

COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

CHARLES BARTON

C. H. CLEMENS

A result on the integral chow ring of a generic principally polarized complex abelian variety of dimension four

Compositio Mathematica, tome 34, n° 1 (1977), p. 49-67

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1977__34_1_49_0

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1977, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (<http://www.compositio.nl/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

**A RESULT ON THE INTEGRAL CHOW RING
OF A GENERIC PRINCIPALLY POLARIZED
COMPLEX ABELIAN VARIETY OF DIMENSION FOUR**

Charles Barton and C. H. Clemens

0. Introduction

In this paper, we wish to show that a certain positive algebraic two-cycle on a generic abelian variety of dimension four is not, in general, represented by an *effective* algebraic subvariety. This problem was suggested by the fact that this cycle is effectively representable if the abelian variety is the Jacobian of a curve or the intermediate Jacobian of a cubic threefold.

The method of proof is via a degeneration argument – we construct (in some detail) the “generic” degeneration of a family of principally polarized abelian varieties of dimension four, then we see what the existence of the effective two-cycle would imply in the limit.

1. A “generic” degeneration

Our purpose in this section is to construct a “generic” proper mapping of a holomorphic manifold J onto the unit disc Δ

$$(1.1) \quad \pi : J \rightarrow \Delta$$

such that:

- (i) if $z \neq 0$, $J_z = \pi^{-1}(z)$ is a principally polarized abelian variety [4; Chapter 1] of dimension four;
- (ii) J_0 is non-singular except that it crosses itself transversely along M , a principally polarized abelian variety of dimension three;
- (iii) \tilde{J}_0 , the normalization of J_0 , is a bundle over M with fibre \mathbb{P}_1 (complex projective one-space).

To accomplish this, we begin with the set

$$(1.2) \quad H = \left\{ \left(\begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ u_3 \end{bmatrix}; \sigma, \tau \right) \in \mathbf{C}^3 \times \mathbf{C}^2 : \sigma\tau < 1 \right\}.$$

Let

$$(1.3) \quad A : \Delta \rightarrow GL(3; \mathbf{C})$$

be a holomorphic mapping of the unit disc into the group of invertible 3×3 matrices over the complex numbers such that:

- (i) $A(z)$ is symmetric for each $z \in \Delta$;
- (ii) (imaginary part of $A(z)$) is positive definite for each $z \in \Delta$.

Let

$$E_1, E_2, E_3$$

be the standard basis of \mathbb{R}_3 and

$$A_1, A_2, A_3$$

the columns of $A(z)$. Let

$$L(z) = \{\sum m_j E_j + n_j A_j : m_j, n_j \in \mathbf{Z}\}.$$

Also let

$$(1.4) \quad B : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^3$$

be any holomorphic mapping,

$$B(z) = \begin{bmatrix} b_1(z) \\ b_2(z) \\ b_3(z) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Using $L(z)$ and $B(z)$ we define an equivalence relation on H as follows. We put

$$(u; \sigma, \tau) \sim (u'; \sigma', \tau')$$

if

- (i) $\sigma \cdot \tau = \sigma' \cdot \tau' = z \in \Delta$;
- (ii) $(u - u') = \sum (m_j E_j + n_j A_j) \in L(z)$;
- (iii) $\sigma = e^{2\pi i(\sum n_j b_j(z))} \cdot \sigma'$ and $\tau = e^{2\pi i(-\sum n_j b_j(z))} \cdot \tau'$.

Let

$$K = H/\{\sim\}.$$

Then K is a complex manifold and we have a natural mapping

$$(1.5) \quad \kappa : K \rightarrow \Delta.$$

$$\{(u; \sigma, \tau)\} \mapsto \sigma \cdot \tau.$$

If $z \neq 0$, $\kappa^{-1}(z)$ is a \mathbf{C}^* -bundle over a principally polarized abelian variety

$$(1.6) \quad M_z = \mathbf{C}^3/L(z)$$

of dimension three. $\kappa^{-1}(0)$ is the union of two (mutually dual) line bundles over $M = \mathbf{C}^3/L(0)$.

The idea now, of course, is to construct J as a quotient of K . On K then, we define

$$\{(u; \sigma, \tau)\} \sim \{(u'; \sigma', \tau')\}$$

whenever

$$(i) \quad \sigma \cdot \tau = \sigma' \cdot \tau' = z;$$

$$(ii) \quad \sigma' \tau = 1;$$

$$(iii) \quad (u - u') = B(z).$$

Then “ \sim ” generates an equivalence relation and we can define

$$J = K/\{\sim\}$$

Clearly, J is smooth and the mapping κ in (1.5) induces a proper mapping

$$\pi : J \rightarrow \Delta.$$

Of the assertions (i)–(iii) following (1.1), (ii) and (iii) are clear for the mapping π we have just constructed. Assertion (i) is, in fact, only correct for sufficiently small values of z .

We will check this last fact by computing the period matrix for $J_z = \pi^{-1}(z)$. Let

$$\ell(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \log \sigma.$$

Then we can make a mapping

$$(1.7) \quad \kappa^{-1}(z) \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{C}^3$$

$$\{(u; \sigma, \tau)\} \mapsto (\ell(\sigma); u)$$

which is well-defined modulo integral combinations of the vectors

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ E_j \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} b_j \\ A_j \end{bmatrix}.$$

(See conditions (ii) and (iii) for the equivalence relation defining K .) To

pass from $\kappa^{-1}(z)$ to J_z we have introduced a second equivalence relation. Since $z \neq 0$, our equivalence is generated by the conditions

(i) $\sigma/\sigma' = z$,

(ii) $(u - u') = B(z)$;

in other words, the mapping (1.7) induces a mapping

$$J_z \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{C}^3$$

which is well-defined modulo integral combinations of the vectors (1.8) and the vector

$$(1.9) \quad \begin{bmatrix} \ell(z) \\ B(z) \end{bmatrix}.$$

So J_z is simply the quotient of \mathbf{C}^4 by the subgroup generated by the vectors (1.8) and (1.9). If z is sufficiently small, the vector (1.9) is clearly linearly independent (over \mathbf{R}) from the others, so

$$(1.10) \quad J_z = \text{complex torus with period matrix } \Omega(z)$$

where

$$\Omega(z) = \begin{bmatrix} \ell(z) & {}^t B(z) \\ B(z) & A(z) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Also, if $z \neq 0$ is sufficiently small, the matrix

$$(\text{imaginary part of } \Omega(z))$$

is positive definite. This means that J_z does indeed have the structure of a principally polarized abelian variety. From here on, we assume that we have adjusted the parameter z so that this is the case for *all* $z \in (\Delta - \{0\})$. We call the family (1.1) a *generic degeneration* since the varieties J_0 constructed as above make up the ‘‘largest component’’ of a natural compactification of the moduli space of principally polarized abelian varieties of dimension four [5].

Finally we will need a family of theta-functions on the varieties J_z . We define these as functions on H (see (1.2)), but for $z \neq 0$ they will just give the usual theta functions of characteristic

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

on J_z . Let N be a positive integer and let

$$n = \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \\ n_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

be a triple of integers such that $0 \leq n_j < N$ for $j = 1, 2, 3$. For $u \in \mathbb{C}^3$ define

$$(1.11) \quad \theta^{n,N}(u; z) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^3} e^{\pi i (Nm+n)[A(z)(m+\frac{1}{N})+2u]}$$

where $A(z)$ is as in (1.3). Then for $0 \leq n_0 < N$, and $(u; \sigma, \tau) \in H$ (see (1.2)), define

$$(1.12) \quad \theta^{n_0, n, N}(u; \sigma, \tau) = \sum_{m_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[\sigma^{(N(m_0+1)+n_0)} (\sigma\tau)^{(N(m_0(m_0+1)/2)+n_0(m_0+1))} \cdot \theta^{n,N} \left(u + \left[m_0 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{n_0}{N} \right] B(\sigma\tau); \sigma\tau \right) \right]$$

where $B(z)$ is as in (1.4). From the definition itself, nothing is clear, not even the convergence of the series. Assume absolute convergence uniform on compact subsets of H . Then on the subset of H given by $\sigma\tau = 0$, the series in (1.12) reduces to

(1.13) (i)

$$\theta^{n,N} \left[u - \frac{B(0)}{2}; 0 \right] + \sigma^N \theta^{n,N} \left[u + \frac{B(0)}{2}; 0 \right] + \tau^N \theta^{n,N} \left[u - \frac{3B(0)}{2}; 0 \right]$$

if $n_0 = 0$;

(ii)

$$\sigma^{n_0} \theta^{n,N} \left[u + \left[\frac{n_0}{N} - \frac{1}{2} \right] B(0); 0 \right] + \tau^{(N-n_0)} \theta^{n,N} \left[u + \left[\frac{n_0}{N} - \frac{3}{2} \right] B(0); 0 \right]$$

if $n_0 \neq 0$.

Now, to check convergence, we use the relations $\sigma\tau = z$ and $\sigma = e^{2\pi i u_0}$, which allow us to rewrite (1.12) as follows:

(1.14)

$$\theta^{n_0, n, N}(\tilde{u}; \sigma, \tau) = \sigma^{N/2} z^{n_0} e^{-\pi i (N(1/2+n_0/N)^2 \ell(z))} \sum_{\tilde{m}} e^{\pi i (N\tilde{m} + \tilde{n})[\Omega(z)(\tilde{m} + N^{-1}\tilde{n}) + 2\tilde{u}]}$$

where

$$\tilde{m} = \begin{bmatrix} m_0 + 1/2 \\ (m) \end{bmatrix}, m_0 \in \mathbb{Z}, m \in \mathbb{Z}^3,$$

$$\tilde{n} = \begin{bmatrix} n_0 \\ (n) \end{bmatrix} \in \{0, \dots, (N-1)\}^4,$$

and

$$\tilde{u} = \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ (u) \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^4.$$

Now on a set

$$(1.15) \quad \begin{aligned} |\sigma| = \delta > 0, \quad |\tau| = \epsilon > 0, \\ \tilde{u} \in (\text{compact subset of } \mathbb{C}^4), \end{aligned}$$

the series (1.14) is absolutely and uniformly convergent – this is an immediate corollary of the proof of the uniform and absolute convergence of the Fourier series of N -th order theta-functions [2; page 96]. So the series (1.12) converges absolutely and uniformly on sets (1.15) and so on any compact subset of H .

Indeed, in the formation (1.14), the functions

$$\theta^{n_0, n, N}(\tilde{u}; z) = \sigma^{-N/2} \theta^{n_0, n, N}(u; \sigma, \tau)$$

for $\sigma\tau = z \neq 0$ give a basis for the N -th order theta-functions on J_z with characteristic

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Also these functions are invariant under the substitution

$$\ell(z) \mapsto (\ell(z) + 1);$$

thus the zero set of the function (1.12) on

$$(1.16) \quad (H - \{(u; \sigma, \tau) : \sigma\tau = 0\})$$

is invariant with respect to the identifications used to define

$$(J - J_0)$$

as a quotient space of (1.16). Also from the formulas (1.13) it is clear that the zero set of a function (1.12) in H is simply the closure of its zero set in (1.16). These two facts imply that the zero set of (1.12) in H is invariant with respect to the identifications used to define J as a quotient space of H and so defines a divisor

$$(1.17) \quad \Theta^{n_0, n, N}$$

on J . The linear system spanned by the divisors (1.17) has projective dimension

$$N^4 - 1.$$

The rest of this section will be devoted to the study of this linear system, which we denote by

$$(1.18) \quad \mathcal{D}_N.$$

First of all, the formulas (1.13) immediately imply that

$$J_z \not\subseteq D$$

for any $D \in \mathcal{D}_N$ and any $z \in \Delta$. Thus the algebraic cycle (with multiplicity)

$$(J_z \cdot D)$$

always makes sense and for any $z \in \Delta$

$$(1.19) \quad (J_z \cdot D) \equiv (J_0 \cdot D)$$

in $H_6(J; \mathbb{Z})$. Also, by [1; §5–6], the semi-group $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}$ acts on J in such a way that

- (i) $\pi((r, \theta) \cdot x) = re^{2\pi i \theta} \pi(x)$ for all $x \in J$ and $(r, \theta) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}$;
- (ii) $(r, \theta) \cdot x = x$ whenever $x \in J_0$.

So in $H_6(J; \mathbb{Z}) = H_6(J_0; \mathbb{Z})$:

$$(D \cdot J_z) \equiv (0, 0) \cdot (D \cdot J_z)$$

and therefore by (1.19)

$$(1.20) \quad (D \cdot J_0) \equiv (0, 0) \cdot (D \cdot J_z).$$

But we can explicitly compute the right-hand-side of (1.20). To do this, notice that the real coordinates

$$(\xi_0, \dots, \xi_3, \eta_0, \dots, \eta_3)$$

give a set of coordinates for J_z via the mapping

$$(\xi, \eta) \mapsto \sum_{j=0}^3 \xi_j E_j + \sum_{j=0}^3 \eta_j \Omega_j$$

where

$$E_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad E_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{etc.},$$

and $\Omega_j = (j + 1)$ -st column of the period matrix $\Omega(z)$. Let γ_j be the element of $H_1(J_z; \mathbb{Z})$ defined by fixing ξ_k for $k \neq j$ and all the η_k and letting ξ_j run from 0 to 1. Similarly define $\delta_j \in H_1(J_z; \mathbb{Z})$ by letting η_j run from 0 to 1. Then

$$(1.21) \quad \{\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_3, \delta_0, \dots, \delta_3\}$$

is a basis for $H_1(J_z; \mathbb{Z})$. From the classical theory of theta-functions we have that if $D \in \mathcal{D}_N$ and $z \neq 0$:

$$(1.22) \quad (D \cdot J_z) \equiv N \cdot \sum_{j=0}^3 \gamma_0 \times \delta_0 \times \cdots \times \widehat{\gamma_j \times \delta_j} \times \cdots \times \gamma_3 \times \delta_3$$

where “ \times ” denotes Pontriagin product in the topological group J_z and “ $\hat{}$ ” means “delete.”

Next let

$$\tilde{J}_0 = (\text{normalization of } J_0).$$

We then have a \mathbb{P}_1 -bundle

$$(1.23) \quad \mu : \tilde{J}_0 \rightarrow M = M_0$$

with fibre coordinate σ (see (1.5)–(1.6)). The bundle μ has distinguished sections

$$(1.24) \quad \begin{aligned} M^0 \text{ given by } \sigma = 0 \\ M^\infty \text{ given by } \sigma = \infty \end{aligned}$$

which are identified (via translation by $B(0)$) under the normalization mapping

$$(1.25) \quad \nu : \tilde{J}_0 \rightarrow J_0.$$

Their common image, which we will denote simply by M , is the double variety of J_0 .

Topologically, for $z \neq 0$

$$J_z \cong \gamma_0 \times \delta_0 \times M$$

and the “collapsing” map

$$\begin{aligned} J_z &\rightarrow J_0 \\ x &\mapsto (0, 0) \cdot x \end{aligned}$$

is given by fixing $0 \in \delta_0$ and collapsing

$$\gamma_0 \times \{0\} \times M$$

to $\{u\} \subseteq M$ for each point $u \in M$. So using (1.20) and (1.22), we can explicitly describe

$$(1.26) \quad (D \cdot J_0) \in H_6(J_0; \mathbb{Z})$$

as follows. Abusing notation, let

$$(1.27) \quad \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3\}$$

denote the standard basis of $H_1(M; \mathbb{Z})$ with respect to the period matrix $A(0)$. Then by (1.22) and our description of the collapsing map, we have that

$$(0, 0) \cdot (D \cdot J_z)$$

is given in $H_6(J_0; \mathbb{Z})$ by

$$(1.28) \quad N \cdot \left[\nu(M^0) + \nu \left(\mu^{-1} \left(\sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq 3} \gamma_j \times \delta_j \times \gamma_k \times \delta_k \right) \right) \right].$$

So by (1.20) the class of $(D \cdot J_0)$ for $D \in \mathcal{D}_N$ must be given by the same formula. If P denotes a fibre of μ , then by (1.28) we have

$$(1.29) \quad \nu(P) \cdot D = N$$

which agrees with the formulas (1.13). (In (1.13) we can, for example, set $\tau = 0$ and use σ as the fibre coordinate of $\mu: \tilde{J}_0 \rightarrow M$.)

Now if $N = 1$, then \mathcal{D}_N contains a unique divisor, which we will call

$$(1.30) \quad \Theta.$$

For $z \neq 0$, $(\Theta \cdot J_z)$ is called the *theta-divisor* of J_z .

THEOREM 1.31: *If the mappings A and B in (1.3) and (1.4) are chosen generically, Θ is smooth in a neighborhood of its intersection with J_0 . Also for z near 0, Θ meets J_z transversely.*

PROOF: Let

$$\tilde{\Theta}_0 \subseteq \tilde{J}_0$$

be such that $\nu(\tilde{\Theta}_0) = (\Theta \cdot J_0)$. By elementary properties of analytic varieties, the theorem will be proved if we can show that $\tilde{\Theta}_0$ is a smooth subvariety (of multiplicity one) in \tilde{J}_0 which intersects M^0 and M^∞ transversely. By (1.13) (i), $(\Theta \cdot J_0)$ is given by the zero set of

$$(1.32) \quad \theta \left(u - \frac{B(0)}{2} \right) + \sigma\theta \left(u + \frac{B(0)}{2} \right) + \tau\theta \left(u - \frac{3B(0)}{2} \right)$$

where $\theta(u) = \theta^{0,1}(u; 0)$. So

$$\tilde{\Theta}_0 \cap (\tilde{J}_0 - M^\infty)$$

is given by setting $\tau = 0$ in (1.32) and looking at the zero set of the resulting function. If (u', σ') is a singular point of this zero set, then

$$(i) \quad \theta \left(u' + \frac{B(0)}{2} \right) = \theta \left(u' - \frac{B(0)}{2} \right) = 0,$$

and for $j = 1, 2, 3$:

$$(ii) \quad \sigma' \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial u_j} \left(u' + \frac{B(0)}{2} \right) = - \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial u_j} \left(u' - \frac{B(0)}{2} \right).$$

If $A(0)$ is chosen generically, there is no common zero of $\theta(u)$ and $(\partial\theta/\partial u_j)(u)$, $j = 1, 2, 3$. Otherwise, for example, the Riemann singularities theorem would imply that every curve of genus three is

hyperelliptic. So, for general $A(0)$, the *Gauss map*

$$g : (\text{zero set of } \theta \text{ in } M) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_2$$

$$u \mapsto \left[\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial u_j}(u) \right]_{j=1,2,3}$$

is a morphism and is surjective (recall that M is a Jacobian). But then one computes immediately that

$$\{u' - u'' : g(u') = g(u'')\} \subseteq M$$

is a subvariety of dimension ≤ 2 . If we choose $B(0)$ outside this subvariety (and $A(0)$ as above) then (i) and (ii) have no common solutions $(u', \sigma') \in (\tilde{J}_0 - M^\infty)$. Also by (1.32) (with $\tau \equiv 0$), $\tilde{\Theta}_0$ meets M^0 transversely whenever $\theta(u)$ and the $(\partial\theta/\partial u_j)(u)$ have no common zeros. Putting $\sigma \equiv 0$ in (1.32), the analogous argument works for $(\tilde{\Theta}_0 \cap (\tilde{J}_0 - M^0))$. This proves the first statement of Theorem 1.31. The second statement then follows from the fact that $(\Theta \cap J_z)$ is given locally by the equation $\sigma = z$ or by the equation $\sigma\tau = z$.

THEOREM 1.33: *Suppose $N \geq 3$ and $B(0) \neq 0$ in M . Let*

$$F_N : J \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{(N^4-1)}$$

be the mapping defined by the linear system \mathcal{D}_N in (1.18). The system \mathcal{D}_N has no basepoints so that F_N is a regular mapping. In fact, the mapping

$$G_N : J \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{(N^4-1)} \times \Delta$$

$$x \rightarrow (F_N(x), \pi(x))$$

is an embedding.

PROOF: EXCEPT along J_0 this is a standard classical theorem. The same classical theorem says that the linear system spanned by the divisors of the functions (1.12) in M_z gives an embedding of M_z . Applying this for $z = 0$ and the formulas (1.13) (i), it is clear that F_N embeds $M \subseteq J_0$ in $\mathbb{P}_{(N^4-1)}$. To show that G_N is also an immersion at points of $M \subseteq J$, it suffices to note that, given $u' \in M$, there exists by (1.13) (ii) a divisor in \mathcal{D}_N which is smooth and tangent to $\{(u; \sigma, \tau) : \sigma = 0\}$ at u' and which contains M , as well as a divisor which is smooth and tangent to $\{(u; \sigma, \tau) : \tau = 0\}$ at u' and which contains M . (We use again that $N \geq 3$.) Next, recall that to study the linear system cut out by \mathcal{D}_N on $(J_0 - M)$ we can set $\tau = 0$ in (1.13) and use σ as the fibre coordinate of the \mathbb{C}^* -bundle

$$(1.34) \quad \mu : (J_0 - M) \rightarrow M.$$

So, by (1.13) (ii), \mathcal{D}_N has no fix-points on $(J_0 - M)$ and

$$F_N((J_0 - M)) \cap F_N(M) = \phi.$$

Given $(u'; \sigma')$ and $(u''; \sigma'') \in (J_0 - M)$, (1.13) (ii) also shows that if $F_N((u'; \sigma')) = F_N((u''; \sigma''))$, then

$$u' = u'',$$

and, considering the cases $n_0 = 2$ and $n_p = 1$,

$$(\sigma'/\sigma'')^2 = (\sigma'/\sigma'')$$

so that

$$\sigma' = \sigma''.$$

Finally, to show that G_N is an immersion at a point of $(J_0 - M)$, it suffices to show that

$$F_N|_{(J_0 - M)}$$

is an immersion. But this follows immediately from (1.13) and the facts:

(i) the linear system spanned by the divisors of the functions (1.12) (in the case $z = 0$) embeds M ;

(ii) given $(u'; \sigma') \in (J_0 - M)$, there exists a vector

$$(a_n) \in \mathbb{C}^{N^3}$$

such that

$$\sum_n a_n \left(\theta^{n,N} \left(u' - \frac{B(0)}{2}; 0 \right) + (\sigma')^N \theta^{n,N} \left(u' + \frac{B(0)}{2}; 0 \right) \right) = 0$$

but

$$\sum_n a_n \theta^{n,N} \left(u' + \frac{B(0)}{2}; 0 \right) \neq 0$$

(see (1.13) (i)). Notice that (ii) follows from the fact that $B(0) \neq 0$ in M which implies that the vectors

$$\left(\theta^{n,N} \left(u' - \frac{B(0)}{2}; 0 \right) + (\sigma')^N \theta^{n,N} \left(u' + \frac{B(0)}{2}; 0 \right) \right)_n \quad \text{and}$$

$$\left(\theta^{n,N} \left(u' + \frac{B(0)}{2}; 0 \right) \right)_n \quad \text{are not proportional.}$$

Notice that the argument in Theorem 1.31 can be applied inductively to show that a generic principally polarized abelian variety of dimension k has non-singular theta-divisor. The proof of Theorem 1.33 also applies, of course, in higher dimensions.

2. The “generic” Chow ring

On a complex torus J_1 of dimension four, a principal polarization is given by an element

$$(2.1) \quad \Omega_1 \in H^2(J_1; \mathbb{Z}) \cong (\Lambda^2 H_1(J_1; \mathbb{Z}))^*$$

such that

(i) Ω_1 is a positive form of type $(1, 1)$ in the Hodge decomposition of $H^2(J_1; \mathbb{C})$;

(ii) Ω_1 is unimodular as a bilinear form on $H_1(J_1; \mathbb{Z})$.

Given (J_1, Ω_1) , we can choose a basis (1.21) for $H_1(J_1; \mathbb{Z})$ which is *symplectic*, that is,

$$\Omega_1(\gamma_j, \gamma_k) = \Omega_1(\delta_j, \delta_k) = 0,$$

$$\Omega_1(\gamma_j, \delta_k) = \text{Kronecker } \delta_{jk}.$$

If

$$(2.2) \quad \omega = \begin{bmatrix} \omega_0 \\ \omega_1 \\ \omega_2 \\ \omega_3 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\{\omega_i\}_{i=0,\dots,3}$ is a basis for $H^{1,0}(J_1)$ such that

$$\int_{\gamma_j} \omega = E_j, \quad \int_{\delta_j} \omega = \Omega_j$$

where the E_j are the standard basis for \mathbb{C}^4 , then the imaginary part of

$$(2.3) \quad \Omega = (\Omega_0 \Omega_1 \Omega_2 \Omega_3)$$

is positive definite and the associated N -th order theta-functions

$$\theta^{n_0, n_1, N}(\vec{u}) = \sum_{\vec{m}} e^{\pi i (N\vec{m} + \vec{n}) (\Omega (\vec{m} + N^{-1}\vec{n}) + 2\vec{a})}$$

(see (1.14)) have zero sets on J_1 whose associated homology class is the Poincaré dual of $N\Omega_1$ (see (1.22)). The question we wish to treat is the following:

(2.4) Which elements of $H_*(J_1; \mathbb{Z})$ are *always* representable by effective algebraic cycles (i.e. subvarieties) in J_1 ?

From what we have said so far, the duals of

$$\Omega_1, \Omega_1 \wedge \Omega_1, \Omega_1 \wedge \Omega_1 \wedge \Omega_1$$

are all representable by subvarieties. In terms of a symplectic basis

(1.21) for $H_1(J_1; \mathbb{Z})$, we can write these homology classes in the form

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_{0 \leq i < j < k \leq 3} \gamma_i \times \delta_i \times \gamma_j \times \delta_j \times \gamma_k \times \delta_k$$

$$2! \cdot \sum_{0 \leq j < k \leq 3} \gamma_j \times \delta_j \times \gamma_k \times \delta_k$$

$$3! \sum_{j=0}^3 \gamma_j \times \delta_j$$

It is a theorem of Matsusaka [3] and Hoyt that $\sum \gamma_i \times \delta_i$ is representable by an algebraic curve if and only if (J_1, Ω_1) is the Jacobian variety of that (possibly reducible) curve. So since not all principally polarized abelian varieties of dimension four are (products of) Jacobians, the cycle $\sum_{j=0}^3 \gamma_j \times \delta_j$ is not in general representable by a subvariety.

LEMMA 2.6 (Mattuck): *There exist principally polarized abelian varieties (J_1, Ω_1) of dimension four such that any element of $H_*(J_1; \mathbb{Z})$ which is representable by an algebraic subvariety is a positive rational multiple of one of the cycles (2.5).*

PROOF: For elements of $H_6(J_1; \mathbb{Z})$, the lemma is simply the classical fact that the Picard number of a generic principally polarized abelian variety is one. By duality, therefore, the lemma is also true for elements of $H_2(J_1; \mathbb{Z})$. We must only examine $H_4(J_1; \mathbb{Z})$. Suppose the lemma is false. Then for each family (1.1) there will exist an element

$$\alpha \in H_4(J_z; \mathbb{Z})$$

for each $z \neq 0$ and a three-dimensional closed analytic subvariety

$$S \subseteq J$$

such that:

$$(i) \quad S_z = (J_z \cdot S)$$

represents the homology class α ;

(ii) α is not an integral multiple of

$$\sum_{j < k} \gamma_j \times \delta_j \times \gamma_k \times \delta_k.$$

(This is because of Theorem 1.33 and the fact that the set of algebraic cycles in $\mathbb{P}_{(N^4-1)}$ of fixed degree forms a finite union of irreducible algebraic families of cycles.) Then just as in (1.19)–(1.29), we can conclude that there exists a finite set of cycles

$$\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \in H_4(J_0; \mathbb{Z})$$

and positive integers p_1, \dots, p_r such that:

$$(i) \quad (0, 0) \cdot \alpha = \sum_{i=1}^r p_i \alpha_i;$$

(ii) each α_i is represented by an irreducible algebraic subvariety

$$S_i \subseteq J_0.$$

Let $\tilde{S}_i \subseteq \tilde{J}_0$ be such that

$$\nu(\tilde{S}_i) = S_i$$

(see (1.25)). If M has Picard number 1, then under

$$\mu : \tilde{J}_0 \rightarrow M$$

\tilde{S}_i must go to an algebraic cycle whose homology class is a positive multiple of

$$\sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq 3} \gamma_j \times \delta_j \times \gamma_k \times \delta_k \in H_4(M; \mathbb{Z}).$$

We can therefore conclude that

$$\alpha_i = \gamma_0 \times \beta_i + r_i \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq 3} \gamma_j \times \delta_j \times \gamma_k \times \delta_k$$

for some $r_i \geq 0$, and so by (i) above and the topological description of the degeneration $\alpha \mapsto (0, 0) \cdot \alpha$ given in (1.19)–(1.29), we have that

$$\alpha = \gamma_0 \times \beta + r \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq 3} \gamma_j \times \delta_j \times \gamma_k \times \delta_k$$

for some $\beta \in H_3(J_z; \mathbb{Z})$ and some $r \geq 0$. Now we can arrange so that for some $z_0 \neq 0$, the period matrix for J_{z_0} is given by

$$\Omega_{z_0} = i \cdot (\text{identity matrix}) + \Omega'$$

where each entry in Ω' has small absolute value and each entry in

$$(\Omega_{z_0})^{-1} + i \cdot (\text{identity matrix})$$

has small absolute value. Therefore for each $j = 1, 2, 3$, J_{z_0} fits into a family (1.1) in which M has Picard number one and γ_j plays the role of γ_0 . Therefore by elementary algebra

$$\alpha = r \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq 3} \gamma_j \times \delta_j \times \gamma_k \times \delta_k.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.6.

The above lemma reduces the search for the answer to the question posed in (2.4) to the homology classes

$$(2.7) \quad (i) \quad r \sum_{j=0}^3 \gamma_j \times \delta_j,$$

$$(ii) \quad s \sum_{0 \leq j < k \leq 3} \gamma_j \times \delta_j \times \gamma_k \times \delta_k.$$

We have seen that, if $r = 1$, the cycle (2.7) (i) is, in general, not representable by a subvariety. By an as yet unpublished result of A. Beauville, every principally polarized abelian variety of dimension four is the Prym variety associated to a two-sheeted covering of a (possibly singular) algebraic curve. The image of this two-sheeted cover in its Prym variety has homology class (2.7) (i) where $r = 2$. Thus the only cycles (2.7) (i) which remain in doubt are those for which r is odd and greater than 1. Similarly, since $\Omega_1 \wedge \Omega_1$ has as its dual the cycle (2.7) (ii) with $s = 2$, the only cycles (2.7) (ii) which remain in doubt are those for which s is odd. Our next project is to eliminate the possibility $s = 1$.

Suppose

$$(2.8) \quad \Gamma = \sum_{0 \leq j < k \leq 3} \gamma_j \times \delta_j \times \gamma_k \times \delta_k$$

is representable by a subvariety for all principally polarized abelian varieties of dimension four. Then in general the representing subvariety must be irreducible since no element in fourth homology which is not a positive integral multiple of Γ is generically representable. Therefore, by the general theory of the Chow ring of $\mathbb{P}_{(N^4-1)}$, [6], there must exist for each sufficiently general family (1.1) a closed, irreducible, three-dimensional analytic subvariety

$$(2.9) \quad S \subseteq J$$

such that:

- (i) if $z \neq 0$

$$(J_z \cap S) = S_z^{(1)} \cup \dots \cup S_z^{(s)}$$

where each $S_z^{(j)}$ represents the homology class Γ ,

- (ii) for almost all z , the varieties $S_z^{(j)}$ are all distinct and irreducible.

For such a general family (1.1), consider the set

$$S' = \cup \{S_z^{(1)} : z \text{ real, } > 0\}.$$

The topological closure $\overline{S'}$ of S' intersects J_0 in a union

$$(2.10) \quad S_0 = S_{(1)} \cup \dots \cup S_{(r)} \subseteq J_0$$

of irreducible analytic subvarieties of dimension two. Just as in

(1.19)–(1.29), if $\tilde{S}_{(i)}$ is a subvariety of \tilde{J}_0 such that (counting multiplicities)

$$\nu(\tilde{S}_{(i)}) = S_{(i)}$$

and if $\tilde{S}_{(i)}$ has homology class α_i , then

$$(2.11) \quad \sum_{i=1}^r m_i \alpha_i = \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq 3} \gamma_j \times \delta_j \times \gamma_k \times \delta_k + \mu^{-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^3 \gamma_j \times \delta_j \right)$$

for some $m_i > 0$. If the double locus M of J_0 is chosen suitably generally, then for each i , the homology class of $(M^0 \cdot \tilde{S}_{(i)})$ is a non-negative multiple of $\sum_{j=1}^3 \gamma_j \times \delta_j$ and the homology class of $\mu(\tilde{S}_{(i)})$ is a non-negative multiple of $\sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq 3} \gamma_j \times \delta_j \times \gamma_k \times \delta_k$. Then the only possibilities in (2.11) are:

- (i) $r = 1$ and $m_1 = 1$;
- (ii) $r = 2$, $m_1 = m_2 = 1$ and

$$\alpha_1 = \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq 3} \gamma_j \times \delta_j \times \gamma_k \times \delta_k$$

$$\alpha_2 = \mu^{-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^3 \gamma_j \times \delta_j \right).$$

Assume that possibility (ii) holds for a general family (1.1). It is impossible that $S_{(i)} \subseteq M$, the double locus, because the multiplicity of any component of $(S \cap J_0)$ which lies in M must be greater than one. Thus

$$S_{(i)} \subseteq (J_0 - M)$$

and so

$$\tilde{S}_{(i)} \subseteq \tilde{J}_0 - (M^0 \cup M^\infty).$$

This implies that the bundle

$$\mu : \tilde{J}_0 \rightarrow M$$

is trivial when restricted to the theta-divisor Σ of M , since (up to translation)

$$\mu(\tilde{S}_{(i)}) = \Sigma$$

and

$$((\mu^{-1}(\text{point})) \cdot \tilde{S}_{(i)}) = 1$$

in $\mu^{-1}(\Sigma)$. Since the mapping

$$\text{Pic}^0(M) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(\Sigma)$$

is an isomorphism for non-singular Σ , possibility (ii) is ruled out unless \tilde{J}_0 is the trivial bundle over M which is in general not the case. Thus we can conclude that for our general family:

(2.12) S_0 is irreducible and lifts to a cycle \tilde{S}_0 in \tilde{J}_0 with homology class

$$\mu^{-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^3 \gamma_j \times \delta_j \right) + \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq 3} \gamma_j \times \delta_j \times \gamma_k \times \delta_k.$$

Using (2.12), up to translation

$$\mu(\tilde{S}_0) = \Sigma \subseteq M.$$

Also the homology class of

$$(\tilde{S}_0 \cdot M^\infty) \text{ or } (\tilde{S}_0 \cdot M^0)$$

in $H_2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ is

$$\sum_{j=1}^3 \gamma_j \times \delta_j.$$

Also we can suppose that

$$(M, \Sigma) = (J(C), C^{(2)}),$$

the Jacobian of a non-singular, non-hyperelliptic curve C of genus three and that M has endomorphism ring \mathbb{Z} . Also $\mu(\tilde{S}_0 \cap M^\infty)$ and $\mu(\tilde{S}_0 \cap M^0)$ are homologous in the second symmetric product

$$C^{(2)} = \Sigma = \mu(\tilde{S}_0) \subseteq M.$$

With the help of the theorem of Matsusaka mentioned previously, we can therefore conclude that there are only two possibilities:

(i) there exist $P_0, P_\infty \in C$ such that

$$\mu(\tilde{S}_0 \cap M^0) = \{(P_0, P) \in C^{(2)} : P \in C\}$$

$$\mu(\tilde{S}_0 \cap M^\infty) = \{(P_\infty, P) \in C^{(2)} : P \in C\},$$

(ii) there exist $P_0, P_\infty \in C^{(2)}$ such that

$$\mu(\tilde{S}_0 \cap M^0) = \{(P_1, P_2) \in C^{(2)} : P + P_0 + P_1 + P_2$$

is a canonical divisor of C for some $P \in C\}$

$$\mu(\tilde{S}_0 \cap M^\infty) = \{(P_1, P_2) \in C^{(2)} : P + P_\infty + P_1 + P_2 \text{ is } \dots\}.$$

Furthermore, since

$$\mu^{-1}(\text{point}) \cdot \tilde{S}_0 = 1$$

in $\mu^{-1}(\Sigma)$, \tilde{S}_0 gives a meromorphic section of the line bundle

$$\mu : (\mu^{-1}(\Sigma) - (M^\infty \cap \mu^{-1}(\Sigma))) \rightarrow \Sigma$$

whose associated divisor is

$$(2.13) \quad \mu(\tilde{S}_0 \cap M^0) - \mu(\tilde{S}_0 \cap M^\infty).$$

However since the natural mapping

$$\text{Pic}^0(M) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(\Sigma)$$

is bijective, our assumption that the cycle Γ in (2.8) is always representable by a subvariety forces a contradiction. For if we choose $B(0)$ in (1.4) sufficiently generally, the line bundle

$$\mu : (\tilde{J}_0 - M^\infty) \rightarrow M$$

will *not* restrict over Σ to a line bundle belonging to the two-parameter family of line bundles whose associated divisor has the form (2.13). Thus we have proved the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.14: *There exist principally polarized abelian varieties (J_1, Ω_1) of dimension four such that the cycle $\Gamma = \sum_{0 \leq j < k \leq 3} \gamma_j \times \delta_j \times \gamma_k \times \delta_k$ is not representable by a subvariety of J_1 .*

Notice that the two possibilities for the families of divisors (2.13) correspond to the degenerations of $D_z^{(2)}$ and $-D_z^{(2)}$ respectively where D_z is a curve of genus four which acquires a double point as $z \mapsto 0$ and J_z is the Jacobian of D_z .

Left open is the very intriguing question as to the odd values of r and $s > 1$ in (2.7) for which the corresponding homology classes are always carried by subvarieties. Of course, if we find a value of r such that the cycle (2.7) (i) is carried by an algebraic curve D , the cycle (2.7) (ii) with $s = r^2$ will be carried by the image of $D^{(2)}$ in J_1 so the representability of the cycles (2.7) (i) and the cycles (2.7) (ii) are related. If it turns out, for example, that there exists an abelian variety J_1 on which no odd multiple of $\sum_{j=0}^3 \gamma_j \times \delta_j$ is representable by a subvariety, one would have a new type of counter-example to the (false) Hodge conjecture over \mathbb{Z} , one that did not involve torsion cycles.

REFERENCES

[1] CLEMENS, C. H.: Degeneration of Kähler Manifolds. Duke Mathematical Journal (to appear).
 [2] CONFORTO, F.: *Abelsche Funktionen und Algebraische Geometrie*. Springer-Verlag, 1956.

- [3] MATSUSAKA, T.: On a characterization of a Jacobian variety. *Memoirs Coll. Sci. Kyoto, ser. A, no. 1* (1959) 1–19.
- [4] MUMFORD, D.: *Abelian Varieties*. Oxford University Press, 1970.
- [5] MUMFORD, D.: *Curves and their Jacobians*. Univ. of Michigan Press, 1975, 31–34.
- [6] SHAFAREVICH, I. R.: *Basic Algebraic Geometry*. Springer-Verlag, 1974.

(Oblatum 29–X–1975)

City College of the
City University of New York
University of Utah