

## A simple proof of the propagation of singularities for solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations

YIFENG YU

**Abstract.** In Albano-Cannarsa [1] the authors proved that, under some conditions, the singularities of the semiconcave viscosity solutions of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation propagate along generalized characteristics. In this note we will provide a simple proof of this interesting result.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2000):** 35F20 (primary); 35D99 (secondary).

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Throughout this note we assume that  $u \in C(\bar{\Omega})$  is a semiconcave viscosity solution of the following Hamilton-Jacobi equation

$$H(Du, u, x) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega. \quad (1.1)$$

For  $x \in \Omega$  we set

$$D^+u(x) = \{p \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid u(y) \leq u(x) + p \cdot (y - x) + o(|x - y|)\}.$$

As in [1], we assume that  $H \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \times \Omega)$  and satisfies

- (A1)  $H(\cdot, z, x)$  is convex for each  $(z, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \Omega$ ;
- (A2) For each  $(z, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \Omega$ , the 0-level set  $\{p \mid H(p, z, x) = 0\}$  does not contain any line segment.

We want to remark that under the convexity assumption (A1),  $u$  is a semiconcave viscosity solution of equation (1.1) if and only if  $u$  is semiconcave and satisfies equation (1.1) almost everywhere. For  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , we denote  $\text{co}(K)$  as the convex hull of  $K$ . Using some results in Albano-Cannarsa [2] about the propagation of the singularities for semiconcave functions, Albano and Cannarsa proved the following interesting theorem in [1].

**Theorem 1.1.** *If  $x_0 \in \Sigma(u)$  and  $0 \notin \text{co}(D_p H(D^+u(x_0), u(x_0), x_0))$  then there exists  $\sigma > 0$  and a Lipschitz continuous curve  $\xi(s) : [0, \sigma] \rightarrow \Sigma(u)$  such that*

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\xi}(s) \in \text{co}(D_p H(D^+u(\xi(s)), u(\xi(s)), \xi(s))) \not\equiv 0 & \text{for a.e. } s \in [0, \sigma] \\ \xi(0) = x_0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\max_{0 \leq s \leq \sigma} \min_{p \in D^+u(\xi(s))} H(p, u(\xi(s)), \xi(s)) < 0,$$

where

$$\Sigma(u) = \{x \in \Omega \mid u \text{ is not differentiable at } x\}.$$

The proof in [1] is very technical. The techniques and methods used there are important for studying the singularities for general semiconcave functions. For the semiconcave viscosity solution  $u$  of equation (1.1), we can in fact give a simple proof of Theorem 1.1 by approximating  $u$  with smooth functions. See [1] for more backgrounds and comments in the singularities of semiconcave viscosity solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations. We also refer to [2], Ambrosio-Cannarsa-Soner [3] and Cannarsa-Sinestrari [4] for detailed discussions about singularities of semiconcave functions.

## 2. Proofs

Since semiconcave functions are locally Lipschitz continuous, in this section, we assume that

$$\text{esssup}_{\Omega} |Du| \leq C \text{ and } D^2u \leq C I_n \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

where  $I_n$  is the  $n \times n$  identity matrix. We first prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $V$  be an open subset such that  $x_0 \in V \subset \bar{V} \subset \Omega$ . If  $x_0 \in \Sigma(u)$ , then there exist a sequence of smooth functions  $\{u_m(x)\}_{m \geq 1}$  in  $\Omega$  such that*

- (i)  $\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} u_m = u$ , uniformly in  $\bar{V}$ ;
- (ii)  $\max_{\bar{V}} |Du_m| \leq C$ ,  $D^2u_m \leq C I_m$  in  $V$ ;
- (iii)  $\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} Du_m(x_0) = q$  for some  $q \in D^+u(x_0)$  satisfying  $H(q, u(x_0), x_0) < 0$ .

*Proof.* Let

$$u_\epsilon(x) = \frac{1}{\epsilon^n} \int_{\Omega} u(y) \eta\left(\frac{x-y}{\epsilon}\right) dy,$$

where  $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\overline{B_1(0)})$  and satisfies

$$\eta > 0 \text{ in } B_1(0) \text{ and } \int_{B_1(0)} \eta(x) dx = 1.$$

Then  $u_\epsilon$  is smooth and

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} u_\epsilon = u \quad \text{uniformly in } \bar{V}.$$

When  $\epsilon$  is small enough, we have that

$$|Du_\epsilon| \leq C \text{ and } D^2u_\epsilon \leq CI_n \text{ in } V.$$

**Case 1.** If  $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} Du_\epsilon(x_0)$  does not exist, then there exist two subsequence  $\epsilon_m \rightarrow 0$  and  $\delta_m \rightarrow 0$  such that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} Du_{\epsilon_m}(x_0) = p_1 \neq p_2 = \lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} Du_{\delta_m}(x_0).$$

We have that  $p_1, p_2 \in D^+u(x_0)$ . Owing to (A1),  $H(p_1, u(x_0), x_0) \leq 0$  and  $H(p_2, u(x_0), x_0) \leq 0$ . Let

$$u_m(x) = \frac{1}{2}(u_{\epsilon_m}(x) + u_{\delta_m}(x)).$$

By (A2), we get the desired  $\{u_m\}_{m \geq 1}$ .

**Case 2.** If  $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} Du_\epsilon(x_0)$  exists, we denote

$$q = (q_1, \dots, q_n) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} Du_\epsilon(x_0).$$

According to (A1),  $H(q, u(x_0), x_0) \leq 0$ . If  $H(q, u(x_0), x_0) = 0$ , we claim that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon^n} \int_{B_\epsilon(x_0)} |Du(y) - q| \eta \left( \frac{x_0 - y}{\epsilon} \right) dy = 0.$$

If not, then there exists a  $\delta > 0$  and a subsequence  $\epsilon_k \rightarrow 0^+$  as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$  such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\epsilon_k^n} \int_{B_{\epsilon_k}(x_0)} |Du(y) - q| \eta \left( \frac{x_0 - y}{\epsilon_k} \right) dy \geq 2\sqrt{n}\delta.$$

For  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , we denote

$$A_i = \{x \in B_{\epsilon_k}(x_0) \mid |u_{x_i}(x) - q_i| \geq \delta\}.$$

Then we must have that

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\epsilon_k^n} \int_{\cup_{i=1}^n A_i} \eta \left( \frac{x_0 - y}{\epsilon_k} \right) dy > 0. \tag{2.1}$$

Since

$$A_i = \{x \in B_{\epsilon_k}(x_0) \mid u_{x_i}(x) - q_i \geq \delta\} \cup \{x \in B_{\epsilon_k}(x_0) \mid u_{x_i}(x) - q_i \leq -\delta\},$$

upon passing if necessary to a subsequence, according to (2.1), without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\epsilon_k^n} \int_{A_1^+} \eta \left( \frac{x_0 - y}{\epsilon_k} \right) dy = \tau > 0$$

and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\tau \epsilon_k^n} \int_{A_1^+} Du(y) \eta \left( \frac{x_0 - y}{\epsilon_k} \right) dy = q' = (q'_1, \dots, q'_n)$$

where

$$A_1^+ = \{x \in B_{\epsilon_k}(x_0) \mid u_{x_1}(x) - q_1 \geq \delta\}.$$

Since  $q = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} Du_\epsilon(x_0)$ , we have that  $\tau < 1$ . Otherwise, we have that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} u_{\epsilon_k, x_1}(x_0) - q_1 \geq \delta.$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\epsilon_k^n} \int_{B_{\epsilon_k}(x_0) \setminus A_1^+} \eta \left( \frac{x_0 - y}{\epsilon_k} \right) dy = 1 - \tau > 0$$

and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{(1 - \tau)\epsilon_k^n} \int_{B_{\epsilon_k}(x_0) \setminus A_1^+} Du(x) \eta \left( \frac{x_0 - y}{\epsilon_k} \right) dy = \frac{q - \tau q'}{1 - \tau} = q''.$$

Owing to (A1), we have that

$$H(q', u(x_0), x_0) \leq 0, \quad H(q'', u(x_0), x_0) \leq 0. \tag{2.2}$$

Also,

$$q = \tau q' + (1 - \tau)q''.$$

By the definition of  $A_1^+$ ,  $q'_1 - q_1 \geq \delta$ . Hence  $q' \neq q$  and  $q' \neq q''$ . Since  $H(q, u(x_0), x_0) = 0$ , (2.2) implies that the 0-level set  $\{p \mid H(p, u(x_0), x_0) = 0\}$  contains the line segment connecting  $q'$  and  $q''$ . This contradicts the assumption (A2). So our claim holds. Since  $\eta > 0$  in  $B_1(0)$ , we have that  $x_0$  is a Lebesgue point of  $Du$ . So  $u$  is differentiable at  $x_0$ . This is a contradiction. Therefore  $H(q, u(x_0), x_0) < 0$ . So in this case we can choose  $u_\epsilon$  as the desired sequence of smooth functions.  $\square$

**Remark 2.2.** Lemma 2.1 is still true by replacing  $q$  in (iii) with any  $p \in D^+u(x_0)$ . To prove it, we need to choose more delicate mollification of  $u$  instead of the standard mollification. For our purpose, Lemma 2.1 is enough.

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.**

*Step I.* Choose an open set  $V$  such that  $x_0 \in V \subset \bar{V} \subset \Omega$ . Let  $\{u_m\}_{m \geq 1}$  be the sequence of smooth functions from Lemma 2.1. By a compactness argument, it is easy to show that for any fixed  $x \in V$

$$\text{Sup}_{\{k \geq m, |y-x| \leq \delta\}} d(Du_k(y), D^+u(x)) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow +\infty \text{ and } \delta \rightarrow 0, \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \text{Sup}_{\{|y-x| \leq \delta\}} d(Du^+(y), D^+u(x)) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $0 \notin \text{co}(D_p H(D^+u(x_0), u(x_0), x_0))$ , without loss of generality, we may assume that there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & B_\delta(0) \cap \text{co} \left\{ D_p H(Du^+(x), u(x), x), D_p H(Du_m(x), u_m(x), x) \mid x \in V, m \geq 1 \right\} \\ & = \Phi. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Hence there exists a  $\sigma > 0$  such that for each  $m \geq 1$ , there exists a  $C^1$  curve  $\xi_m(s) : [0, \sigma] \rightarrow V$  such that

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\xi}_m(s) = D_p H(Du_m(\xi_m(s)), u_m(\xi_m(s)), \xi_m(s)) \neq 0 \\ \xi_m(0) = x_0. \end{cases}$$

*Step II.* We claim that

$$H(Du_m(\xi_m(s)), u_m(\xi_m(s)), \xi_m(s)) \leq H(Du_m(x_0), u_m(x_0), x_0) + Cs, \quad (2.5)$$

where  $C$  is some constant depending only on  $H$  and  $u$ . Since  $D^2u_m \leq CI_m$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{ds} H(Du_m(\xi_m(s)), u_m(\xi_m(s)), \xi_m(s)) &= H_{p_i} H_{p_j} u_{m, x_i x_j} + H_{p_i} H_z u_{m, x_i} + H_{x_i} H_{p_i} \\ &\leq C |D_p H|^2 + |D_p H| |Du_m| |H_z| + |D_x H| |D_p H| \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

So our claim holds. We assume that  $\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} Du_m(x_0) = q$ . According to the choice of  $u_m$ ,  $q \in D^+u(x_0)$  and  $H(q, u(x_0), x_0) < 0$ . Owing to (2.5), if we choose  $\sigma > 0$  small enough, without loss of generality, we may assume that for  $m \geq 1$  and  $s \in [0, \sigma]$

$$H(Du_m(\xi_m(s)), u_m(\xi_m(s)), \xi_m(s)) \leq \frac{1}{2} H(q, u(x_0), x_0) < 0. \quad (2.6)$$

*Step III.* Since  $\{\xi_m\}_{m \geq 1}$  is uniformly Lipschitz continuous, passing to a subsequence if it is necessary, we assume that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \xi_m(s) = \xi(s) \quad \text{uniformly in } [0, \sigma].$$

Hence

$$\dot{\xi}_m = D_p H(Du_m(\xi_m(s)), u_m(\xi_m(s)), \xi_m(s)) \rightharpoonup \dot{\xi}(s) \quad \text{weakly in } L^2[0, \sigma]. \quad (2.7)$$

Owing to (2.7), a subsequence of convex combinations of  $\dot{\xi}_m(s)$  converges to  $\dot{\xi}(s)$  a.e. in  $[0, \sigma]$ . Hence by (2.3) and (2.4),

$$\dot{\xi}(s) \in \text{co}(D_p H(D^+u(\xi(s)), u(\xi(s)), \xi(s))) \neq 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } s \in [0, \sigma].$$

Owing to (2.3) and (2.6), we derive that

$$\max_{s \in [0, \sigma]} \min_{p \in D^+u(\xi(s))} H(p, u(\xi(s)), \xi(s)) \leq \frac{1}{2} H(q, u(x_0), x_0) < 0.$$

Hence  $\xi([0, \sigma]) \subset \Sigma(u)$  and

$$\dot{\xi}(s) \in \text{co}(D_p(H(D^+u(\xi(s)), u(\xi(s)), \xi(s)))) \neq 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } s \in [0, \sigma]. \quad \square$$

## References

- [1] P. ALBANO and P. CANNARSA, *Propagation of singularities for solutions of nonlinear first order partial differential equations*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **162** (2002), 1–23.
- [2] P. ALBANO and P. CANNARSA, *Structural properties of singularities of semiconcave functions*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) **28** (1999), 719–740.
- [3] L. AMBROSIO, P. CANNARSA and H. M. SONER, *On the propagation of singularities of semi-convex functions*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) **20** (1993), 597–616.
- [4] P. CANNARSA and C. SINISTRARI, “Semiconcave Functions, Hamilton-Jacobi Equations, and Optimal Control”, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, Vol. 58, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2004.
- [5] L. C. EVANS, “Partial Differential Equations”, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Vol. 19, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998.

Department of Mathematics  
 RLM 10.148, 475-8145  
 1 University Station C 1200  
 Austin, TX 78712-0257, USA  
 yifengyu@math.utexas.edu