

COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

DAVID K. HSIEH

A characterization of the B -realcompact space

Compositio Mathematica, tome 29, n° 1 (1974), p. 63-66

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1974__29_1_63_0

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1974, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (<http://www.compositio.nl/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/legal.php>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

A CHARACTERIZATION OF THE B-REALCOMPACT SPACE

David K. Hsieh

1. Introduction

Realcompact spaces are most often studied and characterized in the framework of the ring $C(X)$ of all continuous functions, both bounded and unbounded, defined on the space X . We abandon this traditional approach to realcompact spaces in favor of a more general yet simpler setting made available by the λ -compactification theory. Thus instead of the ring of all continuous functions, we consider a Banach algebra of functions; instead of both bounded and unbounded functions, we need only to work with the bounded functions. Frolik introduced in [1] the concept of a complete family for unbounded continuous functions and properties of Q -spaces (i.e. realcompact spaces) were derived in terms of complete families. Since we deal with only the bounded functions in the λ -compactification theory, Frolik's definition of complete family cannot be adopted here. In this article, we introduce without using unbounded functions the definition of B -complete family of bounded functions in terms of positive singular functions in a given Banach algebra B , and then characterize the B -realcompact space, which is a generalization of the realcompact space, in terms of the B -complete families.

2. Preliminaries

In general we adopt the notation and definitions in [3] and [4]. Thus we study an arbitrary set E and a Banach algebra B of bounded real-valued functions defined on E . The norm in B is defined by $\|f\| = \sup_{x \in E} |f(x)|$ for each f in B . B is called an admissible Banach algebra if B contains constants and B separates points in E . A positive cone in B is a collection of positive singular functions in B which is closed under addition, and multiplication by positive scalars. A maximal positive cone (m.p.c.) is a positive cone not properly contained in any other positive cone. A m.p.c. M is said to be strong if there exists a function f in M such that $f(x) \neq 0$ for each $x \in E$, otherwise M is said to be weak. A m.p.c. M is said to be free if there exists no point x in E such that $f(x) = 0$ for every f in M .

3. B-realcompact spaces and B-complete family of functions

In the following discussions, E will always denote a set and B an admissible Banach algebra on E .

3.1 DEFINITION: Let A be a subset of E and f be a non-negative function in B . f is said to be strongly bounded on A provided that either there is a point \bar{x} in A such that $f(\bar{x}) = 0$ or there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $f(x) \geq \varepsilon$ for each x in A .

NOTATION: When f is strongly bounded on A , we write $f \not\equiv 0$ on A .

3.2 DEFINITION: Let \mathcal{F} be a filter of zero sets of functions in B . \mathcal{F} is said to be a maximal ε -filter in (E, B) provided that the set $\mathcal{F}^- = \{f \in B: f \geq 0, [f, \varepsilon] \in \mathcal{F} \text{ for each } \varepsilon > 0\}$ is a m.p.c. (maximal positive cone) in B where $[f, \varepsilon]$ denotes the set $\{x \in E: |f(x)| \leq \varepsilon\}$.

For latter discussion, we shall need the following lemma which is proved in [4].

3.3 LEMMA: \mathcal{F} is a maximal ε -filter if and only if given a non-negative function f in B if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ the set $[f, \varepsilon] = \{x \in E: |f(x)| \leq \varepsilon\}$ meets every member of \mathcal{F} then $[f, \varepsilon] \in \mathcal{F}$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$.

3.4 LEMMA: Let M be a m.p.c. in B . Let $M^* = \{[f, \varepsilon]: \varepsilon > 0, f \in M\}$ where $[f, \varepsilon]$ denotes the set $\{x \in E: |f(x)| \leq \varepsilon\}$. Then M^* is a maximal ε -filter.

PROOF: Since M is a positive cone, by definition $[f, \varepsilon] \neq \emptyset$ for each $f \in M$. Now let $[f, \varepsilon]$ and $[g, \delta]$ be in M^* . Clearly $[f, \varepsilon] \cap [g, \delta] \supset [f+g, \varepsilon \wedge \delta]$.

Finally we show the following: if $Z(h) \supset [f, \varepsilon]$ for some $[f, \varepsilon]$ in M^* then $Z(h) \in M^*$. First we define a sequence g_n in B as below; for each positive integer n , let

$$g_n = \left[|h(x)| + \frac{\varepsilon}{f(x) \vee 1/n} \right] \wedge [|h(x)| + 1].$$

It can be readily verified that g_n converges uniformly to a function $g(x)$ where $g(x) = 1$ if $x \in [f, \varepsilon]$ and $g(x) = |h(x)| + \varepsilon/f(x)$ if $x \notin [f, \varepsilon]$. Since B is a Banach algebra with the norm defined by $\|f\| = \sup \{|f(x)|: x \in E\}$, g is in B . In fact g is a non-negative function in B . We now claim gf is in M . This claim follows from the observation: (i) $gf \leq \|g\|f$; (ii) $\|g\|f \in M$; and (iii) M is a m.p.c. However $Z(h)$ is precisely the set $[gf, \varepsilon]$. Hence $Z(h) \in M$. This completes the proof that M^* is a filter of zero sets. Since M is a m.p.c., M^* is a maximal ε -filter by definition.

3.5 DEFINITION: A subfamily of non-negative functions $H \subset B$ is said to be complete provided that given a maximal ε -filter \mathcal{F} , if for every $f \in H$ there exists a $Z_f \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $f \not\triangleright 0$ on Z_f then $\bigcap \mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$.

3.6 THEOREM: Suppose \mathcal{F} is a maximal ε -filter, and suppose that for each sequence $\{F_n\}$ in \mathcal{F} , $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n \neq \emptyset$. Then for each non-negative function f in B there is a Z_f in \mathcal{F} such that $f \not\triangleright 0$ on Z_f .

PROOF: For each positive integer n , let $[f, 1/n]$ denote the set $\{x \in E: |f(x)| \leq 1/n\}$. We consider two cases.

Case I: Suppose there is an integer k such that $[f, 1/k] \notin \mathcal{F}$. By Lemma 3.3, there is some integer t and there is a $Z_f \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $[f, 1/t] \cap Z_f = \emptyset$. Hence $f > 1/t$ on Z_f .

Case II: On the other hand, suppose $[f, 1/n] \in \mathcal{F}$ for each n . Since each sequence in \mathcal{F} has non-empty intersection, there is a point \bar{x} in $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} [f, 1/n]$. Clearly $f(\bar{x}) = 0$. Therefore in either case $f \not\triangleright 0$ on some member of \mathcal{F} .

3.7 DEFINITION: E is said to be B -realcompact provided that every free m.p.s. in B is strong.

REMARK: It can be readily verified that a topological space X is realcompact if and only if X is $C^*(X)$ -realcompact where $C^*(X)$ is the Banach algebra of all bounded real-valued continuous functions.

3.8 THEOREM: The following statements are equivalent:

- (i) E is B -realcompact.
- (ii) If \mathcal{F} is a maximal ε -filter in (E, B) such that each sequence in \mathcal{F} has non-empty intersection, then $\bigcap \mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$.
- (iii) The set $B^+ = \{f \in B: f \geq 0\}$ is a B -complete family.
- (iv) $G = \{f \in B: f \triangleright 0 \text{ on } E\}$ is B -complete, where $f \triangleright 0$ means that $f > 0$ and for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $x \in E$ such that $f(x) < \varepsilon$.
- (v) There exists a B -complete family H in B .

PROOF:

(i) \Rightarrow (ii). Suppose there exists a maximal ε -filter \mathcal{F} such that each sequence in \mathcal{F} has non-empty intersection, but $\bigcap \mathcal{F} = \emptyset$. By definition 3.2, the set $\mathcal{F}^- = \{f \in B: f \geq 0, [f, \varepsilon] \in \mathcal{F} \text{ for each } \varepsilon > 0\}$ is a m.p.c. in B . Since $\bigcap \mathcal{F} = \emptyset$, \mathcal{F}^- is a free m.p.c. For each $f \in \mathcal{F}^-$, $[f, 1/n] \in \mathcal{F}$ for every integer n . Thus $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} [f, 1/n] \neq \emptyset$. Suppose that y is in $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} [f, 1/n]$. Clearly $f(y) = 0$. That is \mathcal{F}^- is a weak free m.p.c. in B . Hence E is not B -realcompact.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Suppose E is not B -realcompact. There exists a weak free m.p.c. M in B . Let $M^* = \{[f, \varepsilon]: \varepsilon > 0, f \in M\}$ where $[f, \varepsilon]$ denotes the

set $\{x \in E: |f(x)| \leq \varepsilon\}$. It follows from Lemma 3.4 that M^* is a maximal ε -filter. Let $\{[f_n, \varepsilon_n]\}$ be a sequence in M^* . We may assume $\|f_n\| = 1$ for each n . Hence $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n/2^n$ is in M . Since M is a weak m.p.c., there exists \bar{x} in E such that $f(\bar{x}) = 0$. Hence $f_n(\bar{x}) = 0$ for each n . It follows that $\bar{x} \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} [f_n, \varepsilon_n] \neq \emptyset$. But $\bigcap M^* = \emptyset$ as M is a free m.p.c.

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii). It follows directly from Theorem 3.6 and Definition 3.5.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Let \mathcal{F} be a maximal ε -filter. Suppose for each $f \in B^+$, there exists Z_f in \mathcal{F} such that $f \not\equiv 0$ on Z_f . To show that B^+ is B -complete, it suffices, in view of (ii), to show each sequence in \mathcal{F} has non-empty intersection. Assume the contrary: suppose that there exists a sequence $\{Z_n\}$ in \mathcal{F} such that $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} Z_n = \emptyset$. Since \mathcal{F} is a maximal ε -filter, $\mathcal{F}^- = \{f \in B: f \geq 0, [f, \varepsilon] \in \mathcal{F} \text{ for every } \varepsilon > 0\}$ is a m.p.c. in B . Write $Z_n = Z(f_n)$, the zero set of f_n , with $f_n \in B^+$ and $\|f_n\| \leq 1$. Obviously $[f_n, \varepsilon] \in \mathcal{F}$ for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and each n . Thus $f_n \in \mathcal{F}^-$ for each n . Define $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n/2^n$. Clearly f is in \mathcal{F}^- as \mathcal{F}^- is a m.p.c. But $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} Z(f_n) = \emptyset$, it follows that $f > 0$. Now recall that $Z_f \in \mathcal{F}$, and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Choose n so large that $1/2^n < \varepsilon$. Since \mathcal{F} is a filter, there exists \bar{x} in $Z_1 \cap \cdots \cap Z_n \cap Z_f$. Clearly $f(\bar{x}) \leq 1/2^n < \varepsilon$. This contradicts that $f \not\equiv 0$ on Z_f .

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv). Suppose \mathcal{F} is a maximal ε -filter and that for each $f \in G$ there exists a $Z_f \in \mathcal{F}$ with $f \not\equiv 0$ on Z_f . To show $\bigcap \mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$, let $g \in B^+ \sim G$. Being the zero set of the zero function, E is in \mathcal{F} . Clearly $g \not\equiv 0$ on E which is in \mathcal{F} . Since B^+ is B -complete $\bigcap \mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$.

(iv) \Rightarrow (v). Trivial.

(v) \Rightarrow (ii). It follows immediately from Theorem 3.6 and Definition 3.5.

REFERENCES

- [1] Z. FROLIK: Applications of complete families of continuous functions to the theory of Q -spaces. *Czech. Math. J.* 11 (1961) 115–132.
- [2] L. GILLMAN and M. JERISON: *Rings of Continuous Functions*. Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1960.
- [3] D. HSIEH: Topological properties associated with the λ -map. *Bull. de l'Acad. Pol. des Sc.* XX (1972) 1–6.
- [4] D. HSIEH: The ε -filters and maximal positive cones (to appear).
- [5] E. LORCH: Compactification, Baire functions, and Daniell integration. *Acta Sc. Math. (Szeged)* XXIV (1963) 204–218.
- [6] J. MACK: Countable paracompactness and weak normality properties. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 148 (1970) 365–272.

(Oblatum 8–XI–1973 & 13–V–1974)

Mathematics Department
City University of New York
The City College
New York, N.Y. 10031 U.S.A.