

ANNALI DELLA
SCUOLA NORMALE SUPERIORE DI PISA
Classe di Scienze

HUGO AIMAR

**Rearrangement and continuity properties of $BMO(\phi)$
functions on spaces of homogeneous type**

Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di Scienze 4^e série, tome 18,
n° 3 (1991), p. 353-362

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=ASNSP_1991_4_18_3_353_0

© Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, 1991, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di Scienze » (<http://www.sns.it/it/edizioni/riviste/annaliscienze/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/legal.php>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

Rearrangement and Continuity Properties of $BMO(\phi)$ Functions on Spaces of Homogeneous Type

HUGO AIMAR*

In this note we study the behaviour of the non-increasing rearrangement of functions satisfying conditions on their mean oscillation over balls on spaces of homogeneous type. We extend a result of S. Spanne [S] and as a corollary we get extensions of the results of Campanato [C], John and Nirenberg [J-N] and Meyers [M]. The central tool is an extension of A.P. Calderón's proof of John-Nirenberg Lemma [N]. Related results can be found in [M-S].

Let X be a set. A symmetric function $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$ is a quasi-distance if $d(x, y) = 0$ iff $x = y$ and there is a constant K such that $d(x, z) \leq K[d(x, y) + d(y, z)]$ for every x, y and z in X . The ball with center $x \in X$ and radius $r > 0$ is the set $B(x, r) = \{y \in X : d(x, y) < r\}$. We shall say that a measure μ defined on a σ -algebra containing the balls satisfies a doubling condition if and only if there is a positive constant A such that

$$0 < \mu(B(x, 2r)) \leq A\mu(B(x, r)) < \infty,$$

for every $x \in X$ and every $r > 0$. If d is a quasi-distance on X and μ satisfies a doubling condition, then we say, following [C-W], that (X, d, μ) is a space of homogeneous type.

Let $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be an increasing function satisfying the Δ_2 Orlicz's condition $\phi(2r) \leq C\phi(r)$ for some positive constant C and every $r > 0$ (see (K-R)). We say that a locally integrable function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ belongs to the class $BMO(\phi)$ if and only if there exists a positive constant D such that the inequality

$$\frac{1}{\mu(B)} \int_B |f - m_B(f)| d\mu \leq D\phi(r(B)),$$

holds for every ball B in X , where $r(B)$ is the radius of the ball B and $m_B(f) = \mu(B)^{-1} \int_B f d\mu$.

* Programa especial de matematica aplicada Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Cientificas y Tecnicas.

Pervenuto alla Redazione il 7 Maggio 1990 e in forma definitiva il 5 Novembre 1990.

Given a ball B and a non-negative measurable function g on B , we write η_B for the distribution function of g on B , i.e.

$$\eta_B(s) = \mu(\{x \in B : g(x) > s\}), \quad s \geq 0;$$

$$\psi_B(t) = \sup\{\sigma : \eta_B(\sigma) > t\}.$$

The functions ψ_B and g have the same distribution function and, consequently, ψ_B contains the integral properties of g . On the other hand, while g is a function defined on the abstract space X , ψ_B is a function of a real variable. For the basic properties of the rearrangement see (Z).

Given a ball $B = B(x, r)$ we write \tilde{B} for $B(x, 2Kr)$ and ψ_B for the non-increasing rearrangement of the function $|f(x) - m_{\tilde{B}}(f)|$ on B .

The main result in this note is the following theorem.

THEOREM. *Let (X, d, μ) be a space of homogeneous type such that continuous functions are dense in $L^1(X, d, \mu)$. Then a function f belongs to $BMO(\phi)$ if and only if there exist positive constants α, β and γ such that for every ball $B = B(x, r)$ the inequality*

$$\psi_B(t) \leq \beta \int_{\frac{r}{5K^2} \left[\frac{t}{\gamma\mu(B)} \right]^\alpha}^r \frac{\phi(\xi)}{\xi} d\xi$$

holds for every $t \in (0, \gamma\mu(B))$.

COROLLARY. *Let (X, d, μ) be as in the Theorem.*

- (a) *A locally integrable function f is of bounded mean oscillation ($\phi \equiv 1$) if and only if there exist positive constants β and γ such that for every ball $B = B(x, r)$ the inequality*

$$\psi_B(t) \leq \beta \log \left(\gamma 5K^2 \frac{\mu(B)}{t} \right)$$

holds for every $t \in (0, \gamma\mu(B))$.

- (b) *If $\int_0^1 \frac{\phi(\xi)}{\xi} d\xi < \infty$, then a function f in $BMO(\phi)$ is continuous and*

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq C \int_0^{d(x,y)} \frac{\phi(\xi)}{\xi} d\xi,$$

which in the special case of $\phi(\xi) = \xi^\alpha$ is equivalent to $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq C(d(x, y))^\alpha$.

The following lemma contains three simple but useful properties of $BMO(\phi)$ functions.

LEMMA.

(1). If $f \in BMO(\phi)$, then $|f| \in BMO(\phi)$.

(2). Let f be a locally integrable function on X . If there is a constant D such that for every ball B there exists a constant m_B satisfying

$$\frac{1}{\mu(B)} \int_B |f - m_B| d\mu \leq D\phi(r(B)),$$

then $f \in BMO(\phi)$.

(3). Let \tilde{B} denote the ball with the same center as B and twice its radius. If $f \in BMO(\phi)$, then there exists a constant \tilde{D} such that the inequality

$$\frac{1}{\mu(B)} \int_B |f - m_{\tilde{B}}(f)| d\mu \leq \tilde{D}\phi(r(B)),$$

holds for every ball B in X .

The next covering Lemma is a slight modification of that in [C-W].

(4). COVERING LEMMA. Let (X, d, μ) be a space of homogeneous type. Let $\mathcal{B} = \{B_\alpha = B(x_\alpha, r_\alpha) : \alpha \in \Gamma\}$ be a family of balls in X such that $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Gamma} B_\alpha$ is bounded. Then there exists a sequence of disjoint balls $\{B_i\} \subset \mathcal{B}$ such that for every $\alpha \in \Gamma$ there exists i satisfying $r_\alpha \leq 2r_i$ and $B_\alpha \subset B(x_i, 5K^2r_i)$.

From now on $B_0 = B(x_0, r_0)$ is a given ball in (X, d, μ) and f a function in $BMO(\phi)$ such that $m_{B(x_0, 2Kr_0)}(f) = 0$. Set $M = 5K^2$ and $\lambda_j = \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \phi\left(\frac{r_0}{M^k}\right)$.

LEMMA. There is a constant C_1 depending only on K, A, C and D such that the inequality

$$(5) \quad m_{B(x,r)}(|f|) < C_1 \lambda_i$$

holds for every $x \in B_0$ and every $r \in \left[\frac{r_0}{M^{i+1}}, \frac{r_0}{M^i}\right)$.

PROOF. Since, for $r \in \left[\frac{r_0}{M^{i+1}}, \frac{r_0}{M^i}\right)$, we have

$$m_{B(x,r)}(|f|) \leq \frac{\mu\left(B\left(x, \frac{r_0}{M^i}\right)\right)}{\mu\left(B\left(x, \frac{r_0}{M^{i+1}}\right)\right)} m_{B\left(x, \frac{r_0}{M^i}\right)}(|f|),$$

inequality (5) will follow if we prove that there is a constant C_2 depending

only on K , A , C and D such that the inequality

$$(6) \quad m_{B\left(x, \frac{r_0}{M^i}\right)}(|f|) \leq C_2 \lambda_i$$

holds for every $X \in B_0$ and every $i \in \mathbb{N}$. In order to prove (6), let us first observe that for $x \in B_0$ we have

$$B\left(x, \frac{r_0}{M^i}\right) \subset B\left(x, \frac{r_0}{M^{i-1}}\right) \subset \dots \subset B\left(x, \frac{r_0}{M}\right) \subset B(x, r_0) \subset B(x_0, 2Kr_0).$$

From (1), it follows that

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| m_{B\left(x, \frac{r_0}{M^i}\right)}(|f|) - m_{B(x_0, 2Kr_0)}(|f|) \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{h=1}^i \left| m_{B\left(x, \frac{r_0}{M^h}\right)}(|f|) - m_{B\left(x, \frac{r_0}{M^{h-1}}\right)}(|f|) \right| \\ & \quad + |m_{B(x, r_0)}(|f|) - m_{B(x_0, 2Kr_0)}(|f|)| \\ & \leq \sum_{h=1}^i \frac{1}{\mu\left(B\left(x, \frac{r_0}{M^h}\right)\right)} \int_{B\left(x, \frac{r_0}{M^h}\right)} \left| |f| - m_{B\left(x, \frac{r_0}{M^{h-1}}\right)}(|f|) \right| d\mu \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{\mu(B(x, r_0))} \int_{B(x, r_0)} \left| |f| - m_{B(x_0, 2Kr_0)}(|f|) \right| d\mu \\ & \leq 2D \left\{ \sum_{h=1}^i \frac{\mu\left(B\left(x, \frac{r_0}{M^{h-1}}\right)\right)}{\mu\left(B\left(x, \frac{r_0}{M^h}\right)\right)} \phi\left(\frac{r_0}{M^{h-1}}\right) + \frac{\mu(B(x_0, 2Kr_0))}{\mu(B(x, r_0))} \phi(2Kr_0) \right\} \\ & \leq C_3 \sum_{h=0}^{i-1} \phi\left(\frac{r_0}{M^h}\right) = C_3 \lambda_i. \end{aligned}$$

Since $m_{B(x_0, 2Kr_0)}(f) = 0$, we have $m_{B(x_0, 2Kr_0)}(|f|) \leq D\phi(2Kr_0)$. Now (6) follows from (7) and the last inequality.

Let t be a positive real number. Let us consider the set

$$\Omega_t^j = \left\{ x \in B_0 : \text{there exists } r \in \left(0, \frac{r_0}{M}\right) \text{ such that } m_{B(x,r)}(|f|) > t\lambda_j \right\}$$

and, given $x \in \Omega_t^j$,

$$R_t^j(x) = \left\{ r \in \left(0, \frac{r_0}{M}\right) : m_{B(x,r)}(|f|) > t\lambda_j \right\}.$$

(8) LEMMA. $R_t^j(x) \subset \left(0, \frac{r_0}{M^{j+1}}\right)$ provided that $t > C_1$.

PROOF. Given $r \in \left[\frac{r_0}{M^{j+1}}, \frac{r_0}{M}\right)$ there is an $h \leq j$ such that

$$\frac{r_0}{M^{j+1}} \leq \frac{r_0}{M^{h+1}} \leq r < \frac{r_0}{M^h} \leq \frac{r_0}{M}.$$

From (5) we have

$$m_{B(x,r)}(|f|) < C_1 \lambda_h \leq t\lambda_h \leq t\lambda_j,$$

so that $r \notin R_t^j(x)$.

(9) LEMMA. Let n be a given positive integer. For $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ there is a function r^k defined on Ω_t^k such that

$$(10) \quad r^k(x) \in R_t^k(x);$$

$$(11) \quad 0 < r^k(x) < \frac{r_0}{M^{k+1}};$$

$$(12) \quad m_{B(x,r^k(x))}(|f|) > t\lambda_k \geq m_{B(x,Mr^k(x))}(|f|);$$

$$(13) \quad r^{k-1}(x) \geq r^k(x), \quad x \in \Omega_t^k.$$

PROOF. Given $x \in \Omega_t^n$ pick $r^n(x) \in R_t^n(x)$ in such a way that

$$Mr^n(x) \notin R_t^n(x).$$

The second inequality in (11) for $k = n$ follows from Lemma (8). The second inequality in (12) holds since $Mr^n(x) \notin R_t^n(x)$ and $Mr^n \in \left(0, \frac{r_0}{M}\right)$. Let us now define r^{n-1} . Observe that $\Omega_t^n \subset \Omega_t^{n-1}$. If $x \in \Omega_t^{n-1} - \Omega_t^n$ then we get $r^{n-1}(x)$ in the same way as we have got r^n . If $x \in \Omega_t^n$, then pick $r^{n-1}(x) \in R_t^{n-1}(x)$ in such a way that $r^{n-1}(x) \geq r^n(x)$ and $Mr^n(x) \notin R_t^{n-1}(x)$.

Given $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, set

$$\mathcal{B}^k = \{B(x, r^k(x)) : x \in \Omega_t^k\}.$$

(14) LEMMA. For each $k = 1, \dots, n$, there exists a sequence $\{x_i^k : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of points in Ω_t^k such that the following properties hold

$$(15) \quad B(x_i^k, r^k(x_i^k)) \cap B(x_j^k, r^k(x_j^k)) = \emptyset, \quad i \neq j;$$

(16) for every $x \in \Omega_t^k$ there exists $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $r^k(x) \leq 2r^k(x_i^k)$ and

$$B(x, r^k(x)) \subset B(x_i^k, 5K^2 r^k(x_i^k));$$

$$(17) \quad \Omega_t^k \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B(x_i^k, 5K^2 r^k(x_i^k));$$

$$(18) \quad r^k(x_i^k) < \frac{r_0}{M^{k+1}};$$

$$(19) \quad m_{B(x_i^k, r^k(x_i^k))}(|f|) > t\lambda_k \geq m_{B(x_i^k, M r^k(x_i^k))}(|f|);$$

(20) Given $j \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$B(x_j^{k+1}, r^{k+1}(x_j^{k+1})) \subset B(x_i^k, 5K^2 r^k(x_i^k)).$$

(21) Given $i \in \mathbb{N}$, set

$$J_i = \{j \in \mathbb{N} : B(x_j^{k+1}, r^{k+1}(x_j^{k+1})) \subset B(x_i^k, 5K^2 r^k(x_i^k)) \text{ and}$$

$$B(x_j^{k+1}, r^{k+1}(x_j^{k+1})) \not\subset B(x_\ell^k, 5K^2 r^k(x_\ell^k))$$

$$\text{for } \ell = 1, 2, \dots, i-1\}.$$

Then $J_i \cap J_h = \emptyset$ for $i \neq h$ and $\mathbb{N} = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} J_i$.

PROOF. Applying the covering lemma (4) to the family \mathcal{B}^k , we obtain a sequence $\{x_i^k : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ satisfying (15) to (19). In order to prove (20), observe that $x_j^{k+1} \in \Omega_t^{k+1} \subset \Omega_t^k$, then $B(x_j^{k+1}, r^k(x_j^{k+1})) \in \mathcal{B}^k$, thus, from (16) there exists $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $B(x_j^{k+1}, r^k(x_j^{k+1})) \subset B(x_i^k, r^k(x_i^k))$. Now, since $r^{k+1}(x_j^{k+1}) \leq r^k(x_j^{k+1})$ from (13), we get (20).

PROOF OF THE THEOREM. Let us first prove the “if” part of the theorem. Computing the integral of $|f - m_B(f)|$ using its non-increasing rearrangement, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\mu(B)} \int_B |f - m_B(f)| d\mu &\leq \frac{1}{\mu(B)} \int_0^{\mu(B)} \psi_B(t) dt \\ &\leq \frac{\beta}{\mu(B)} \int_0^{\gamma\mu(B)/2} \int_{\frac{r}{5K^2} [\frac{t}{\gamma\mu(B)}]^\alpha}^r \frac{\phi(\xi)}{\xi} d\xi dt + (1 - \gamma/2) \psi_B \left(\frac{\gamma}{2} \mu(B) \right) \\ &\leq \frac{\beta}{\mu(B)} \left\{ \int_{\frac{r}{2^\alpha 5K^2}}^r \frac{\phi(\xi)}{\xi} d\xi \int_0^{\frac{\gamma\mu(B)}{2}} dt + \int_0^{\frac{r}{2^\alpha 5K^2}} \frac{\phi(\xi)}{\xi} \int_0^{\gamma\mu(B) \left[\frac{5K^2 \xi}{r} \right]^{1/\alpha}} dt d\xi \right\} \\ &\quad + \left(1 - \frac{\gamma}{2} \right) \int_{\frac{r}{2^\alpha 5K^2}}^r \frac{\phi(\xi)}{\xi} d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

The first and the last terms on the right hand side are bounded by a constant times $\log 2^\alpha 5K^2 \phi(r)$. For the second term we have the bound

$$\beta \gamma (5K^2)^{1/\alpha} \frac{1}{r^{1/\alpha}} \left(\int_0^{\frac{r}{2^\alpha 5K^2}} \xi^{1/\alpha - 1} d\xi \right) \phi \left(\frac{r}{2^\alpha 5K^2} \right),$$

which, using condition Δ_2 , is actually bounded by a constant times $\phi(r)$. The desired result follows now from (2). In order to prove the “only if” part of the theorem let us first assume that $m_{B(x_0, 2Kr_0)}(f) = 0$. Applying the first inequality in (19) for $k+1$, (21), the second inequality in (19), (15), the fact that $f \in BMO(\phi)$

and (18), we get the following inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}
t\lambda_{k+1} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(B(x_j^{k+1}, r^{k+1}(x_j^{k+1}))) &\leq \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{j \in J_i} \int_{B(x_j^{k+1}, r^{k+1}(x_j^{k+1}))} |f| d\mu \\
&\leq \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{j \in J_i} \int_{B(x_j^{k+1}, r^{k+1}(x_j^{k+1}))} |f - m_{B(x_i^k, 5K^2 r^k(x_i^k))}(f)| d\mu \\
&\quad + t\lambda_k \sum_j \mu(B(x_j^{k+1}, r^{k+1}(x_j^{k+1}))) \\
&\leq DC_4 \phi\left(\frac{r_0}{M^k}\right) \sum_i \mu(B(x_i^k, r^k(x_i^k))) \\
&\quad + t\lambda_k \sum_j \mu(B(x_j^{k+1}, r^{k+1}(x_j^{k+1}))),
\end{aligned}$$

where C_4 depends only on A , K and C . Set $\sum_k = \sum_j \mu(B(x_j^k, r^k(x_j^k)))$. Then

$$t(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k) \sum_{k+1} \leq DC_4 \phi\left(\frac{r_0}{M^k}\right) \sum_k.$$

From the definition of the sequence $\{\lambda_k\}$, taking $t = 2C_4D$, we get

$$\sum_{k+1} \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_k \text{ for every } k.$$

By iteration

$$\sum_n \leq \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \sum_1 \leq \frac{C_5}{2^n} \mu(B_0),$$

consequently

$$\mu(\Omega_t^n) \leq \frac{C_6}{2^n} \mu(B_0).$$

Since continuous functions are dense in $L^1(X, d)$, Lebesgue theorem on differentiation of integrals holds, so that

$$\{x \in B_0 : |f(x)| > t\lambda_n\} \subset \Omega_t^n.$$

Thus

$$\mu(\{x \in B_0 : |f(x)| > t\lambda_n\}) \leq \frac{C_7}{2^n} \mu(B_0).$$

Given $s \in (0, 1)$, take $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{2^n} < s \leq \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}$, then, for the rearrangement ψ_{B_0} of $|f|$ on B_0 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{B_0}(sC_8\mu(B_0)) &\leq t\lambda_n \leq DC_8 \sum_{k=1}^n \phi\left(\frac{r_0}{M^k}\right) \\ &\leq DC_8 \int_{\frac{r_0}{M^n}}^{r_0} \frac{\phi(\xi)}{\xi} d\xi \leq DC_9 \int_{\frac{r_0}{M} s^\alpha}^{r_0} \frac{\phi(\xi)}{\xi} d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof of the theorem for the case $m_{B(x_0, 2K\tau_0)}(f) = 0$. For the general case we use (3) and we apply the previous result to $f - m_{B(x_0, 2K\tau_0)}(f)$.

PROOF OF PART (b) OF THE COROLLARY. Let x and y be different points in X and take $B = B(x, 2d(x, y))$. Since $|f(x) - m_B(f)|$ and ψ_B have the same distribution function and ψ_B is non-increasing, we have

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq |f(x) - m_B(f)| + |f(y) - m_B(f)| \leq 2\psi_B(0),$$

now, applying the theorem we get the desired result.

REFERENCES

- [C] S. CAMPANATO, *Proprietà di hölderianità di alcune classi di funzioni*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. **17** (1963), 175-188.
- [C-W] R. COIFMAN - G. WEISS, *Analyse harmonique non commutative sur certains espaces homogènes*, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 242, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1971.
- [J-N] F. JOHN - L. NIRENBERG, *On functions of bounded mean oscillation*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **14** (1961) 415-426.
- [K-R] M.A. KRASNOSELSKII - Y.A. RUTICKII, *Convex functions and Orlicz spaces*, Groningen, P. Noordhoff (1961).
- [M] G.N. MEYERS, *Mean oscillation over cubes and Hölder continuity*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **15** (1964) 717-724.
- [M-S] R. MACÍAS - C. SEGOVIA, *Lipschitz functions on spaces of homogeneous type*, Adv. Math. **33** (1979) 257-270.
- [N] U. NERI, *Some properties of functions with bounded mean oscillation*, Studia Math. **61** (1977), 63-75.

- [S] S. SPANNE, *Some function spaces defined using the mean oscillation over cubes*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. **19** (1965) 593-608.
- [Z] A. ZYGMUND, *Trigonometric Series*, Cambridge University Press, (1959).

Facultad de Ingenieria Quimica
Universidad Nacional del Litoral
Güemes 3450 (3000) Santa Fe
Argentina