

Astérisque

B. MARCZYŃSKA

On a generalization of topological conditional entropy

Astérisque, tome 50 (1977), p. 283-292

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AST_1977__50__283_0

© Société mathématique de France, 1977, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la collection « Astérisque » (<http://smf4.emath.fr/Publications/Asterisque/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

On a generalization of topological conditional entropy

by

B. Marczyńska

§ 0. Introduction

We introduce the notion of topological conditional entropy for a subset of a compact space and a continuous transformation. It is a generalization of the topological entropy defined by Bowen ([1]) and the topological conditional entropy introduced by Misiurewicz ([3]).

§ 1. Definition

The following notations and terminology will be used:

X - a compact space

$f : X \rightarrow X$ - a continuous transformation

$Y \subset X$ - a subset of X

$\mathcal{P}(X)$ - the set of all finite covers of X

$\mathcal{U}(X)$ - the set of all open finite covers of X

N - positive integers

$c \prec A$ - if a subset c of X is contained in some member of $A \in \mathcal{P}(X)$

$A \succcurlyeq B$ - if $a \prec B$ for every $a \in A$ and $A, B \in \mathcal{P}(X)$

$$A^n = \bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} f^{-i}A, \quad A^\infty = \bigvee_{i=0}^{\infty} f^{-i}A \quad \text{for } A \in \mathcal{P}(X), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

Now, for $c \subset X$ and $A \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ let (see [1])

$$n_{f,A}(c) = \begin{cases} \sup \{k \in \mathbb{N} : c \prec A^k\} & \text{if } c \prec A \\ 0 & \text{if } c \not\prec A \end{cases}$$

$$D_A(C) = e^{-n_{f,A}(C)}$$

$$D_A(C, \lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} D_A(c_i)^\lambda \quad \text{where } C = (c_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{ and } \lambda > 0$$

For $b \in B \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ and $\epsilon > 0$ we define

$$F_{A,b,\lambda,\epsilon}(Y) = \inf_C \left\{ D_A(C, \lambda) : \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i \supset b \cap Y, D_A(c_i) < \epsilon \right\}$$

and

$$F_{A|B,\lambda,\epsilon}(Y) = \max_{b \in B} F_{A,b,\lambda,\epsilon}(Y)$$

It is easy to see that

$$(1.1) \quad F_{A_1|B_1,\lambda_1,\epsilon_1}(Y) \geq F_{A_2|B_2,\lambda_2,\epsilon_2}(Y) \quad \text{for } A_1 \geq A_2, B_1 \leq B_2, \\ \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2, \epsilon_1 \leq \epsilon_2$$

Now we can define

$$m_{A|B,\lambda}(Y) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{A|B^n,\lambda,\epsilon}(Y).$$

Notice that

$$m_{A_1|B_1,\lambda_1}(Y) \geq m_{A_2|B_2,\lambda_2}(Y) \quad \text{for } A_1 \geq A_2, B_1 \leq B_2, \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$$

and $m_{A|B,\lambda}(Y) \notin \{0, +\infty\}$ for at most one λ

Define

$$h_{A|B}(f, Y) = \inf \left\{ \lambda; m_{A|B,\lambda}(Y) = 0 \right\} \quad (\inf \emptyset = +\infty)$$

From the definition it follows that

$$(1.2) \quad h_{A_1|B_1}(f, Y) \geq h_{A_2|B_2}(f, Y) \quad \text{for } A_1 \geq A_2, B_1 \leq B_2$$

Now we can take limits (finite or infinite) (see [3])

$$\lim_{A \in \mathcal{U}(X)} h_{A|B}(f, Y) = \sup_{A \in \mathcal{U}(X)} h_{A|B}(f, Y) = h(f|B, Y)$$

$$\lim_{B \in \mathcal{U}(X)} h_{A|B}(f, Y) = \inf_{B \in \mathcal{U}(X)} h_{A|B}(f, Y) = h^{\#}(f, Y)$$

$h^{\#}(f, Y)$ will be called the topological conditional entropy of f for a subset Y of X .

§ 2. Basic properties

The proofs of the following propositions are simple and therefore we omit them

Proposition 1

- a) If $f_1 : X_1 \rightarrow X_1$ and $f_2 : X_2 \rightarrow X_2$ are topologically conjugate (i.e. there exists a homeomorphism $\Pi : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ such that $\Pi \circ f_1 = f_2 \circ \Pi$) then $h^{\#}(f_1, Y_1) = h^{\#}(f_2, \Pi(Y_1))$ for $Y_1 \subset X_1$
- b) If $f : X \rightarrow X$ is a homeomorphism, then $h^{\#}(f, f^{-1}(Y)) = h^{\#}(f, Y) = h^{\#}(f, f(Y))$.

Proposition 2

If $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A, B \in \mathcal{Q}(X)$, then

- a) $h_{A^n|B}(f^n, Y) = nh_{A|B}(f, Y)$
- b) $h(f^n|B, Y) = nh(f|B, Y)$
- c) $h^{\#}(f^n, Y) = nh^{\#}(f, Y)$

Proposition 3

If $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A, B \in \mathcal{Q}(X)$, then

- a) $h_{A|B}(f, \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} Y_i) = \sup_i h_{A|B}(f, Y_i)$
- b) $h^{\#}(f, \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} Y_i) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} h^{\#}(f, Y_i)$

From Proposition 3 it follows that if Y is countable, then $h^{\mathbb{K}}(f, Y) = 0$.

§ 3. Connection with topological conditional entropy

First let us recall the definition of the topological conditional entropy $h^{\mathbb{K}}(f)$, ([3]).

$$h^{\mathbb{K}}(f) = \lim_{B \in \mathcal{U}(X)} h(f|B) = \inf_{B \in \mathcal{U}(X)} h(f|B)$$

$$\text{where } h(f|B) = \lim_{A \in \mathcal{U}(X)} h(f, A|B) = \sup_{A \in \mathcal{U}(X)} h(f, A|B)$$

$$\text{and } h(f, A|B) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log N(A^n|B^n).$$

$$\text{Moreover for } A, B \in \mathcal{Q}(X) \quad N(A|B) = \max_{b \in B} N(b, A),$$

$$\text{where for } b \subset X, \quad b \neq \emptyset \quad N(b, A) = \min \{ \text{Card } C; C \subset A, \bigcup C_i \supset b \\ \text{and } N(\emptyset, A) = 1.$$

Theorem 1

$$h^{\mathbb{K}}(f, X) = h^{\mathbb{K}}(f)$$

Proof

It is sufficient to prove that

$$\text{a) if } A, B \in \mathcal{Q}(X), \text{ then } h_{A|B}(f, X) \leq h(f, A|B)$$

$$\text{b) } h^{\mathbb{K}}(f, X) \geq h^{\mathbb{K}}(f)$$

For the proof of a) let $\lambda > 0$, $\epsilon > 0$ and $e^{-n} < \epsilon$,

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover let $b^n \in B^n$ be such, that

$$F_{A|B^n, \lambda, \epsilon}(X) = F_{A, b^n, \lambda, \epsilon}(X) \quad \text{and let } C \subset A^n \text{ be a cover of}$$

b^n with $N(b^n, A^n)$ members. Then

$$D_A(C, \lambda) \leq \text{Card } C \cdot e^{-n\lambda} \leq N(A^n|B^n)e^{-n\lambda}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F_{A|B^n, \lambda, \epsilon}^{(X)} &\leq F_{A|B^n, \lambda, e^{-n\lambda}}^{(X)} \leq D_A(C, \lambda) \leq \\ &\leq (e^{\frac{1}{n} \log N(A^n|B^n)} - \lambda)^n \end{aligned}$$

If $\lambda > h(f, A|B)$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{A|B^n, \lambda, \epsilon}^{(X)} = 0$. Since ϵ was arbitrary, it follows that

$$m_{A|B, \lambda}^{(X)} = 0, \text{ hence } h(f, A|B) \geq h_{A|B}(f, X)$$

For the proof of b) let $m_{A|B, \lambda}^{(X)} = 0$ where $A, B \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ and $\lambda > 0$ then for fixed $0 < \eta < 1$ and $0 < \epsilon < 1$ there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$F_{A|B^{n_0}, \lambda, \epsilon}^{(X)} < \eta.$$

Hence for every $b^{n_0} \in B^{n_0}$ there exists a cover $C(b^{n_0}) = (c_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ of b^{n_0} with $D_A(c_i) < \epsilon$ (so $n_{f, A}(c_i) > 0$)

and $D_A(C(b^{n_0}), \lambda) < \eta$. We may assume that c_i is open.

Let $D \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ and $\bar{D} \supset B^{n_0}$, where $\bar{D} = \{\bar{d} : d \in D\}$.

Since for $d \in D$ $\bar{d}$ is compact, therefore there exists a finite, open cover $C^*(d)$ of $\bar{d}$ such that $C^*(d) \subset C(b^{n_0})$ for some $b^{n_0} \in B^{n_0}$.

If $C = \{c \in C^*(d) : d \in D\}$ and $K = \text{Card } C$, then $0 < K < \infty$.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for $c_1, \dots, c_s \in C$ such that

$$n_{f,A}(c_1) + \dots + n_{f,A}(c_{s-1}) < n \quad \text{and}$$

$$n \leq n_{f,A}(c_1) + \dots + n_{f,A}(c_s)$$

$$Z(c_1, \dots, c_s) = \left\{ x \in c_1: f^{n_{f,A}(c_1) + \dots + n_{f,A}(c_r)}(x) \in c_{r+1} \right. \\ \left. \text{for } r = 1, \dots, s-1 \right\}$$

then

$$Z(c_1, \dots, c_s) \subset A^n$$

If $d^n \in D^n$ and $d^n = \bigcap_{j=0}^{n-1} f^{-j} d_j$, where $d_j \in D$ for $j=0, \dots, n-1$ then

$$Z = \left\{ Z(c_1, \dots, c_s): s \geq 1, \quad c_1 \in C^{\mathbb{K}}(d_0), \right. \\ \left. c_{r+1} \in C^{\mathbb{K}}(d_{n_{f,A}(c_1) + \dots + n_{f,A}(c_r)}) \right. \\ \left. \text{for } r = 1, \dots, s-1 \right\} \quad \text{covers } d^n.$$

Hence

$$N(d^n, A^n) e^{-\lambda n} \leq \text{Card } Z e^{-\lambda n} \leq \\ \leq \sum_{c \in C} \left(1 + \sum_{s \geq 2} \sum_{Z(c_1, \dots, c_{s-1}, c) \in Z} e^{-\lambda(n_{f,A}(c_1) + \dots + n_{f,A}(c_s))} \right) \\ \leq \sum_{c \in C} \left(1 + \sum_{s \geq 2} \sum_{c_1} e^{-\lambda n_{f,A}(c_1)} (\dots (\sum_{c_{s-1}} e^{-\lambda n_{f,A}(c_{s-1})}) \dots) \right) \\ \leq K \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \eta^s = M < \infty$$

and

$$(e^{-\lambda + \frac{1}{n} \log N(A^n | D^n)})^n \leq M$$

Therefore if $m_{A|B, \lambda}(X) = 0$, then $h(f, A|D) \leq \lambda$
(for some D which depends on B).

This implies that

$$h(f, A|D) \leq h_{A|B}(f, X) \text{ and therefore } h^*(f) \leq h^*(f, X).$$

Remark.

If Y is closed and f - invariant then $h^*(f, Y) = h^*(f|Y)$.
The proof is like that of Theorem 1 and is close to the proof of Proposition 1 [1].

§ 4. Connection with topological entropy introduced by
Bowen ([1]).

R. Bowen defined the topological entropy $h(f, Y)$ in the following way:

$$h(f, Y) = \sup_{A \in \mathcal{O}(X)} h_A(f, Y)$$

where for $A \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ $h_A(f, Y) = \inf \{ \lambda ; m_{A, \lambda}(Y) = 0 \}$
and for $\lambda > 0$

$$m_{A, \lambda}(Y) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \inf \{ D_A(C, \lambda) : \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i \supset Y, D_A(c_i) < \epsilon \}$$

It is easy to see that $h(f, Y) \geq h^*(f, Y)$

Theorem 2 gives another relation between these notions

Theorem 2

Let $A \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ and $B \in \mathcal{P}(X)$. Then

$$h_{A|B}(f, Y) \geq \sup_{b \in B^{\infty}} h_A(f, Y \cap b^{\infty}).$$

If in addition Y is closed and B is a closed cover then the reverse inequality also holds.

Proof.

If $B \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then for any $b^\infty \in B^\infty$ exists $b^n \in B^n$ such that $b^\infty \subset b^n$.

Therefore for $\epsilon > 0$

$$\inf \{ D_A(C, \lambda) : \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i \supset Y \cap b^\infty, D_A(c_i) < \epsilon \} \leq \\ \leq F_{A, b^n, \lambda, \epsilon}(Y) \leq F_{A|B^n, \lambda, \epsilon}(Y)$$

$$\text{and } h_A(f, Y \cap b^\infty) \leq h_{A|B}(f, Y)$$

This gives the required inequality.

For the proof of the second part let $\epsilon > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$.

Then for any $b^\infty \in B^\infty$ there exists a cover $C(b^\infty) = \{c_i(b^\infty)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of $b^\infty \cap Y$ such that $D_A(c_i(b^\infty)) < \epsilon$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$ and $D_A(C(b^\infty), \lambda) \leq F_{A, b^\infty, \lambda, \epsilon}(Y) + \epsilon$

We may assume that $c_i(b^\infty)$ are open.

Let $U(b^\infty) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i(b^\infty)$ and $U = \{U(b^\infty)\}_{b^\infty \in B^\infty}$, then

U covers Y .

For $W = \{d^n \in Y \cap B^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $V = \{d^n \in W : d^n \not\subset U\}$

we define $g : V \rightarrow W$ in the following way:

if $d^{n+1} \in V$, where $d^{n+1} = d^n \cap f^{-n}b_n$ for $d^n \in W$ and $b_n \in B$, then

$$g(d^{n+1}) = d^n.$$

A sequence $(d^n)_{n=1}^m$ with $g(d^{n+1}) = d^n$ for $n = 1, \dots, m-1$ is called a branch of length m with initial vertex d^1 .

We notice that for every $d^n \in W$ $g^{-1}(d^n)$ is finite and d^1 is from a finite family $Y \cap B$.

Suppose that for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a branch of length m . By means of König's Lemma ([2], p.104) there exists an infinite branch, that is a sequence $(d^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $d^{n+1} \subset d^n$ and $d^n \not\subset U$ for $n > 1$. There exists $b^\infty \in B^\infty$ such that $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} d^n = Y \cap b^\infty \subset U(b^\infty)$.

Since each d^n is closed, so $d^n \subset U(b^\infty)$ for sufficiently large n . This is impossible, because $d^n \in V$ for $n > 1$.

We have proved that there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that all branches have length less than n_0 . Hence for $b^{n_0} \in B^{n_0}$ such that $F_{A|B^{n_0}, \lambda, \epsilon}(Y) = F_{A, b^{n_0}, \lambda, \epsilon}(Y)$ we have $Y \cap b^{n_0} \subset U$, so $Y \cap b^{n_0} \subset U(b^\infty)$ for some $b^\infty \in B^\infty$.

From this and (1.1) it follows that for $n \geq n_0$

$$\begin{aligned} F_{A|B^n, \lambda, \epsilon}(Y) &\leq F_{A|B^{n_0}, \lambda, \epsilon}(Y) \leq D_A(C(b^\infty), \lambda) \leq \\ &\leq F_{A, b^\infty, \lambda, \epsilon}(Y) + \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $m_{A|B, \lambda}(Y) \leq m_{A, \lambda}(Y \cap b^\infty)$

and this gives the required inequality.

Corollary 1.

$$h^*(f, Y) \geq \inf_{B \in \mathcal{U}(X)} \sup_{b^\infty \in B^\infty} h(f, Y \cap b^\infty) \text{ for any } Y \subset X$$

Corollary 2.

$$\text{If } h^*(f, \bar{Y} - Y) = 0, \text{ then } h^*(f, Y) = \inf_{B \in \mathcal{U}(X)} \sup_{b^\infty \in B^\infty} h(f, Y \cap b^\infty)$$

Proof

In view of Proposition 3 b) § 2, Proposition 2 c) [1] and Corollary 1 it is sufficient to prove that if $\bar{Y} = Y$ then

$$h^*(f, Y) = \inf_{B \in \mathcal{O}(X)} \sup_{b^\infty \in B^\infty} h(f, Y \cap b^\infty) .$$

Let $\bar{Y} = Y$. For any $B \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ there exists $C_B \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ such that $\bar{C}_B \supseteq B$. Then by Theorem 2b) and (1.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} h^*(f, Y) &\leq \inf_{B \in \mathcal{O}(X)} \sup_{A \in \mathcal{O}(X)} h_A(f, Y) \leq \inf_{B \in \mathcal{O}(X)} \sup_{A \in \mathcal{O}(X)} \sup_{C \in \mathcal{O}_B^\infty} h_A(f, Y \cap C) \\ &\leq \inf_{B \in \mathcal{O}(X)} \sup_{A \in \mathcal{O}(X)} \sup_{b^\infty \in B^\infty} h_A(f, Y \cap b^\infty) = \\ &= \inf_{B \in \mathcal{O}(X)} \sup_{b^\infty \in B^\infty} h(f, Y \cap b^\infty) \end{aligned}$$

In view of Corollary 1 this completes the proof.

References

- [1] R. Bowen - Topological entropy for noncompact sets -
TAMS 184(1973), 125-136.
- [2] K. Kuratowski, A. Mostowski - Set theory, North-Holland
Publishing Company, Amsterdam 1967
- [3] M. Misiurewicz - Topological conditional entropy -
Studia Mathematica, T.IV, 2(1976)

B. Marczynska
Institute of Mathematics
Warsaw University