algebraic characterizations of the algebra of functions  
and of the Lie algebra of vector fields of a manifold**

*Compositio Mathematica*, tome 45, n° 2 (1982), p. 199-205

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM\\_1982\\_\\_45\\_2\\_199\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1982__45_2_199_0)

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1982, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (<http://www.compositio.nl/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

**ALGEBRAIC CHARACTERIZATIONS OF THE ALGEBRA  
 OF FUNCTIONS AND OF THE LIE ALGEBRA OF VECTOR  
 FIELDS OF A MANIFOLD**

M. De Wilde and P. Lecomte

**1. Introduction**

Let  $M$  be a smooth, connected, Hausdorff and second countable manifold. Denote by  $\mathcal{H}(M)$  the Lie algebra of smooth vector fields of  $M$  and by  $C_\infty(M)$  the space of smooth functions on  $M$ . Recall that the Lie derivative  $\mathcal{L}_X (X \in \mathcal{H}(M))$  is defined on  $\otimes^p C_\infty(M)$  by

$$\mathcal{L}_X(f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_p) = \sum_{j=1}^p f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes (\mathcal{L}_X f_j) \otimes \cdots \otimes f_p.$$

If  $L : \otimes^p C_\infty(M) \rightarrow C_\infty(M)$  is a  $p$ -linear map, the adjoint action of  $\mathcal{L}_X$  on  $L$ ,  $ad(\mathcal{L}_X)L$  is the commutator  $\mathcal{L}_X \circ L - L \circ \mathcal{L}_X$ . We intend to show that the only symmetric  $p$ -linear maps  $L : \otimes^p C_\infty(M) \rightarrow C_\infty(M)$  for which  $ad(\mathcal{L}_X)L = 0$  for each  $X \in \mathcal{H}(M)$  are the multiples of the product of  $p$  factors:  $f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_p \rightarrow f_1 \cdots f_p$ . It provides thus a characterization of the product by means of its derivations.

A similar question is investigated for the Lie bracket of vector fields, which is shown to be determined up to a constant by the fact that its derivations are the Lie derivatives. This question has been solved by Van Strien in [4] under stronger assumptions which will be discussed in §3.

**2. Characterization of the algebraic structure of  $C_\infty(M)$**

**LEMMA 2.1:** *There exist a finite partition of the unity  $\lambda_t (t \leq r)$  of  $M$ , vector fields  $X_t (t \leq r) \in \mathcal{H}(M)$  and functions  $\mu_t (t \leq r) \in C_\infty(M)$  such that  $\lambda_t = \lambda_t X_t \cdot \mu_t$  for each  $t \leq r$ .*

PROOF: It is well known from dimension theory [2], p. 20 that  $M$  admits an open cover  $U_i (t \leq r)$  such that each  $U_i$  is the disjoint union of domains of charts  $U_{it} (i \in \mathbb{N})$ , as well as a locally finite partition of the unity  $\rho_{it} (t \leq r, i \in \mathbb{N})$  such that each  $\rho_{it}$  has compact support in  $U_{it}$ . We set  $V_{it} = \{x \in U_{it} : \rho_{it}(x) > 0\}$  and choose a partition of the unity  $\rho'_{it} (t \leq r; i \in \mathbb{N})$  such that  $\text{supp } \rho'_{it}$  is compact in  $V_{it}$  for all  $i, t$ .

For each  $t \leq r$  and  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , we may find  $\alpha_{it}, \beta_{it} \in C_\infty(M)$  with compact supports in  $U_{it}$  such that  $\alpha_{it} \mid V_{it} = 1$  and  $\beta_{it} = 1$  in some neighborhood of  $\text{supp } \alpha_{it}$ . If  $(x_{it}^1, \dots, x_{it}^n)$  are local coordinates in  $U_{it}$ ,

$$\lambda_t = \sum_i \rho'_{it}, X_t = \sum_i \alpha_{it} D_{x_{it}^1} \text{ and } \mu_t = \sum_i \beta_{it} x_{it}^1$$

have the required properties. Hence the lemma.

Denote by  $A_p$  the subspace of  $\otimes^p C_\infty(M)$  spanned by the tensors which are antisymmetric in at least two arguments.

LEMMA 2.2: For all  $f_i \in C_\infty(M)$  ( $i \leq p$ ), there exist  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , vector fields  $X_i \in \mathcal{H}(M)$  ( $i \leq N$ ) and  $f_{ik} \in C_\infty(M)$  ( $i \leq N, k \leq p$ ), such that

$$f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_p - \sum_{i=1}^N \mathcal{L}_{f_i X_i} (f_{i1} \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{ip}) \in A_p.$$

Moreover the vector fields  $X_i (i \leq N)$  and the functions  $f_{ik} (i \leq N, k \leq p)$  can be chosen independently of  $f_1$ .

PROOF: We have

$$f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_p = \sum_{t \leq r} \lambda_t f_1 \otimes f_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_p. \quad (*)$$

Since  $\lambda_t = \lambda_t X_t \cdot \mu_t$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_t f_1 \otimes f_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_p &= \mathcal{L}_{f_1 \lambda_t X_t} (\mu_t \otimes f_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_p) \\ &- \sum_{i>1} \mu_t \otimes f_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_i \lambda_t X_t \cdot f_i \otimes \cdots \otimes f_p. \end{aligned} \quad (**)$$

Let us consider for instance the term  $i = 2$ . Setting  $X_t \cdot f_2 = g_2$ , it reads

$$\begin{aligned} &\mu_t \otimes f_1 g_2 \lambda_t X_t \cdot \mu_t \otimes f_3 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_p \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\mu_t \otimes f_1 g_2 \lambda_t X_t \cdot \mu_t \otimes f_3 \otimes \cdots + f_1 g_2 \lambda_t X_t \cdot \mu_t \otimes \mu_t \otimes f_3 \otimes \cdots) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} (\mu_t \otimes f_1 g_2 \lambda_t X_t \cdot \mu_t \otimes f_3 \otimes \cdots - f_1 g_2 \lambda_t X_t \cdot \mu_t \otimes \mu_t \otimes f_3 \otimes \cdots). \end{aligned}$$

The last term is antisymmetric in the two first arguments, hence may be neglected. The other one can be written, setting  $\frac{1}{2}g_2X_t = X'_t$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{L}_{f_1\lambda_t X'_t}(\mu_t \otimes \mu_t \otimes f_3 \otimes \cdots) \\ & - \sum_{i \geq 2} \mu_t \otimes \mu_t \otimes f_3 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_2\lambda_t X'_t \cdot f_i \otimes \cdots \end{aligned}$$

In the terms we had to consider in (\*\*), one of the arguments was  $\mu_t$ , another one had  $\lambda_t$  as a factor. In the terms which we are left to consider, we now have two arguments equal to  $\mu_t$  and one more divisible by  $\lambda_t$ . This shows the outline of the proof, which will now be achieved by proving the following, by induction on  $k$ : if one of the  $f_i$ 's is divisible by  $\lambda_i f$  ( $f \in C_\infty(M)$ ) and  $p - k$  others are equal to  $\mu_t$ , then

$$f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_p - \sum_i \mathcal{L}_{fX_i}(f_{i1} \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{ip}) \in A_p$$

for suitable  $X_i \in \mathcal{H}(M)$  and  $f_{ij} \in C_\infty(M)$ , independent of  $f$ .

For  $k = 1$ , assuming for instance that  $f_1 = \lambda_i f g$  and  $f_i = \mu_t (i > 1)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_p &= fg\lambda_t X_t \cdot \mu_t \otimes \mu_t \otimes \cdots \otimes \mu_t \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \mathcal{L}_{fg\lambda_t X_t}(\mu_t \otimes \cdots \otimes \mu_t) \\ &+ \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i \geq 1} (fg\lambda_t X_t \cdot \mu_t \otimes \cdots \otimes \mu_t - \underbrace{\mu_t \otimes \cdots \otimes fg\lambda_t X_t \mu_t \otimes \cdots}_{i}) \end{aligned}$$

has the required form.

In general, if the property holds true for  $k$ , assuming for simplicity that  $f_{k+1} = \lambda_i f g_{k+1}$  and  $f_i = \mu_t$  for  $i > k + 1$ , and setting  $f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_k = T$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{k+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mu_t &= \frac{1}{p-k} \mathcal{L}_{fg_{k+1}\lambda_t X_t}(T \otimes \mu_t \otimes \cdots \otimes \mu_t) \\ &+ \frac{1}{p-k} \sum_{i > k+1} (T \otimes f_{k+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mu_t - T \otimes \mu_t \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{k+1} \otimes \cdots) \\ &- \frac{1}{p-k} \sum_{i \leq k} f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes fg_{k+1}\lambda_t X_t \cdot f_i \otimes \cdots \otimes f_k \otimes \mu_t \otimes \cdots \otimes \mu_t \end{aligned}$$

hence the conclusion, by induction.

Applying this to (\*) for  $f = f_1$  and  $k = p$  yields the lemma.

**PROPOSITION 2.3:** *Let  $P : C_\infty(M) \times \cdots \times C_\infty(M) \rightarrow C_\infty(M)$  be a  $p$ -linear symmetric map. If  $ad(\mathcal{L}_X)P = 0$  for each  $X \in \mathcal{H}(M)$ , then*

$$P(f_1, \dots, f_p) = k f_1 \cdots f_p$$

for some  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**PROOF:** Since  $P$  is symmetric,  $P$  vanishes on  $A_p$ . Using lemma 2.2.,

$$\begin{aligned} P(f_1, \dots, f_p) &= \sum_i P \circ \mathcal{L}_{f_i X_i}(f_{i1} \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{ip}) = \sum_i \mathcal{L}_{f_i X_i} \circ P(f_{ij}, \dots, f_{ip}) \\ &= f_1 \cdot \left[ \sum_i X \cdot P(f_{i1}, \dots, f_{ip}) \right], \end{aligned}$$

where the  $X_i$ 's and  $f_{ij}$ 's do not depend on  $f_1$ . Therefore

$$P(f_1, \dots, f_p) = f_1 P(1, f_2, \dots, f_p).$$

It is clear that  $P(1, f_2, \dots, f_p) = P'(f_2, \dots, f_p)$  satisfies again  $ad(\mathcal{L}_X)P' = 0$ , thus, by induction,

$$P(f_1, \dots, f_p) = f_1 \cdots f_p \cdot P(1, \dots, 1).$$

The condition  $ad(\mathcal{L}_X)P = 0$  clearly shows that  $P(1, \dots, 1)$  is constant, hence the result.

**RESULT 2.4:** *The symmetry assumption on  $P$  is necessary.*

Indeed, consider a compact, connected, oriented manifold  $M$  of dimension  $p - 1$  and take the map  $P$  :

$$(f_1, \dots, f_p) \rightarrow \int f_1 df_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge df_p.$$

It is clear that  $p$  is such that  $ad(\mathcal{L}_X)P = 0$  for all  $X \in \mathcal{H}(M)$ . However,  $P \neq 0$ , thus  $P$  is not even local.

### 3. Characterization of the algebraic structure of $\mathcal{H}(M)$

**PROPOSITION 3.1:** *Let  $B : \mathcal{H}(M) \times \mathcal{H}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(M)$  be a bilinear map such that*

$$\mathcal{L}_X B(Y, Z) = B(\mathcal{L}_X Y, Z) + B(Y, \mathcal{L}_X Z), \quad \forall X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{H}(M). \quad (*)$$

Then there exists  $k \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$B(X, Y) = k[X, Y], \forall X, Y \in \mathcal{H}(M).$$

A similar result is proved by Van Strien in [4]. The assumption (\*) is replaced by the “naturality” of  $B$ , which means the following:  $B$  is supposed to be defined on every manifold  $M$  and, for every smooth open imbedding  $\varphi$ , the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{H}(M) \times \mathcal{H}(M) & \xrightarrow{B_M} & \mathcal{H}(M) \\ \downarrow \varphi_* \times \varphi_* & & \downarrow \varphi_* \\ \mathcal{H}(N) \times \mathcal{H}(N) & \xrightarrow{B_N} & \mathcal{H}(N) \end{array}$$

commutes (see [3]). It is clear that the naturality implies the locality of  $B$  and, using the pseudo-group of  $X$ , it also implies (\*).

LEMMA 3.2: *If  $X \in \mathcal{H}(M)$  vanishes in an open subset  $U$  of  $M$ , for each  $x \in U$ , there exists a neighborhood  $\omega$  of  $x$  and vector fields  $X_i, X'_i (i \leq N)$  such that  $X = \sum_{i \leq N} [X_i, X'_i]$  and  $X_i, X'_i$  vanish in  $\omega$ .*

PROOF: Fix  $\omega$  relatively compact in  $U$ . Choose  $\rho_{it}$  as in lemma 2.1 and  $\varphi_{it} \in C_\infty(M)$  with compact support in  $U_{it} \setminus \omega$  and equal to 1 in a neighborhood of  $\text{supp } \rho_{it} X$ . Then, if  $(x^1, \dots, x^n)$  are local coordinates in  $U_{it}$ ,

$$\rho_{it} X = \sum_{k \leq n} [X_{tik}, X'_{tik}],$$

where

$$X_{tik} = \varphi_{it} D_x^k \text{ and } X'_{tik} = \varphi_{it} \int_0^{x^k} \rho_{it} X^k \varphi_{it}^{-2} dx^k \cdot D_x^k,$$

$X^k$  being the  $k$ 's component of the corresponding local form of  $X$ . In the integral, the function is extended by 0 outside the image of  $\text{supp } \rho_{it} X$ .

The vector fields

$$X_{ik} = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} X_{tik} \text{ and } X'_{ik} = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} X'_{tik}$$

are vanishing in  $\omega$  and moreover,

$$X = \sum_{i \leq r} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \rho_{ii} X = \sum_{i \leq r} \sum_{k \leq m} [X_{ik}' X'_{ik}],$$

hence the lemma.

**PROOF OF PROPOSITION 3.1:** We first prove that  $B$  is a local map. By Peetre's theorem (for the multilinear version, see [1]), it will then be a differential bilinear operator.

Suppose that  $X \in \mathcal{H}(M)$  vanishes in an open subset  $U$ . For each  $x_0 \in U$ , there exist  $\omega$  such that  $x_0 \in \omega \subset U$  and  $X_i, X'_i$  vanishing in  $\omega$  such that

$$X = \sum_i [X_i, X'_i].$$

Choose  $Z \in \mathcal{H}(M)$  with support in  $\omega$  and in a domain of chart  $V$  of  $M$ , such that  $Z_{x_0} = 0$  and  $D_{x_0} Z = I$  for a coordinate system of  $V$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} B(X, Y) &= \sum_i [\mathcal{L}_{X'_i} B(X_i, Y) - B(X'_i, \mathcal{L}_{X_i} Y)] \\ &= - \sum_i B(X'_i, \mathcal{L}_{X_i} Y) \end{aligned}$$

in  $\omega$  and

$$\begin{aligned} B(X, Y)_{x_0} &= \mathcal{L}_Z B(X, Y)_{x_0} = \sum_i \mathcal{L}_Z B(X'_i, \mathcal{L}_{X_i} Y)_{x_0} \\ &= \sum_i [B(\mathcal{L}_Z X'_i, \mathcal{L}_{X_i} Y)_{x_0} - B(X'_i, \mathcal{L}_Z \mathcal{L}_{X_i} Y)_{x_0}] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

because  $\mathcal{L}_Z Z' = 0$  whenever  $Z' = 0$  in  $\omega$ . Thus  $X = 0$  on  $U$  implies that  $B(X, Y) = 0$  on  $U$  and  $B$  is local.

The end of the proof consists in computations on the differential operator  $B$ . The arguments of Van Strien would easily adapt to the present situation. A slightly different approach is given here for the sake of completeness.

Let us decompose  $B$  in its symmetric and antisymmetric parts, which both verify the assumption of prop. 3.1.

Fix a coordinate system  $(U, x^1, \dots, x^n)$  of  $M$  and compute  $B$  in these coordinates. Taking for  $X$  the fields  $D_{x^i}$  and  $\sum_i x^i D_{x^i}$  shows that, for some  $A$  independent of  $(x^1, \dots, x^n)$ ,

$$B(X, Y) = A(X, D_x Y) \pm A(Y, D_x X)$$

(everything is now written in local coordinates) according to  $B$  is symmetric or antisymmetric.

The assumption on  $B$  reads then

$$\begin{aligned} D_x X \cdot A(Y, D_x Z) - A(D_x X \cdot Y, D_x Z) - A(Y, [D_x X, D_x Z]) \\ \pm \{D_x X \cdot A(Z, D_x Y) - A(D_x X \cdot Z, D_x Y) - A(Z, [D_x X, D_x Y])\} \quad (*) \\ = A(Y, Z \cdot D_x X) \pm A(Z, Y \cdot D_x X). \end{aligned}$$

If we choose  $X, Y \in \mathcal{H}(M)$  such that  $D_{x^i} D_{x^j} X = 0$  at  $x$  and  $Y_x = 0$ , it follows that

$$D_x X \cdot A(Z, D_x Y) = A(D_x X \cdot Z_x, D_x Y) + A(Z_x, [D_x X, D_x Y])$$

and thus that the bilinear form  $A : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  verifies

$$P A(u, Q) = A(Pu, Q) + A(u, [P, Q]).$$

In other words,  $Q \rightarrow A(\cdot, Q) \in L(\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R}))$  belongs to the centralizer of the adjoint action of  $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R})$ . It is then easily seen that

$$A(u, Q) = k \left( Q - \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr} Q \cdot I \right) + \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr} Q \cdot I,$$

for some  $k, l \in \mathbb{R}$ . Substituting this in (\*), it follows that  $k = l = 0$  if  $B$  is symmetric and that  $k = l$  if  $B$  is antisymmetric. Thus

$$B(X, Y) = k[X, Y],$$

hence the result.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. CAHEN, M. DE WILDE and S. GUTT: Local cohomology of the algebra of  $C^\infty$  functions on a connected manifold. *Lett. in Math. Physics* 4 (1980), 157–167.
- [2] W. GREUB, S. HALPERIN and R. VANSTONE: *Connections, curvature and cohomology, Vol. I*, Academic Press, New York and London (1973).
- [3] R. PALAIS: Natural operations on differential forms. *Trans. A.M.S.* 92 (1959), 125–141.
- [4] S. VAN STRIEN: Unicity of the Lie Product. *Comp. Math.* 40 (1980), 79–85.

(Oblatum 5-I-1981 & 27-IV-1981)

University of Liège  
Institute of Mathematics  
15, Avenue des Tilleuls  
B-4000 Liège, Belgium.