

COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

J. BOURGAIN

A counterexample to a complementation problem

Compositio Mathematica, tome 43, n° 1 (1981), p. 133-144

<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1981__43_1_133_0>

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1981, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (<http://www.compositio.nl/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

A COUNTEREXAMPLE TO A COMPLEMENTATION PROBLEM

J. Bourgain*

Abstract

The existence is shown of subspaces of L^1 which are isomorphic to an $L^1(\mu)$ -space and are not complemented. A more precise local statement is also given.

1. Introduction

The question we are dealing with is the following:

PROBLEM 1: Let μ and ν be measures and $T : L^1(\mu) \rightarrow L^1(\nu)$ an isomorphic embedding. Does there always exist a projection of $L^1(\nu)$ onto the range of T ?

and was raised in [1], [4], [5] and [21].

This problem has the following finite dimensional reformulation (cfr. [4]).

PROBLEM 2: Does there exist for each $\lambda < \infty$ some $C < \infty$ such that given a finite dimensional subspace E of $L^1(\nu)$ satisfying $d(E, \ell^1(\dim E)) \leq \lambda$ ($d =$ Banach-Mazur distance), one can find a projection $P : L^1(\nu) \rightarrow E$ with $\|P\| \leq C$?

In [4], L. Dor obtained a positive solution to problem 1 provided $\|T\| \|T^{-1}\| < \sqrt{2}$. It was shown by L. Dor and T. Starbird (cfr. [5]) that

* Aangesteld Navorsers, N.F.W.O., Belgium Vrije Universiteit Brussel.

any l^1 -subspace of $L^1(\nu)$ which is generated by a sequence of probabilistically independent random variables is complemented. A slight improvement of this result will be given in the remarks below, where we show that problem 2 is affirmative under the additional hypothesis that E is spanned by independent variables. Our main purpose is to show that the general solution to the above questions is negative. Examples of uncomplemented l^p -subspaces of L^p ($1 < p < \infty$) were already discovered (see [24] for the cases $2 < p < \infty$ and $1 < p < 4/3$ and [1] for $1 < p < 2$).

2. The Example

We first introduce some notation. For each positive integer N , denote G_N the group $\{1, -1\}^N$ equipped with its Haar measure m_N .

For $1 \leq n \leq N$, the n^{th} Rademacker function r_n on G_N is defined by $r_n(x) = x_n$ for all $x \in G_N$. To each subset S of $\{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ corresponds a Walsh function $w_S = \prod_{n \in S} r_n$ and $L^1(G_N)$ is generated by this system of Walsh functions.

For fixed $0 \leq \epsilon \leq 1$, let $\mu = \otimes_n \mu_n$ be the product measure on G_N , where $\mu_n(1) = \frac{1 + \epsilon}{2}$ and $\mu_n(-1) = \frac{1 - \epsilon}{2}$ for all $n = 1, \dots, N$. This measure μ is called sometimes the ϵ -biased coin-tossing measure (cfr. [30]).

Let now $T_\epsilon : L^1(G_N) \rightarrow L^1(G_N)$ be the convolution operator corresponding to μ . Thus $(T_\epsilon f)(x) = (f * \mu)(x) = \int_{G_N} f(x, y) \mu(dy)$ for all $f \in L^1(G_N)$.

It is clear that T_ϵ is a positive operator of norm 1 and easily verified that $T_\epsilon(w_S) = \epsilon^{|S|} w_S$, where $|S|$ denotes the cardinality of the set S . Another way of introducing T_ϵ is by using Riesz-products.

Before describing the example, we give some lemma's.

LEMMA 1: *If $f \in L^1(G_N)$, then $\|T_\epsilon f\|_2 \leq \|f\|_1 + \epsilon \|f\|_2$.*

PROOF: Take $f = a_\phi + \sum_{S \neq \phi} a_S w_S$ the Walsh expansion of f . Then

$$T_\epsilon f = a_\phi + \sum_{S \neq \phi} a_S \epsilon^{|S|} w_S$$

and hence $\|T_\epsilon f\|_2^2 = |a_\phi|^2 + \sum_{S \neq \phi} |a_S|^2 \epsilon^{2|S|} \leq |a_\phi|^2 + \epsilon^2 \|f\|_2^2$.

The required inequality follows.

LEMMA 2: Let f_1, \dots, f_d be functions in $L^1(G_N)$ such that for each $i = 1, \dots, d$

1. $\int f_i \, dm_N = 0$.

2. $\int_{A_i} |f_i| \, dm_N \geq \delta \|f_i\|_1$ where $A_i = \{|f_i| \geq d \|f_i\|_1\}$.

Then

$$\int_{G_N \times \dots \times G_N} |f_1(x_1) + \dots + f_d(x_d)| \, dm_N(x_1) \dots dm_N(x_d) \geq \frac{\delta}{6} \sum_{i=1}^d \|f_i\|_1.$$

PROOF: For $i = 1, \dots, d$, take $D_i = G_N \setminus A_i$ and let C_i be the subset of $G_N \times \dots \times G_N$ defined by $C_i = B_1 \times \dots \times B_{i-1} \times A_i \times B_{i+1} \times \dots \times B_d$. Remark that $m_N(A_i) \leq 1/d$ and hence $m_N(B_i) \geq 1 - 1/d$. Let r_1, \dots, r_d be Rademacker functions on $[0, 1]$. By unconditionality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{G_N \times \dots \times G_N} \left| \sum_{i=1}^d f_i(x_i) \right| \, dm_N(x_1) \dots dm_N(x_d) \\ & \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \int_{G_N \times \dots \times G_N} \left| \sum_{i=1}^d r_i(t) f_i(x_i) \right| \, dm_N(x_1) \dots dm_N(x_d) \, dt \\ & \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_I \int_{C_i} |f_i(x_i)| \, dm_N(x_1) \dots dm_N(x_d) \\ & \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{d}\right)^{d-1} \sum_I \int_{A_i} |f_i(x)| \, dm_N(x) \geq \frac{\delta}{6} \sum_I \|f_i\|_1, \end{aligned}$$

as required.

For each $\nu \in G_N$, define the function $e_\nu = \prod_{n=1}^N (1 + \nu_n r_n)$ on G_N . Thus $(e_\nu)_{\nu \in G_N}$ generates $L^1(G_N)$ and is isometrically equivalent to the $\ell^1(2^N)$ -basis.

LEMMA 3: For fixed $0 \leq \epsilon \leq 1$ and $\kappa > 0$, the following holds

$$m_N [T_\epsilon(e_\nu) > \kappa] < \kappa^{-1/2} \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon^2}{4}\right)^{N/2}.$$

PROOF: It is easily verified that $T_\epsilon(e_\nu) = \prod_{n=1}^N (1 + \epsilon \nu_n r_n)$. If we let $\Gamma = \prod_{n=1}^N (1 + \epsilon r_n)$, then by independency

$$\int \sqrt{\Gamma} \, dm_N = 2^{-N} (\sqrt{1+\epsilon} + \sqrt{1-\epsilon})^N < \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon^2}{4}\right)^{N/2}$$

and thus

$$m_N [T_\epsilon(e_\nu) > \kappa] = m_N [\sqrt{\Gamma} > \sqrt{\kappa}] \ll \kappa^{-1/2} \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon^2}{4}\right)^{N/2}.$$

We use the symbol \oplus to denote the direct sum in ℓ^1 -sense. For fixed N and d , take

$$X = \underbrace{L^1(G_N) \oplus \cdots \oplus L^1(G_N)}_{d \text{ copies}} \quad \text{and} \quad Y = \underbrace{L^1(G_N \times \cdots \times G_N)}_{d \text{ factors}}.$$

Consider the maps

$$\alpha : X \rightarrow \ell^1(d)$$

$$\beta : X \rightarrow Y$$

and for $0 \leq \epsilon \leq 1$

$$\gamma_\epsilon : X \rightarrow X$$

defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(f_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus f_d) &= \left(\int f_1 \, dm_N, \dots, \int f_d \, dm_N \right) \\ \beta(f_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus f_d) &= \sum_{i=1}^d \left(f_i(x_i) - \int f_i \, dm_N \right) \end{aligned}$$

where $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in G_N \times \cdots \times G_N$ is the product variable

$$\gamma_\epsilon(f_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus f_d) = (f_1 - T_\epsilon f_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus (f_d - T_\epsilon f_d).$$

Obviously $\|\alpha\| \leq 1$, $\|\beta\| \leq 2$ and $\|\gamma_\epsilon\| \leq 2$.

Let $\Lambda_\epsilon : x \rightarrow \ell^1(d) \oplus Y \oplus X$ be the map $\alpha \oplus \beta \oplus \gamma_\epsilon$, clearly satisfying $\|\Lambda_\epsilon\| \leq 5$.

LEMMA 4: *Under the above notations, $\|\Lambda_\epsilon(\varphi)\| \geq \frac{1}{24}\|\varphi\|_1$ for each $\varphi \in X$, whenever $0 < \epsilon \leq 1/4d$.*

PROOF: Assume $\varphi = f_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus f_d$ and take for each $i = 1, \dots, d$

$$g_i = f_i - \int f_i \, dm_N$$

$A_i = \{g_i; \|g_i\|_1 \geq d\|g_i\|_1\}$, $B_i = G_N \setminus A_i$, $g'_i = g_i \chi_{A_i}$ and $g''_i = g_i \chi_{B_i}$.

Let further $I = \{i = 1, \dots, d; \|g'_i\|_1 > \frac{1}{4}\|g_i\|_1\}$ and $J = \{1, \dots, d\} \setminus I$.

Using Lemma 2, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\beta(f_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus f_d)\|_1 &\geq \int_{G_N \times \cdots \times G_N} \left| \sum_{i \in I} g_i(x_i) \right| dm_N(x_1) \cdots dm_N(x_d) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{24} \sum_{i \in I} \|g_i\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 1

$$\|T_\epsilon g_i\|_1 \leq \|T_\epsilon g\|_1 + \left| \int g''_i dm_N \right| + \epsilon \|g''_i\|_2 \leq 2\|g\|_1 + \epsilon d \|g_i\|_1$$

and hence for $i \in J$

$$\|f_i - T_\epsilon f_i\|_1 = \|g_i - T_\epsilon g_i\|_1 \geq \|g_i\|_1 - \|T_\epsilon g_i\|_1 \geq \frac{1}{4} \|g_i\|_1.$$

Consequently

$$\|\gamma_\epsilon(f_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus f_d)\|_1 \geq \sum_{i \in J} \|f_i - T_\epsilon f_i\|_1 \geq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i \in J} \|g_i\|_1.$$

Combination of these inequalities leads to

$$\|\Lambda_\epsilon(\varphi)\|_1 \geq \sum_{i=1}^d \left| \int f_i dm_N \right| + \frac{1}{24} \sum_{i=1}^d \|g_i\|_1 \geq \frac{1}{24} \sum_{i=1}^d \|f_i\|_1 = \frac{1}{24} \|\varphi\|_1$$

proving the lemma.

COROLLARY 5: *Again under the above notations, denote R_ϵ the range of Λ_ϵ . Then $d(R_\epsilon, \ell^1(d \cdot 2^N)) \leq \frac{1}{120}$ provided $0 < \epsilon \leq 1/4d$.*

Our next aim is to show that R_ϵ is a badly complemented subspace of $\ell^1(d) \oplus Y \oplus X$ for a suitable choice of N , d and ϵ .

LEMMA 6: *Fix any positive integer $d \geq 4$, take $N = d^{6d}$ and let $\epsilon = 1/4d$. Then $\|P\| \geq d/384$ for any projection P from $\ell^1(d) \oplus Y \oplus X$ onto R .*

PROOF: Define for each $\nu \in G_N$

$$\xi_\nu = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} T_{\epsilon^j}(e_\nu) \quad \text{and} \quad A_\nu = [\xi_\nu > \frac{1}{4}].$$

Since $A_\nu \subset \cup_{j=0}^{d-1} [T_{\epsilon^j}(a_\nu) > \frac{1}{4}]$, application of Lemma 3 gives that

$$m_N(A_\nu) \leq \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} m_N [T_{e^j}(e_\nu) > \frac{1}{4}] \leq 2d \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon^{2d}}{4}\right)^{N/2}$$

and hence, by the choice of N and ϵ

$$m_N(A_\nu) < \frac{1}{2},$$

as an easy computation shows.

It follows that if $\psi_\nu = \xi_\nu - 1$, then

$$\|\psi_\nu\|_1 \geq \int_{A_\nu} \xi_\nu \, dm_N - m_N(A_\nu) \geq \int \xi_\nu \, dm_N - \frac{1}{4} - m_N(A_\nu) > \frac{1}{4}.$$

Assuming P a projection from $\ell^1(d) \oplus Y \oplus X$ onto R_ϵ , one may consider the operator $Q = \Lambda_\epsilon^{-1}$ from $\ell^1(d) \oplus Y \oplus X$ into X .

For each $i = 1, \dots, d$ and $\nu \in G_N$, let φ_ν^i be ψ_ν seen as element of the i^{th} component $L^1(G_N)$ in the direct sum X . Thus $\alpha(\varphi_\nu^i) = 0$, $\beta(\varphi_\nu^i) = \psi_\nu(x_i)$ and $\gamma(\varphi_\nu^i) = \varphi_\nu^i - T_\epsilon(\varphi_\nu^i)$.

By well-known results concerning operators on L^1 -spaces, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & d \int \sum_\nu |\psi_\nu| \, dm_N \\ &= \int \max_i \left(\sum_\nu |Q\Lambda_\epsilon(\varphi_\nu^i)| \right) dm_N \oplus \dots \oplus dm_N \\ &\leq \int \max_i |Q| \left(\sum_\nu |\Lambda_\epsilon(\varphi_\nu^i)| \, dm_N \oplus \dots \oplus dm_N \right) \\ &\leq \|Q\| \left\{ \int \max_i \left(\sum_\nu |\psi_\nu(x_i)| \right) dm_N(x_1) \dots dm_N(x_d) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_i \sum_\nu \int |\varphi_\nu^i - T_\epsilon(\varphi_\nu^i)| \, dm_N \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark that, by symmetry, $\sum_\nu |\psi_\nu|$ is a constant function. Because $\frac{1}{4} < \|\psi_\nu\|_1 \leq 2$ and

$$\|\psi_\nu - T_\epsilon(\psi_\nu)\|_1 = \|\xi_\nu - T_\epsilon(\xi_\nu)\|_1 = \frac{1}{d} \|e_\nu - T_\epsilon(e_\nu)\|_1 \leq \frac{2}{d},$$

we find using Lemma 4

$$d \sum \|\psi_\nu\|_1 \leq 24\|P\| \left(\sum \|\psi_\nu\|_1 + 2^{N+1} \right)$$

and hence

$$\|P\| \geq d \frac{\frac{1}{4}2^N}{24(2^{N+1} + 2^{N+1})} = \frac{d}{384}$$

completing the proof.

From Corollary 5 and Lemma 6, it follows that

THEOREM 7: *There exists a constant $0 < C < \infty$ such that whenever $\tau > 0$ and D is a positive integer which is large enough, one can find a D -dimensional subspace E of L^1 satisfying $d(E, \ell^1(D)) \leq C$ and $\|P\| \geq C^{-1}(\log \log D)^{1-\tau}$ whenever P is a projection from L^1 onto E .*

This provides in particular a negative solution to Problem 1 and Problem 2 stated in the Introduction.

3. Remarks and Questions

1. Following L. Dor, one may define local and uniform moduli for functions and subspaces of an $L^1(\mu)$ -space.

For a function f in $L^1(\mu)$ and $\rho > 0$, take

$$\alpha(f, \rho) = \inf \left\{ \mu(A); \int_A |f| \, d\mu \geq \rho \|f\|_1 \right\}.$$

If now E is a subspace of $L^1(\mu)$ and $\rho > 0$, let

$$\alpha(E, \rho) = \sup \{ \alpha(f, \rho); f \in E \}$$

and

$$\beta(E, \rho) = \inf \left\{ \mu(A); \int_A |f| \, d\mu \geq \rho \|f\|_1 \text{ for each } f \in E \right\}.$$

Call $\alpha(E, \rho)$ a local modulus and $\beta(E, \rho)$ a uniform modulus of the space E .

Based on the ideas presented in the preceding section, the following can be proved

LEMMA 8: *There exist a sequence (E_n) of finite dimensional subspaces of L^1 and constants $C < \infty$ and $c > c$, such that*

1. $d(E_n, \ell^1(\dim E_n)) \leq C$.

2. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(E_n, c) = 0$.
3. For each $\rho > 0$, $\inf_n \beta(E_n, \rho) > 0$.

As was pointed out by Dor [6], this leads to the existence of a non-complemented ℓ^1 -subspace of L^1 .

2. In fact, one may choose the spaces E_n of Lemma 8 in such a way that they are well-complemented and probabilistically independent. This allows us to construct a non-complemented ℓ^1 -direct sum of uniformly complemented, independent, uniform ℓ^1 -isomorphs. Thus the next result concerning independent functions can not be extended to independent ℓ^1 -copies.

THEOREM 9: *If E is an ℓ^1 -subspace of $L^1(\mu)$ spanned by independent variables, then E is complemented in $L^1(\mu)$ by a projection P whose norm $\|P\|$ can be bounded in function of $d(E, \ell^1(\dim E))$ (cfr. [5]).*

There is an easy reduction to the case where E is generated by a sequence (f_k) of normalized, independent and mean zero variables. Using then the uniqueness up to equivalence of unconditional bases in ℓ^1 -spaces (see [14]), it turns out that this sequence (f_k) is a “good” ℓ^1 -bases for E , or more precisely there is some constant $M < \infty$, M only depending on $d(E, \ell^1(\dim E))$, so that

$$M^{-1} \sum_k |a_k| \leq \left\| \sum_k a_k f_k \right\| \leq \sum_k |a_k|$$

whenever (a_k) is a finite sequence of scalars.

Assume \mathcal{E}_k ($k = 1, 2, \dots$) independent σ -algebra's such that f_k is \mathcal{E}_k -measurable. The main ingredient of the next lemma is the result [4].

LEMMA 10: *There exists a sequence (A_k) of μ -measurable sets, satisfying*

1. $A_k \in \mathcal{E}_k$ for each k ,
2. $\int_{A_k} f_k d\mu \geq \rho$ for each k ,
3. $\sum_k \mu(A_k) \leq K$,

where $\rho > 0$ and $K < \infty$ only depend on M and hence only on $d(E, \ell^1(\dim E))$.

The proof of this lemma is contained in [5], Section 3. So we will not give it here. Let us now pass to the

PROOF OF THEOREM 9: We may clearly make the additional assumption that $\mu(A_k) < \frac{1}{3}$.

For each k , let $\mathcal{F}_k = \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{E}_1, \dots, \mathcal{E}_k)$ the σ -algebra generated by $\mathcal{E}_1, \dots, \mathcal{E}_k$.

Take

$$B_1 = A_1 \quad \text{and} \quad B_k = A_k \setminus \bigcup_{\ell < k} A_\ell \quad \text{for } k > 1.$$

Clearly $B_k \in \mathcal{F}_k$ for each k . Remark also that

$$\int_{B_k} f_k \, d\mu = \int f_k \chi_{A_k} \prod_{\ell < k} (1 - \chi_{A_\ell}) = \prod_{\ell < k} (1 - \mu(A_\ell)) \int_{A_k} f_k$$

and hence

$$\int_{B_k} f_k \, d\mu = \sigma_k \geq \exp(-3K)\rho.$$

Define

$$\Delta_1[f] = E[f \mid \mathcal{F}_1] \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_k[f] = E[f \mid \mathcal{F}_k] - E[f \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] \quad \text{for } k > 1.$$

Thus

$$\Delta_k[f_\ell] = \delta_{k,\ell} f_\ell.$$

Next, take $P : L^1(\mu) \rightarrow E$ given by $P(f) = \sum_k \sigma_k^{-1} \langle \Delta_k[f], B_k \rangle f_k$. It is clear that P is a projection. We estimate its norm

$$\begin{aligned} \|P\| &\leq \left\| \sum_k \sigma_k^{-1} \Delta_k[\chi_{B_k}] \right\|_\infty \\ &\leq \frac{\exp 3K}{\rho} \left\| \sum_k \chi_{B_k} + \sum_k \mu(A_k) \right\|_\infty \\ &\leq (1 + K) \frac{\exp 3K}{\rho}. \end{aligned}$$

3. Our example leaves the following questions unanswered

PROBLEM 3: What is the biggest λ such that problem 1 has a positive solution provided $\|T\| \|T^{-1}\| > \lambda$?

For E subspace of L^1 , define

$$\pi(E) = \inf\{\|P\|; P : L^1 \rightarrow E \text{ is a projection}\}.$$

Take further for fixed $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and $\lambda < \infty$

$$\gamma(n, \lambda) = \sup\{\pi(E); \dim E = n \text{ and } d(E, \ell^1(n)) \leq \lambda\}.$$

PROBLEM 4: Find estimations on the numbers $\gamma(n, \lambda)$. At this point, it does not seem even clear that for fixed $\lambda < \infty$ the following holds

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\gamma(n, \lambda)}{\sqrt{n}} = 0.$$

Let us mention the following fact, which may be of some interest for further investigations

PROPOSITION 10: *Given $\lambda < \infty$, one can find constants $c > 0$ and $C < \infty$ such that if E is a finite dimensional subspace of L^1 satisfying $d(E, \ell^1(\dim E)) \leq \lambda$, then E has a subspace F for which the following holds:*

1. $d(F, \ell^1(\dim F)) \leq \lambda$
2. $\dim F \geq c \dim E$
3. *There exists a projection $P : L^1 \rightarrow F$ with $\|P\| \leq C$.*

4

PROBLEM 5: Let G be an uncountable compact abelian group and E a translation invariant subspace of $L^1(G)$, such that E is isomorphic to $L^1(G)$. Must E be complemented?

Related to this question is the following one, due to G. Pisier [19].

PROBLEM 6: Let G be the Cantor group and define E as the subspace of $L^1(G)$ generated by the Walsh-functions w_S where $|S| \geq 2$.

Obviously, E is uncomplemented. What about the following

- a. Is E an \mathcal{L}^1 -space?
- b. Is E isomorphic to $L^1(G)$?

It can be shown that E satisfies the Dunford–Pettis property (see [13] for definition and related facts).

5

Easy modifications of the construction given in the second section also allow us to obtain badly complemented $\ell^p(n)$ -subspaces of L^p for $1 < p < 2$.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. BENNETT, L.E. DOR, V. GOODMAN, W.B. JOHNSON and C.M. NEWMAN: On uncomplemented subspaces of L_p , $1 < p < 2$. *Israel J. Math.* 26 (1977) 178–187.
- [2] J. BRETAGNOLLE and D. DACUNHA-CASTELLE: Application de l'étude de certaines formes linéaires aléatoires au plongement d'espaces de Banach dans des espaces L^p . *Ann. Sci. Ecole Normale Supérieure 4e ser.* 2 (1969) 437–480.
- [3] D.L. BURKHOLDER: Martingale transforms. *Annals of Math. Stat.* 37 (1966) 1494–1504.
- [4] L.E. DOR: On projections in L_1 . *Annals of Math.* 102 (1975) 483–474.
- [5] L.E. DOR and T. STARBIRD: Projections of L_p onto subspaces spanned by independent random variables. *Compositio Math.* (to appear).
- [6] L. DOR: *Private communication.*
- [7] I.T. GOHBERG and A.S. MARKUS: On the stability of bases in Banach and Hilbert spaces. *Izv. Adad. Nauk Mold. SSR* 5 (1962) 17–35.
- [8] W.B. JOHNSON, D. MAUREY, G. SCHECHTMAN and L. TZAFRIRI: *Symmetric structures in Banach spaces.*
- [9] W.B. JOHNSON and E. ODELL: Subspaces of L_p which embed into ℓ_p . *Compositio Math.* 28 (1974) 37–49.
- [10] M.J. KADEC: On conditionally convergent series in the spaces L^p . *Compositio Math.* 28 (1974) 37–49.
- [11] M.J. KADEC and A. PELCZYNSKI: Bases, lacunary sequences and complemented subspaces of L_p . *Studia Math.* 21 (1962) 161–176.
- [12] J.L. KRIVINE: Sous-espaces de dimension finie des espaces de Banach reticulés. *Ann. of Math.* 104 (1976) 1–29.
- [13] J. LINDENSTRAUSS and L. TZAFRIRI: *Classical Banach spaces, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer Verlag, Berlin 1973.*
- [14] J. LINDENSTRAUSS and L. TZAFRIRI: *Classical Banach spaces, I, Ergebnisse der Mathematik Grenzgebiete 92, Springer Verlag, Berlin 1977.*
- [15] J. LINDENSTRAUSS and A. PELCZYNSKI: Absolutely summing operators in \mathcal{L}_p spaces and their applications. *Studia Math.* 29 (1968) 275–326.
- [16] V.D. MILMAN: Geometric theory of Banach spaces. Part I, theory of basic and minimal systems. *Uspehi Met. Nauk* 25:3 (1970) 113–174 (Russian).
- [17] W. ORLICZ: Über unbedingte Konvergenz in Funktionenräumen I/II. *Studia Math.* 4 (1933) 33–37, 41–47.
- [18] A. PELCZYNSKI and H.P. ROSENTHAL: Localization techniques in L_p spaces. *Studia Math.* 52 (1975) 263–289.
- [19] G. PISIER: *Oral communication.*
- [20] H.P. ROSENTHAL: On a theorem of J.L. Krivine concerning block finite representability of ℓ_p -spaces in general Banach spaces. *J. Functional Analysis.*
- [21] H.P. ROSENTHAL: On relatively disjoint families of measures, with some applications on Banach space theory. *Studia Math.* 37 (1970) 13–36.
- [22] H.P. ROSENTHAL: On subspaces of L_p . *Annals of Math.* 97 (1973) 344–373.
- [23] H.P. ROSENTHAL: On the span in L^p of sequences of independent random variables (II), *Proceedings VI Berkeley Symp. Math. Stat. Prob. Vol. II (1970/1)* 149–167.

- [24] H.P. ROSENTHAL: On the subspaces of L^p , $p > 2$ spanned by sequences of independent random variables. *Israel J. Math.* 8 (1970) 273–303.
- [25] H.P. ROSENTHAL: Projections onto translation-invariant subspaces of $L^p(G)$. *Memoirs A.M.S.* 63 (1966).
- [26] W. RUDIN: Trigonometric series with gaps. *J. Math. Mech.* 9 (1960) 203–227.
- [27] A. SZANKOWSKI: A Banach lattice without the approximation property. *Israel J. Math.* 24 (1976) 329–337.
- [28] J.Y.T. WOO: On modular sequence spaces. *Studia Math.* 48 (1973) 271–289.
- [29] A. ZYGMUND: *Trigonometric Series. Vol. I, 2nd edition.* Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, England, 1959.
- [30] H.P. ROSENTHAL: Convolution by a biased coin, The Altgeld book 1975/76, University of Illinois.

(Oblatum 20-II-1980 & 13-VIII-1980)

Vrije Universiteit Brussel
Pleinlaan 2 F-7
1050 Brussels