

COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

SATYA NARAIN SRIVASTAVA

**On the mean values of integral functions
represented by Dirichlet series**

Compositio Mathematica, tome 18, n° 1-2 (1967), p. 13-16

<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1967__18_1-2_13_0>

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1967, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (<http://www.compositio.nl/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/legal.php>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

On the mean values of integral functions represented by Dirichlet series ¹

by

Satya Narain Srivastava

1

Consider the Dirichlet series $f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e^{s\lambda_n}$, where

$$\lambda_{n+1} > \lambda_n, \quad \lambda_1 \geq 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = \infty, \quad s = \sigma + it$$

and

$$(1.1) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log n}{\lambda_n} = 0$$

Let σ_c and σ_a be the abscissa of convergence and the abscissa of absolute convergence, respectively, of $f(s)$. If $\sigma_c = \infty$, σ_a is also infinite, since according to a known result ([1], p. 4) a Dirichlet series which satisfies (1.1) has its abscissa of convergence equal to its abscissa of absolute convergence and therefore $f(s)$ represents an integral function.

The mean value of $f(s)$ is defined as

$$(1.2) \quad I_2(\sigma) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T |f(\sigma + it)|^2 dt = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 e^{2\sigma\lambda_n}.$$

We extend this to

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} m_{2,k}(\sigma) &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T e^{k\sigma}} \int_0^\sigma \int_{-T}^T |f(x + it)|^2 e^{kx} dx dt \\ &= 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 \frac{(e^{2\sigma\lambda_n} - e^{-k\sigma})}{k + 2\lambda_n}, \end{aligned}$$

where k is any positive number.

We shall obtain some of the properties of $m_{2,k}(\sigma)$ and $I_2(\sigma)$.

¹ This work has been supported by Senior Research Fellowship award of C.S.I.R., New Delhi (India).

2

THEOREM 1. *Let $f(s)$ be an integral function. Then, for $0 < \sigma_1 < \sigma_2$,*

$$I_2(\sigma_1) \leq \frac{k}{2} \left\{ \frac{e^{k\sigma_2} m_{2,k}(\sigma_2) - e^{k\sigma_1} m_{2,k}(\sigma_1)}{e^{k\sigma_2} - e^{k\sigma_1}} \right\} \leq I_2(\sigma_2),$$

where k is any positive number.

PROOF: From (1.2) and (1.3), we have

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{1}{2} m_{2,k}(\sigma) = \frac{1}{e^{k\sigma}} \int_0^\sigma I_2(x) e^{kx} dx.$$

From (2.1) follows

$$(2.2) \quad e^{k\sigma_2} m_{2,k}(\sigma_2) - e^{k\sigma_1} m_{2,k}(\sigma_1) = 2 \int_{\sigma_1}^{\sigma_2} I_2(x) e^{kx} dx$$

and the inequalities follow since $I_2(x)$ is an increasing function of x .

We may note that if $f(s)$ is an integral function, other than a constant, and $\alpha (0 < \alpha < 1)$ is a constant,

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{m_{2,k}(\sigma) - e^{k\sigma(\alpha-1)} m_{2,k}(\alpha\sigma)} \right\} = 0.$$

3

THEOREM 2. *If $f(s)$ be an integral function of order $\rho (0 < \rho < \infty)$, type τ and lower type ν , then*

$$(3.1) \quad \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sup \log m_{2,k}(\sigma)}{\inf e^{\rho\sigma}} = \frac{2\tau}{2\nu}.$$

PROOF: From (1.3), we have

$$m_{2,k}(\sigma) \leq \frac{2}{k} \{M(\sigma)\}^2 (1 - e^{-k\sigma}),$$

where

$$M(\sigma) = \text{l.u.b. } |f(\sigma + it)|, \quad -\infty < t < \infty$$

Taking limits, we get

$$(3.2) \quad \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sup \log m_{2,k}(\sigma)}{\inf e^{\rho\sigma}} \leq 2 \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sup \log M(\sigma)}{\inf e^{\rho\sigma}}.$$

Also, from (2.1), we have for $h > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
 m_{2,k}(\sigma+h) &= \frac{2}{e^{k(\sigma+h)}} \int_0^{\sigma+h} I_2(x) e^{kx} dx \\
 (3.3) \qquad &\geq \frac{2}{e^{k(\sigma+h)}} \int_{\sigma}^{\sigma+h} I_2(x) e^{kx} dx \\
 &\geq \frac{2}{k} I_2(\sigma)(1-e^{-kh})
 \end{aligned}$$

since $I_2(x)$ is an increasing function of x . Further, from (1.2), we have

$$(3.4) \qquad I_2(\sigma) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 e^{2\sigma\lambda_n} \geq \{\mu(\sigma)\}^2$$

where $\mu(\sigma) = |a_{N(\sigma)}| e^{\sigma\lambda_{N(\sigma)}}$ is the maximum term of rank $N(\sigma)$, for $\text{Re } s = \sigma$, in the series for $f(s)$. Therefore, from (3.3) and (3.4), we get

$$m_{2,k}(\sigma+h) \geq \frac{2}{k} \{\mu(\sigma)\}^2 (1-e^{-kh}).$$

Taking limits, we get

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \sup \inf \frac{\log m_{2,k}(\sigma+h)}{e^{\rho(\sigma+h)}} \geq \frac{2}{e^{\rho h}} \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \sup \inf \frac{\log \mu(\sigma)}{e^{\rho\sigma}}$$

or

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \sup \inf \frac{\log m_{2,k}(\sigma)}{e^{\rho\sigma}} \geq \frac{2}{e^{\rho h}} \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \sup \inf \frac{\log \mu(\sigma)}{e^{\rho\sigma}}.$$

Since left hand side is independent of h , therefore making $h \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$(3.5) \qquad \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \sup \inf \frac{\log m_{2,k}(\sigma)}{e^{\rho\sigma}} \geq 2 \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \sup \inf \frac{\log \mu(\sigma)}{e^{\rho\sigma}}.$$

The result (3.1) follows easily from (3.2) and (3.5) since for functions of finite order

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \sup \inf \frac{\log M(\sigma)}{e^{\rho\sigma}} = \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \sup \inf \frac{\log \mu(\sigma)}{e^{\rho\sigma}} = \frac{\tau}{\nu}.$$

4

THEOREM 3. *Let $f(s)$ be an integral function. Then*

$$\limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m_{2,k}(\sigma)}{\{M(\sigma)\}^2} \leq \limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m_{2,k}(\sigma)}{I_2(\sigma)} \leq \frac{2}{k},$$

where $M(\sigma) = \text{l.u.b. } |f(\sigma+it)|$ and k is any positive number.
 $-\infty < t < \infty$

PROOF. Since $I_2(x)$ is an increasing function of x , therefore from (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} m_{2,k}(\sigma) &\leq \frac{2}{e^{k\sigma}} I_2(\sigma) \int_0^\sigma e^{kx} dx \\ &= \frac{2}{k} I_2(\sigma)(1 - e^{-k\sigma}). \end{aligned}$$

Taking limits, we get

$$(4.1) \quad \limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m_{2,k}(\sigma)}{I_2(\sigma)} \leq \frac{2}{k}.$$

Also, from (1.2), we have

$$(4.2) \quad I_2(\sigma) \leq \{M(\sigma)\}^2.$$

Therefore, from (4.1) and (4.2), follows

$$\limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m_{2,k}(\sigma)}{\{M(\sigma)\}^2} \leq \limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m_{2,k}(\sigma)}{I_2(\sigma)} \leq \frac{2}{k}.$$

REFERENCE

V. BERNSTEIN

- [1] Leçons sur les progrès récents de la théorie des séries de Dirichlet, Gauthier Villars, Paris, 1933.

(Oblatum 4-10-66). Department of Mathematics and Astronomy,
Lucknow University, Lucknow (India).