

COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

KUNG-WEI YANG

On the existence of distinguished bases in a V -space

Compositio Mathematica, tome 23, n° 3 (1971), p. 307-308

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1971__23_3_307_0

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1971, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (<http://www.compositio.nl/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/legal.php>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

**ON THE EXISTENCE OF DISTINGUISHED BASES
 IN A V -SPACE**

by

Kung-Wei Yang

In a sequence of papers [1], Pierre Robert introduced, among other things, the concepts of a V -space and a distinguished basis and proves the existence of distinguished bases in a V -space. In this note, we present a completely different proof which make no use of the ‘Modified Riesz’s Lemma’ [1, I, p. 12] ¹⁾, of the existence of a distinguished basis in a V -space. For notation and definitions we refer the reader to [1].

THEOREM. *A V -space admits a distinguished basis.*

PROOF. Let X be a V -space over the field F . Let $\Omega(X)$ [1, p. 16] be ordered according to its natural order. For $i \in \Omega(X)$ let $X_i = \{x \in X : |x| \leq i\}$. X_i is clearly a F -linear space. Let $\bar{X}_0 = \{0\}$, and if $i \neq 0$, let $\bar{X}_i = X_i/X_{p(i)}$, where $p(i)$ is the predecessor of i ($p(i)$ could be equal to 0). Each \bar{X}_i is again a F -linear space. Choose a F -basis \bar{H}_i of the F -linear space \bar{X}_i ($\bar{H}_0 = \emptyset$). For each $\bar{x} \in \bar{H}_i$ choose a unique $x \in X_i$ which is mapped to \bar{x} under the natural projection $X_i \rightarrow \bar{X}_i$. (In the following, we shall consistently use $-$ notation in this fashion.) Let H_i be the set of all such x ’s in X_i ($H_0 = \emptyset$). We claim that $H = \bigcup_{i \in \Omega(X)} H_i$ is a distinguished bases of X . To prove this assertion, we have to (1) verify condition (i) of Definition 5.3 in [1, I], and (2) show that $[H] = X$.

(1) First of all we remark that if $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_j\}$ is a finite subset of H such that $|x_1| = |x_2| = \dots = |x_j|$ and if $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_j$ are all non-zero elements in F , then $|\alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_j x_j| = |x_1|$. Now let $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ be a finite subset of H . Rename the elements so that $|x_1| = |x_2| = \dots = |x_j| > |x_{j+1}| \geq \dots \geq |x_n|$. Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ be non-zero elements in F . Then $|\alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_j x_j + \dots + \alpha_n x_n| = |\alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_j x_j| = |x_1| = \max_{1 \leq m \leq n} |x_m|$.

(2) Let $x \in X$. Suppose $|x| = i(1)$. Then $x \in X_{i(1)}$. If $i(1) = 0$, then $x \in [H]$. If $i(1) \neq 0$, let \bar{x} be the image of x under the map $X_{i(1)} \rightarrow \bar{X}_{i(1)}$. Then $\bar{x} = \alpha_{11} \bar{x}_{11} + \dots + \alpha_{1n_1} \bar{x}_{1n_1}$ for some $\alpha_{1j} \in F$ ($1 \leq j \leq n_1$) and

^{1, 2} I am indebted to Professor Pierre Robert for these two comments.

$\bar{x}_{1j} \in \bar{H}_{i(1)}$ ($1 \leq j \leq n_1$). Clearly $|x - (\alpha_{11}x_{11} + \cdots + \alpha_{1n_1}x_{1n_1})| = i(2) < i(1)$. If $i(2) = 0$, then $x \in [H]$. If $i(2) \neq 0$, we repeat. This process either terminates after a finite number of steps or continues indefinitely. If it terminates after a finite number of steps, then $x \in [H]$. If it continues indefinitely, then we have an infinite series

$$(\alpha_{11}x_{11} + \cdots + \alpha_{1n_1}x_{1n_1}) + (\alpha_{21}x_{21} + \cdots + \alpha_{2n_2}x_{2n_2}) + \cdots$$

with $\alpha_{st} \in F$ and $x_{st} \in H_{i(s)}$. Since $|x - \sum_{k=1}^s \sum_t \alpha_{kt}x_{kt}|$ is a strictly decreasing function of s , the series generated by the above process converges to x^2 . Therefore, $x \in [H]$. This completes the proof.

REFERENCE

P. ROBERT

- [1] On some non-Archimedean normed linear spaces, I, II, . . . , VI, *Compositio Mathematica*, Vol 19, pp. 1-77, 1968.

(Oblatum 21-VI-68)

Department of Mathematics
Western Michigan University
KALAMAZOO, Michigan 49001
U.S.A.