

COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

A. J. VAN DER POORTEN

A note on the zeros of exponential polynomials

Compositio Mathematica, tome 31, n° 2 (1975), p. 109-113

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1975__31_2_109_0

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1975, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (<http://www.compositio.nl/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

A NOTE ON THE ZEROS OF EXPONENTIAL POLYNOMIALS

A. J. van der Poorten

1. Introduction

In a recent paper *The Zeros of Exponential Polynomials* [1], C. J. Moreno gave precise information as to the location of the strips containing the zeros of exponential sums of the shape

$$(1) \quad \sum_{j=1}^m p_j e^{\alpha_j z} \quad p_j \in \mathbb{C}, \alpha_j \in \mathbb{R}.$$

In particular he showed that the real parts of the zeros of exponential sums of the shape (1) are dense in the intervals of the real line which lie entirely inside a strip of zeros. Moreno conjectured that the appropriate generalisation of this density result would hold for exponential sums with complex frequencies $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$. He remarks that it seems difficult to obtain generalisations of his result for exponential polynomials

$$(2) \quad F(z) = \sum_{j=1}^m p_j(z) e^{\alpha_j z} \quad p_j(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z], \alpha_j \in \mathbb{C}.$$

It is the purpose of this brief note to describe how the ideas employed by Moreno in [1] can be applied to obtain the generalisation of the results of [1] for exponential polynomials $F(z)$ of the shape (2). For brevity we avoid a detailed repetition of the results of [1] and also refer the reader to [1] for references to the relevant literature.

2. A simplification of the general case

It will be sufficient to suppose that we may write

$$(3) \quad p_j(z) = p_j z^{\mu_j} (1 + \varepsilon_j(z)), \quad p_j \neq 0, \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, m)$$

where $\varepsilon_j(z) \rightarrow 0$ as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$.

Our remarks therefore apply to a somewhat wider class of functions (2) than just the class with polynomial coefficients.

We now recall the well-known result¹ that zeros of (2) with large absolute value lie in strips in the complex plane where at least two of the terms $p_k(z)e^{z_k z}$, $p_l(z)e^{z_l z}$, are of similar size, dominating the size of the remaining terms. Indeed such strips lie perpendicular to the convex hull determined in the complex plane by the points $\bar{\alpha}_1, \bar{\alpha}_2, \dots, \bar{\alpha}_m$, the complex conjugates of the frequencies.

For convenience write for $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$

$$\alpha_j = a_j + ib_j \quad a_j, b_j \in \mathbb{R}$$

We make our remark precise as follows:

All but finitely many of the zeros of (2) lie in logarithmic strips of the shape

$$I_{\theta, c} = \left\{ z = x + t \exp i \left(\theta + \frac{c \log t}{t} \right) : x_0 < x < x_1, t_0 < t < \infty \right\},$$

where, $\theta, 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$ and c are such that:

(a) there exist k, l ($k \neq l$) in $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ such that

$$(4) \quad (a_k - a_l) \cos \theta - (b_k - b_l) \sin \theta = 0,$$

and

$$a_k \cos \theta - b_k \sin \theta \geq a_j \cos \theta - b_j \sin \theta \quad \text{for all } j = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

Write

$$S_\theta = \{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\} : (a_k - a_j) \cos \theta - (b_k - b_j) \sin \theta = 0\}.$$

(b) $c((a_k - a_l) \sin \theta + (b_k - b_l) \cos \theta) - (\mu_k - \mu_l) = 0$, and

(5) $c(a_k \sin \theta + b_k \cos \theta) - \mu_k \leq c(a_j \sin \theta + b_j \cos \theta) - \mu_l$, for all $j \in S_\theta$.

We write

$$S_{\theta, c} = \{l \in S_\theta : c((a_k - a_l) \sin \theta + (b_k - b_l) \cos \theta) - (\mu_k - \mu_l) = 0\}.$$

¹ See, say, the survey article R. E. Langer on the zeros of exponential sums and integrals; *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 37 (1931), 213–239. A more recent source is D. G. Dickson, Asymptotic distribution of zeros of exponential sums; *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, 11 (1964), 295–300.

With k as above, we now write

$$F_k(z) = z^{-\mu_k} e^{-\alpha_k z} F(z) = \sum_{l \in S_{\theta, c}} p_l z^{\mu_l - \mu_k} e^{(\alpha_l - \alpha_k)z} (1 + \varepsilon_l(z)) + \delta_k(z),$$

and observe that by virtue of the inequalities (4) and (5) if

$$z = x + t \exp i \left(\theta + \frac{c \log t}{t} \right)$$

then $|\delta_k(z)| \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Similarly, for $l \in S_{\theta, c}$ and $z \in I_{\theta, c}$ as above, we see by virtue of the equations (4) and (5) and some simple manipulation that

$$|\exp((\alpha_l - \alpha_k)z + (\mu_l - \mu_k) \log z)| = |\exp(\alpha_l - \alpha_k)x| (1 + v_l(z))$$

where $|v_l(z)| \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Recalling that $|\varepsilon_l(z)| \rightarrow 0$ as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ and hence as $t \rightarrow \infty$, we can summarise the situation as follows:

Write

$$F_k \left(x + t \exp i \left(\theta + \frac{c \log t}{t} \right) \right) = f_k(x; t).$$

Then for every $\delta > 0$ there is a $t_0 = t_0(\delta)$ such that for $t > t_0$, $x_0 < x < x_1$,

$$(6) \quad |f_k(x; t) - \sum_{l \in S_{\theta, c}} p'_l \exp(x(\alpha_l - \alpha_k) + it\beta_l)| < \delta,$$

where the p'_l are given by $p_l \exp i(\mu_l - \mu_k)\theta$ so that $|p'_l| = |p_l|$, and a simple calculation shows that the β_l are given by

$$(7) \quad \beta_l = (a_l - a_k) \sin \theta + (b_l - b_k) \cos \theta + \gamma_l(t) = \pm |\alpha_l - \alpha_k| + \gamma_l(t),$$

where $t\gamma_l(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

3. Main result

It is now convenient to state the main result of this note.

THEOREM: Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m$ be distinct complex numbers and

$$F(z) = \sum_{j=1}^m p_j(z)e^{\alpha_j z}, \quad p_j(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z], \quad \alpha_j \in \mathbb{C}$$

an exponential polynomial; suppose that the $p_j(z)$ are of exact degree μ_j respectively with leading coefficient p_j . Let θ, c and the index k be defined by the conditions (a) and (b) of section 2. Let the numbers $|\alpha_l - \alpha_k|$, $l \neq k$, $l \in S_{\theta, c}$ be irrationals linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} . Then a necessary and sufficient condition for $F(z)$ to have infinitely many zeros near any curve

$$C_{\theta, c, x} = \left\{ z = x + t \exp i \left(\theta + \frac{c \log t}{t} \right) : t_0 < t < \infty \right\}$$

is that

$$(8) \quad |p_h e^{x\alpha_h}| \leq \sum_{\substack{l \in S_{\theta, c} \\ l \neq h}} |p_l e^{x\alpha_l}|, \quad \text{all } h \in S_{\theta, c}$$

REMARKS:

(a) The linear independence condition on the α_j is stronger than is required for the truth of the theorem; but it avoids degenerate cases.

(b) By 'a zero near any curve $C_{\theta, c, x'}$ ' we mean that if $x \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfies (8) then given any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a x' satisfying $x - \varepsilon < x' < x + \varepsilon$ and a $t' > t_0$ such that

$$F \left(x' + t' \exp i \left(\theta + \frac{c \log t'}{t'} \right) \right) = 0.$$

PROOF: We can apply our argument to the function $F_k(z) = z^{-\mu_k} e^{-\alpha_k z} F(z)$ which has the same zeros as $F(z)$ in the region under consideration. Our proof consists of indicating that the proof of [1], pages 73–74, can be applied *mutatis mutandis* to this case. For the sufficiency argument we notice that the lemma of [1], pages 73–74, requires only a reformulation to apply to the function $F_k(z)$ and to the curves $C_{\theta, c, x}$ within the logarithmic strips $I_{\theta, c}$ mentioned in section 2. Moreover in section 2 we 'simplified' $F_k(z)$ to show in (6) that $F_k(z)$ behaves on the curves $C_{\theta, c, x}$ like the exponential sum

$$(9) \quad \sum_{l \in S_{\theta, c}} p'_l \exp (x(\alpha_l - \alpha_k) + it\beta_l).$$

We may therefore apply the Kronecker-Weyl theorem so as to construct

a sequence $\{t_n\}$ with $t_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ so that the sum (9) $\rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ when we replace t by t_n in (9); it follows that

$$F_k \left(x + t_n \exp i \left(\theta + \frac{c \log t_n}{t_n} \right) \right) \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ as required. The linear independence condition guarantees the required linear independence of the β_l as given by (7).

The necessity argument of [1], page 73, applies similarly since by (6) $F_k(z)$ can vanish infinitely many times near the curve $C_{\theta, c, x}$ only if the sum (9) is arbitrarily small for some $t > t_0$ for all t_0 sufficiently large. Finally, the sufficiency argument requires that $F_k(z)$ be bounded below on segments of the curves $C_{\theta, c, x}$ and again we can apply the argument of [1] page 76 to the sum (9). Alternatively the bound is available directly for $F(z)$ from the results of Tijdeman [2].

4. Remarks

The theorem of course includes the assertion of section 2 since, in all but degenerate cases, (8) will be satisfied by x in some intervals $x_0 < x < x_1$. We have also proved the conjecture of [1], page 71, to the effect that when the coefficients $p_j(z)$ are constants p_j then near every line parallel to the sides of a strip of zeros of $F(z)$ lie infinitely many zeros of $F(z)$. Indeed the statement of the theorem is the natural generalisation of this conjecture to the case of coefficients $p_j(z)$ satisfying (3).

I am indebted to R. Tijdeman and M. Voorhoeve for some remarks that assisted in the construction of section 2 of this note.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. J. MORENO: The zeros of exponential polynomials (1). *Comp. Math. vol. 26* (1973) 69–78.
- [2] R. TIJDEMAN: An auxiliary result in the theory of transcendental numbers. *J. Number Th. 5* (1973) 80–94.

(Oblatum 23–X–1974 & 26–V–1975)

Mathematisch Instituut
Rijksuniversiteit Leiden
Wassenaarseweg 80
Leiden, Nederland.