COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

WALTER PHILIPP

An *n*-dimensional analogue of a theorem of H. Weyl

Compositio Mathematica, tome 16 (1964), p. 161-163

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1964__16__161_0

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1964, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (http://http://www.compositio.nl/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.



Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/

An *n*-dimensional analogue of a theorem of H. Weyl *1

bv

Walter Philipp

It is wellknown that for any fixed basis a > 1 almost all real numbers x are normal with respect to a. An equivalent statement is the following: For any fixed integer a > 1 the sequence $\{a^n x\}$ is uniformly distributed mod 1 for almost all x. This is a consequence of a theorem due to H. Weyl [4]: If $\{l_n\}$ is an increasing sequence of real numbers which does not increase too slowly in a sense to be determined later then $\{l_n x\}$ is uniformly distributed mod 1 for almost all x.

In another lecture contained in this volume Cigler (see also [1]) states the following

THEOREM 1: Let A be a nonsingular $m \times m$ -matrix with integral entries such that no eigenvalue of A is a root of unity then the sequence of m-dimensional vectors $\{A^n x\}$ is uniformly distributed mod 1 for almost all vectors $x \in R^m$.

This is a consequence of a result of Rochlin [3] who proved that the transformation $A\mathfrak{x}-[A\mathfrak{x}]$ is ergodic and measure preserving with respect to Lebesgue measure if A is a matrix with the above properties. But theorem 1 also follows from the following theorem which can be deduced from Weyl's criterion.

THEOREM 2: Let $\{A_n\}$ be a sequence of nonsingular $m \times m$ -matrices with integral entries and for fixed n and k = 1, ..., n let $h_k^{(n)}$ be the number of integers j $(1 \le j \le n)$ such that det $(A_j - A_k) = 0$. If there are two positive constants ε and c such that

$$\max h_k^{(n)} = h^{(n)} \le \frac{c \cdot n}{(\log n)^{1+\varepsilon}}$$

then $\{A_n \mathfrak{x}\}$ is uniformly distributed mod 1 for almost all \mathfrak{x} . Taking $A_n = A^n$ Theorem 1 follows immediately.

- * Nijenrode lecture.
- ¹ The results of this lecture are published in [2].

Replacing \mathfrak{x} by $1/N\mathfrak{x}$ where N is a positive integer we see that the conclusion of the theorem holds also if $A_n = N^{-1}B_n$ with an arbitrary integral B_n with the above properties. We now can prove the following:

Theorem 3: Let A be a real symmetric matrix with m rows whose eigenvalues λ_i ($1 \le i \le m$) are all > 1 and let further $\{l_n\}$ be a sequence of real numbers increasing not too slowly, more precisely: Let there be two positive constants ε and c with the property that l – considered as a function of the index – increases at least by c as the index increases from n to $n+(n/(\log n)^{1+\varepsilon})$; under these conditions the sequence $\{A^{l_n}\mathfrak{x}\}$ is uniformly distributed mod 1 for almost all \mathfrak{x} . Moreover if A is an arbitrary real squarematrix whose eigenvalues λ_i satisfy $|\lambda_i| > 1$ the same conclusion is true if one supposes that the l_n all are integral.

The example $A = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ shows that the assumption $|\lambda_i| > 1$ cannot be replaced by a weaker one.

For the proof of the theorem we write $A = U^{-1}\Delta U$ where $\det(U) = \pm 1$ and $\Delta = [I_{\rho_1}(\lambda_1), \ldots, I_{\rho_r}(\lambda_r)]$ is a Jordan quasi-diagonal matrix (in the case where A is symmetric we have even a diagonal matrix). Thus in each case A^{l_n} is defined in an obvious way.

If $X = (x_{ij})$ is a square-matrix with m rows we write $||X|| = m \cdot \max|x_{ij}|$. For two matrices X and Y we have $||X+Y|| \le ||X|| + ||Y||$ and $||XY|| \le ||X|| + ||Y||$ and for any vector \mathfrak{x} we have $|X\mathfrak{x}| \le m^{\frac{1}{2}}||X|| + |\mathfrak{x}||$ with $|\mathfrak{x}| = (\sum x_i^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Now let N be a positive integer. We take now matrices A_n whose elements are rational numbers, all with the same denominator N such that

$$||A_{\mathfrak{n}} - A^{\mathfrak{l}_{\mathfrak{n}}}|| \leq \frac{m}{2N} \quad \text{or} \quad ||A_{\mathfrak{n}} - U^{-1} \varDelta^{\mathfrak{l}_{\mathfrak{n}}} U|| \leq \frac{m}{2N} \cdot$$

For $l_k \neq l_j (1 \leq j, k \leq m)$ and for fixed n we now put

$$\Omega_{kj} = (\Delta^{l_j} - \Delta^{l_k})^{-1} (UA_j U^{-1} - UA_k U^{-1}).$$

If $l_i - l_k \ge c$ we have $||\Delta^{l_i} - \Delta^{l_k}|| = 0(1)$.

So we have $||\Omega_{kj}-E||=0$ (N^{-1}) $(E\ldots$ unit matrix). Therefore $\det\left(\Omega_{kj}\right)=1+0$ (N^{-1}) . We have $|\det\left(\Delta^{l_j}-\Delta^{l_k}\right)-\det\left(A_j-A_k\right)|=|\det(\Delta^{l_j}-\Delta^{l_k})||\det\left(\Omega_{kj}\right)-1|$. So we can choose N large enough to yield $|\det(A_j-A_k)|\geq \frac{1}{2}|\det(\Delta^{l_j}-\Delta^{l_k})|\neq 0$ for those values j which satisfy $l_j-l_k\geq c$. But there are at most

$$\frac{2k}{(\log k)^{1+\varepsilon}} \le \frac{2n}{(\log n)^{1+\varepsilon}}$$

such numbers j such that $l_j - l_k < c$. Therefore

$$h_k^{(n)} \leq rac{2n}{(\log n)^{1+arepsilon}} \qquad \qquad k=1,\ldots,n.$$

Because one can show in the same manner that $\det(A_n) \neq 0$ for all *n* Theorem 2 applies. So we have

(1)
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} e(f^* A_k \xi) = 0$$

for almost all x where $e(x) = e^{2\pi ix}$ and f^* is the transposed vector of an arbitrary integral vector $f \neq 0$. For real r_j , s_j , x_j $(1 \leq j \leq m)$ the following inequality holds

$$|e(\sum r_j x_j) - e(\sum s_j x_j)| \leq 2\pi \sum |r_j - s_j| |x_j|.$$

From this it follows easily that

(2)
$$\frac{1}{n}\sum e(\mathfrak{f}^*A_k\mathfrak{x}) - \frac{1}{n}\sum e(\mathfrak{f}^*A^{l_k}\mathfrak{x}) = 0(N^{-1}).$$

We now denote by \mathfrak{A}_N the set of those \mathfrak{F} for which (1) does not hold. The measure $m(\mathfrak{A}_N) = 0$. Let $\mathfrak{A} = \bigcup_N \mathfrak{A}_N \Rightarrow m(\mathfrak{A}) = 0$. From (2) we conclude that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^n e(\mathfrak{f}^*A^{l_k}\mathfrak{x})=0$$

for at least all $x \notin \mathfrak{A}$. This proves the theorem.

LITERATURE

J. CIGLER

Der individuelle Ergodensatz in der Theorie der Gleichverteilung mod 1.
 J. reine angew. Math. 205, 91-100 (1960).

W. PHILIPP

[2] Ein metrischer Satz über die Gleichverteilung mod 1. Archiv Math. 12, 429—433 (1961).

W. A. ROCHLIN

[3] Über Endomorphismen von kompakten abelschen Gruppen. Izwestija Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. mat 13, 329-340 (1949) (russ.).

H. WEYL

[4] Uber die Gleichverteilung von Zahlen mod 1. Math. Ann. 77, 313-352 (1916).

(Oblatum 29-5-63).