

ANNALI DELLA
SCUOLA NORMALE SUPERIORE DI PISA
Classe di Scienze

MARGHERITA BARILE

MARCEL MORALES

On Stanley-Reisner rings of reduction number one

Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di Scienze 4^e série, tome 29,
n° 3 (2000), p. 605-610

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=ASNSP_2000_4_29_3_605_0

© Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, 2000, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di Scienze » (<http://www.sns.it/it/edizioni/riviste/annaliscienze/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

On Stanley-Reisner Rings of Reduction Number One

MARGHERITA BARILE – MARCEL MORALES

Abstract. In this paper we study a particular class of algebraic varieties, which are the finite unions of linear spaces. For a suitable choice of the system of coordinates these varieties are defined by squarefree monomials. Their coordinate rings are Stanley-Reisner rings of simplicial complexes. Each simplicial complex determines a simple, one-dimensional non directed graph. We give a combinatorial criterion on the graph which assures that the Stanley-Reisner ring has a system of parameters consisting of linear forms. The resulting class of Stanley-Reisner rings strictly includes those which are Cohen-Macaulay of minimal degree. These belong to the class of varieties classified by Eisenbud and Goto in [2]. An explicit constructive description of these varieties has been developed in [1].

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 13A02 (primary), 13F55, 05C15 (secondary).

Preliminaries

Let K be an infinite field, and let x_1, \dots, x_n be indeterminates over K . Let Δ be a simplicial complex of dimension d on the vertex set $V = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. Let I_Δ be the ideal of $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ generated by the products of those sets of variables which are not faces of Δ . Then $R = K[\Delta] = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I_\Delta$ is called the *Stanley-Reisner ring* of Δ over K . It holds $\dim R = d + 1$. By $r(R)$ we shall denote the *reduction number* of R , i.e. the least number ρ for which there exist $d + 1$ linear forms g_1, \dots, g_{d+1} such that

$$R_{\rho+1} = (g_1, \dots, g_{d+1})R_\rho.$$

As a consequence g_1, \dots, g_{d+1} form a system of parameters, which we call a *system of ρ -parameters*.

Recall that the reduction number is related to the multiplicity $e(R)$ by the following sequence of implications, found by Eisenbud-Goto [2], p. 117:

- (1) R is Cohen-Macaulay and $e(R) = 1 + \text{codim } R$;

Pervenuto alla Redazione il 5 ottobre 1999.

- \implies (2) R has a 2-linear resolution;
 \implies (3) $r(R) = 1$;
 \implies (4) $e(R) \leq 1 + \text{codim } R$.

Here $\text{codim } R = \dim_K R_1 - \dim R$. It is well-known that if R is Cohen-Macaulay, then $e(R) \geq 1 + \text{codim } R$. In this case conditions (1)-(4) are equivalent. Fröberg [3] gives a graph-theoretic characterization of all simplicial complexes whose Stanley-Reisner rings fulfil (1)-(4). We first recall the basic definitions.

The *graph associated to* Δ will be the (1-dimensional) graph $G(\Delta)$ on the vertex set V whose edges are the 1-dimensional faces of Δ (this is often called the 1-skeleton of Δ). Vice versa, if G is a graph on the vertex set V , we shall consider the *simplicial complex associated to* G , denoted by $\Delta(G)$, whose maximal faces are all subsets F of V such that the complete graph on F is a subgraph of G . Let K_{d+1} denote the complete graph on $d + 1$ vertices. We give the following recursive definition:

- (a) K_{d+1} is a *generalized d -tree*;
- (b) Let G be a graph on the vertex set V . Suppose that there is some vertex $v \in V$ such that:
- (i) the restriction G' of G to $V' = V \setminus \{v\}$ is a *generalized d -tree*, and
 - (ii) there is a subset V'' of V' , where $|V''| = j$, $0 \leq j \leq d$, such that the restriction of G to V'' is isomorphic to K_j , and
 - (iii) G is the graph generated by G' and the complete graph on $V'' \cup \{v\}$.

In particular, G is called a *d -tree* if $j = d$ in (ii). A union of (*generalized*) *d -trees* on disjoint vertex sets is called a (*generalized*) *d -forest*.

Now we can quote Fröberg's result:

THEOREM 0.1. [3], Theorem 2. *The Stanley-Reisner ring R of Δ fulfils (1)-(4) if and only if*

- (i) *the graph $G(\Delta)$ is a d -tree and*
- (ii) $\Delta = \Delta(G(\Delta))$.

We want to generalize this result and describe a larger class of simplicial complexes Δ for which $r(R) = 1$.

1. – The main theorem

For the formulation of our main result we borrow some notion from graph theory.

Two distinct vertices will be called *neighbours* if they belong to the same face of Δ . A *circuit* of $G(\Delta)$ will be a sequence of $s \geq 3$ distinct elements x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_s} of V such that x_{i_ν} is a neighbour of $x_{i_{\nu+1}}$ for all $\nu = 1, \dots, s - 1$, and x_{i_s} is a neighbour of x_{i_1} .

DEFINITION 1. A $(d + 1)$ -colouring of Δ will be a partition of the vertex set V into $d + 1$ blocks, called *colour classes*, such that each two neighbours belong to different colour classes.

A $(d + 1)$ -colouring of Δ will be called *good* if the vertices of each circuit belong to three different colour classes at least.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let Δ be a d -dimensional simplicial complex. Let R be its Stanley-Reisner ring. Suppose that Δ admits a good $(d + 1)$ -colouring. Let S_1, \dots, S_{d+1} be the colour classes. For all $i = 1, \dots, d + 1$ set*

$$g_i = \sum_{x \in S_i} x .$$

Then g_1, \dots, g_{d+1} is a system of 1-parameters of R .

PROOF. Note that the case $d = 0$ is trivial. Let $d \geq 1$. We have to show: for all $h, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ there is a decomposition

$$x_h x_k = \sum_{i=1}^{d+1} l_i g_i$$

for some $l_1, \dots, l_{d+1} \in R_1$.

First assume that $h = k$, set $x = x_h$ and assume $x \in S_1$. Now (i) implies that $xy = 0$ for all $y \in S_1, y \neq x$. Hence

$$x^2 = x g_1 .$$

Now assume that $h \neq k$, it suffices to suppose $x_h \in S_1, x_k \in S_2$. Set $u_0 = x_h, v_0 = x_k$ and consider the following algorithm:

1. Set $i = 0$.
2. Write

$$u_i v_i = g_1 v_i - \left(\sum_{u \in U_i} u \right) v_i ,$$

where $U_i = \{u \in S_1 \mid u \neq u_i, u v_i \neq 0\}$. If $U_i = \emptyset$, then END. Else pick $u_{i+1} \in U_i$. Write

$$u_{i+1} v_i = u_{i+1} g_2 - u_{i+1} \left(\sum_{v \in V_i} v \right) ,$$

where $V_i = \{v \in S_2 \mid v \neq v_i, u_{i+1} v \neq 0\}$. If $V_i = \emptyset$, then END. Else pick $v_{i+1} \in V_i$, increase i by 1 and GOTO 2.

END

We show that this algorithm is finite. Performing this algorithm for all possible choices of u_{i+1} and v_{i+1} will yield the required decomposition. Since the set V is finite, it suffices to show that in each algorithm the elements of

sequence $u_0, v_0, u_1, v_1, u_2, v_2, \dots$, are pairwise distinct. Note that by construction each two consecutive elements of the sequence are neighbours. Moreover for all i it holds $u_i \neq u_{i+1}$ and $v_i \neq v_{i+1}$. Suppose our claim were not true. Then one of the following cases occurs:

- (1) $u_i = u_j$ for some $j \geq i + 2$. Then v_{j-1} is a neighbour of u_i and the circuit $u_i, v_i, u_{i+1}, v_{i+1}, \dots, u_{j-1}, v_{j-1}$ contradicts (ii), since its vertices belong to $S_1 \cup S_2$.
- (2) $v_i = v_j$ for some $j \geq i + 2$. The conclusion is similar. \square

REMARK 1. Every generalized d -forest has a $(d + 1)$ -colouring, and all its $(d + 1)$ -colourings are good ones. A d -tree has a unique $(d + 1)$ -colouring. Thus 1.1 includes 0.1.

Using 1.1 we can completely characterize the 1-dimensional simplicial complexes Δ such that $r(R) = 1$. We first need some preliminary remarks.

LEMMA 1.2. *Let $f(\Delta)$ denote the number of faces of Δ of dimension d . Suppose that $r(R) = 1$. Then $f(\Delta) \leq n - d$.*

PROOF. By [4], Proposition 4.17 one has that $e(R) = f(\Delta)$. But we have seen above that $r(R) = 1$ implies

$$e(R) \leq \text{codim } R + 1 = n - d. \quad \square$$

LEMMA 1.3. *With respect to the above data one has:*

- (a) $r(R) = 0 \iff R$ is polynomial ring $\iff \Delta$ is a simplex;
- (b) For every homogeneous ideal I of R such that $\dim R/I = d + 1$ it holds $r(R/I) \leq r(R)$.

PROOF. Part (a) is obvious. We prove part (b). Let $\rho = r(R)$. Let g_1, \dots, g_{d+1} be a system of ρ -parameters for R . Consider the composed map

$$\varphi : K[g_1, \dots, g_{d+1}] \hookrightarrow R \rightarrow R/I.$$

Let $f \in R_{\rho+1}$, then there are $l_1, \dots, l_{d+1} \in R_\rho$ such that

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{d+1} l_i g_i.$$

Hence

$$\varphi(f) = \sum_{i=1}^{d+1} \varphi(l_i) \varphi(g_i).$$

This proves that

$$\begin{aligned} (R/I)_{\rho+1} &= \varphi(R_{\rho+1}) = \varphi(R_\rho)(\varphi(g_1), \dots, \varphi(g_{d+1})) \\ &= (R/I)_\rho(\varphi(g_1), \dots, \varphi(g_{d+1})). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $r(R/I) \leq \rho$. \square

PROPOSITION 1.4. *Let Δ be a 1-dimensional simplicial complex, let R be its Stanley-Reisner ring. Then $r(R) = 1$ if and only if $G(\Delta)$ contains no circuit, i.e. it is a 1-forest.*

PROOF. If $G(\Delta)$ is a 1-forest, then it has a good $(d + 1)$ -colouring, and the claim follows from 1.1. Conversely, suppose for a contradiction that $r(R) = 1$, and $G(\Delta)$ contains a circuit, say x_1, \dots, x_s . Assume that this circuit has no chord. Let Δ' be the restriction of Δ to $V' = \{x_1, \dots, x_s\}$. Then $\dim K[\Delta'] = 2$, and

$$K[\Delta'] = R/(x_{s+1}, \dots, x_n).$$

Note that $K[\Delta']$ is not a polynomial ring, so that in view of 1.3 it follows $r(K[\Delta']) = 1$. But $f(\Delta') = s$, $\text{codim } K[\Delta'] = s - 2$. This contradicts 1.2. \square

REMARK 2. It is clear that for every d -dimensional simplicial complex Δ it holds:

$$r(R) \leq d + 1.$$

In particular, if $d = 1$ and $G(\Delta)$ contains a circuit, then by 1.4 one has that $r(R) = 2$.

The 1-forest (1-trees) are exactly those (connected) graphs admitting a good 2-colouring. First of all this implies that in dimension 1 Theorem 0.1 can be restated as follows.

Let Δ be a 1-dimensional simplicial complex, which is pure and connected. Let R be its Stanley-Reisner ring. Then $r(R) = 1$ if and only if $G(\Delta)$ is a 1-tree.

Moreover it follows that 1.1 can be reversed for $d = 1$. Unfortunately this is not the case for $d \geq 2$, as the following counterexample shows. Let Δ be the 2-dimensional simplicial complex on the vertex set $V = \{x_1, \dots, x_5\}$ whose maximal faces are: $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$, $\{x_2, x_3, x_4\}$, $\{x_1, x_5\}$, $\{x_4, x_5\}$. Then $G(\Delta)$ has no good 3-colouring. But it can be easily checked that $r(R) = 1$.

EXAMPLE 1. Let Δ be the 2-dimensional simplicial complex on the vertex set $V = \{x_1, \dots, x_5\}$ whose maximal faces are $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$, $\{x_3, x_4\}$, $\{x_3, x_5\}$, $\{x_4, x_5\}$. A good 3-colouring of Δ is given by $S_1 = \{x_1, x_5\}$, $S_2 = \{x_2, x_4\}$, $S_3 = \{x_3\}$. Hence $r(R) = 1$ and $g_1 = x_1 + x_5$, $g_2 = x_2 + x_4$, $g_3 = x_3$ form a system of 1-parameters.

The questions arises whether the Stanley-Reisner rings of simplicial complexes having a good colouring have a 2-linear resolution, i.e., whether the implication (2) \implies (3) of the Preliminaries can be reversed for this particular class of rings. The answer is negative: in the preceding example we had that $I_\Delta = \{x_3x_4x_5, x_1x_4, x_1x_5, x_2x_4, x_2x_5\}$, so that one of the minimal generators of I_Δ has degree 3. The following example shows that the reversed implication is false even if we assume that I_Δ is generated in degree 2.

EXAMPLE 2. Let Δ be the 2-dimensional simplicial complex on the vertex set $V = \{x_1, \dots, x_5\}$ whose maximal faces are: $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$, $\{x_3, x_4\}$, $\{x_2, x_5\}$. Then $I_\Delta = \{x_1x_4, x_1x_5, x_2x_4, x_3x_5\}$. A good colouring of Δ is given by $S_1 = \{x_1, x_5\}$, $S_2 = \{x_2, x_4\}$, $S_3 = \{x_3\}$. But R has a first syzygy of degree 4.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. BARILE – M. MORALES, *On the equations defining varieties of minimal degree*, *Comm. Algebra* **28** (2000), 1223-1239.
- [2] D. EISENBUD – S. GOTO, *Linear free resolutions and minimal multiplicity*, *J. Algebra* **88** (1984), 89-133.
- [3] R. FRÖBERG, *On Stanley-Reisner rings*, *Topics in Algebra* **26**, Part 2 (1990), 57-70.
- [4] J. STÜCKRAD – W. VOGEL, “*Buchsbaum Rings and Applications*”, Springer, Berlin, 1986.

Dipartimento di Matematica
Università degli Studi di Bari
Via Orabona, 4
70125 Bari, Italy
barile@pascal.dm.uniba.it

Université de Grenoble I
Institut Fourier
Laboratoire de Mathématiques associé au CNRS
38402 Saint-Martin D'Hères Cedex
and
IUFM de Lyon, 5 rue Anselme
69317 Lyon Cedex, France
Marcel.Morales@ujf-grenoble.fr