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We investigate the homotopy groups of the group of equivariant self-diffeomorphisms of a sphere $S^{2dn-1}$. The action involved is always the restriction to the unit sphere $S^{2dn-1}$ of the representation $\rho_n \oplus \rho_n$ of $A_n = U(n) \text{ or } Sp(n)$ $(n \geq 3)$. Here, $\rho_n$ is the standard representation of real dimension $dn = 2n$ or $4n$, respectively. The equivariant automorphism group of $(A_n, S^{2dn-1})$ will be called $\text{Diff}(A_n, S^{2dn-1})$, or $D_n(A)$.

As in [3], we use the fact that non-trivial bundles over $S^k$ with structure group $D_n(A)$ give rise to non-zero elements of $\pi_k D_n(A)$, and vice versa. The total space $T$ of a bundle with structure group $D_n(A)$ and fibre $S^{2dn-1}$ is an $A_n$-manifold with two orbit types and orbit space a manifold with boundary, and can therefore be handled using classification theorems by W. C. Hsiang, W. Y. Hsiang [5], and K. Jänich [6]. The data involved in classifying the $A_n$-manifold $T$ are the orbit space $T/\pi_1$, which is the total space of a $D^{d+1}$-bundle over the same base (since $S^{2dn-1}/A_n \cong D^{d+1}$), and a reduction of the structure group of some bundle over the manifold boundary of $T/A_n$. In [3] we constructed non-trivial $S^{2dn-1}$-bundles having trivial $D^{d+1}$-bundle, but the above-mentioned reduction of a structure group was exotic. In the present note we construct non-trivial $S^{2dn-1}$-bundles starting with an exotic $D^{d+1}$-bundle, but the reduction of the structure group is trivial in some sense. More precisely, we have to use a non-linear $D^{d+1}$-bundle whose boundary is a trivial $S^d$-bundle. Our construction then gives an equivariantly non-linear $S^{2dn-1}$-bundle, as in [3].

$D_n(A)$ contains the subgroup of linear equivariant automorphisms of $(A_n, S^{2dn-1})$ which is isomorphic to $A_2$ [4]. That our construction yields non-linear bundles, means that non-zero elements of $\pi_k(D^{d+1}; S^d)$ give rise to non-zero elements of $\pi_k D_n(A)$ which are not in the image of $\pi_k A_2$ (Theorem, Section 2). $(\text{Diff}(D^{d+1}; S^d)$ is the group of self-diffeo-
morphisms of $D^{d+1}$ fixing the boundary $S^d$.) Unfortunately, not very much is known on the homotopy groups of $\text{Diff}(D^{d+1}; S^d)$, for $d = 2, 4$. In [3] Theorem 4.8, we proved that $D_n(U)$ does not have the homotopy type of a finite CW complex. The corresponding result for $Sp$ could not be proved by the methods of [3]. In this note we are able to prove it at least modulo a conjecture of D. Burghelea (Corollary, Section 3).

The analogue questions in the orthogonal case were answered in [3] by showing that the inclusion $O_2 \subset D_n(O)$ is a homotopy equivalence.
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The linear automorphisms of $S^{2dn-1}$, equivariant with respect to the action of $\Lambda_n$, form a group isomorphic to $A_2$ [4]. So $A_2$ acts on the orbit space $S^{2dn-1}/\Lambda_n$. $S^{2dn-1}$ is a special $\Lambda_n$-manifold over $D^{d+1}$ (e.g. [4]), so the orbit space $S^{2dn-1}/\Lambda_n$ can be given a natural smooth structure diffeomorphic to $D^{d+1}$.

**Proposition 1** [3]: The action of the group $A_2$ of equivariant linear automorphisms of $S^{2dn-1}(n \geq 3)$ induced on the orbit space $S^{2dn-1}/\Lambda_n \cong D^{d+1}$ is smoothly equivalent to the orthogonal action of $A_2$ on $D^{d+1}$ given by a homomorphism $\tau: A_2 \to O(d+1)$ with $\ker \tau = \text{center}(A_2)$.

This is Proposition 3.1 of [3]. There we proved only the topological equivalence of the action to the orthogonal one given by $\tau$, and left the remainder of the proof to the reader. As this note heavily relies on the above proposition, we think it is adequate to present the remaining arguments.

First we claim that $A_2$ acts smoothly on the orbit space $S^{2dn-1}/\Lambda_n \cong D^{d+1}$. To see this we have to remember the definition of the smooth structure on the orbit space of a special $\Lambda_n$-manifold. In $S^{2dn-1}$, we replace the submanifold of singular orbits of the action of $\Lambda_n$ by the total space of its normal sphere bundle [7]. Then $\Lambda_n$ and $A_2$ still act smoothly, and the action of $\Lambda_n$ has only one orbit type, so is a bundle over the orbit space. The base space $B$ in such a bundle inherits a smooth structure from the total space. As $B$ is diffeomorphic to $D^{d+1}$ by hypothesis, the bundle is trivial, and its total space is diffeomorphic to $(\Lambda_n/\Lambda_n-2) \times D^{d+1}$. The action of $A_2$ is a smooth map

$$A_2 \times (\Lambda_n/\Lambda_n-2) \times D^{d+1} \to (\Lambda_n/\Lambda_n-2) \times D^{d+1}.$$ 

The induced action on the orbit space $D^{d+1}$ of the $\Lambda_n$-action is therefore
the induced map
\[ \Lambda_2 \times D^{d+1} \rightarrow D^{d+1} \]
which is clearly differentiable.

In Section 3 of [3] we proved that this action has one fixed point, and in all non-fixed points the isotropy group is conjugate to \( \Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_1 \). As the action is linear in a neighborhood of the fixed point (by the slice theorem), there is an equivariant isomorphism \( \varphi \) of a small disk \( D^{d+1}_\varepsilon \) into \( D^{d+1} \) where \( \Lambda_2 \) acts on \( D^{d+1}_\varepsilon \) via the representation called \( \tau \) in [3]. As the orbits of \( \Lambda_2 \) in \( D^{d+1} - \varphi(\text{int } D^{d+1}_\varepsilon) \) are \( S^d \cong \Lambda_2/\Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_1 \), and as the orbit space \( (D^{d+1} - \varphi(\text{int } D^{d+1}_\varepsilon))/\Lambda_2 \) is a compact connected 1-manifold with boundary, i.e. diffeomorphic to a real interval, it follows that the \( \Lambda_2 \)-action on \( D^{d+1} \) is smoothly equivalent to the representation given by \( \tau \). This completes the proof of Proposition 1.

**Theorem:** Let \( j: \Lambda_2 \rightarrow D_n(A) = \text{Diff}(\Lambda_n, S^{2dn-1}) \) be the inclusion. Then there is a monomorphism
\[ g: \pi_k \text{Diff}(D^{d+1}; S^d) \rightarrow \pi_k D_n(A)/j_* \pi_k \Lambda_2 \]
for every \( k \geq 0 \) and \( n \geq 3 \).

**Proof:** The complement of an equivariant tubular neighborhood of the singular orbit bundle of \( S^{2dn-1} \) is equivariantly diffeomorphic to \( (\Lambda_n/\Lambda_{n-2}) \times D^{d+1}_\varepsilon \). A diffeomorphism of \( D^{d+1}_\varepsilon \) which is the identity on \( N := D^{d+1} - \text{int } D^{d+1}_\varepsilon \) therefore induces an equivariant diffeomorphism of \( S^{2dn-1} \) which is the identity in a neighborhood of the singular orbit bundle. This defines a homomorphism
\[ h: \text{Diff}(D^{d+1}; N) \rightarrow D_n(A). \]
As \( \pi_k \text{Diff}(D^{d+1}; N) \rightarrow \pi_k \text{Diff}(D^{d+1}; S^d) \) is bijective for all \( k \) ([2a] p. 120), by composition we have a homomorphism
\[ g: \pi_k \text{Diff}(D^{d+1}; S^d) \rightarrow \pi_k D_n(A)/j_* \pi_k \Lambda_2. \]
To prove that \( g \) is monomorphic it suffices to show that the corresponding homomorphism
\[ h_* : \pi_k \text{Diff}(D^{d+1}; N) \rightarrow \pi_k D_n(A) \]
has the property: If \( x \in \pi_k \text{Diff}(D^{d+1}; N), x \neq 0 \), then \( h_*(x) \notin j_* \pi_k A_2 \).

 Bundles over \( S^{k+1} \) with structure group \( G \) are in 1-1 correspondence with the elements of \( \pi_k G/\pi_0 \), according to a well-known classification theorem [9]. Let \( x \in \pi_k \text{Diff}(D^{d+1}; N) \). Then \( x \) represents a \( D^{d+1} \)-bundle over \( S^{k+1} \), and \( h_*(x) \) represents a \( A_n \)-equivariant \( S^{2d_n-1} \)-bundle over \( S^{k+1} \). The orbit space of the latter is the \( D^{d+1} \)-bundle represented by \( x \), which is non-linear if \( x \) is non-zero. But in that case, \( h_*(x) \) cannot lie in \( j_* \pi_k A_2 \) because then the \( D^{d+1} \)-bundle would be linear, by Proposition 1. This proves the Theorem.

 We give another description of the non-linear equivariant bundles constructed above, in the context of special \( A_n \)-manifolds [6] (compare Section 1). A non-zero element of \( \pi_k \text{Diff}(D^{d+1}; S^d) \) yields a non-trivial bundle over \( S^{k+1} \) with fibre \( D^{d+1} \); moreover this bundle is non-linear. Let \( \pi: E \rightarrow S^{k+1} \) be its projection. Clearly, the boundary \( \partial E \) is a trivial \( S^d \)-bundle over \( S^{k+1} \). Choose a trivialization such that

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
S^{k+1} \times S^d & \cong & \partial E \\
\downarrow p_1 & & \downarrow \\
S^{k+1} & & 
\end{array}
\]

is commutative. We construct, according to [6], a special \( A_n \)-manifold \( T \) with orbit types \( (A_{n-2}) \) and \( (A_{n-1}) \) over \( E \) using \( E \times A_2 \) as principal bundle of the principal orbit bundle. The other ingredient for our construction of \( T \) is the reduction of the structure group of the bundle

\[
E \times A_2 \rightarrow E
\]

over the boundary \( \partial E \cong S^{k+1} \times S^d \) to \( A_1 \times A_1 \) (see [4] Section 3 and [3] Section 2). Such a reduction is a cross-section of the bundle \( \partial E \times (A_2/A_1 \times A_1) \rightarrow \partial E \). Identifying \( A_2/A_1 \times A_1 \) with \( S^d \) and \( \partial E \) with \( S^{k+1} \times S^d \), the reduction we use is the map

\[
S^{k+1} \times S^d \rightarrow S^{k+1} \times S^d \times S^d \quad \cong \quad \partial E \rightarrow \partial E \times (A_2/A_1 \times A_1)
\]

whose third component is given by the second projection \( p_2: S^{k+1} \times S^d \rightarrow S^d \). This yields a \( A_n \)-manifold \( T \) with orbit space \( E \), and the composition of the orbit map \( T \rightarrow E \) with \( \pi: E \rightarrow S^{k+1} \) is a bundle projection \( T \rightarrow S^{k+1} \). The fibre of this bundle is \( S^{2d_n-1} \), the \( A_n \)-manifold over \( D^{d+1} \) (the fibre
of \( \pi \) that corresponds to the reduction of the structure group given by the identity map (point) \( \times S^d = S^d \rightarrow S^d \) ([4] Prop. 3.2). So we have constructed a \( A_n \)-equivariant \( S^{2dn-1} \)-bundle over \( S^{k+1} \) with orbit space \( E \), a non-linear \( D^{d+1} \)-bundle over \( S^{k+1} \).
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**Corollary to the Theorem**: If \( \pi_2 \text{Diff}(D^5; S^4) \neq 0 \), then \( D_n(Sp) = \text{Diff}(Sp(n), S^{8n-1}) \) does not have the homotopy type of a finite CW complex.

**Proof**: By the Theorem, \( \pi_2 D_n(Sp) \neq 0 \), which is impossible if \( D_n(Sp) \) has the homotopy type of a finite CW complex [1].

We cannot answer the question of whether \( \pi_2 \text{Diff}(D^5; S^4) \neq 0 \), but only reduce it to a conjecture of D. Burghelea.

**Conjecture 2** [2]: If \( i \leq 2n-2 \), then \( \pi_i \text{Top}_n \rightarrow \pi_i \text{Top} \) is onto.

**Proposition 2**: If Burghelea's Conjecture (2) is correct then \( \pi_2 \text{Diff}(D^5; S^4) \) is non-zero.

**Consequence**: If Burghelea's Conjecture (2) is correct then \( D_n(Sp) \) does not have the homotopy type of a finite CW complex.

**Proof of Proposition (2)**: The homotopy sequence of the fibration \( \text{Top} \rightarrow \text{Top}/O \) splits, i.e.

\[
0 \rightarrow \pi_i O \rightarrow \pi_i \text{Top} \rightarrow \pi_i(\text{Top}/O) \rightarrow 0
\]

is exact. This follows e.g. from Theorem 2.1.2) of [2]. As \( \pi_8 O = Z_2 \), we have \( \pi_8 \text{Top} \neq 0 \). Applying Burghelea's Conjecture (2) for \( i = 8, n = 5 \), we obtain \( \pi_8 \text{Top}_5 \neq 0 \). From \( \pi_8 O_5 = 0 \) [8] it follows that \( \pi_8 \text{Top}_5 \rightarrow \pi_8(\text{Top}_5/O_5) \) is injective, therefore \( \pi_8(\text{Top}_5/O_5) \neq 0 \). But

\[
\pi_8(\text{Top}_5/O_5) \cong \pi_2 \Omega^6(\text{Top}_5/O_5) \cong \pi_2 \text{Diff}(D^5; S^4)
\]

by [2] Theorem 1.3, and we conclude that \( \pi_2 \text{Diff}(D^5; S^4) \neq 0 \).
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