

COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

JAMES EELLS, JR.

NICOLAAS H. KUIPER

Homotopy negligible subsets

Compositio Mathematica, tome 21, n° 2 (1969), p. 155-161

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1969__21_2_155_0

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1969, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (<http://www.compositio.nl/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

Homotopy negligible subsets

by

James Eells Jr.¹ and Nicolaas H. Kuiper

1. Introduction to homotopy negligibility

We shall say that a subset A of a topological space X is *homotopy negligible* if the inclusion map $X - A \rightarrow X$ is a homotopy equivalence. The main result in this note is that under certain conditions this global property of A in X can be deduced from an analogous local property. In § 2, 3 examples are given where X is an infinite dimensional manifold. For a Palais-stable separable Hilbert manifold X the homotopy negligibility of A in X implies that X and $X - A$ are even diffeomorphic, by recent results of Kuiper, Burghlea [5] and Nicole Moulis [6]. These results are based on Bessaga [2] and Kuiper [4].

THEOREM 1. *Let X be an absolute neighborhood retract and A a closed subset. Assume that each point of A has a fundamental system of neighborhoods U in X for which $U \cap A$ is homotopy negligible in U . Then A is homotopy negligible in X .*

The theorem follows from the following lemma, for which we need another definition. A continuous map $f: Y \rightarrow Z$ of topological spaces is a *q -homotopy equivalence*, if f induces an isomorphism $f_i: \pi_i(Y) \rightarrow \pi_i(Z)$ of homotopy groups for all $i \leq q$. If f is a q -homotopy equivalence for all q , then f is a *weak homotopy equivalence*.

Weak homotopy equivalence is again implied by compact homotopy equivalence: $X \overset{\circ}{\sim} Y \Leftrightarrow$ there are maps $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $g: Y \rightarrow X$, such that for any compacts $K \subset X$ and $L \subset Y$, the restrictions $gf|K$ and $fg|L$ are homotopic to the inclusions respectively.

LEMMA. *Let X be a topological space, and A a closed subset with the following property P_q : for each $x \in A$ there is a fundamental*

¹ Much of this research was done while this author was a guest professor at the University of Amsterdam. The authors express their gratitude for some useful comments of the referee.

system of neighborhoods U of x in X for each of which the inclusion map $U - A = U - (U \cap A) \rightarrow U$ is a q -homotopy equivalence. Then for any neighborhood V of A in X the inclusion map $V - A \rightarrow V$ is a q -homotopy equivalence.

COROLLARY. *If A satisfies P_q for all q , then the inclusion map $X - A \rightarrow X$ is a weak homotopy equivalence.*

Assuming the Lemma we see that the conditions of Theorem 1 imply that the inclusion $X - A \rightarrow X$ is a weak homotopy equivalence. But a theorem of J. H. C. Whitehead [8] asserts that *if Y and Z are absolute neighborhood retracts and f a weak homotopy equivalence, then f is a homotopy equivalence* (i.e., there exists a map $g : Z \rightarrow Y$ which is a homotopy inverse of f).

If X is an absolute neighborhood retract and A a closed subset, then $X - A$ is also an absolute neighborhood retract. Theorem 1 now follows.

PROOF OF THE LEMMA. Under the assumptions of the lemma we first prove that $\pi_i(V - A) \rightarrow \pi_i(V)$ is *injective* for $i \leq k$.

Let us call a neighborhood U of x with the property P_k a *preferred neighborhood* of x . We use the term for $x \in A$ as well as for $x \in V - A$. Let $f : (D^{i+1}, S^i) \rightarrow (V, V - A)$ be any map of the $i+1$ -disc D^{i+1} into V carrying its boundary S^i into $V - A$. We want to move $f(D^{i+1})$ away from A , leaving f fixed on S^i . Now we cover the compact set $f(D^{i+1})$ by a finite number of preferred neighborhoods all in V . Choose a triangulation T_{i+1} of D^{i+1} so fine that every closed $i+1$ -simplex $\sigma \in T_{i+1}$ has an image in some element, chosen once and for all, say $U_{i+1}(\sigma)$ of the covering, and such that simplices that meet S^i have their images in $V - A$.

Next we consider the i -skeleton T_{i+1}^i of T_{i+1} . For the image point $f(x)$ of any point $x \in T_{i+1}^i$ there is a preferred neighborhood $U(f(x))$ which is contained in the intersection of all $U_{i+1}(\sigma)$ for which $x \in \sigma \in T_{i+1}$. We cover the compact set $f(T_{i+1}^i)$ by a finite number of these preferred neighborhoods. Choose a subdivision T_i of T_{i+1}^i so fine that every closed i -simplex σ of T_i has an image in some element, chosen once and for all, say $U_i(\sigma)$ of the last mentioned covering.

Next we consider the $i-1$ -skeleton T_i^{i-1} of T_i and we continue analogously. In this manner we obtain a sequence of skeletons T_j of dimension $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, i+1$, and for each j -simplex σ_j of T_j , a preferred neighborhood $U_j(\sigma_j)$ covering $f(\sigma_j)$, such that moreover $U_j(\sigma_j) \subset U_{j+1}(\sigma_{j+1})$ whenever $\sigma_j \subset \sigma_{j+1}$.

Now we are ready to start our homotopic changes of f leaving

its restriction to S^i invariant. We will define a homotopy f_t starting at time $t = 0$ with $f_0 = f$ and ending at time $t = i+2$ with f_{i+2} . f_{i+2} will have the required properties:

$$f_{i+2}|S^i = f|S^i, \quad f_{i+2}(D^{i+1}) \subset V - A.$$

The homotopy will be such that

- (a) $f_{j+1}(T_j) \subset V - A$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, i+1$
- (b) $f_t|T_j = f_{j+1}|T_j$ for $t \geq j+1$
- (c) $f_t(\sigma_j) \subset U_j(\sigma_j)$ for $\sigma_j \in T_j$, all j , all t
- (d) $f_t|S^i = f|S^i$.

Suppose f_t has been defined with these properties for $t \leq j$ ($\leq i+1$). We first describe what happens with a j -simplex σ of T_j in the time from $t = j$ to $t = j+1$. Because $f_j(\sigma) \subset U_j(\sigma)$ and $f_j(\partial\sigma) \subset V - A$ and because $j \leq i+1 \leq k+1$, the assumption P_k implies the existence of $g_t : \sigma \rightarrow U_j(\sigma)$ $j \leq t \leq j+1$ with the properties:

$$g_j = f_j|_{\sigma}, \quad g_t|\partial\sigma = g_j|\partial\sigma, \\ g_{j+1}(\sigma) \subset U_j(\sigma) - A \subset V - A.$$

(As a matter of fact only the injectivity and not the isomorphisms are used here, as well as for the surjectivity consequence.)

We have to extend g_t to all of D^{i+1} . For that we consider a subdivision of T_{i+1} which contains T_j as a subcomplex, and such that the stars of the j -simplices σ of T_j have mutually disjoint interiors. Star σ is the union of all simplices that contain σ . It is also the join of σ and the link of σ : $\text{St } \sigma = \sigma * \text{Lk } \sigma$ and it can be obtained from $\sigma \times [0, 1] \times \text{Lk } \sigma$ by identification to one point of the sets $x \times 0 \times \text{Lk } \sigma$ and $\sigma \times 1 \times y$ for each $x \in \sigma$ and each $y \in \text{Lk } \sigma$. The second factor gives a coordinate s on star σ . The triples (x, s, y) are supernumary "coordinates" for $\text{St } \sigma$.

In these coordinates we define for $j \leq t \leq j+1$:

$$f_t(x, s, y) = \begin{cases} g_{t-2s}(x) & \text{for } j \leq t-2s \\ f_j(x) & \text{for } t-1 \leq t-2s \leq j \\ f_j((x, \frac{1}{2}s, y)) & \text{for } \frac{1}{2} \leq s \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

We apply this to the stars of all j -simplices of T_j which are not in S^i . For the remaining points z we take

$$f_t(z) = f_j(z).$$

We now easily check that during this inductive step the conditions

(a), (b), (c) and (d) remain valid for $j \leq t \leq j+1$. After the step $j = i+1$ we obtain the required map f_{i+2} .

To prove that $\pi_i(V-A) \rightarrow \pi_i(V)$ is surjective ($i \leq k$) it suffices to show that any map $f: S^i \rightarrow V$ can be deformed in V to a map into $V-A$; the same argument yields that (even for $i \leq k+1$, but we do not need that). The lemma is proved, and so is Theorem 1.

2. Applications

EXAMPLE 1. *Submanifolds.*

Let E be a metrizable locally convex topological vector space. Suppose that X is a manifold modeled on E ; more precisely, X is a paracompact Hausdorff space such that with every point $x \in X$ we can associate a chart (κ, U) i.e., a neighborhood U and a homeomorphism κ mapping U onto an open subset of E . *Every such manifold X is an absolute neighborhood retract [3, 7].*

Let A be a closed submanifold of X ; thus A is a closed subset of X with the following property: There is a closed linear subspace F of E and for each $x \in A$ a chart (κ, U) containing x such that $\kappa(U \cap A) = \kappa(U) \cap F$. We will call $\dim E/F$ the *codimension* $\text{codim}(X, A)$ of A in X . Since $E-F \rightarrow E$ is a weak k -homotopy equivalence for all $k \leq \text{codim}(E, F)-2$, we conclude that A satisfies P_k for all $k \leq \text{codim}(X, A)-2$. Thus we obtain for $k = \infty$:

THEOREM 2. *Any infinite codimensional closed submanifold A in X is homotopy negligible.*

EXAMPLE 2. *Fibre bundles and zero sections.*

Let $\xi: X \rightarrow B$ be a vector bundle over the base space B with total space the ANR X , whose fibres are infinite dimensional metrizable locally convex topological vector spaces. If A denotes the image of the zero section, then $X-A \rightarrow X$ is a homotopy equivalence; since ξ is also a homotopy equivalence, we see that $X-A$ and A have the same homotopy type.

EXAMPLE 3. *A mapping space.*

Let M be a separable smooth (i.e., C^∞) manifold modeled on a Hilbert space (possibly finite dimensional!), and S a compact topological space. Then the mapping space $C(S, M)$ of all continuous maps $S \rightarrow M$ with the topology of uniform convergence

is a smooth manifold modeled on a Banach space (infinite dimensional except in trivial cases, which we exclude). The identification of each point $m \in M$ with the point mapping $S \rightarrow m$ defines an imbedding of M into $C(S, M)$ of infinite codimension. The theorem implies that $C(S, M) - M \rightarrow C(S, M)$ is a homotopy equivalence.

3. Approximately infinite codimension

The next lemma prepares the way to a more general class of homotopy negligible subsets. Let ρ be a metric for the model E .

LEMMA. *Let A be a closed subset of the open subset U of E . Suppose that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a closed linear subspace $F_{A,\varepsilon}$ of E with $\text{codim}(E, F_{A,\varepsilon}) = \infty$ and such that $\rho(x, F_{A,\varepsilon}) < \varepsilon$ for all $x \in A$. Let D be a compact subset of U , and S a closed subset of D disjoint from A . Then there is a homotopy $f_I: (D, S) \rightarrow (U, U - A)$ such that $f_0 = \text{identity}$, $f_t(x) = x$ for all $x \in S$, $t \in I$, and $f_1(D) \subset U - A$.*

We will say that such subsets A are *approximately infinite codimensional in E* . For example, any compact set in E has this property.

PROOF. Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ so that $\rho(A, S) > 5\varepsilon$ and $\rho(E - U, D) > 6\varepsilon$. The compact set D can be covered by a finite number of discs of radius ε ; their centers span a finite dimensional subspace F_D , and $\rho(x, F_D) < \varepsilon$ for all $x \in D$. Let F be the closed linear subspace spanned by $F_{A,\varepsilon}$ and F_D ; then $\text{codim}(E, F) = \infty$, and $\rho(x, F) < \varepsilon$ for all $x \in A \cup D$. Now take any point x_2 with $\rho(x_2, F) = 3\varepsilon$, and let $x_1 \in F$ be a point such that $\rho(x_1, x_2) < 4\varepsilon$. Set $v = x_2 - x_1$, and define the map $f_1: D \rightarrow E$ by

$$f_1(x) = x + \varphi(\rho(x, S)/\varepsilon)v,$$

where $\varphi: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is given by

$$\varphi(t) = 0 \quad (t \leq 0), \quad \varphi(t) = t \quad (0 < t < 1), \quad \varphi(t) = 1 \quad (t \geq 1).$$

Then $f_t = (1-t)f_0 + tf_1$, where $f_0 = \text{identity map}$, is a homotopy of the desired sort.

THEOREM 3. *Let A be a closed subset of a manifold X modeled on E . Assume that every point $x \in A$ is contained in a chart (κ, U) of X such that $\kappa(U \cap A)$ is approximately infinite codimensional. Then A is homotopy negligible in X . For example, any locally compact set in X has this property.*

PROOF. Since $\kappa(U \cap A)$ is closed in the open subset $\kappa(U)$ of the model E , it follows from the lemma that all relative homotopy groups $\pi_i(U, U-A) = 0$ ($i \in \mathbf{Z}$), whence that the inclusion map $U-A \rightarrow U$ is a weak homotopy equivalence. Since for any chart (κ, U) containing x we know that U and $U-A$ are absolute neighborhood retracts, it follows that $U-A \rightarrow U$ is a homotopy equivalence. Therefore A is homotopy negligible in X .

APPLICATION. (Nicole Moulis [6]). *If X is a separable Hilbert manifold and X is diffeomorphic with $X \times H$ (H is Hilbert space; X is called Palais-stable in this case) and A is locally compact in X , then X and $X-A$ are diffeomorphic.*

PROOF. By the above theorems X and $X-A$ are homotopy equivalent. As X is Palais-stable it is diffeomorphic with an open set in H . Then so is $X-A$. By Moulis [6], $X-A$ has a non-degenerate Morse function with minimum fulfilling Condition C of Palais-Smale. By Kuiper-Burghlea [5], $X-A$ is also Palais-stable, and $X-A$ and X are diffeomorphic.

EXAMPLE. If A is an approximately infinite codimensional closed subset of a contractible manifold X , then $X-A$ is contractible.

As another instance, let H be an infinite dimensional Hilbert space and $X = GL(H)$ the group of bounded linear automorphisms of H ; then X is an open nonseparable subset of the Banach space of bounded endomorphisms of H , and $GL(H)$ is a contractible Lie group [4]. Let $GL_e(H)$ denote the closed subgroup of automorphisms of the form $I+K$ where $I =$ identity map, and K is a compact endomorphism. Then $GL(H)-GL_e(H)$ is contractible.

Added in proof.

1) If X is a separable metrizable C^0 -manifold modeled on an infinite dimensional Fréchet space, and A is a closed subset as in Theorem 1, then there is a homeomorphism of $X-A$ onto X ; see [1].

2) Very general conditions to insure that $X-A$ is homeomorphic to X (with good control on the homeomorphism) have recently been given by W. H. Cutler, *Negligible subsets of non-separable Hilbert manifolds* (to appear). For instance, if X is a C^0 -manifold modeled on a non-separable Hilbert space and A is a countable union of locally compact subsets, then $X-A$ is homeomorphic to X , by a homeomorphism near the inclusion map $X-A \rightarrow X$.

3) Every separable metrizable C^∞ -manifold modeled on infinite dimensional Hilbert space is Palais-stable. This follows from combined work of [5], [6], and J. Eells–K. D. Elworthy, *On the differential topology of Hilbertian manifolds*, Proc. Summer Institute, Berkeley, 1968.

4) The application of § 3 (without the unnecessary hypothesis of Palais-stability) is also due to J. E. West, *The diffeomorphic excision of closed local compacta from infinite-dimensional Hilbert manifolds* (to appear). Comp. Math.

REFERENCES

R. D. ANDERSON, D. W. HENDERSON and J. E. WEST

[1] Negligible subsets of infinite-dimensional manifolds. Comp. Math. 21 (1969), 143–150.

C. BESSAGA

[2] Every infinite-dimensional Hilbert space is diffeomorphic with its unit sphere. Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. XIV, 1 (1966), 27–31.

J. EELLS

[3] A setting for global analysis. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 72 (1966), 751–807.

N. H. KUIPER

[4] The homotopy type of the unitary group of Hilbert space. Topology 3 (1965), 19–30.

N. H. KUIPER and D. BURGHELEA

[5] Hilbert manifolds. Annals of Math.

NICOLE MOULIS

[6] Sur les variétés Hilbertiennes et les fonctions non dégénérées. Ind. Math. 30 (1968), 497–511.

R. S. PALAIS

[7] Homotopy type of infinite dimensional manifolds. Topology 5 (1966), 1–16.

J. H. C. WHITEHEAD

[8] Combinatorial homotopy I. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 55 (1949), 213–245.

(Oblatum 4–7–68)

Cornell Univ. and
Churchill College, Cambridge
Univ. of Amsterdam