

On normal and non-normal holomorphic functions on complex Banach manifolds

PETER V. DOVBUSH

Abstract. Let X be a complex Banach manifold and Δ a domain in \mathbb{C} . Consider the family $\mathcal{F}_f = \{f \circ \varphi : \varphi \in \mathcal{O}(\Delta, X)\}$ of holomorphic functions on Δ obtained by composition of a holomorphic function f on X with holomorphic mappings φ from Δ to X . We study the normality of \mathcal{F}_f and the normality of f on X . We prove that if \mathcal{F}_f is normal, then f is normal on X . We also study the normality of \mathcal{F}_f on Δ and the normality of f on X in terms of the normality of \mathcal{F}_f on Δ . We also study the normality of \mathcal{F}_f on Δ and the normality of f on X in terms of the normality of \mathcal{F}_f on Δ . We also study the normality of \mathcal{F}_f on Δ and the normality of f on X in terms of the normality of \mathcal{F}_f on Δ .

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 32A18 (primary).

1. Introduction

Let $\Delta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ be the unit disk and $\mathcal{F} = \{f \circ g : g \in \mathcal{A}(\Delta)\}$ a family of holomorphic functions on Δ . We study the normality of \mathcal{F} and the normality of f on X . We prove that if \mathcal{F} is normal, then f is normal on X . We also study the normality of \mathcal{F} on Δ and the normality of f on X in terms of the normality of \mathcal{F} on Δ . We also study the normality of \mathcal{F} on Δ and the normality of f on X in terms of the normality of \mathcal{F} on Δ . We also study the normality of \mathcal{F} on Δ and the normality of f on X in terms of the normality of \mathcal{F} on Δ .

Received January 30, 2008; accepted July 29, 2008.

I a , ∇ a a c c c Ba-
 ac a d . A a S c 2, S c 3 ∇ b a c a
 a b ∇ a c , a d P- c . I S c-
 4 ∇ c a a c c b a - a c . I
 S c 5 ∇ $\zeta_{\mathbb{N}}$ c d a a c a d a
 a c b a - a c . F a S c 6 ∇ a
 b da b a $\zeta_{\mathbb{N}}$ d b da a a c d $\zeta_{\mathbb{N}}$ d a
 c b d d a a c Ba ac ac .

2. Preliminaries

W ad a [4] a d b [3] a d [5] bac d
 c a a $\zeta_{\mathbb{N}}$ d . T a ∇ a a
 a [4].
 L X b a c Ba ac a d d d a c Ba ac ac
 , b $\zeta_{\mathbb{N}}$, d ; X a d b a c c d Ha d
 ac . F ac x X a ac X a x ∇ b d d b $T_x(X)$.
 T a b d $T(X)$ X c d d a (y, v) c a $x \in X$
 a d $v \in T_x(X)$. W a d ac a c a
 d Δ X b $\mathcal{O}(\Delta, X)$.
 T $\zeta_{\mathbb{N}}$ a K b a a d c c Ba ac a d X
 c k_X $T(X)$ d $\zeta_{\mathbb{N}}$ d b a

$$k_X(x, v) = \{|a| : \exists \varphi \in \mathcal{O}(\Delta, X), \varphi(0) = x, \varphi_*(0)a = v\}$$

∇ $\varphi_*(0)$ a a d c d b φ $T_0(\Delta)$ $T_{\varphi(0)}(X)$.
 W a a k_X a c $k_X(x, v) > 0$ a (x, v) $\in T_x(X)$, $v \neq 0$.
 T K b a a a c ∇ C^1 c $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ X d $\zeta_{\mathbb{N}}$ d
 b R a a

$$L_k(\gamma) = \int_0^1 k_X(\gamma(t), \gamma'(t)) dt$$

a d d c $\tilde{K}_X(x, y)$ $\zeta_{\mathbb{N}}$ a c ∇ C^1
 c x y X.
 F z_1, w_1 Δ P ca d a c d b

$$d_{\Delta}(z_1, w_1) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1 + \left| \frac{z_1 - w_1}{1 - \bar{z}_1 w_1} \right|}{1 - \left| \frac{z_1 - w_1}{1 - \bar{z}_1 w_1} \right|} = a^{-1} \left(\left| \frac{z_1 - w_1}{1 - \bar{z}_1 w_1} \right| \right).$$

T K b a a d c b ∇ ∇ x, y X d $\zeta_{\mathbb{N}}$ d a ∇ .
 C d a $\zeta_{\mathbb{N}}$ c $p_0 = x, p_1, \dots, p_{k-1}, p_k = y$ X c a

Let $z_1, \dots, z_k, w_1, \dots, w_k \in \Delta$ and $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k \in \mathcal{O}(\Delta, X)$.
 a $\varphi_j(z_j) = p_{j-1}$ and $\varphi_j(w_j) = p_j, j = 1, \dots, k.$
 T $K_X(x, y) = \int_{\Delta} K_X(x, y) d^n z,$

$$K_X(x, y) = \sum_{j=1}^k d_{\Delta}(z_j, w_j)$$

\mathbb{R} spherical arc length element ds \mathbb{C}

$$ds(z, dz) = \frac{|dz|}{1 + |z|^2}.$$

T ca

$$s(\gamma) = \int_{\gamma} ds(z, dz)$$

\mathbb{R} $\gamma \subset \mathbb{C}$ $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ $d^n z$

$$s(a, b) = \{s(\gamma)\}$$

\mathbb{C}^1 \mathbb{C} $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ $F \subseteq \mathcal{O}(\Delta)$ $\{f_n\} \subset F$ $E \subset \Delta$

Definition 2.1. A c $f \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ $\mathcal{F} = \{f \circ \varphi : \varphi \in \mathcal{O}(\Delta, X)\}$

$F \subseteq \mathcal{O}(\Delta)$ $z_0 \in \Delta$ $\delta > 0$ $s(f(z), f(z_0)) < \delta$ $K_{\Delta}(z, z_0) < \delta$ $f \in F$

P - $f \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ $\{x_n\} \subset X$ $\{y_n\} \subset X$ $K_X(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow 0$ $n \rightarrow \infty$ $s(f(x_n), f(y_n)) \geq \epsilon$ $\epsilon > 0$

P - Δ T P - \mathbb{C} \mathbb{R}

T \mathbb{R} a, a a

Lemma 2.2 (Zalcman’s Lemma [15]). *Let \mathcal{F} be a family of analytic functions in Δ . Then \mathcal{F} is not normal in Δ if and only if there exist (i) a number r with $0 < r < 1$; (ii) points z_n satisfying $|z_n| < r$; (iii) functions $f_n \in \mathcal{F}$; (iv) positive numbers $\rho_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$; such that*

$$f_n(z_n + \rho_n \xi) \rightarrow g(\xi) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \tag{2.1}$$

uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{C} , where g is a nonconstant entire function in \mathbb{C} . The function g may be taken to satisfy the normalization $g^\sharp(z) < g^\sharp(0) = 1$ ($z \in \mathbb{C}$).

$$H \quad g^\sharp(z) \text{ d} \quad \text{ca d} \quad a$$

$$g^\sharp(z) = \frac{|g'(z)|}{1 + |g(z)|^2}.$$

Lemma 2.3. *Let f be a normal function on a complex Banach manifold X and suppose k_X is a metric. There exists a constant $c > 1$ such that*

$$(c\mu(f, x)) \leq (c\mu(f, y))^{2K_X(x,y)} \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

Here $\mu(f, x) := \max\{1, |f(x)|\}$.

T ¶ a d b Za d b [17] ca c
 a d . T ¶ [9, a 39] d d a
 c Ba ac a d ca .

3. Normality and P -sequences

I c ¶ b a c a a b ¶ a c-
 , a d P - c .

Theorem 3.1. *Let X be a complex Banach manifold and suppose k_X is a metric. The following statements are equivalent for $f \in \mathcal{O}(X)$:*

- (a) f is normal;
- (b) there exists a constant $Q > 0$ such that

$$Q_f(x) := \sup_{v \in T_x(X) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{ds(f(x), f_*(x)v)}{k_X(x, v)} < Q \text{ for all } x \in X; \tag{3.1}$$

- (c) there exists a constant $L > 0$ such that

$$s(f(x), f(y)) \leq L \cdot K_X(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X; \tag{3.2}$$

- (d) f has no P -sequence.

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b): Let $\mathcal{F} = \{f \circ \varphi : \varphi \in \mathcal{O}(\Delta, X)\}$. Then

$$\frac{|(f \circ \varphi)'(0)|}{1 + |f \circ \varphi(0)|^2} < L \quad \text{for } \varphi \in \mathcal{O}(\Delta, X). \quad (3.3)$$

Let $\psi \in \mathcal{O}(\Delta, X)$ with $\psi(0) = x$, $\psi_*(0)a = v$. Then

$$ds(f(x), f_*(x)v) < 2L \cdot k_X(x, v) \quad \text{for } (x, v) \in T(X).$$

Now, $Q_f \leq 2L$.

(b) \Rightarrow (c): Let X be a domain. Then

$$ds(f(x), f_*(x)v) < Q \cdot k_X(x, v).$$

Let $x, y \in X$. Then

$$s(f(x), f(y)) \leq L \cdot \tilde{K}_X(x, y).$$

Since K_X is a distance function, we have (see [4, Corollary 3])

(c) \Rightarrow (d): True.

(d) \Rightarrow (a): Let $\mathcal{F} = \{f \circ \varphi : \varphi \in \mathcal{O}(\Delta, X)\}$. Let $z_0 \in \Delta$, $\epsilon > 0$, and $\{z_n\} \subset \Delta$ with $z_n \rightarrow z_0$. Then $\{f \circ \varphi_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ and

$$s(f \circ \varphi_n(z_n), f \circ \varphi_n(z_0)) \geq \epsilon, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (3.4)$$

Since $K_\Delta = d_\Delta$, and $z_n \rightarrow z_0$, we have (see [3, Proposition 3.2]) $K_\Delta(z_n, z_0) \rightarrow 0$ as $z_n \rightarrow z_0$. But

$$K_X(\varphi(z_n), \varphi(z_0)) \leq K_\Delta(z_n, z_0) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } z_n \rightarrow z_0. \quad (3.5)$$

From (3.4), (3.5) and (d), we have

Let $\mathcal{F} = \{f \circ \varphi : \varphi \in \mathcal{O}(\Delta, X)\}$. Then, by [15, Lemma 74], \mathcal{F} is a normal family. \square

Remark 3.2. Hayashi [8] has shown that if M is a domain and $\mathcal{F} = \{f \circ \varphi : \varphi \in \mathcal{O}(\Delta, M)\}$ is a normal family, then there exist sequences $\{z_n\}$ and $\{w_n\}$ in Δ with $K_\Delta(z_n, w_n) < 1/n$ but $s(f(\psi(z_n)), f(\psi(w_n))) \geq \epsilon$ for some $\psi \in \mathcal{O}(\Delta, M)$ (see [8, Lemma 60]).

Theorem 3.3. *Let X and k_X be given as in Theorem 3.1 and let f in $\mathcal{O}(X)$. If $Q_f(x_m) \rightarrow \infty$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, then $\{x_m\}$ contains a subsequence which is a P -sequence of f .*

Proof. S c $Q_f(x_m) \rightarrow \infty$ a $m \rightarrow \infty$, b (3.1) a c
 $\{v_m\}$, $v_m \in T_{x_m}(X)$, a d $\{r_m\} \subset \mathbb{R}$, $r_m \rightarrow \infty$ a $m \rightarrow \infty$, \blacktriangledown

$$ds(f(x_m), f_*(x_m)v_m) > r_m \cdot k_X(x_m, v_m). \quad (3.6)$$

B d \subset n k_X $\psi_m \in \mathcal{O}(\Delta, X)$ c a $\psi_m(0) = x_m$,
 $\psi_{m*}(0)a_m = v_m$ $a_m > 0$ a d $a_m/2 < k_X(x_m, v_m) \leq a_m$. H c , a
(3.6) ,

$$\frac{|(f \circ \psi_m)'(0)|}{1 + |f \circ \psi_m(0)|^2} > r_m \cdot \frac{k_X(x_m, v_m)}{a_m} > \frac{r_m}{2} \rightarrow \infty \text{ a } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

B Ma , [15, a 75], a $\{f \circ \psi_m\} \subseteq \mathcal{O}(\Delta)$ a a
d c $\Delta_{\frac{1}{n}} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < \frac{1}{n}\}$. T b a ca ada a Za c a L a
[15, a 152], a b c $\{f \circ \varphi_n\}$ $\{f \circ \psi_m\}$, $z_n \rightarrow 0$, $\rho_n \rightarrow 0^+$
a d a c a c g \blacktriangledown $f \circ \varphi_n(z_n + \rho_n \xi) \rightarrow g(\xi)$ a \mathbb{C} .
Pa a b c c a , \blacktriangledown ca a a $\{f \circ \varphi_n(0)\}$ c
a $\beta \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}$. S $g_n(\xi) = f \circ \varphi_n(z_n + \rho_n \xi)$. T c c
 $\{g_n\}$ c ca g. L α b a c b , $\alpha \neq \beta$,
 \blacktriangledown c a $g(\xi) = \alpha$ a a ξ_0 \blacktriangledown c a ,
a , $g^\sharp(\xi_0) \neq 0$. B a H \blacktriangledown [15, a 9], ac b d
 ξ_0 a b a \subset n b c $\{g_n\}$ a a α . T
a c $\{\xi_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ c a $\xi_n \rightarrow \xi_0$ a d $g_n(\xi_n) = \alpha$ n
 \subset nc a . I \blacktriangledown $s(f \circ \varphi_n(z_n + \rho_n \xi_n), f \circ \varphi_n(0)) \geq s(\alpha, \beta)/2 > 0$
 $n \geq N_0$. T P ca c d_Δ c d E c da c a
0 $d_\Delta(0, z_n + \rho_n \xi_n) \rightarrow 0$ a $n \rightarrow \infty$. H c $K_X(\varphi_n(z_n + \rho_n \xi_n), \varphi_n(0)) \leq$
 $d_\Delta(z_n + \rho_n \xi_n, 0) \rightarrow 0$ a $n \rightarrow \infty$. W c a a b c $\{\varphi_n(0)\}_{n=N_0}^\infty$
 $\{x_m\}$ a P - c f. T c . \square

Remark 3.4. T c T 3.3 a , a \blacktriangledown
a [2, E a (4.3)] \blacktriangledown . L $X = \Delta$, $f(z) = \frac{i}{1-z} \in \mathcal{O}(\Delta)$,
 $z_n = \frac{n^2}{1+n^2} - \frac{i}{n+n^3}$, $w_n = \frac{n^2}{1+n^2}$. H $Q_f(w_n) \rightarrow \infty$, a d c b T
3.3 c $\{w_n\}$ c a a b c $\{w_m\}$ \blacktriangledown c a P - c f. S c
 $K_\Delta(z_m, w_m) \rightarrow 0$ a b c $\{z_m\} \subseteq \{z_n\}$ a P - c f , \blacktriangledown
c $Q_f(z_m) \rightarrow 0$.

4. Criteria for non-normality

T Za c a L a [18] c a ac a a c c
a d a a d a d c a -

A a b ∇ - a c c a d c
 a P- c ab d ∇ .

Theorem 4.1. Let X and k_X be given as in Theorem 3.1. A function $f \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ is not a normal function on X iff f has a P -sequence.

Proof. I f a a $\mathcal{F} = \{f \circ \varphi : \varphi \in \mathcal{O}(\Delta, X)\}$ a
 Δ . B Z a c a' L a, ∇ ca \mathcal{H} , $z_n \subseteq \Delta_r$, $z_n \rightarrow z_0$, a d
 $\rho_n \rightarrow 0^+$ c a $f \circ \varphi_n(z_n + \rho_n \xi) \rightarrow g(\xi)$ c ac a, ∇ g a
 c a c \mathbb{C} . S c g c a \mathbb{C} $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ c
 a $g(0) \neq g(\zeta)$. O a c a

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s(f \circ \varphi_n(z_n), f \circ \varphi_n(z_n + \rho_n \zeta)) = s(g(0), g(\zeta)) \geq \epsilon \quad \epsilon > 0,$$

a d $K_X(\varphi_n(z_n), \varphi_n(z_n + \rho_n \zeta)) \leq d_\Delta(z_n, z_n + \rho_n \zeta) \rightarrow 0$ a $n \rightarrow \infty$. H c a
 c $\{\varphi_n(z_n)\}$ X a P- c f .
 \mathbb{C} , f a a P- c $\{x_n\}$, a c $\{y_n\}$
 X c a $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} K_X(x_n, y_n) = 0$ b

$$s(f(x_n), f(y_n)) \geq \epsilon \quad \epsilon > 0, n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (4.1)$$

S a f a a c . B (3.2)

$$s(f(x_n), f(y_n)) \leq L \cdot K_X(x_n, y_n) \quad a \quad n \geq 1.$$

I $\nabla s(f(x_n), f(y_n)) \rightarrow 0$ a $n \rightarrow \infty$ ∇ c c ad c (4.1). T f
 a a c . T \square

A \mathcal{H} c c d - a a c c Δ
 b La a [10, L a 3]. F c c ac Ba ac
 a d ∇ a ∇ .

Theorem 4.2. Let X and k_X be given as in Theorem 3.1. The following statements are equivalent for $f \in \mathcal{O}(X)$:

- (a) f is not a normal function;
- (b) There exist sequences $\{y_m\}, \{x_m\}$ in X , and a constant $M > 0$ such that $K_X(y_m, x_m) < M$ for all $m \geq 1$, $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f(x_m) = \infty$, and $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f(y_m) = a \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b): S c h a ∇ a a $\mathcal{F} = \{f \circ \varphi : \varphi \in \mathcal{O}(\Delta, X)\}$ a Δ . A Z a c a L a \mathcal{F} ∇ r, z_n, ρ_n ,
 $f \circ \varphi_n$, a d g a . S c g c a c \mathbb{C} ∇
 a a c $\{\xi_m\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ c a $|g(\xi_m)| > m$. I ac, ∇
 ca , g b d d \mathbb{C} . B L , $g \equiv c$ a , a c ad c

$\forall a \in \mathbb{C}$, $g \in \mathbb{C}$. $F \ni d \xi_m \in \mathbb{C}$, n_m

$$\begin{aligned} & () |z_{n_m} + \rho_{n_m} \xi_m| < (1+r)/2; \\ & () |f \circ \varphi_{n_m}(z_{n_m} + \rho_{n_m} \xi_m)| > m/2. \end{aligned}$$

P $w_{n_m} = z_{n_m} + \rho_{n_m} \xi_m$, $x_m = \varphi_{n_m}(w_{n_m})$, and $y_m = \varphi_{n_m}(z_{n_m})$.
 B $c \in \mathbb{C}$ $K \in \mathbb{C}$

$$K_X(y_m, x_m) = K_X(\varphi_{n_m}(w_{n_m}), \varphi_{n_m}(z_{n_m})) \leq d_\Delta(w_{n_m}, z_{n_m}).$$

B $a \in \mathbb{C}$ $d_\Delta(w_{n_m}, z_{n_m}) \leq d_\Delta(0, z_{n_m}) + d_\Delta(0, w_{n_m})$. $F \ni a \in \mathbb{C}$
 P $c \in \mathbb{C}$ $d \in \mathbb{C}$

$$d_\Delta(0, z) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1+|z|}{1-|z|}.$$

S $c \in \mathbb{C}$ $|z_{n_m}| < r$, and $|w_{n_m}| < (1+r)/2$, $\forall a \in \mathbb{C}$

$$d_\Delta(w_{n_m}, z_{n_m}) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{(1+r)}{(1-r)} + \frac{(3+r)}{(1-r)} \right).$$

P $a \in \mathbb{C}$ $\forall K_X(y_m, x_m) \leq M$ $\forall a \in \mathbb{C}$

$$2M = \frac{(1+r)}{(1-r)} + \frac{(3+r)}{(1-r)} < \infty.$$

B (), $\forall a \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f(x_m) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f \circ \varphi_{n_m}(z_{n_m} + \rho_{n_m} \xi_m) = \infty.$$

B (2.1), $\forall a \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f(y_m) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f \circ \varphi_{n_m}(z_{n_m} + \rho_{n_m} 0) = g(0) \in \mathbb{C}.$$

(b) \Rightarrow (a): A $c \in \mathbb{C}$, $a \in \mathbb{C}$, $f \in \mathbb{C}$. B L a 2.3,

$$(c\mu(f, x_m)) \leq (c\mu(f, y_m))^{2K_X(x_m, y_m)} \quad \forall m \geq 1.$$

T $a \in \mathbb{C}$ $d \in \mathbb{C}$ $a \in \mathbb{C}$ $d \in \mathbb{C}$ $\forall m \rightarrow \infty$ $\forall a \in \mathbb{C}$
 $\forall a \in \mathbb{C}$ $d \in \mathbb{C}$ $a \in \mathbb{C}$ $b \in \mathbb{C}$ $\forall c \in \mathbb{C}$ $a \in \{1, |a|\}$ 2r $a \in \mathbb{C}$
 $\forall a \in \mathbb{C}$ $d \in \mathbb{C}$ $\forall c \in \mathbb{C}$ $\forall a \in \mathbb{C}$ \square

5. Further results

Theorem 5.1. *Let X and k_X be given as in Theorem 3.1. If $f \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ is a normal function and if h is a non-normal holomorphic function on X such that each sequence $\{x_m\}$ of points in X contains a subsequence $\{x_{m_k}\}$ on which at most one of f or h is unbounded, then $f + h$ is a non-normal function.*

Proof. Suppose h is normal. Then $\mathcal{H} = \{h \circ \varphi : \varphi \in \mathcal{O}(\Delta, X)\}$ is a normal family. Let $\Delta_r \subset \Delta$ and $z_n \in \Delta_r$ with $\rho_n \rightarrow 0^+$. Then $h \circ \varphi_n(z_n + \rho_n \xi) \rightarrow g(\xi)$ uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{C} . B

$$\rho_n \frac{|h_*(\varphi_n(z_n + \rho_n \xi))\varphi'_n(z_n + \rho_n \xi)|}{1 + |h(\varphi_n(z_n + \rho_n \xi))|^2} \rightarrow g^\sharp(\xi).$$

Let $\{\xi_m\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ with $|g(\xi_m)| > m$ and $g'(\xi_m) \neq 0$. L

1. If $g'(\xi) \neq 0$ and g is bounded on \mathbb{C} , then $g \equiv c$, a contradiction.
2. Let $\{a_m\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ with $g'(a_m) \neq 0$ and $|g(a_m)| < L < \infty$. Let $\{\xi \in \mathbb{C} : g'(\xi) = 0\}$. Then $|g(a_m)| < L$. B

For $\xi_m \in \mathbb{C}$ with n_m a

- () $|\lambda_{n_m}| < (1+r)/2$;
- () $g^\sharp(\xi_m)/\rho_{n_m} > m/2$;
- () $\rho_{n_m}|h_*(x_m)v_m|/(1 + |h(x_m)|^2) > g^\sharp(\xi_m)/2$;
- () $|h(x_m)| > m/2$.

Let $\lambda_{n_m} = z_{n_m} + \rho_{n_m}\xi_m$, $x_m = \varphi_{n_m}(\lambda_{n_m})$, and $v_m = \varphi'_{n_m}(\lambda_{n_m})$. I (), (), and ()

$$\frac{|h_*(x_m)v_m|}{1 + |h(x_m)|^2} > \frac{g^\sharp(\xi_m)}{2\rho_{n_m}} > \frac{(4 - (1+r)^2)m}{16} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - |\lambda_{n_m}|^2}.$$

By the Schwarz lemma, $k_\Delta(\lambda_{n_m}, 1) \geq k_X(\varphi_{n_m}(\lambda_{n_m}), \varphi'_{n_m}(\lambda_{n_m}) \cdot 1)$.

$$\frac{1}{1 - |\lambda_{n_m}|^2} = k_\Delta(\lambda_{n_m}, 1) \geq k_X(\varphi_{n_m}(\lambda_{n_m}), \varphi'_{n_m}(\lambda_{n_m}) \cdot 1).$$

H c

$$\frac{|h_*(x_m)v_m|}{1 + |h(x_m)|^2} > \frac{(4 - (1 + r)^2)m}{16} \cdot k_X(x_m, v_m).$$

S c $|h(x_m)| \rightarrow \infty$, a $m \rightarrow \infty$, b c d a b c c a , ∇
 a a a f b d d $\{x_m\}$, a
 $|f(x_m)| < M < \infty$ a $m \geq 1$, a d c , m $\in \mathbb{N}$ a , ∇ a ¹

$$\frac{|(f + h)_*(x_m)v_m|}{1 + |f(x_m) + h(x_m)|^2} > \frac{|h_*(x_m)v_m| - |f_*(x_m)v_m|}{2(1 + |f(x_m)|^2)(1 + |h(x_m)|^2)} \geq$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{1 + |M|^2} \cdot \frac{|h_*(x_m)v_m|}{1 + |h(x_m)|^2} - \frac{|f_*(x_m)v_m|}{1 + |f(x_m)|^2} \right].$$

B , f a a c X c , b T 3.1,
 $Q > 0$ c a $Q_f(x_m) < Q$ a $m \geq 1$. T

$$Q_{f+h}(x_m) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{(4 - (1 + r)^2)m}{16(1 + |M|^2)} - Q \right] \rightarrow \infty \text{ a } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

B T 3.1, $f+h$ a a c X. □
 T c .

L a d V a [12, a 53] a a a a c a d
 a b d d c (∇ c c a a) a a c . T
 ∇ a c c , ac a 0 a d 1, d b
 a ([10, a 191]).
 I $\in \mathbb{N}$ d a ca ∇ a ∇ .

Theorem 5.2. *If under the conditions of Theorem 3.1, f_1, \dots, f_l are a finite number of normal holomorphic function on X such that each sequence $\{x_n\}$ of points in X contains a subsequence $\{x_{n_m}\}$ on which at most one of f_j ($1 \leq j \leq l$) is unbounded, then $h := \sum_{j=1}^l f_j$ is a normal function.*

Proof. S , c a , a h a a c . B T
 4.2, ∇ ca $\in \mathbb{N}$ d ∇ c $\{x_n\}$ a d $\{y_n\}$ X, a d a
 c a M c a $K_X(x_m, y_m) < M$ a $m \geq 1$, $m \rightarrow \infty$ $h(x_m) = \infty$, a d
 $m \rightarrow \infty$ $h(y_m) = a \in \mathbb{C}$. S c $m \rightarrow \infty$ $h(x_m) = \infty$ $\{x_m\}$ c a a b -
 c a a d d b $\{x_m\}$ c a a f_j , a f_1 , b d d

¹ I a a d b $\in \mathbb{C}$

$$1 + |a + b|^2 \leq 1 + (|a| + |b|)^2 < 2(1 + |a|^2)(1 + |b|^2).$$

$\{x_m\}$. S c $m \rightarrow \infty h(y_m) = a \in \mathbb{C}$ $\{y_m\}$ c a a b c $\{y_{m_k}\}$
 c a :
 () a a $\forall f_j (1 \leq j \leq l)$ b d d $\{y_{m_k}\}$;
 () $k \rightarrow \infty f_j(y_{m_k}) = \alpha_j \in \mathbb{C} (1 \leq j \leq l)$.
 T ca () c d d b a c a .
 H c $k \rightarrow \infty f_1(x_{m_k}) = \infty$, $k \rightarrow \infty f_1(y_{m_k}) = \alpha_1 \in \mathbb{C}$, a d $K_X(x_{m_k}, y_{m_k}) < M$
 M a $k \geq 1$. B T 4.2 f_1 a a c , a c a d c $\forall c$
 c a . □

Theorem 5.3. Under the assumption of Theorem 3.1 let $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ be two sequences of points in X , and let M be a positive constant such that $K_X(x_m, y_m) < M$ for all $m \geq 1$. If $f \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ is a normal function which omits $l \in \mathbb{C}$ in X but $m \rightarrow \infty s(f(x_m), l) = 0$ then $m \rightarrow \infty s(f(y_m), l) = 0$.

Proof. A $\zeta \pi$ a $l \in \mathbb{C}$. S c

$$\frac{1 + |f(x) - l|^2}{1 + |f(x)|^2} < 2(1 + |l|^2)$$

(1) \forall a d T 3.1 a
 $g(x) = 1/(f(x) - l)$ a a c c X. I a a
 $m \rightarrow \infty g(x_m) = \infty$. T , \forall a $m \rightarrow \infty g(y_m) = \infty$ b T 4.2.
 H c $m \rightarrow \infty s(f(y_m), l) = 0$ a d d.
 I $l = \infty$ c a a d a c c T 4.2. T
 c . □

6. Boundary behavior of normal functions in a fixed boundary point

I [1] F. Ba a d W. S d d \forall : Given a sequence $\{z_j\} \subset \Delta$ converging to some $\zeta \in \partial\Delta$ and a holomorphic mapping $f \in \mathcal{O}(\Delta, \overline{\mathbb{C}})$ such that $j \rightarrow \infty s(f(z_j), l) = 0$ for some $l \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}$, under what conditions on f and $\{z_j\}$ can f have the limit l along some continuum in Δ which is asymptotic at ζ ?

I c , $\zeta \pi$ d a a a . F
 \forall d c d $\zeta \pi$ a d \forall a .
 I a D b a b d d a d c d a a c
 Ba ac ac $(V, \|\cdot\|)$, a d K_D b K ba a c D .
 A d a $D \subseteq V$ ca d convex (in the real sense) $(1 - t)x + ty \subset D$
 a $x, y \in D$ a d $0 \leq t \leq 1$.

Lemma 6.1. Let D be a bounded and convex (in the real sense) domain in a complex Banach space $(V, \|\cdot\|)$ and let $\xi \in \partial D$. Then for all $a \in D$ the set $l_\xi(a) = \{y = \xi + t(a - \xi), 0 < t < 1\}$ is contained in D .

Since $\alpha < \infty$, we have

$$K_D(z, l_\xi(b)) \leq \alpha + d_\Delta(0, \zeta).$$

It follows that

$$z \in A_{\alpha+C}(\xi, b)$$

$$C = d_\Delta(0, \zeta) < \infty. \quad \square$$

Remark 6.4. If D is a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n and $A_\alpha(\xi, a)$ is a compact subset of D , then

Definition 6.5. A function $f \in \mathcal{O}(D)$ is said to have an admissible limit $l \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ at $\xi \in \partial D$, if $\alpha > 0$ and $\{x_n\} \subset A_\alpha(\xi, a)$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = l.$$

Remark 6.6. From Lemma 6.3, we have $a \in D$.

Theorem 6.7. Let D be a bounded and convex (in the real sense) domain in a complex Banach space $(V, \|\cdot\|)$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence of points in $I_\xi(a)$ which tends to $\xi \in \partial D$, such that there exists a constant $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $K_D(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \varepsilon$ for all $n \geq 1$. Suppose that the function $f \in \mathcal{O}(D)$ is normal on D , omits $l \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ in D but

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = l.$$

Then f has an admissible limit l at ξ .

Proof. For $a \in D$, we have $\{q_n\} \subset I_\xi(a)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(q_n) = l$. It follows that $q_n \rightarrow \xi$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $t_n, t_n \in [0, 1]$, $q_n = x_{j_n} + t_n(x_{j_n+1} - x_{j_n})$. For $t \in [0, 1]$ define $g_t \in \mathcal{O}((D \times D) \times (D \times D))$ by $g_t((x, y), (w, z)) = (tx + (1-t)y, tw + (1-t)z)$. Then [5, P. 83] we have $x, y, w, z \in D$ and

$$K_{D \times D}((x, y), (w, z)) \geq K_D(tx + (1-t)y, tw + (1-t)z). \quad (6.1)$$

By [5, P. V.4.2, (6.1)] we have

$$K_{D \times D}((x, y), (w, z)) = \max\{K_D(x, y), K_D(w, z)\}.$$

Combining (6.1) and (6.2) we have

$$K_D(tx + (1-t)y, tw + (1-t)z) \leq \max\{K_D(x, w), K_D(y, z)\}$$

for $0 \leq t \leq 1$ and $x, y, w, z \in D$. It follows that

$$K_D(x_{j_n}, q_n) = K_D(x_{j_n}, x_{j_n} + t_n(x_{j_n+1} - x_{j_n})) \leq K_D(x_{j_n}, x_{j_n+1}) < \varepsilon.$$

$$A \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s(f(x_{j_n}), l) = 0 \text{ and } K_D(x_{j_n}, q_n) < \varepsilon \quad \text{for } n \geq 1, \quad \forall$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s(f(q_n), l) = 0$$

b C a 5.3.

L $\{y_n\}$ b a c $A_\alpha(\xi, a)$ $\forall c$ c $\xi \in \partial D$.
 F y_n a $b_n \in l_\xi(a)$ c a $2\alpha > K_D(y_n, b_n)$ a
 $n \geq 1$. E b_n c a b \forall $b_n = \xi + \tau_n(a - \xi)$ $\forall \tau_n \in [0, 1]$.
 H c, $\{b_n\}$ a a c b c $\{b_{n_j}\}$. L $b = \xi + \tau_0(a - \xi)$ b a
 $\{b_{n_j}\}$.

A $\tau_0 \neq 0$ \forall a d a c a d c . C a b r
 c a $B_r(b) = \{y \in V : \|y - b\| < r\} \subset D$. S c c $\{b_{n_j}\}$ d b a
 $j \rightarrow \infty$ a j_0 c a $\|b_{n_j} - b\| < r/2$ a $j \geq j_0$. B
 a (4.3) [3, a 52]

$$K_D(b_{n_j}, b) < a^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \quad \text{for } j \geq j_0.$$

T $j \geq j_0$ \forall a

$$K_D(y_{n_j}, b) < K_D(y_{n_j}, b_{n_j}) + K_D(b_{n_j}, b) < 2\alpha + a^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right).$$

T c a d c a a c $\{y_n\}$ d $\xi \in \partial D$, c
 ba (D, K_D) b d d a \forall b da ([3, a 88]). H c
 $\tau_0 = 0$ a d c $\{b_n\} \subset l_\xi(a)$ d ξ a $n \rightarrow \infty$. A d ab
 $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s(f(b_n), l) = 0$ a d c $K_D(y_n, b_n) < \alpha$ a $n \geq 1$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s(f(y_n), l) = 0$$

b C a 5.3. H c f a ad b l a ξ . □

References

[1] F. BAGEMIHLE and W. SEIDEL, *Sequential and continuous limits of meromorphic functions*, *Amer. Acad. Sci. F. Math.* **280** (1960).
 [2] D. M. CAMPBELL and G. WICKES, *Characterizations of normal meromorphic functions*, I : *Canad. Math. Bull.*, J. et al. (ed.), *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **57**, 1978, *Canad. Math. Bull.*, **57**, 747, 752.
 [3] S. DINEEN, *Topological Manifolds*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1989.
 [4] C. J. EARLE, L. A. HARRIS, J. H. HUBBARD and S. MITRA, *Schwarz's lemma and the Kobayashi and Carathéodory metrics on complex Banach manifolds*, I : *Canad. Math. Bull.*, **46**, 1999, 363-384.
 [5] T. FRANZONI and E. VESENTINI, *Harmonic Mappings in the Plane*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1980.

- [6] V. I. GAVRILOV, *Boundary properties of functions meromorphic in the unit disc*, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **151** (1963), 19-22 (Russian).
- [7] P. GAUTHIER, *A criterion of normalcy*, *Nagoya Math. J.* **32** (1968), 272-282.
- [8] K. T. HAHN, *Non-tangential limit theorems for normal mappings*, *Pacific J. Math.* **135** (1988), 57-64.
- [9] M. H. KWACK, *Family Normality and Montel's Theorem*, *Complex Variables, Theory and Applications*, Vol. 33, *Routledge*, Glasgow, 1996.
- [10] P. LAPPAN, *Non-normal sums and products of unbounded normal functions*, *Math. J.* **8** (1961), 187-192.
- [11] P. LAPPAN, *Normal families and normal functions: results and techniques*, I: *Function Spaces and Complex Analysis*, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **1997**, 63-78.
- [12] O. LEHTO and K. I. VIRTANEN, *Boundary behaviour and normal meromorphic functions*, *Acta Math.* **97** (1957), 47-65.
- [13] A. J. LOHWATER, *The boundary behavior of analytic functions*, I: *Complex Plane*, *Mathematical Foundations*, Vol. 10, *Indiana University Press*, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, *Vestnik Nauchno-Tekhnicheskogo Centr*, *Math.* **1973**, 99-259 (Russian).
- [14] K. NOSHIRO, *Contributions to the theory of meromorphic functions in the unit circle*, *J. Fac. Sc. Hiroshima Univ.*, *S.I.* (1938), 149-159.
- [15] J. L. SHCHIFF, *Normal Families*, *Soviet Math. Dokl.*, **1993**.
- [16] K. YOSIDA, *On a class of meromorphic functions* *Pacific Math.* **1**, 3 (1934), 227-235.
- [17] M. G. ZAIDENBERG, *Schottky-Landau growth estimates for s -normal families of holomorphic mappings*, *Math. A.* **293** (1992), 123-141.
- [18] L. ZALCMAN, *Normal families: new perspectives*, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **35** (1998), 215-230.

Igor M. A. C.
 and C. Sc. Acad.
 Sc. M. d. a.
 5 Acad. S.
 K., MD-2028, R. b. c. M. d. a.
 .d. b. @. a. c.