

COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

R. H. MARTY

κ — *R*-spaces

Compositio Mathematica, tome 25, n° 2 (1972), p. 149-152

<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1972__25_2_149_0>

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1972, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (<http://http://www.compositio.nl/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

κ - R -SPACES ¹

by

R. H. Marty

Let R be a nonempty topological class of topological spaces. A space X is said to be locally in R provided every element of X has a neighborhood whose closure is in R . R/X denotes the class of closed subspaces of X that belong to R . A space X is called an R -space provided that for every $F \subset X$, if $A \cap F$ is closed for every $A \in R/X$, then F is closed. This concept, introduced in [2] and [3], generalizes such concepts as k -spaces, spaces determined by sequences (sequential spaces), spaces determined by well-ordered sets (see [3]), etc.

It turns out however that various classes of spaces have properties similar to the above mentioned, but which cannot be defined in terms of R -spaces. For instance, it has been shown in [4] that every m -adic space X has the following property:

(p) For every Q -open subset A of X , if $A \cap C$ is closed for every compact countable subset C of X , then A is closed. (A set is Q -open provided that it is a union of G_δ -sets.)

REMARK. Property (p) is equivalent to the statement that every sequentially closed Q -open subset of X is closed.

The purpose of this paper is to introduce a further generalization of R -spaces which in particular will enable one to handle property (p).

1.

Let κ be a map which assigns to each space X a nonempty class $\kappa(X)$ of subsets of X . We say that a space X is a κ - R -space if and only if for every $G \in \kappa(X)$, if $G \cap A$ is closed for every $A \in R/X$, then G is closed. It is clear that every space X is a κ - R -space if $\kappa(X)$ contains only closed subsets of X . Henceforth we shall assume that $\kappa(F) = \{G \cap F : G \in \kappa(X)\}$ for every closed subspace F of X and that $\varphi^{-1}[G] \in \kappa(X)$ for every $G \in \kappa(Y)$ where φ is a continuous map of X onto a space Y . All spaces will be assumed Hausdorff.

¹ A portion of this paper is a part of the author's doctoral dissertation directed by Professor S. Mrowka and submitted to The Pennsylvania State University in December 1969.

1.1. The property of being a κ - R -space is local (i.e., if every element of X has a neighborhood whose closure is a κ - R -space, then X is a κ - R -space).

PROOF. Suppose that $G \in \kappa(X)$ and G is not closed. Let $x \in \bar{G} \setminus G$. There is a neighborhood U of x such that $\bar{U}$ is a κ - R -space. But $\bar{U} \cap G$ is not closed in $\bar{U}$ and $\bar{U} \cap G \in \kappa(\bar{U})$. Thus there is an $A \in R/\bar{U}$ such that $A \cap \bar{U} \cap G$ is not closed in $\bar{U}$. Clearly, $A \in R/X$ and $G \cap A$ is not closed in X . Thus X is a κ - R -space.

1.2. COROLLARY. *Every space which is locally in R is a κ - R -space.*

1.3. COROLLARY. *A discrete union of κ - R -spaces is a κ - R -space.*

PROOF. This follows since a discrete union of κ - R -spaces is locally a κ - R -space.

1.4. If every member of R' is a κ - R -space, then every κ - R' -space is a κ - R -space.

PROOF. Suppose that X is a κ - R' -space, $G \in \kappa(X)$, and $G \cap A$ is closed for every $A \in R/X$. To show that G is closed it suffices to show that $G \cap B$ is closed for every $B \in R'/X$.

Let $B \in R'/X$ be arbitrary. Then B is a κ - R -space by our hypothesis. Since $G \cap A$ is closed for every $A \in R/X$ and B is closed in X , $G \cap B \cap A$ is closed in B for every $A \in R/B$. But $G \cap B \in \kappa(B)$ and B is a κ - R -space; therefore, $G \cap B$ is closed in B . Clearly, $G \cap B$ is closed in X . Thus X is a κ - R -space.

A map φ of a space X onto a space Y is called κ -quotient provided that φ is continuous and B is closed in Y for every $B \in \kappa(Y)$ for which $\varphi^{-1}[B]$ is closed in X . The map φ is called κ - R -quotient provided that φ is κ -quotient and $\varphi[A] \in R/Y$ for every $A \in R/X$.

1.5. The image of a κ - R -space under a κ - R -quotient map is a κ - R -space.

PROOF. Suppose that φ is a κ - R -quotient map of a κ - R -space X onto a space Y . Let $G \in \kappa(Y)$ and suppose that $G \cap A$ is closed in Y for every $A \in R/Y$. By our hypothesis, $\varphi^{-1}[G] \in \kappa(X)$. Let $B \in R/X$. Then $\varphi[B] \in R/Y$; consequently, $\varphi[B] \cap G$ is closed in Y . But $B \cap \varphi^{-1}[G] = B \cap \varphi^{-1}[\varphi[B] \cap G]$. Thus $B \cap \varphi^{-1}[G]$ is closed in X . Since X is a κ - R -space, $\varphi^{-1}[G]$ is closed. Finally, φ being a κ -quotient map implies that G is closed in Y . Thus Y is a κ - R -space.

1.6. COROLLARY. *If R consists of compact spaces and R is closed under continuous maps, then the property of being a κ - R -space is closed under κ -quotient maps.*

PROOF. This follows since under these hypotheses, every κ -quotient map must be κ - R -quotient.

1.7. Let X be a κ - R -space and let K be a subclass of R/X such that every member of R/X is contained in some member of K and $\bigcup K = X$. Then X is a κ -quotient of the discrete union of members of K .

PROOF. Let Y denote the discrete union of K . For convenience we consider the elements of Y to be the elements of X . Let φ map Y onto X so that the image of a point in Y is that same point in X . It follows that φ is continuous since its restriction to each space in the union is continuous.

Now let $B \in \kappa(X)$ such that $\varphi^{-1}[B]$ is closed in Y . If B is not closed in X , then there is an $A \in R/X$ such that $B \cap A$ is not closed in X . By our hypothesis, there is an $X' \in K$ such that $A \subset X'$. Thus $B \cap A$ is not closed in X' ; consequently, there is an $x \in (\overline{B \cap A} \setminus (B \cap A)) \cap X'$. However, $x \in \varphi^{-1}(x) \cap X' \subset (\varphi^{-1}[\bar{B}] \cap \varphi^{-1}[A]) \cap X'$ and $x \notin (\varphi^{-1}[B] \cap \varphi^{-1}[A]) \cap X'$. Since $\varphi^{-1}[B]$ is assumed closed and $B \cap X' \subset \varphi^{-1}[B] \cap X'$, it follows that $x \notin \bar{B}$. This is a contradiction. Thus φ is κ -quotient.

1.8. THEOREM. *Let every $A \in R$ be compact and every continuous image of A be a κ - R -space. Then the following are equivalent:*

- a) X is a κ - R -space;
- b) X is a κ -quotient of a discrete union of some members of R ;
- c) X is a κ -quotient of a space which is locally in R .

PROOF. To show that a) implies b) we let $R' = R \cup \{\text{one-point spaces}\}$. Clearly, X is a κ - R' -space. By 1.7, X is a κ -quotient of the discrete union of R'/X ; however, every one-point space is a quotient of a space in R . Thus b) follows.

The proof that b) implies c) is clear since a discrete union of members of R is locally in R .

Finally, c) implies a). Suppose that Y is a space which is locally in R (and hence a κ - R -space) and that φ is a κ -quotient map of Y onto X . Let R^* be the class of all continuous images of members of R . Then Y is a κ - R^* -space. Thus by 1.6, X is a κ - R^* -space. And by 1.4, X is a κ - R -space.

Let R denote the class of spaces homeomorphic to N^* (the one-point compactification of an infinite countable discrete space) and let $\kappa(X)$ denote the class of Q -open subsets of the space X . Then a space X is a κ - R -space if and only if every sequentially closed Q -open subset of X is closed. Furthermore, Theorem 1.8 gives a characterization of such spaces.

2.

This section contains special results which do not fit into the above. Let κ be a map as in the first section. We say that a space X has property (q) provided that every sequentially closed set belonging to $\kappa(X)$ is closed. This property is a generalization of such properties as (p) and that of a space being sequential. (For the latter property take $\kappa(X)$ to be all subsets of X .)

The following two results are generalizations of results in [1] which refer to sequential spaces. The proofs are straightforward modifications of those in [1].

2.1. Let X have property (q) and $Y \subset X$. Then Y has property (q) if and only if $\varphi|\varphi^{-1}[Y]$ is κ -quotient where φ is a κ -quotient map of the discrete union of the convergent sequences in X onto X .

2.3. The product of two spaces X and Y having property (q) has property (q) if and only if $\varphi \times \varphi_1$ is κ -quotient where φ and φ_1 are κ -quotient maps of the discrete unions of the convergent sequences in X and Y onto X and Y respectively.

REFERENCES

S. P. FRANKLIN

[1] Spaces in which sequences suffice II, *Fund. Math.* 61 (1967), 51–56.

[2] Natural covers, *Compositio Math.* 21 (1969), 253–261.

S. MROWKA

[3] R-spaces, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* 21 (1970), 261–266.

[4] Mazur Theorem and m -adic spaces, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys.* 18 (1970), 299–305.

(Oblatum 14-I-1972)

The Cleveland State University
Euclid Avenue at 24 Street
Cleveland, Ohio 44115
U.S.A.