

ANNALI DELLA  
SCUOLA NORMALE SUPERIORE DI PISA  
*Classe di Scienze*

MARIANO GIAQUINTA

ENRICO GIUSTI

**The singular set of the minima of certain quadratic functionals**

*Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di Scienze 4<sup>e</sup> série*, tome 11,  
n° 1 (1984), p. 45-55

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=ASNSP\\_1984\\_4\\_11\\_1\\_45\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=ASNSP_1984_4_11_1_45_0)

© Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, 1984, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di Scienze » (<http://www.sns.it/it/edizioni/riviste/annaliscienze/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques  
<http://www.numdam.org/>

## The Singular Set of the Minima of Certain Quadratic Functionals (\*).

MARIANO GIAQUINTA - ENRICO GIUSTI

Let  $\Omega$  be an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x, u)$  be continuous functions in  $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n$  satisfying

$$|A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x, u)| \leq M$$

$$\sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^n \sum_{ij=1}^N A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x, u) \xi_\alpha^i \xi_\beta^j \geq |\xi|^2 \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{nN}.$$

Denote by  $F$  the functional

$$(1) \quad F(u; E) = \int_E A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x, u) D_\alpha u^i D_\beta u^j dx.$$

A function  $u: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  is a local minimum of  $F$  in  $\Omega$  if for every  $\varphi$  with compact support in  $\Omega$  we have

$$F(u; \text{spt } \varphi) \leq F(u + \varphi; \text{spt } \varphi).$$

We have proved in [2] that every local minimum  $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$  is Hölder-continuous in an open subset  $\Omega_0 \subset \Omega$ . Moreover, the singular set  $\Sigma = \Omega - \Omega_0$ , which is in general non empty (see e.g. [4]), has Hausdorff dimension strictly less than  $n - 2$ .

The question can be raised whether the dimension of  $\Sigma$  is  $n - 3$  or less.

(\*) Work partially carried out under the auspices of the Sonderforschungsbereich 72 at the University of Bonn.

This paper was submitted for publication to «Analysis» in the spring of 1981, and was accepted on July 23, 1981. Unfortunately, editorial problems have considerably delayed the publication, and eventually caused the withdrawal of the paper in January, 1983.

Pervenuto alla Redazione il 22 Gennaio 1983.

In this paper we give a partial answer to this question. More precisely, in the case in which the coefficients are of the form

$$(2) \quad A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x, u) = g_{ij}(x, u)G^{\alpha\beta}(x); \quad G^{\alpha\beta} = G^{\beta\alpha},$$

we prove that the singular set  $\Sigma$  of a bounded local minimum  $u$  has Hausdorff dimension not greater than  $n - 3$  (theorem 2), and that in dimension  $n = 3$  it consists at most of isolated points (theorem 1).

We note that, although of particular type, quadratic functionals with coefficients given by (2) are of interest in the theory of harmonic mappings of Riemannian manifolds.

The methods used in the proof follow closely those developed in the theory of minimal surfaces, see for example [1] [3].

Let us start with the following lemma.

LEMMA 1. *Let  $A^v(x, z) = A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta(v)}(x, z)$  be a sequence of continuous functions in  $B \times \mathbb{R}^N$  ( $B$  is the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ) converging uniformly to  $A(x, z)$  and satisfying the inequalities*

$$(3) \quad |A^{(v)}(x, z)| \leq M$$

$$(4) \quad A^{(v)}\xi \cdot \xi = A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta(v)}(x, z)\xi_\alpha^i \xi_\beta^j \geq |\xi|^2 \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{nN}$$

$$(5) \quad |A^{(v)}(x, z) - A^{(v)}(x', z')| \leq \omega(|x - x'|^2 + |z - z'|^2)$$

where  $\omega(t)$  is a bounded continuous concave function with  $\omega(0) = 0$ . For each  $v = 1, 2, \dots$  let  $u^{(v)}$  be a local minimum in  $B$  for the functional

$$F^{(v)}(u^{(v)}) = \int A^{(v)}(x, u^{(v)}) Du^{(v)} Du^{(v)} dx$$

and suppose that  $u^{(v)} \rightarrow v$  weakly in  $L^2(B; \mathbb{R}^N)$ .

Then  $v$  is a local minimum in  $B$  for the functional

$$F(v) = \int A(x, v) Dv Dv dx.$$

Moreover, if  $x_r$  is a singular point for  $u^{(v)}$ , and  $x_r \rightarrow x_0$ , then  $x_0$  is a singular point for  $v$ .

PROOF. We begin by recalling some results from [2]. For each ball  $B_r = B_r(x_0) \subset B$  we have

$$(6) \quad \int_{B_{r/2}} |Du^{(v)}|^2 dx \leq k_1 r^{-2} \int_{B_r} |u^{(v)} - u_r^{(v)}|^2 dx$$

where

$$u_r^{(\nu)} = \int_{B_r} u^{(\nu)} dx = \frac{1}{|B_r|} \int_{B_r} u^{(\nu)} dx.$$

Moreover there exists a  $q > 2$ , independent of  $\nu$ , such that

$$(7) \quad \left( \int_{B_{r/2}} |Du^{(\nu)}|^q dx \right)^{1/q} \leq \gamma_2 \left( \int_{B_r} |Du^{(\nu)}|^2 dx \right)^{1/2}.$$

It follows from (6) and (7) that  $Du^{(\nu)} \in L^q_{loc}(B)$  and that for every  $R < 1$

$$(8) \quad \int_{B_{R(0)}} |Du^{(\nu)}|^q dx \leq c(R)$$

where  $c(R)$  is independent of  $\nu$ .

The above inequality and the weak  $L^2$  convergence of  $u^{(\nu)}$  imply that for every  $R < 1$  we have

$$(9) \quad \begin{cases} u^{(\nu)} \rightarrow v & \text{strongly in } L^2(B_R) \\ Du^{(\nu)} \rightarrow Dv & \text{weakly in } L^q(B_R). \end{cases}$$

Passing possibly to a subsequence we may suppose that  $u^{(\nu)} \rightarrow v$  a.e. in  $B$ . We first show that

$$(10) \quad F(v; B_R) \leq \liminf_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} F^{(\nu)}(u^{(\nu)}; B_R).$$

We have actually

$$F^{(\nu)}(u^{(\nu)}; B_R) = \int_{B_R} A(x, v) Du^{(\nu)} Du^{(\nu)} dx + \int_{B_R} [A^{(\nu)}(x, u^{(\nu)}) - A(x, v)] Du^{(\nu)} Du^{(\nu)} dx$$

and hence from (8)

$$F^{(\nu)}(u^{(\nu)}; B_R) \geq \int_{B_R} A(x, v) Du^{(\nu)} Du^{(\nu)} dx - c(R)^{2/q} \left( \int_{B_R} |A^{(\nu)}(x, u^{(\nu)}) - A(x, v)|^{q/(q-2)} dx \right)^{1-2/q}.$$

When  $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ , the last term on the right-hand side tends to zero, whereas the first is lower semi-continuous. This proves (10).

Let now  $w$  be an arbitrary function coinciding with  $v$  outside  $B_R$ , and let  $\eta(x)$  be a  $C^1$  function in  $B$ , with  $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ ,  $\eta = 0$  in  $B_\rho$  ( $\rho < R$ ) and  $\eta = 1$  outside  $B_R$ . The function

$$v^{(\nu)} = w + \eta(u^{(\nu)} - v)$$

coincides with  $u^{(\nu)}$  outside  $B_R$ , and therefore

$$(11) \quad F^{(\nu)}(u^{(\nu)}; B_R) \leq F^{(\nu)}(v^{(\nu)}; B_R).$$

Taking (3) (8) into account we get

$$F^{(\nu)}(v^{(\nu)}; B_R) \leq \int_{B_R} A^{(\nu)}(x, v^{(\nu)}) Dw Dw dx + \gamma_3(R) \|\eta\|_{a/(a-2), R} + \gamma_4(R, \eta) \|u^{(\nu)} - v\|_{2, R} (1 + \|u^{(\nu)} - v\|_{2, R}).$$

Letting  $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ , we get from (9) (10) and (11)

$$F(v; B_R) \leq \liminf_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} F^{(\nu)}(v^{(\nu)}; B_R) \leq F(w; B_R) + \gamma_3 \|\eta\|_{a/(a-2), R}.$$

Taking  $\rho$  close to  $R$  the last term can be made arbitrarily small, thus proving the first assertion of the lemma.

In order to conclude the proof, we recall that a point  $\bar{x}$  is singular if and only if

$$\liminf_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \rho^{2-n} \int_{B_\rho(\bar{x})} |Du|^2 dx \geq \varepsilon_0$$

(see [2], theorem 5.1), where  $\varepsilon_0$  depends only on  $\omega$  and therefore is independent of  $\nu$ . It follows from (6) that  $\bar{x}$  is a singular point for  $u$  if and only if

$$\liminf_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \rho^{-n} \int_{B_\rho(\bar{x})} |u - u_R|^2 dx \geq \varepsilon_1.$$

Suppose now that  $x_0$  is a regular point for  $v$ , and let  $x_\nu \rightarrow x_0$ . Let  $\rho > 0$  be such that  $B_\rho(x_0) \subset B_R \subset B$  and

$$\rho^{-n} \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} |v - v_\rho|^2 dx < \varepsilon_1.$$

We have from (9)

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow 0} \rho^{-n} \int_{B_\rho(x^{(\nu)})} |u^{(\nu)} - u_\rho^{(\nu)}|^2 dx = \rho^{-n} \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} |v - v_\rho|^2 dx < \varepsilon_1$$

and therefore  $x^{(\nu)}$  is regular for  $u_{(\nu)}$ , provided  $\nu$  is sufficiently large. This concludes the proof of the lemma. q.e.d.

The next step is the proof of a monotonicity result. The reader will recognize here a strict similarity with the theory of minimal surfaces.

We need for this lemma to restrict ourselves to the special form of the coefficients in (2), namely:

$$(12) \quad A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x, u) = g_{ij}(x, u)G^{\alpha\beta}(x); \quad G^{\alpha\beta} = G^{\beta\alpha}.$$

It is easily seen that we may assume without loss of generality that

$$(13) \quad G^{\alpha\beta}(0) = \delta_{\alpha\beta}.$$

We shall suppose of course that the coefficients  $A$  satisfy (3), (4) and (5). Moreover we assume that

$$(14) \quad \int_0^1 \frac{\omega(t^2)}{t} dt < +\infty.$$

LEMMA 2. *Let  $u$  be a local minimum of  $F$  in  $B$ , with coefficients  $A$  given by (12) and satisfying (3), (4), (5), (13), (14). Then for every  $\rho, R$  with  $0 < \rho < R < 1$  we have*

$$(15) \quad \int_{\partial B} |u(Rx) - u(\rho x)|^2 dH_{n-1}(x) \leq \gamma_6 \log \frac{R}{\rho} [\Phi(R) - \Phi(\rho)]$$

where

$$(16) \quad \Phi(t) = t^{2-n} \exp\left(\gamma_5 \int_0^t \frac{\omega(s^2)}{s} ds\right) \int_{B_t} A(x, u) Du Du dx.$$

REMARK. This lemma is the only place in which we need the special form (12) of the coefficients. Any extension of the lemma to a more general class of coefficients will therefore imply an immediate extension of the results of this paper.

PROOF. For  $t < 1$  let  $x_i = t(x/|x|)$  and  $u_t(x) = u(x_t)$ . We have

$$(17) \quad F(u_t; B_t) = \int_{B_t} A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x, u(x_t)) \frac{t^2}{|x|^2} \left(\delta_{\alpha h} - \frac{x_\alpha x_h}{|x|^2}\right) \left(\delta_{\beta k} - \frac{x_\beta x_k}{|x|^2}\right) D_h u^i(x_t) D_k u^j(x_t) dx.$$

We write now

$$(18) \quad A(x, u(x_t)) = A(0, u(x_t)) + [A(x, u(x_t)) - A(0, u(x_t))]$$

so that the integral on the right-hand side of (17) splits naturally into two parts:  $F = F_1 + F_2$ .

The first integral can be easily transformed by observing that for every  $f$  we have

$$\int_{B_t} |x|^{-2} f(x_t) dx = \frac{t^{-1}}{n-2} \int_{\partial B_t} f(x) dH_{n-1}(x).$$

We get therefore

$$F_1 = \frac{t}{n-2} \left\{ \int_{\partial B_t} A(0, u) Du Du dH_{n-1}(x) - \int_{\partial B_t} A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} \frac{x_\alpha x_\beta}{|x|^2} \left[ 2\delta_{\beta k} - \frac{x_\beta x_k}{|x|^2} \right] D_\alpha u^i D_k u^j dH_{n-1}(x) \right\}.$$

Taking into account the special form (12) of the coefficients and inequality (4), we conclude that

$$F_1 \leq \frac{t}{n-2} \left\{ \int_{\partial B_t} A(0, u) Du Du dH_{n-1}(x) - \int_{\partial B_t} \frac{|\langle x, Du \rangle|^2}{|x|^2} dH_{n-1}(x) \right\}$$

where  $\langle x, Du \rangle = x_\alpha D_\alpha u$ , and in conclusion

$$F_1 \leq \frac{t}{n-2} \left\{ \int_{\partial B_t} A(x, u) Du Du dH_{n-1}(x) - \int_{\partial B_t} \frac{|\langle x, Du \rangle|^2}{|x|^2} dH_{n-1}(x) + \gamma_7 \omega(t^2) \int_{\partial B_t} A Du Du dH_{n-1}(x) \right\}.$$

In a similar way we estimate the second term

$$F_2 \leq \gamma_8 t \omega(t^2) \int_{\partial B_t} A Du Du dH_{n-1}(x).$$

From the minimality of  $u$  we have  $F(u, B_t) \leq F(u_t, B_t)$  and therefore

$$(19) \quad \int_{B_t} A Du Du dx \leq \frac{t}{n-2} \left\{ (1 + \gamma_9 \omega(t^2)) \int_{\partial B_t} A Du Du dH_{n-1}(x) - \int_{\partial B_t} \frac{|\langle x, Du \rangle|^2}{|x|^2} dH_{n-1}(x) \right\}.$$

If we set

$$\varphi(t) = t^{2-n} \int_{B_t} A(x, u) Du Du dx$$

we have

$$t^{n-2} \int_{\partial B_t} A(x, u) Du Du dH_{n-1}(x) = \varphi'(t) + (n-2) \frac{\varphi(t)}{t}.$$

From (19) we get

$$\varphi'(t) + \gamma_5 \frac{\omega(t^2)}{t} \varphi(t) \geq \gamma_{10} t^{2-n} \int_{\partial B_t} \frac{|\langle x, Du \rangle|^2}{|x|^2} dH_{n-1}(x)$$

and hence

$$(20) \quad \Phi'(t) \geq \gamma_{10} t^{2-n} \int_{\partial B_t} \frac{|\langle x, Du \rangle|^2}{|x|^2} dH_{n-1}(x).$$

Integrating (20) we easily obtain

$$(21) \quad \Phi(R) - \Phi(\rho) \geq \gamma_{10} \int_{\rho}^R t^{2-n} dt \int_{\partial B_t} \frac{|\langle x, Du \rangle|^2}{|x|^2} dx.$$

On the other hand

$$|u(Rx) - u(\rho x)|^2 \leq \left( \int_{\rho}^R |\langle x, Du(tx) \rangle| dt \right)^2 \leq \log \frac{R}{\rho} \int_{\rho}^R t |\langle x, Du(tx) \rangle|^2 dt$$

from which the conclusion follows at once integrating on  $\partial B$  and taking (21) into account. q.e.d.

We are now ready to prove our first result, dealing with the three-dimensional case.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $u$  be a bounded local minimum of the functional  $F$  in  $B$  and let the conclusion of lemma 2 hold. If  $n = 3$ ,  $u$  may have at most isolated singular points.*

**PROOF.** We first observe that the function  $\Phi(t)$  defined by (16) is increasing, and therefore tends to a finite limit when  $t \rightarrow 0$ . Suppose now that  $u$  has a sequence of singular points,  $x_\nu$ , converging to  $x_0 = 0$ , and let  $R_\nu = 2|x_\nu| < 1$ . The function

$$u^{(\nu)}(x) = u(R_\nu x)$$

is a local minimum in  $B$  for the functional

$$F^{(v)}(u^{(v)}; B) = \int_B A^{(v)}(x, u^{(v)}) Du^{(v)} Du^{(v)} dx$$

with

$$A^{(v)}(x, z) = A(R_\nu x, z).$$

Moreover, each  $u^{(v)}$  has a singular point  $y_\nu$  with  $|y_\nu| = \frac{1}{2}$ . Since the  $u^{(v)}$  are uniformly bounded, we can suppose (passing to a subsequence) that  $u^{(v)}$  converge weakly in  $L^2(B)$  to some function  $v$  and that  $y_\nu \rightarrow y_0$ . The coefficients  $A^{(v)}(x, u)$  are bounded and uniformly continuous in  $\bar{B} \times B_L$  ( $L$  being a bound for  $|u|$ ) and hence we may apply lemma 1 and conclude that  $v$  is a local minimum for

$$F_0(v; B) = \int_B A(0, v) Dv Dv dx.$$

Also from lemma 1 it follows that  $v$  has a singular point at  $y_0$ . Let now  $0 < \lambda < \mu < 1$ , and let us apply inequality (15) to  $\varrho = \lambda R_\nu$  and  $R = \mu R_\nu$ . We have

$$\int_{\partial B} |u^{(v)}(\lambda x) - u^{(v)}(\mu x)|^2 dH_{n-1} \leq \gamma_6 \log \frac{\mu}{\lambda} [\Phi(\mu R_\nu) - \Phi(\lambda R_\nu)].$$

If we let  $\nu \rightarrow \infty$  the right-hand side converges to zero and hence for almost every value of  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  we have

$$\int_{\partial B} |v(\lambda x) - v(\mu x)|^2 dH_{n-1} = 0$$

so that  $v$  is homogeneous of degree zero.

We may therefore conclude that the whole segment joining 0 with  $y_0$  is made of singular points for  $v$ . This contradicts theorem 5.1 of [2] and in particular the conclusion that the set of singular points has dimension strictly less than  $3 - 2 = 1$ . q.e.d.

We pass now to the general case of arbitrary dimension. The techniques involved follow very closely those introduced for minimal surfaces, see in particular [1].

If  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $0 \leq k < \infty$  and  $0 < \delta \leq +\infty$  we define

$$H_k^\delta(A) = \omega_k 2^{-k} \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\text{diam } s_i)^k; A \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} s_i; \text{diam } s_i < \delta \right\}$$

where  $\omega_k = \Gamma(\frac{1}{2})^k / \Gamma(k/2 + 1)$ .

The quantity

$$H_k(A) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} H_k^\delta(A) = \sup_{\delta} H_k^\delta(A)$$

is the  $k$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure of  $A$ .

Although  $H_k$  and  $H_k^\infty$  may be extremely different, it is easily seen that  $H_k(A) = 0$  if and only if  $H_k^\infty(A) = 0$ . This fact and the results below make  $H_k^\infty$  more convenient for our purposes. A first property of  $H_k^\infty$  is that for every set  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  we have

$$(22) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} r^{-k} H_k^\infty(\Sigma \cap B_r(x)) \geq \omega_k \cdot 2^{-k}$$

for  $H_k$ -almost all  $x \in \Sigma$ , see [1].

Moreover, if  $Q, Q_\nu$  ( $\nu = 1, 2, \dots$ ) are compact sets and if every open set  $A \supset Q$  contains  $Q_\nu$  for  $\nu$  sufficiently large, then

$$(23) \quad H_k^\infty(Q) \geq \limsup_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} H_k^\infty(Q_\nu)$$

see [1] again.

The next result is the analogous of theorem 1 when  $n > 3$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $u$  be a bounded local minimum for  $F$  in  $B$  and suppose that the conclusion of lemma 2 holds. Then the dimension of the singular set  $\Sigma$  of  $u$  cannot exceed  $n - 3$ .*

**PROOF.** Suppose that for some  $k > 0$  we have  $H_k(\Sigma) > 0$ . Then  $H_k^\infty(\Sigma) > 0$  and there exists a point  $x_0$ , which we may take as the origin, such that (22) holds. Let  $R_\nu$  be an infinitesimal sequence such that

$$(24) \quad H_k^\infty(\Sigma \cap B_{R_\nu}(0)) \geq 2^{-1-k} \omega_k R_\nu^k$$

and let  $u^{(\nu)}(x) = u(2R_\nu x)$ . Arguing as in the proof of theorem 1, we conclude that a subsequence of  $u^{(\nu)}$  converges to a function  $v$  homogeneous of degree zero and minimizing locally the functional

$$(25) \quad F_0(v; E) = \int_E A(0, v) Dv Dv dx .$$

We note that the coefficients are now independent of  $x$ .

If we call  $\Sigma^{(v)}$  the singular set of  $u^{(v)}$  we have from (24)

$$(26) \quad H_k^\infty(\Sigma^{(v)} \cap B_{1/2}) \geq 2^{-1-2k} \omega_k.$$

By (23) and lemma 1 the same inequality holds for the singular set  $\Sigma$  of  $v$ . Since  $k > 0$ , there exists a point  $x_0 \neq 0$  for which (22) holds,  $\Sigma$  now denoting the singular set of  $v$ . We may suppose that  $x_0 = (0, 0, \dots, a)$ . We blow up now near  $x_0$  by taking

$$v^{(v)}(x) = v(x_0 + R_v x).$$

Arguing as above and recalling that the coefficients in  $F_0$  do not depend on  $x$ , we arrive to a function  $w$  independent of  $x_n$ , minimizing  $F_0$  locally in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and whose singular set has positive  $k$ -dimensional measure.

The restriction of  $w$  to the plane  $x_0 = 0$ , which we denote again by  $w$ , minimizes  $F_0$  locally in  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ ; moreover its singular set  $\Sigma$  satisfies  $H_{k-1}(\Sigma) > 0$ .

By repeating the procedure we construct for each  $s < k$  a local minimum of  $F_0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{n-s}$  whose singularities have positive  $(k-s)$ -dimensional measure.

Suppose now that  $k > n - 3$ . Taking  $s = n - 3$  we obtain a local minimum in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  whose singular set has positive  $H_{k-n+3}$ -measure. This contradicts theorem 1 and therefore proves the assertion. q.e.d.

REMARK. The results of theorem 1 and 2 apply to harmonic mappings of Riemannian manifolds  $u: M^n \rightarrow M^N$ , provided that every point of  $M^n$  has a neighborhood which is mapped into a bounded co-ordinate chart of  $M^N$ . In fact, in that case, if  $u$  minimize the energy

$$\mathcal{E}(u) = \int |du|^2$$

a representative of  $u$  in local coordinates minimizes locally the functional

$$\mathcal{E}(u; A) = \int_A g_{ij}(u) G^{\alpha\beta}(x) D_\alpha u^i D_\beta u^j \sqrt{G} dx$$

where  $g_{ij}$  and  $G_{\alpha\beta}$  are the metric tensors of  $M^N$  and  $M^n$  respectively. We note that no assumption on  $M^N$ , involving for example its sectional curvature, is needed.

*Added, June 1982.* The regularity result for harmonic mappings has been proved independently by R. Schoen and K. Uhlenbeck [5], without the assumption that the image of  $u$  is locally contained in a bounded coordinate chart.

## REFERENCES

- [1] H. FEDERER, *The singular set of area minimizing rectifiable currents with codimension one and of area minimizing flat chains modulo two with arbitrary codimension*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **76** (1970), pp. 767-771.
- [2] M. GIAQUINTA - E. GIUSTI, *On the regularity of the minima of variational integrals*, Acta Math., **148** (1982), pp. 31-46.
- [3] E. GIUSTI, *Minimal surfaces and functions of bounded variation*, Note on Pure Math., **10**, Canberra (1977).
- [4] E. GIUSTI - M. MIRANDA, *Un esempio di soluzioni discontinue per un problema di minimo relativo ad un integrale regolare del calcolo delle variazioni*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital., **2** (1968), pp. 1-8.
- [5] R. SCHOEN - K. UHLENBECK, *A regularity theory for harmonic maps*, J. Differential Geometry, **17** (1982), pp. 307-335.

Istituto di Matematica Applicata  
dell'Università  
Via di S. Marta, 3  
50139 Firenze

Istituto Matematico dell'Università  
Viale Morgagni, 67/A  
50134 Firenze