

ANNALI DELLA  
SCUOLA NORMALE SUPERIORE DI PISA  
*Classe di Scienze*

L. A. CAFFARELLI

N. M. RIVIÈRE

**On the rectifiability of domains with finite perimeter**

*Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di Scienze* 4<sup>e</sup> série, tome 3, n° 2  
(1976), p. 177-186

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=ASNSP\\_1976\\_4\\_3\\_2\\_177\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=ASNSP_1976_4_3_2_177_0)

© Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, 1976, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di Scienze » (<http://www.sns.it/it/edizioni/riviste/annaliscienze/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/legal.php>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques  
<http://www.numdam.org/>

## On the Rectifiability of Domains with Finite Perimeter.

L. A. CAFFARELLI (\*) (\*\*) - N. M. RIVIÈRE (\*) (\*\*\*)

In the study of free boundaries in variational inequalities it arises naturally the question of regularity of domains with finite perimeter in the sense of Caccioppoli [1] and De Giorgi [3]. In two dimensions, for instance, with the rectifiability of the topological boundary of such domains; which we prove in the context of this paper; the tools developed by H. Lewy [6], and H. Lewy and G. Stampacchia [7] can be utilized to show further regularity of the free boundaries, see [7], [5], [2]. The domains in question usually verify the following two conditions:

(A) The interior of its complement is composed of finitely many connected components. (B) The points in the boundary of the domain are of uniform positive density with respect to the interior of its complement, see [2]. In the two dimensional case either condition yields the rectifiability of the domain. In the notation of geometric measure theory <sup>(1)</sup> our results prove that the irreducible currents associated with  $\hat{\Gamma}_{\chi_E}$  are the boundary curves of the connected components of  $E$ . In the  $n$ -dimensional case condition (B) implies the additivity of the perimeter of  $\Omega$  in terms of its connected components.

### Domains with finite perimeter.

Following the notation of De Giorgi [3], set

$$W_\lambda f(x) = (\pi\lambda)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-|\xi|^2/\lambda) f(x - \xi) d\xi.$$

(\*) School of Mathematics, University of Minnesota

(\*\*) The author was supported by Consejo de Investigaciones Cientificas y Tecnicas, Argentina.

(\*\*\*) The author was partially supported by N.S.F. grant G.P. 43212.

<sup>(1)</sup> H. FEDERER, *Geometric Measure Theory*, p. 421, Springer Verlag, 1969.

Pervenuto alla Redazione il 22 Gennaio 1975 e in forma definitiva il 4 Agosto 1975.

If  $\chi_E$  denotes the characteristic function of  $E$ , we define its perimeter as

$$\mathfrak{F}(E) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\text{grad}(W_\lambda \chi_E)(x)| dx.$$

In [3] it is shown that if  $\{\pi_n\}$  is a sequence of polygonal sets converging in measure to  $E$ , then

$$\mathfrak{F}(E) \leq \underline{\lim} \mathfrak{F}(\pi_n).$$

Moreover when  $E$  has finite perimeter there is a sequence of polygonal domains  $\{\pi_n\}$ , such that  $\pi_n$  converges in measure to  $E$  and

$$\mathfrak{F}(E) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{F}(\pi_n).$$

Denote with  $\mathbb{C}E$  the complement of  $E$  and with  $E_0$  its interior.  $m(E)$  will denote the Lebesgue measure, for a measurable set  $E$ .

**LEMMA I.** *Let  $\Omega$  be an open set of finite perimeter. The sequence,  $\{\pi_n\}$ , of polygonal domains converging to  $\Omega$  in measure and approximating its perimeter can be chosen so that:*

(i) *If  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are compact sets,  $K_1 \subset \Omega$  and  $K_2 \subset (\mathbb{C}\Omega)^0$ ; then, for  $n \geq n_0$ ,  $K_1 \subset \pi_n$  and  $K_2 \subset (\mathbb{C}\pi_n)^0$ .*

(ii) *If  $m(\{x, |x - x_0| < \rho\} \cap (\mathbb{C}\Omega)^0) \geq \alpha \rho^n$ , when  $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$  ( $\partial\Omega$  denotes the boundary of  $\Omega$ ),  $\rho \leq \rho_0$ , and  $\alpha > 0$  independently of  $\rho$  and  $x_0$ ; then  $\pi_n \subset \pi_{n+1} \subset \Omega$ .*

**PROOF.** We follow the construction of De Giorgi [3] of a polygonal sequence of functions,  $\{g_k\}$ , defined from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  such that if

$$\pi_k(\theta) = \{x, g_k(x) > \theta\} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_k(\theta) = \mathfrak{F}(\pi_k(\theta)),$$

then

$$(a) \quad \int_{1/k}^{\infty} \rho_k(\theta) d\theta \leq \mathfrak{F}(\Omega) + 1/k.$$

(b) When  $1/k \leq \theta \leq 1 - 1/k$ ,  $m(\pi_k(\theta) \Delta \Omega) \leq 1/k$ . Here  $\Delta$  denotes the symmetric difference;  $A \Delta B = (A \cap B') \cup (A' \cap B)$ .

(c)  $0 \leq g_k - W_{\lambda_k} \chi_\Omega \leq 1/k$ , where  $\lambda_k \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

Observe that, in virtue of (b) and a previous remark,  $\mathfrak{F}(\Omega) \leq \lim \rho_k(\theta)$ , for  $0 < \theta < 1$ .

On the other hand, by Fatou's lemma and (a),

$$\int_{\varepsilon}^{1-\varepsilon} \underline{\lim} \varrho_k(\theta) \, d\theta \leq \underline{\lim} \int_{\varepsilon}^{1-\varepsilon} \varrho_k(\theta) \, d\theta \leq (1 - 2\varepsilon) \sigma(\Omega).$$

Therefore  $\mathcal{F}(\Omega) = \underline{\lim} \varrho_k(\theta)$  in a set  $E$ ,  $m(E \cap (0, 1)) = 1$ .

Given  $\eta$ , choose  $\lambda_k$  so small that  $W_{\lambda_k} \chi_\Omega(x) \leq \eta$  when  $x \in K_2$  and  $W_{\lambda_k} \chi_\Omega(x) \geq 1 - \eta$  when  $x \in K_1$ . Then if we choose  $\pi_k(\theta)$  such that  $\theta \in (2\eta, 1 - \eta) \cap E$  and  $1/k < \eta$ , part (i) follows.

To prove part (ii), observe first that when  $x \in \partial\Omega$ ,  $0 < W_\lambda \chi_\Omega(x) \leq \alpha' < 1$ , uniformly for  $x \in \partial\Omega$ ,  $\lambda \leq \lambda_0$ . Observe also that  $W_\lambda \chi_\Omega(x)$  satisfies the heat equation in the  $(x, \lambda)$  variables, when  $x \in (\mathbb{C}\Omega)^0$ ; moreover for such  $x$ ,  $W_\lambda \chi_\Omega(x) \rightarrow 0^+$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0^+$ . Therefore, by virtue of the maximum principle  $W_\lambda \chi_\Omega(x)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{C}\Omega$ , must reach its maximum at a point  $(\chi_0, \lambda_1)$ , with  $x_0 \in \partial(\mathbb{C}\Omega)^0 \subset \partial\Omega$ ,  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_0$ : That is  $W_\lambda \chi_\Omega(x) \leq \alpha' < 1$  when  $(x, \lambda) \in \mathbb{C}\Omega \times (0, \lambda_0]$ . Choose  $\theta \in E \cap (\alpha', 1)$ ; in virtue of the observations above, for  $n_1$  large enough  $\pi_{n_1}(\theta) \subset \Omega$ . Using part (i) of the lemma we can choose  $n_2$ ;  $\pi_{n_1}(\theta) \subset \pi_{n_2}(\theta) \subset \Omega$ ; by induction part (ii) follows.

**LEMMA II.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ , be a bounded open set such that (a)  $\partial\Omega = \partial[\mathbb{C}(\Omega)^0]$ ; (b)  $\mathbb{C}(\Omega)^0 = \bigcup_{i \leq m} D_i$ ,  $D_i$  connected. If further  $\mathcal{F}(\Omega) < \infty$ , then the boundary of each of its connected components is accessible. Moreover, if  $C$  is a connected component of  $\Omega$ , given  $\{x_n\} \subset C$  converging to  $x$ ,  $x \in \partial C$ , there exists a Jordan arc,  $\Gamma: [0, 1) \rightarrow C$ , and a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\} \subset \{x_n\}$  such that  $\Gamma(t_k) = x_{n_k}$  and  $\Gamma(t) \rightarrow x$  as  $t \rightarrow 1^-$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $x_0 \in \partial C$ , set  $B_k(x_0) = \{x, |x - x_0| < 1/k\}$ . Take  $x_{n_1} \in B_1(x_0) \cap C$  and let  $S_1$  be the collection of polygonal curves joining  $x_{n_1}$  with  $x_n \in B_2(x_0) \cap C$ .

Let  $\alpha = \inf \{d(\Gamma), \Gamma \in S_1\}$ ,  $d(\cdot)$  denotes the diameter of the set. Choose  $\Gamma_1$ , joining  $x_{n_1}$  with  $x_{n_2}$ , such that  $d(\Gamma_1) < 2\alpha$ ,  $x_{n_2} \in B_2(x_0) \cap C$ .

Repeating the above argument inductively we obtain a sequence of polygonal curves,  $\{\Gamma_k\}$ , joining  $x_{n_k}$  with  $x_{n_{k+1}}$  such that,  $x_{n_k} \in B_k(x_0) \cap C$  and  $\alpha_k \leq d(\Gamma_k) \leq 2\alpha_k$ . The lemma follows if we show that  $\alpha_k \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ :

We argue by contradiction; suppose there exists a sequence,  $\{\alpha_k\}$ , such that  $\alpha_k > \varepsilon$ ,  $x_{n_k} \in B_k(x_0) \cap C$ . Consider  $k_0$  so large that  $1/k_0 \leq \varepsilon/4$ , then  $x_{n_k}$ ,  $k > k_0$ , belong to different components of  $C \cap \{x, |x - x_0| < \varepsilon/2\}$ . If otherwise,  $x_{n_k}$  and  $x_{n_i}$  belong to the same connected component,  $n_k < n_i$ , then  $d(\Gamma_k) < \varepsilon$ , contradicting the fact that  $\alpha_k > \varepsilon$ .

Call  $E_k$  the connected component of  $C \cap \{x, |x - x_0| < \varepsilon\}$  containing  $x_{n_k}$ ,  $k > k_0$ . Again by virtue of the fact that  $d(\Gamma_k) > \varepsilon$ ,  $E_k \cap \{x, |x - x_0| = \varepsilon/4\} \neq \emptyset$ .

Let  $\tilde{I}_k$  be a polygonal arc joining  $\chi_{n_k}$  with a point of  $\{x, |x - x_0| = \varepsilon/4\}$ ,  $\tilde{I}_k \subset E_k$ .

Set  $S_r = \{x, |x - x_0| = r\}$ , and  $\varphi_r$  from  $[0, 2\pi)$  onto  $S_r$  the standard transformation into polar coordinates. Observe that when  $\varphi_r(\theta_1) \in E_{k_1}$ ,  $\varphi_r(\theta_2) \in E_{k_2}$ ,  $r < \varepsilon/2$ , there exists  $\theta$ ;  $\theta_1 < \theta < \theta_2$ ;  $\varphi_r(\theta) \in \partial C$ . On the other hand if  $S_{r_1} \cap (\mathbb{C}\Omega)^0 = \emptyset$  and  $S_{r_2} \cap (\mathbb{C}\Omega)^0 = \emptyset$ ,  $r_i < \varepsilon/2$ ; since  $\partial\Omega = \partial(\mathbb{C}\Omega)^0$  there exists a connected component of  $(\mathbb{C}\Omega)^0$ ,  $D_j$ ,  $D_j \subset \{x; r_2 < |x - x_0| < r_1\}$ . By hypothesis there are at most  $m+1$  radii such that  $S_r \cap (\mathbb{C}\Omega)^0 = \emptyset$ .

Finally we restrict our attention to a finite number of polygonal paths  $\tilde{I}_l$ ,  $l_0 \leq l \leq l_0 + N$ , dividing the set  $\{x; \varepsilon/8 < |x - x_0| < \varepsilon/2\}$  into  $N$  different regions. In each region we can construct a compact set  $K_l$  composed of radial segments  $K_l \subset (\mathbb{C}\Omega)^0$ , such that the perimeter of the circular projection of  $K_l$  into a given radius exceeds  $\varepsilon/16$ . By Lemma I we may choose  $\pi_n$ ; such that  $\pi_n \subset CK_l$  and  $\pi_n \supset \tilde{I}_l \cap \{x, \varepsilon/8 \leq |x - x_0| \leq \varepsilon/2\}$ . As a consequence, on each region the perimeter of  $\pi_n$  must exceed  $\varepsilon/16$  and therefore  $\mathfrak{P}(\pi_n) > N \cdot \varepsilon/16$ . This contradicts our hypothesis on the finiteness of the perimeter of  $\Omega$  and the lemma follows.

REMARK I. Let  $\Omega$  be as in Lemma II, then  $\bar{C}_j \cap \bar{C}_k$  consists of at most  $m+1$  points.

PROOF. If two points belong to the common boundary of  $C_j$  and  $C_k$  there are two Jordan arcs, by virtue of Lemma II, the first joining them in  $C_j$  the second in  $C_k$ . Together they form a Jordan curve whose interior must contain a component of  $\mathbb{C}(\Omega)^0$ , since  $\partial\Omega = \partial\mathbb{C}(\Omega)^0$ .

REMARK II. Under the assumptions of Lemma II the boundary of each of the connected components,  $C_j$ , of  $\Omega$  is composed of at most  $m$  Jordan curves.

PROOF. Clearly  $\mathbb{C}(C_j)^0$  is composed of at most  $m$  connected components. Let  $E_1$  be the unbounded component of  $\mathbb{C}(C_j)^0$  and  $C^* = \mathbb{C}(E_1)^0$ , then (i)  $C_j \subset C^*$ ; (ii)  $\partial C^* \subset \partial C_j$ ; (iii)  $C^*$  is connected and simply connected.

In virtue of Lemma II every « prime end » of  $C^*$  consists of exactly one point which is a simple point, since  $\partial C^* = \partial E_1$ . As a consequence  $\partial C^*$  is a Jordan curve (see [8], Secs. 7 and 8). The other components,  $\{E_j\}_{j < 1}$ , reduce to the above case by an inversion mapping.

Next we will « separate » two connected components,  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ , of  $\Omega$  by a Jordan curve contained in  $\mathbb{C}(\Omega)^0$  and a finite number of small balls.

By Remark II, given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there are at most  $m+1$  balls,  $\{B_k\}_{k \leq m+1}$ , of radius  $\varepsilon$  such that if

$$C_2^* = C_2 \cap \left( \mathbb{C} \left( \bigcup_k (B_k) \right)^0 \right), \quad \text{then } \bar{C}_2^* \cap \bar{C}_1 = \emptyset.$$

LEMMA III. *With the above notation, let  $E$  be a connected component of  $C_2^*$ . There exists a finite number of balls  $\{B_k\}$ , at most  $m + 2$ , of radius less than  $\varepsilon$  such that (i)  $B_k \cap E = \emptyset$ , (ii) There exists a Jordan curve,  $\Gamma$ , contained in  $C(\Omega)^0 \cup \left(\bigcup_k B_k\right)$  separating  $C_1 \cap \left(C\left(\bigcup_k (B_k)^0\right)\right)$  from  $E$ .*

PROOF. In virtue of Remark I we may assume that  $C_2$  is contained in the exterior of the exterior Jordan arc of  $C_1$  (applying an inversion if needed). We may cover the finite holes of  $C_1$  and assume that  $C_1$  is connected and simply connected. Since  $\bar{C}_1 \cap \bar{E} = \emptyset$ , we may choose  $\delta$ , such that if  $x \in \bar{C}_1$ ,  $y \in \bar{E}$ ,  $|x - y| > 2\delta$ .

Consider  $x_0 \in \partial C_1$ , since  $\partial\Omega = \partial C(\Omega)^0$ , then  $x_0 \in \partial D_{j_1}$ . Observe that if  $z \in \partial C_1 \cap \partial D_{j_1}$ , then for any  $\delta > 0$  there exists a polygonal arc,  $\gamma$ , contained in  $D_{j_1}$  and connecting  $\{x, |x - x_0| < \delta\}$  with  $\{z, |x - z| < \delta\}$ . On the other hand the points  $x_0$  and  $z$  divide the boundary of  $C_1$  into two Jordan arcs,  $\Gamma'$  and  $\Gamma''$ . Note that either  $\gamma$  together with  $\Gamma'$  and both balls separates  $\Gamma''$  from  $E$  or else  $\gamma$  together with  $\Gamma''$  and both balls separate  $\Gamma'$  from  $E$ .

Parametrize  $\partial C_1$  with  $\{z, |z| = 1\} = S^1$ ,  $F(S^1) = \partial C_2$ ,  $f(\xi_0) = x_0$ . Using the above argument let  $u_1$  be the end point of the longest arc, to the left of  $\xi_0$ , such that for  $z$  in the open arc, (i)  $F(z) \in \bar{D}_{j_1}$ ; (ii)  $F(\Gamma_{z, \xi_0})$  is separated from  $E$  by the corresponding arc  $\gamma$ , the complementary arc of  $F(\Gamma_{z, \xi_0})$  in  $\partial C_1$  and the balls  $\{x, |x - x_0| < \delta\}$ ,  $\{x, |x - z| < \delta\}$ . As a consequence  $F(\Gamma_{u_1, \xi_0})$  is separated from  $E$  by an arc  $\Gamma_1 \subset C(\Omega)^0$ , the complementary arc of  $F(\Gamma_{u_1, \xi_0})$  and two balls of radius  $2\delta$  centered at  $x_0$  and  $F(u_1)$ .

It follows from the construction that either  $u_1 = \xi_0$ , and the lemma follows, or else  $F(u_1) \in \bar{D}_{j_2}$ ,  $j_2 \neq j_1$ . If we repeat the construction inductively after at most  $m + 1$  steps,  $u_{m+1} = \xi_0$  and the separation process is completed.

THEOREM I. *Let  $\Omega$  be as in Lemma II, then if  $\Omega = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} C_j$ ,  $C_j$  connected components*

$$(i) \mathfrak{F}(\Omega) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{F}(C_j);$$

(ii)  $\partial C_j$  is composed of a finite number, at most  $m$ , of rectifiable Jordan curves,  $\Gamma_{jk}$ , and  $\mathfrak{F}(C_j) = \sum_k \text{length}(\Gamma_{jk})$ .

PROOF. To prove (i) we separate, recursively, each component from all the others using Lemma III.

Let  $x_1 \in C_1$ ,  $x_2 \in C_2$ , in virtue of Lemma III we may select a family of balls,  $\{B_k^1\}_{k \leq 2m+3}$ , of radius less than  $\varepsilon_1$  and a Jordan curve,  $\Gamma$ , such that

(i)  $\Gamma \subset \mathcal{C}(\Omega)^0 \cup \left( \bigcup_k B_k^1 \right)$ ; (ii)  $\Gamma$  separates  $C_1^{(1)}$  from  $C_2^{(1)}$ , where  $C_i^{(1)}$  denotes the connected component of  $\Omega_1 = \Omega \cup \left( \mathcal{C} \cup \left( \bigcup_k B_k^1 \right)^0 \right)$  containing  $x_i$ .

As a consequence of Lemma I, we may choose a polygonal domain  $\pi_{n_1}^{(1)}$  approximating  $\Omega_1$  such that;  $\Gamma \subset \mathcal{C}(\pi_{n_1}^{(1)})^0$  and  $K_1 \subset \pi_{n_1}^{(1)}$ : where  $K_1$  is a compact set of connected interior,  $x_1 \in K_1 \subset C_1^{(1)}$ .

Next we « separate »  $C_1$  from  $C_2$  and  $C_3$  by the above process removing at most  $4m + 6$  balls of radius less than  $\varepsilon_2$ ; and so on. In this fashion we construct a sequence of polygonal domains,  $\{\pi_n^*\}$ , associated to the sequence  $\varepsilon_n$ ,  $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and to the sequence  $\{K_n\}$ ,  $K_n \subset \pi_n^*$ , where  $m(C_1 \cap \mathcal{C}(K_n)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

If  $B_n$  denotes the connected component of  $\pi_n^*$  containing  $K_n$  observe that, by construction,  $m(B_n \cap C_j) \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , if  $j \neq 1$ . On the other hand  $m(C_1 \cap \mathcal{C}(B_n)) \leq m(C_1 \cap \mathcal{C}(K_n)) \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence, since  $m(\pi_n^* \Delta \Omega) \rightarrow 0$ , we have  $m(B_n \Delta C_1) \rightarrow 0$  and  $m\left(\left[ \bigcup_{j \geq 2} C_j \right] \Delta [\pi_n^* \cap \mathcal{C}(B_n)]\right) \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore

$$\mathfrak{F}(C_1) \leq \underline{\lim} \mathfrak{F}(B_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{F}\left(\bigcup_{j \geq 2} C_j\right) \leq \underline{\lim} \mathfrak{F}(\pi_n^* \cap \mathcal{C}(B_n)),$$

that is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{F}(C_1) + \mathfrak{F}\left(\bigcup_{j \geq 2} C_j\right) &\leq \underline{\lim} \mathfrak{F}(B_n) + \underline{\lim} \mathfrak{F}(\pi_n^* \cap \mathcal{C}(B_n)) \leq \\ &\leq \underline{\lim} [\mathfrak{F}(B_n) + \mathfrak{F}(\pi_n^* \cap \mathcal{C}(B_n))] + \underline{\lim} \mathfrak{F}(\pi_n^*) = \mathfrak{F}(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand the sublinearity of the perimeter yields  $\mathfrak{F}(\Omega) = \mathfrak{F}(C_1) + \mathfrak{F}\left(\bigcup_{j \geq 2} C_j\right)$  and property (i) follows by induction.

To complete the proof we analyze  $\partial C_1$ .

Note that  $\partial C_1$  is composed of at most  $m$  Jordan curves,  $\{\Gamma_j\}$ , two of which intersect in at most one point. Adding to  $C_1$  at most  $m$  balls,  $\{B_k^1\}$ , of radius  $\varepsilon_1$  we may « separate » all the Jordan curves in the following sense: let  $A_j$  be a connected component of  $\mathcal{C}(C_1)^0$ ,  $\Gamma_j = \partial A_j$ ; if  $C_{11} = C_1 \cup \left( \bigcup_k B_k^1 \right)$  and  $x_j \in A_j$ , we may select a polygonal approximation,  $\pi_1$ , of  $C_{11}$  such that each  $x_j$  belongs to different connected components of  $\mathcal{C}(\pi_1)^0$ . We proceed inductively to construct a sequence  $\{\pi_n\}$  associated to  $\varepsilon_n$ ,  $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Let  $\pi_n^*$  be the simply connected closure of  $\pi_n$  (i.e. the set of points that can not be joined to infinity without crossing  $\pi_n^0$ ). Clearly  $\mathfrak{F}(\pi_n^*) \leq \mathfrak{F}(\pi_n) \leq \mathfrak{F}(C_1) + \delta_n$ .

Let  $D = \{z, |z| < 1\} \subset \mathcal{C}$ , and  $f_n$  the conformal mapping from  $D$  onto  $\pi_n^*$  such that  $f_n(0) = x_0$ . If  $H^1(D)$  denotes the space of analytic functions on  $D$  whose  $L^1$ -norm over circumferences of fixed radius remains uniformly

bounded (see [9]), then

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right) f_n \in H^1(D) \quad \text{and} \quad \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial z} f_n \right\|_{H^1} \leq \mathfrak{F}(\pi_n^*) \leq \mathfrak{F}(C_1) + \delta_n.$$

Therefore  $\|f_n\|_{L^\infty(D)} \leq \mathfrak{F}(C_1) + \delta_n$ ; and, since  $f_n(0) = x_0$ , in virtue of Harnack's Theorem there exists a subsequence (which we readily rename  $\{f_n\}$ ) such that  $f_n$  converges to a limit  $f$ , uniformly in  $\bar{D}$ . By Fatton's lemma

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \in H^1(D) \quad \text{and} \quad \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial z} f \right\|_{H^1} \leq \mathfrak{F}(C_1).$$

At this point we make use of Caratheodory's Theorem, (see Theorem 2.1 of [8]) and choose our sequence  $\{f_n\}$  so that  $f$  is a conformal mapping from  $D$  onto the kernel of the said sequence,  $G_{x_0}$ ; see Def. on pg. 33 of [8].

In virtue of Lemma I,  $G_{x_0} = C(A_1)^0$  where  $A_1$  denotes the unbounded component of  $C(C_1)^0$ . Hence

$$\text{length } (\Gamma_1) = \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial z} f \right\|_{H^1(D)} \leq \underline{\lim} \mathfrak{F}(\pi_n^*).$$

To complete the argument, for each  $x_j \in A_j$ , consider the connected component of  $C(\pi_n)^0$  containing  $x_j$ ,  $T_{jn}$ , form its simply connected closure,  $T_{jn}^*$ , then repeating the argument above it follows that  $\text{length } (\Gamma_j) \leq \lim \mathfrak{F}(T_{jn}^*)$ . Therefore, since  $\mathfrak{F}(\pi_n^*) + \sum \mathfrak{F}(T_{jn}^*) \leq \mathfrak{F}(\pi_n)$  and  $\mathfrak{F}(\pi_n) \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}(C_1)$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $\mathfrak{F}(C_1) \geq \sum \text{length } (\Gamma_j)$ .

Finally  $C_1$  is a connected domain bounded by at most  $m$  rectifiable Jordan curves and the converse inequality follows restricting the conformal mappings to subcircles of radius less than one.

REMARK. Under the assumptions of Lemma II, if  $\mathfrak{F}((C\Omega)^0) < \infty$  instead of  $\mathfrak{F}(\Omega)$  the arguments of Lemmas II and III, together with Theorem I yield that  $\mathfrak{F}(\Omega) \leq \mathfrak{F}((C\Omega)^0)$  and hence the conclusions of Theorem I remain valid.

LEMMA IV. Let  $\Omega \subset R^n$ , be a bounded region with connected components  $C_j$ ;  $\Omega = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} C_j$ : Assume that  $\mathfrak{F}(\Omega) < \infty$  and that there exists a sequence of polygonal regions,  $\{\pi_n\}$ , increasing (i.e.  $\pi_n \subset \pi_{n+1} \subset \Omega$ ) such that  $m(\Omega \cap C\pi_n) \rightarrow 0$  and  $\mathfrak{F}(\pi_n) \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}(\Omega)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then

$$\mathfrak{F}(\Omega) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{F}(C_j).$$

The sequence  $\{\pi_n\}$  can be constructed under condition (ii) of Lemma I.



PROOF. Let  $B_n$  be the union of the connected components of  $\pi_n$  contained in  $C_1$ . Clearly  $m(C_1 \cap \mathcal{C}B_n) \rightarrow 0$  and  $m\left(\bigcup_{j \geq 2} C_j \cup (\mathcal{C}\pi_n \cup B_n)\right) \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , hence

$$\mathfrak{F}(C_1) \leq \underline{\lim} \mathfrak{F}(B_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{F}\left(\bigcup_{j \geq 2} C_j\right) \leq \underline{\lim} \mathfrak{F}(\pi_n \cap \mathcal{C}B_n),$$

that is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{F}(C_1) + \mathfrak{F}\left(\bigcup_{j \geq 2} C_j\right) &\leq \underline{\lim} \mathfrak{F}(B_n) + \underline{\lim} (\pi_n \cap \mathcal{C}B_n) \leq \\ &\leq \underline{\lim} (\mathfrak{F}(B_n) + \mathfrak{F}(\pi_n \cap \mathcal{C}B_n)) \leq \mathfrak{F}(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

Inductively, it follows that  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{F}(C_j) \leq \mathfrak{F}(\Omega)$ , the subadditivity of the perimeter proves the theorem.

**THEOREM II.** Consider  $\Omega \subset R^2$ , open, such that if  $x \in \partial\Omega$ ,  $m((x + B_\varepsilon) \cap (\mathcal{C}\Omega)^0) \geq \alpha m(B_\varepsilon)$ , where  $0 < \alpha < 1$  is uniform for  $x \in \partial\Omega$ . Write  $\Omega = \bigcup C_j$ ,  $C_j$  connected component; then  $\mathfrak{F}(\Omega) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{F}(C_j)$ . Moreover  $\partial C_j = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_{jk} \cup E_j$  where

(a)  $\Gamma_{jk}$  is a rectifiable Jordan curve and  $\mathfrak{F}(C_j) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{length}(\Gamma_{jk})$ .

(b)  $E_j$  is the set of limit points of  $\{\Gamma_{jk}\}_k$  (i.e.  $x \in E_j$  iff  $x + B_\varepsilon$  intersects infinitely many  $\Gamma_{jk}$  and  $x \notin \bigcup_k \Gamma_{jk}$ ) and  $H_1(E) = 0$ ,  $H_1(\cdot)$  represents the one dimensional Hausdorff measure.

PROOF. In virtue of (ii), Lemma I, the first part of the theorem follows from Lemma IV.

To study the boundary of  $C_j$  we set  $(\mathcal{C}C_j)^0 = \tilde{\Omega}$  then, by the assumption made on  $\Omega$ , it follows that  $(\mathcal{C}\tilde{\Omega})^0 = C_j$ : Observe that  $m(\partial\Omega) = 0$ , since  $\partial\Omega \subset \bar{\Omega}$  and, if  $x \in \partial\Omega$ ,  $m((x + B_\varepsilon) \cap \bar{\Omega}) + m((x + B_\varepsilon) \cap (\mathcal{C}\Omega)^0) = m(B_\varepsilon)$ .  $m((x + B_\varepsilon) \cap \bar{\Omega}) \leq (1 - \alpha)m(B_\varepsilon)$ ; that is  $\partial\Omega$  is contained in the subset of  $\bar{\Omega}$  of points of density less than one and hence of measure zero. Therefore  $\partial C_j = \partial\tilde{\Omega}$  is a set of measure zero. In consequence  $\mathfrak{F}(C_j) = \mathfrak{F}(\tilde{\Omega})$ .

But  $\tilde{\Omega}$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem II and hence if  $\bar{\Omega} = \bigcup_k D_{jk}$ ,  $D_{jk}$  connected components;  $\partial D_{jk} = \Gamma_{jk}$  is a rectifiable Jordan curve;  $\mathfrak{F}(C_j) = \mathfrak{F}(\tilde{\Omega}) = \sum_k \text{length}(\Gamma_{jk})$ .

Finally if  $E_j$  is the set of limit points of the family  $\{D_{jk}\}$  and  $\{U_\beta\}$  is a covering by balls of radius less than  $\varepsilon$ , we may divide the family into four subfamilies  $\{U_\beta\}_{\beta \in \mathcal{F}_1}$  where all the balls are disjoint. By assumption  $m(U_\beta \cap (\mathcal{C}\Omega)^0) \geq \alpha m(U_\beta)$ . On the other hand  $\tilde{\Omega} \supset (\mathcal{C}\Omega)^0$ . Then, for each  $\beta$ ,

either:

- (i)  $\sum m(D_{jk}) \geq \alpha/2m(U_\beta)$  or  $D_{jk} \subset U_\beta$ ,  $k \geq N_\varepsilon$ ;  
(ii) There exists  $D_{jk}$ ,  $k \geq N_\varepsilon$  such that the length of  $\partial D_{jk} \cap U_\beta$  is greater than or equal to  $\alpha/2r(U_\beta)$ .

Observe that, if (i) holds, the isoperimetric inequality of de Giorgi; [3], Theorem VI; yields  $\alpha/2r^2(U_\beta) \leq C \left( \sum_{D_{jk} \subset U_\beta, k \geq N_\varepsilon} \mathcal{F}^2(D_{jk}) \right)$ . Therefore in either case  $\sum r(U_\beta) \leq C_\alpha \sum_{k \geq N_\varepsilon} \mathcal{F}(D_{jk})$  and, since  $N_\varepsilon \rightarrow \infty$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , the theorem follows.

Finally, we present a family of simple examples showing that the hypotheses of theorems I and II are essential either for the additivity of the perimeter or for the representation of the topological boundary of the set.

Consider two squares,  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ , with a common side,  $I$ , and from such side remove countably many disjoint balls,  $\{B_j\}$ , the sum of whose perimeter is as small as we please and such that  $\bigcup_j \overline{B_j} \supset I$ .

Set

$$D_1 = C_1 \cap \left( \bigcup_j \overline{C(B_j)} \right)^0; \quad D_2 = C_2 \cap \left( \bigcup_j \overline{C(B_j)} \right)^0,$$

then

$$\mathcal{F}(D_1 \cup D_2) < \mathcal{F}(D_1) + \mathcal{F}(D_2).$$

In the same fashion, placing countably many balls on the curves  $y = x \sin(1/x)$  or  $y = \sin(1/x)$  we can construct domains  $\Omega$  such that  $\Omega$  is a connected, simply connected open set of finite perimeter,  $\partial\Omega = \partial(\mathcal{C}\Omega)^0$  and, in the first example, its boundary is a non rectifiable curve. In the second example, the boundary is not even a curve.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R. CACCIOPPOLI, *Misura e integrazione sugli insiemi dimensionalmente orientati*, Rend. Accad. Lincei, Cl. Sc. fis. mat. nat., Serie VIII, **12**, fasc 1, 2 (gen.-feb. 1952), pp. 3-11, 137-146.  
[2] L. A. CAFFARELLI - N. M. RIVIÈRE, *Smoothness and analyticity of free boundaries in variational inequalities*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa, serie IV, **3**, pp. 289-310.  
[3] E. DE GIORGI, *Su una teoria generale della misura (r-1)-dimensionale in uno spazio ad r dimensioni*, Annali di Matematica pura ed applicata, Serie IV, **36** (1954), pp. 191-213.  
[4] E. DE GIORGI, *Nuovi teoremi relativi alle misure (r-1)-dimensionali in uno spazio ad r dimensioni*, Ricerche di Matematica, **4** (1955), pp. 95-113.

- [5] D. KINDERLEHRER, *How a minimal surface leaves an obstacle*, Acta mathematica, **130** (1973), pp. 221-242.
- [6] H. LEWY, *On minimal surfaces with partly free boundary*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **4** (1951), pp. 1-13.
- [7] H. LEWY - G. STAMPACCHIA, *On the regularity of the solution to a variational inequality*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **22** (1969), pp. 153-188.
- [8] A. I. MARKUSHEVICH, *Theory of a complex variable*, Vol. III, Prentice-Hall (1967), Part I, Ch. 2.
- [9] A. ZYGMUND, *Trigonometric Series*, Cambridge (1959), vol. I, Ch. 7.