

## GRADED LIE ALGEBRAS WITH FINITE POLYDEPTH

BY YVES FELIX, STEPHEN HALPERIN AND JEAN-CLAUDE THOMAS

ABSTRACT. – If  $A$  is a graded connected algebra then we define a new invariant, polydepth  $A$ , which is finite if  $\text{Ext}_A^*(M, A) \neq 0$  for some  $A$ -module  $M$  of at most polynomial growth. THEOREM 1: If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a continuous map of finite category, and if the orbits of  $H_*(\Omega Y)$  acting in the homology of the homotopy fibre grow at most polynomially, then  $H_*(\Omega Y)$  has finite polydepth. THEOREM 5: If  $L$  is a graded Lie algebra and polydepth  $UL$  is finite then either  $L$  is solvable and  $UL$  grows at most polynomially or else for some integer  $d$  and all  $r$ ,  $\sum_{i=k+1}^{k+d} \dim L_i \geq k^r$ ,  $k \geq$  some  $k(r)$ .

© 2003 Elsevier SAS

RÉSUMÉ. – Si  $A$  est une algèbre graduée connexe nous définissons un nouvel invariant, appelé la profondeur polynomiale noté polydepth  $A$ , qui est fini s'il existe un  $A$ -module gradué  $M$  ayant une croissance au plus polynomiale tel que  $\text{Ext}_A^*(M, A) \neq 0$ . THÉORÈME 1 : si  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  est une application continue de LS-catégorie finie et si les orbites de l'action de  $H_*(\Omega Y)$  sur l'homologie de la fibre homotopique de  $f$  possèdent une croissance au plus polynomiale alors polydepth  $H_*(\Omega Y)$  est finie. THÉORÈME 5 : si  $L$  est une algèbre de Lie graduée et si polydepth  $UL$  est fini alors, soit  $L$  est résoluble et  $UL$  possède une croissance au plus polynomiale, soit il existe un entier  $d$  tel que pour tout entier  $r$  on ait  $\sum_{i=k+1}^{k+d} \dim L_i \geq k^r$  pour tous les  $k$  plus grands qu'un certain  $k(r)$ .

© 2003 Elsevier SAS

We work over a field  $lk$  of characteristic different from 2. If  $V = \{V_k\}$  is a graded vector space we denote by  $V^\# = \{\text{Hom}_{lk}(V_k, lk)\}$  the dual graded vector space. A graded Lie algebra is a graded vector space  $L$ , equipped with a bilinear map  $[\cdot, \cdot]: L_i \times L_j \rightarrow L_{i+j}$  satisfying

$$[x, y] + (-1)^{ij}[y, x] = 0$$

and

$$[x, [y, z]] = [[x, y], z] + (-1)^{ij}[y, [x, z]]$$

for  $x \in L_i$ ,  $y \in L_j$ ,  $z \in L$ . It follows that  $3[x, [x, x]] = 0$  for  $x$  of odd degree, and so if  $\text{char } k = 3$  we further require that  $[x, [x, x]] = 0$ . Finally we consider only graded Lie algebras satisfying  $L = \{L_i\}_{i \geq 1}$  and each  $L_i$  is finite dimensional. (Any graded vector space  $V$  with each  $V_i$  finite dimensional is said to have *finite type*.)

The universal enveloping algebra of  $L$  is denoted by  $UL$  and it satisfies the classical Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt Theorem (in characteristic 3 this uses the  $[x, [x, x]] = 0$  requirement).

Important examples appear in topology. Let  $X$  be a simply connected topological space with rational homology of finite type. Then the rational homotopy Lie algebra  $L_X$  of  $X$  is defined by

$$L_X = \pi_*(\Omega X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}; \quad [\cdot, \cdot] = \text{Samelson product,}$$

and the Hurewicz map extends to an isomorphism, [10],

$$UL_X \xrightarrow{\cong} H_*(\Omega X; \mathbb{Q}).$$

Analogously, if  $X$  is a finite  $n$ -dimensional and  $r$ -connected CW complex, then for primes  $p > n/r$ ,  $H_*(\Omega X; \mathbb{F}_p) = UE$  for some graded Lie algebra  $E$  [8].

If  $M$  is a module over a (graded) algebra  $A$  then the *grade* of  $M$ ,  $\text{grade } M$ , is the least integer  $q$  (or  $\infty$ ) such that  $\text{Ext}_A^q(M, A) \neq 0$ . And if  $V = \{V_i\}_{i \geq 0}$  is a graded vector space then  $V$  has *at most polynomial growth* if for some constant  $C$ , and some non-negative integer,  $d$ ,  $\sum_{i \leq n} \dim V_i \leq Cn^d$ ,  $n \geq 1$ . In this case the least such  $d$  is called the *polynomial bound* for the growth of  $V$  and is denoted by  $\text{polybd}(V)$ . If  $V$  does not have at most polynomial growth we put  $\text{polybd } V = \infty$  and we say that  $V$  grows faster than any polynomial.

In this paper we combine these two notions in the

DEFINITION. – The *polygrade* of an  $A$ -module,  $M$ , is the sum,  $\text{grade } M + \text{polybd } M$ , and the *polydepth* of  $A$  is the least integer (or  $\infty$ ) occurring as the polygrade of an  $A$ -module.

In the case  $A = UL$  the unique augmentation  $UL \rightarrow lk$  makes  $lk$  into a  $UL$ -module, and by definition, the grade of  $lk$  is the *depth* of  $UL$ . Since  $\text{polybd } lk = 0$  it follows that:

$$(1) \quad \text{polydepth } UL \leq \text{depth } UL.$$

Moreover (cf. Proposition 1.6) if  $\dim L < \infty$  then equality holds. We shall abuse notation and refer to these invariants respectively as  $\text{polydepth } L$  and  $\text{depth } L$ .

Note that  $\text{Ext}_{UL}^0(UL, UL)$  contains the identity map and so

$$(2) \quad \text{polydepth } L \leq \text{polybd } UL.$$

Observe as well that for any graded vector space  $M$ ,  $\text{polybd } M = 0$  if and only if  $\dim M$  is finite. Thus  $\text{polydepth } L = 0$  if and only if  $\text{depth } L = 0$ , which happens if and only if  $L$  is finite dimensional and concentrated in odd degrees.

Depth has been a useful concept in topology because, on the one hand, Lusternik–Schnirelmann category satisfies [1]

$$\text{depth } L_X \leq \text{cat } X$$

and, on the other hand [3–5], finite depth has important implications for the structure of a graded Lie algebra.

The purpose of this paper is to show that essentially the same implications follow from the weaker hypothesis that  $\text{polydepth } L$  is finite, while simultaneously identifying a larger class of topological spaces and Lie algebras for which the weaker hypothesis holds.

Indeed, we have

THEOREM 1. – *If  $F \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{f} Y$  is a fibration of path-connected spaces, then*

$$\text{polydepth } H_*(\Omega Y) \leq \text{polybd } H_*(F) + \text{cat } f.$$

*Proof.* – The fibration determines an action up to homotopy of  $\Omega Y$  on  $F$ , which makes  $H_*(F)$  into an  $H_*(\Omega Y)$ -module. According to [6],  $\text{grade } H_*(F) \leq \text{cat } f$ .  $\square$

Our main structural theorems read:

**THEOREM 2.** – Let  $E(L)$  denote the linear span of elements  $x \in L_{\text{even}}$  such that  $\text{ad } x$  acts nilpotently on each  $y \in L$ . Then

$$\dim E(L) \leq \text{polydepth } L.$$

**THEOREM 3.** – The following conditions on a graded Lie algebra  $L$  are equivalent

- (i)  $L$  is the union of solvable ideals and  $\text{polydepth } L$  is finite;
- (ii)  $UL$  grows at most polynomially ( $\text{polybd } UL$  is finite);
- (iii)  $L_{\text{even}}$  is finite dimensional, and for some constant  $C$

$$\sum_{i \leq n} \dim L_i \leq C \log_2 n, \quad n \geq 1.$$

In this case  $L$  is solvable.

**THEOREM 4.** – If  $L$  is a graded Lie algebra of finite polydepth then the union of the solvable ideals of  $L$  is a solvable ideal of finite polydepth.

**THEOREM 5.** – Suppose  $\text{polydepth } L$  is finite and  $L$  is not solvable. Then there is an integer  $d$  such that for all  $r \geq 1$ :

$$\sum_{i=k+1}^{k+d} \dim L_i \geq k^r, \quad k \geq \text{some } k(r).$$

*Remark.* – In [7] it is shown that if  $L = L_X$  where  $X$  is a finite 1-connected CW complex, then we may take  $d = \dim X$  in Theorem 5.

### 1. Properties of polydepth

**LEMMA 1.1.** – If  $M$  is a module for some graded algebra  $A$  of finite type and if  $\text{Ext}_A^q(M, A) \neq 0$  then  $\text{Ext}_A^q(A \cdot x, A) \neq 0$  for some  $x$  in a subquotient module of  $M$ .

*Proof.* – Recall that  $A^\# = \text{Hom}_k(A, k)$ . Then  $\text{Ext}_A^q(M, A)$  is the dual of  $\text{Tor}_q^A(M, A^\#)$  and a direct limit argument shows that for some  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in M$ ,

$$\text{Tor}_q^A(A \cdot x_1 + \dots + A \cdot x_n, A^\#) \neq 0.$$

Now use the exact sequence associated to the inclusion

$$A \cdot x_1 + \dots + A \cdot x_{n-1} \subset A \cdot x_1 + \dots + A \cdot x_n. \quad \square$$

**COROLLARY 1.2.** – Polydepth  $A$  is the least  $m$  such that  $\text{polygrade } N = m$  for some monogenic  $A$ -module  $N$ .

*Remark.* – It follows from the Corollary that we may improve Theorem 1 to the inequality

$$(3) \quad \text{polydepth } H_*(\Omega Y) \leq \text{polybd}(H_*(\Omega Y) \cdot \alpha) + \text{cat } f, \quad \text{some } \alpha \in H_*(F).$$

**PROPOSITION 1.3.** – Let  $L$  be a graded Lie algebra.

- (i) Each ideal satisfies  $\text{polydepth } I \leq \text{polydepth } L$ .

- (ii) Let  $E$  be a Lie subalgebra of  $L$ . If  $L$  has finite polydepth and if for each  $x \in L/E$  the orbit  $UE \cdot x$  has at most polynomial growth, then  $E$  has finite polydepth.
- (iii) For  $n$  sufficiently large the sub Lie algebra  $E$  generated by  $L_{\leq n}$  satisfies

$$\text{polydepth } E \leq \text{polydepth } L.$$

*Proof.* – (i) This follows from the Hochschild–Serre spectral sequence, converging from  $\text{Ext}_{UL/I}^p(lk, \text{Ext}_{UI}^q(M, UL))$  to  $\text{Ext}_{UL}^{p+q}(M, UL)$ . (Note that since  $UL$  is  $UI$ -free,  $\text{grade}_{UI}(M)$  is the least  $q$  such that  $\text{Ext}_{UI}^q(M, UL) \neq 0$ .)

(ii) As in Lemma 1.1,  $\text{Ext}_{UL}^q(M, UL)$  is dual to  $\text{Tor}_q^{UL}(M, (UL)^\#)$ , and this is the homology of the Cartan–Eilenberg–Chevalley complex  $\wedge sL \otimes M \otimes (UL)^\#$ . Write  $L = E \oplus V$  and set  $F_p = \wedge sE \otimes \wedge^{\leq p} sV \otimes M \otimes (UL)^\#$ . This filtration determines a convergent spectral sequence, introduced by Koszul in [9], and which is the Hochschild–Serre spectral sequence when  $E$  is an ideal. The  $E^1$ -term of the spectral sequence is  $\text{Tor}_q^{UE}(\wedge^p sL/E \otimes M, (UL)^\#)$ , converging to  $\text{Tor}_{p+q}^{UL}(M, (UL)^\#)$ .

Each element  $z \in \wedge^p sL/E \otimes M$  is contained in a finite sum of  $UE$ -modules of the form  $s(UE \cdot x_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge s(UE \cdot x_p) \otimes M$  and it follows that

$$\text{polybd}(UE \cdot z) \leq p \cdot \text{polybd}(UE \cdot x) + \text{polybd}(M)$$

for some  $x \in L/E$ . Choose  $M$  so that  $\text{polydepth } L = \text{polygrade } M$  and apply Lemma 1.1 with  $p + q = \text{grade } M$ .

(iii) If  $\text{Ext}_{UL}^p(M, UL)$  is non-zero and  $\text{polybd}(M) < \infty$  it suffices to choose  $E$  so that the restriction  $\text{Ext}_{UL}^p(M, UL) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{UE}^p(M, UL)$  is non-zero ([4], Proposition 3.1).  $\square$

**COROLLARY 1.4** (of the proof of (ii)). – *Suppose for some  $k \geq 1$  that  $\text{polybd}(UE \cdot x) \leq k$ ,  $x \in L/E$ . Then  $\text{polydepth } E \leq k \text{ polydepth } L$ .*

**COROLLARY 1.5.** – *Let  $E$  be a sub-Lie algebra of a graded Lie algebra  $L$ . If  $L$  has finite polydepth and  $L/E$  has at most polynomial growth, then  $E$  has finite polydepth.*

*Example 1.* – Let  $\mathbb{L}(V)$  be the free Lie algebra on a graded vector space  $V$ . Then for any graded Lie algebra  $L$ ,  $L \coprod \mathbb{L}(V)$  has depth 1. Thus the injection  $L \rightarrow L \coprod \mathbb{L}(V)$  shows that each graded Lie algebra is a sub-Lie algebra of a Lie algebra of finite polydepth. The previous corollary gives restriction on a Lie algebra  $L$  for being a sub-Lie algebra of a Lie algebra of finite polydepth,  $K$ , when the quotient has at most polynomial growth.

**PROPOSITION 1.6.** – *If  $L$  is a finite dimensional graded Lie algebra then*

$$\text{polydepth } L = \text{depth } L.$$

*Proof.* – As observed in the introduction,  $\text{polydepth } L \leq \text{depth } L$ . On the other hand, by Lemma 1.1,  $\text{polydepth } L = \text{polygrade } M$  for some monogenic module  $M = UL \cdot x$ . Now Theorem 3.1 in [3] asserts that  $\text{polygrade } M = \text{depth } L$ .  $\square$

## 2. Proof of Theorem 2

Suppose  $I \subset L$  is an ideal. If  $\text{Ext}_{UL}^m(M, UL) \neq 0$ , then  $\text{Ext}_{UL/I}^p(\text{Tor}_q^{UI}(M, k), UL/I) \neq 0$ , some  $p + q = m$ . (Same proof as in: [3], Lemma 4.3, for the case  $M = lk$ .) By Lemma 1.1 there is a monogenic  $UL/I$ -module  $N$  such that  $N$  is a subquotient of  $\text{Tor}_q^{UI}(M, k)$ , and  $\text{grade } N \leq p$ .

Now suppose  $L/I$  is finite dimensional. Then Theorem 3.1 in [3] asserts that

$$\text{grade } N + \text{polybd } N = \dim(L/I)_{\text{even}}.$$

On the other hand, write  $(L/I)_{\text{even}} = V \oplus W$  where  $V$  is the image of  $E(L)$ . Let  $x_i \in L_{\text{even}}$ ,  $y_j \in L_{\text{odd}}$  and  $z_k \in E(L)$  represent respectively bases of  $W$ ,  $(L/I)_{\text{odd}}$  and  $V$ . Then the elements  $x_1^{k_1} \cdots x_s^{k_s} y_1^{\varepsilon_1} \cdots y_t^{\varepsilon_t} z_1^{m_1} \cdots z_u^{m_u}$ , where  $\varepsilon_i = 0$  or  $1$ , represent a basis for  $UL/I$ . Choose the  $z_k$  to act locally nilpotently in  $L$ . Then this basis applied to any  $\omega \in \wedge^q sL$ , shows that  $\text{polybd}(UL/I) \cdot \omega \leq \dim W$ . Hence if  $u \in \wedge^q sL \otimes M$  represents a generator of  $N$  then

$$\text{polybd } N \leq \text{polybd}(UL/I \cdot u) \leq \text{polybd } M + \dim W.$$

Substitution in the equation above gives

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(L/I)_{\text{even}} &\leq \text{grade } N + \text{polybd } M + \dim W \\ &\leq \text{grade } M + \text{polybd } M + \dim W. \end{aligned}$$

Choose  $M$  so that  $\text{grade } M + \text{polybd}(M) = \text{polydepth } L$  and choose  $I = L_{>2k}$ . Then  $V \cong E(L)_{\leq 2k}$  and we have

$$\dim E(L)_{\leq 2k} \leq \text{polydepth } L.$$

Since this holds for all  $k$  the theorem is proved.

### 3. Solvable Lie algebras

LEMMA 3.1. – Let  $L$  be a Lie algebra concentrated in odd degrees. Then

$$\text{Ext}_{UL}(-, UL) = \text{Hom}_{UL}(-, UL).$$

In particular

$$\text{polydepth } L = \text{polybd } UL.$$

*Proof.* – Since  $L = L_{\text{odd}}$  it is necessarily abelian. Now  $\text{Ext}_{UL}(-, UL)$  is the dual of  $\text{Tor}^{UL}(-, (UL)^\#)$  and this is the limit of  $\text{Tor}^{UL_{\leq n}}(-, (UL)^\#)$ , which dualizes to

$$\text{Ext}_{UL_{\leq n}}(-, UL).$$

Since  $UL_{\leq n}$  is a finite dimensional exterior algebra and  $UL$  is  $UL_{\leq n}$ -free it follows that  $\text{Ext}_{UL_{\leq n}}^+(-, UL) = 0$ , and so  $\text{Ext}_{UL}^+(-, UL) = 0$ .

Finally, since  $\text{Ext}_{UL}^0(UL, UL)$  is non-zero,  $\text{polydepth } L \leq \text{polybd } UL$ . On the other hand if  $\text{polydepth } L = m < \infty$ , then for some  $M$ , we have

$$\text{Ext}_{UL}^p(M, UL) \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{polybd } M = m - p.$$

By the above,  $p = 0$  and so there is a non-zero  $UL$ -linear map  $f : M \rightarrow UL$ . Any  $f(m)$  is in some  $UL_{<n}$  and if  $f(m) \neq 0$  it follows that  $UL_{\geq n} \xrightarrow{\cong} UL_{\geq n} \cdot m$ . This implies

$$\text{polybd } M \geq \text{polybd } UL \quad \text{and} \quad \text{polydepth } L \geq \text{polybd } UL. \quad \square$$

LEMMA 3.2. – *Let  $L$  be a graded Lie algebra of finite polydepth. If  $I$  is an ideal in  $L$  and  $\text{polybd } I < \infty$  then  $\text{polydepth } L/I < \infty$ .*

*Proof.* – Choose  $M$  so that  $\text{polygrade } M = \text{polydepth } L$ . If  $m = \text{grade } M$  then it follows (as in [3], proof of Theorem 4.1 for the case  $M = \mathbb{k}k$ ) that for some  $p$ ,

$$\text{Ext}_{UL/I}^p(\text{Tor}_{m-p}^{UI}(M, \mathbb{k}), UL/I) \neq 0.$$

Since  $\text{Tor}_{m-p}^{UI}(M, \mathbb{k})$  is a subquotient of  $\wedge^{m-p} sI \otimes M$  it follows that it has polynomial growth at most equal to  $(m - p)$   $\text{polybd } I$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 3.* –

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Let  $I$  be the sum of the ideals in  $L$  concentrated in odd degrees. Then  $I$  is an ideal of this form, necessarily abelian, and  $L/I$  has no ideals concentrated in odd degrees. Moreover  $\text{polybd } UI = \text{polydepth } I \leq \text{polydepth } L$  (Lemma 3.1 and Proposition 1.3) and hence  $\text{polydepth } L/I < \infty$  (Lemma 3.2).

Next we show that every solvable ideal  $J$  in  $L/I$  is finite dimensional, by induction on the solvlength. Indeed, if  $J$  is abelian then  $J_{\text{even}} = E(J)$ . Since

$$\text{polydepth } J \leq \text{polydepth } L/I \quad (\text{Proposition 1.3}),$$

Theorem 2 asserts that  $J_{\text{even}}$  is finite dimensional. Thus for some  $r$ ,  $J_{\geq r}$  is an ideal concentrated in odd degrees, i.e.  $J_{\geq r} = 0$ .

Now if  $J$  has solvlength  $k$  then its  $(k + 1)$ st derived algebra is abelian and so finite dimensional. Thus for some  $r$ ,  $J_{\geq r}$  has solvlength  $k - 1$ . By induction,  $J$  is finite dimensional.

By hypothesis  $L/I$  is the sum of its solvable ideals. Since these are finite dimensional, each  $x \in (L/I)_{\text{even}}$  acts locally nilpotently. Thus  $(L/I)_{\text{even}} = E(L/I)$ , and this is finite dimensional by Theorem 2. But  $L_{\text{even}} \cong (L/I)_{\text{even}}$  since  $I$  is concentrated in odd degrees.

Suppose  $L_{\text{even}} \subset L_{\leq 2n}$ . Since  $L_{>2n}$  is an ideal in odd degrees of finite polydepth,  $\text{polybd } UL_{>n} < \infty$ , while trivially  $\text{polybd } UL/L_{>2n} < \infty$ . Hence  $\text{polybd } UL < \infty$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). Clearly  $\text{polybd } UL \geq \dim L_{\text{even}}$ , so the latter must be finite. It is trivial from the Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt theorem that if  $\sum_{i \leq n} \dim L_i = d(n)$  then

$$\sum_{i \leq nd(n)} \dim(UL)_i \geq 2^{d(n)}.$$

Thus  $2^{d(n)} \leq K[nd(n)]^r$  for some constant  $K$  and some integer  $r$ ,  $r \geq 1$ . It follows that

$$d(n) \leq \log_2 K + r \log_2 n + r \log_2 d(n) \leq r \log_2 n + \frac{1}{2}d(n),$$

$n$  sufficiently large.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Choose  $N$  so that  $I = L_{\geq N}$  is concentrated in odd degrees. Then  $UI$  is an exterior algebra and so

$$\sum_{i \leq n} \dim(UI)_i \leq 2^{\sum_{i \leq n} \dim L_i} \leq n^C$$

for some constant  $C$ . Thus, since  $L/I$  is finite dimensional,  $\text{polybd } UL$  is finite. The identity of  $UL$  is in  $\text{Ext}_{UL}^0(UL, UL)$  and so  $\text{polydepth } L \leq \text{polybd } UL$ .

Finally, since  $I$  is abelian and  $L/I$  is finite dimensional,  $L$  itself is solvable. This also proves the last assertion.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 4.* – This is immediate from Proposition 1.3(i) and Theorem 3.  $\square$

PROPOSITION 3.3. – *Suppose  $I$  is a solvable ideal in a Lie algebra  $L$  of finite polydepth. Then*

- (i) polydepth  $L/I \leq$  polydepth  $L$ ;
- (ii)  $\dim I_{\text{even}} \leq$  polydepth  $I \leq$  polybd  $UI$ .

*Proof.* – (i) As noted in the proof of Lemma 3.2,

$$\text{Ext}_{UL/I}^p(\text{Tor}_{m-p}^{UI}(M, k), UL/I) \neq 0,$$

where  $m + \text{polybd } M = \text{polydepth } L$ . Also the Tor is a subquotient of  $\wedge^{m-p} sI \otimes M$ . By Theorem 3

$$\sum_{i \leq n} \dim(\wedge^{m-p} sI \otimes M)_i \leq (C_1 \log_2 n)^{m-p} \cdot C_2 \cdot n^{\text{polybd } M}.$$

Hence

$$\text{polybd } \text{Tor}_{m-p}^{UI}(M, k) \leq \text{polybd } M + 1,$$

and so

$$\text{polydepth } L/I \leq \text{polydepth } M + p + 1.$$

If  $p < m$  then this gives polydepth  $L/I \leq$  polydepth  $L$ . If  $p = m$  then

$$\text{Tor}_{m-p}^{UI}(M, k) = M \otimes_{UI} k.$$

Hence in this case polybd  $\text{Tor}_{m-p}^{UI}(M, k) \leq$  polybd  $M$  and again

$$\text{polydepth } L/I \leq \text{polydepth } L.$$

(ii) Since  $I_{\text{even}} \subset I_{\leq 2n}$ , some  $n$  (Theorem 3) we may apply the first assertion to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \dim I_{\text{even}} &= \text{depth } I/I_{>2n} \quad (\text{cf. [2]}) \\ &= \text{polydepth } I/I_{>2n} \quad (\text{Proposition 1.6}) \\ &\leq \text{polydepth } I. \end{aligned}$$

The second inequality has already been observed:

$$\text{polybd } UI = \text{polygrade } UI \geq \text{polydepth } I. \quad \square$$

*Example 2.* – Consider a Lie algebra  $L$  concentrated in odd degrees with a basis  $\{x_i, i \geq 1\}$  satisfying the degree relations

$$\deg x_i > \sum_{j < i} \deg x_j.$$

Then for each  $n$ ,  $\dim(UL)_n \leq 1$ . The identity on  $UL$  shows that polydepth  $L = 1$ .

*Example 3.* – Consider the graded Lie algebra  $L = \mathbb{L}(a, x_n)_{n \geq 2} / I$ , with  $\deg a = 2$ ,  $\deg x_n = 2^n + 1$ , and where  $I$  is generated by the relations

$$[(\text{ad } a)^k x_r, (\text{ad } a)^l x_s] = 0, \quad k, l \geq 0, r, s \geq 2, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{ad}^{n+1}(a)(x_n) = 0.$$

Then  $\text{polybd } UL = 2$ , so that  $L$  has finite polygrade. On the other hand,  $L$  is solvable but not nilpotent, and is the union of the infinite sequence of the finite dimensional Lie algebras  $I_N$  generated by  $a, x_2, \dots, x_N$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.4.** – *Let  $L$  be the direct sum of non-solvable Lie algebras  $L(i)$ ,  $i \leq n$ . If  $\text{polydepth } L(i) < \infty$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , then*

$$n \leq \text{polydepth } L \leq \sum_i \text{polydepth } L(i).$$

*Proof.* – We first prove by induction on  $n$  that for any  $UL$ -module  $M$  that has at most polynomial growth, we have

$$\text{Ext}_{UL}^{<n}(M, UL) = 0.$$

Consider the Hochschild–Serre spectral sequence

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Ext}_{UL(1)}^p(\text{Tor}_q^{U(L(2) \oplus \dots \oplus L(n))}(M, (U(L(2) \oplus \dots \oplus L(n))^\#), UL(1))) \\ (4) \quad & \Rightarrow \text{Ext}_{UL}^{p+q}(M, UL). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $L(1)$  commutes with the other  $L(i)$  it follows that for each monogenic  $UL(1)$ -module  $N$  that is a subquotient of  $\text{Tor}_q^{U(L(2) \oplus \dots \oplus L(n))}(M, (U(L(2) \oplus \dots \oplus L(n))^\#)$  we have

$$\text{polybd } N \leq \text{polybd } M.$$

Now since  $L(1)$  is not solvable,  $\text{polybd } UL(1) = \infty$  and the argument in the proof of Lemma 3.1 shows that

$$\text{Ext}_{UL(1)}^0(N, UL(1)) = 0.$$

Thus (Lemma 1.1) the left hand in (4) vanishes for  $p = 0$ . By induction on  $n$  it vanishes for  $q < n - 1$  and so  $\text{Ext}_{UL}^{<n}(M, UL) = 0$ . Thus  $\text{polydepth } L \geq n$ .

On the other hand, there are  $UL(i)$ -modules  $M(i)$  such that  $\text{polygrade } M(i) = \text{polydepth } L(i)$ . Then  $\bigotimes_{i=1}^n M(i)$  is a  $UL$ -module that has at most polynomial growth and whose polygrade is the sum of the polygrades of the  $M(i)$ .  $\square$

#### 4. Growth of Lie algebras

**PROPOSITION 4.1.** – *Let  $L$  be a non-solvable graded Lie algebra of finite polydepth. Then for each integer  $r \geq 1$  there is a positive integer  $d(r)$  such that*

$$\sum_{i=k+1}^{k+d(r)} \dim L_i \geq k^r, \quad k \text{ sufficiently large.}$$

*Proof.* – We distinguish two cases.

*Case A:*  $L_{\text{even}}$  contains an infinite dimensional abelian sub Lie algebra  $E$ .



Choose  $n$  so that  $\dim E_{\leq n} \geq (r + 3)$  polydepth  $L$ . Then there is a finite sequence

$$L = I(0) \supset I(1) \supset \dots \supset I(l)$$

in which  $I(j)$  is an ideal in  $I(j - 1)$  and  $I(l)_{\leq n} = E_{\leq n}$ .

By Proposition 1.3, polydepth  $I(q) \leq$  polydepth  $L$ . Thus without loss of generality we may suppose that  $L = I(l)$ , i.e. that  $L_{\leq n}$  is an abelian sub Lie algebra concentrated in even degrees and that  $\dim L_{\leq n} \geq (r + 3)$  polydepth  $L$ .

Let  $M$  be a  $UL$ -module such that

$$\text{grade } M + \text{polybd } M = \text{polydepth } L$$

and put  $m = \text{grade } M$ . As observed in the proof of Proposition 1(ii),

$$\text{Ext}_{UL_{\leq n}}^q(\wedge^p sL_{>n} \otimes M, UL_{\leq n}) \neq 0,$$

for some  $p + q = m$ . It follows that for some  $z \in \wedge^p sL_{>n} \otimes M$ ,

$$\text{polybd } UL_{\leq n} \cdot z + q \geq \dim L_{\leq n}$$

(Theorem 3.1 in [3]). Hence for some  $x \in L$ ,

$$p(\text{polybd } UL_{\leq n} \cdot x) \geq \dim L_{\leq n} - q - \text{polybd } M.$$

Since  $p + q + \text{polybd } M = \text{polydepth } L$  we conclude that

$$(2 + \text{polybd } UL_{\leq n} \cdot x) \cdot \text{polydepth } L \geq \dim L_{\leq n} \geq (r + 3) \text{ polydepth } L.$$

As observed in the introduction, since  $\dim L = \infty$ , polydepth  $L > 0$ . It follows that

$$(5) \quad \text{polybd}(UL_{\leq n} \cdot x) \geq r + 1.$$

On the other hand,  $UL_{\leq n}$  is the polynomial algebra  $kk[y_1, \dots, y_s]$  on a basis  $y_1, \dots, y_s$  of  $L_{\leq n}$ . Because of (5) it is easy to see (induction on  $s$ ) that this basis can be chosen so that for some  $w \in UL_{\leq n} \cdot x$ ,  $kk[y_1, \dots, y_{r+1}] \rightarrow kk[y_1, \dots, y_{r+1}] \cdot w$  is injective. Put  $d = \prod_{i=1}^{r+1} \deg y_i$  and note that

$$\sum_{i=k+\deg w+1}^{k+\deg w+d} \dim L_i \geq \sum_{i=k+1}^{k+d} \dim kk[y_1, \dots, y_{r+1}]_i \geq \frac{1}{r!} k^r.$$

It follows that for any  $r$ , and  $k$  sufficiently large,

$$\sum_{i=k+1}^{k+d} \dim L_i \geq k^{r-1}.$$

This proves Proposition 5 in case A.

*Case B:* Every abelian sub Lie algebra of  $L_{\text{even}}$  is finite dimensional.

Let  $I$  be the sum of the solvable ideals in  $L$ . Then  $I_{\text{even}}$  is finite dimensional and polydepth  $L/I$  is finite (Theorem 3 and Proposition 3.3). Thus all abelian sub Lie algebras of  $L/I$  are finite dimensional. Thus it is sufficient to prove case B when  $L$  has no solvable ideals.

There are now two possibilities: either  $L = L_{\text{even}}$ , or  $L$  has elements of odd degree. In the latter case the sub Lie algebra generated by  $L_{\text{odd}}$  is an ideal, hence non-solvable and of finite polydepth. Let  $L(s)$  denote the sub Lie algebra generated by the first  $s$  linearly independent elements  $x_1, \dots, x_s$  of odd degree. For  $s$  sufficiently large,  $\text{polydepth } L(s) \leq \text{polydepth } L$  (Proposition 1) and  $\dim L(s)_{\text{even}} > \text{polydepth } L$  (obvious). Thus  $L(s)$  cannot be solvable (Theorem 3). In other words, we may assume that either  $L = L_{\text{even}}$  or else  $L$  is generated by finitely many elements  $x_1, \dots, x_s$  of odd degree. In either case set  $E = L_{\text{even}}$ , and note that  $\dim E$  is infinite.

Define a sequence of elements  $z_i$  and sub Lie algebras  $E(i)$  by setting  $E(1) = E$ ,  $z_i$  is a non-zero element in  $E(i)$  and  $E(i + 1) \subset E(i)$  is the sub Lie algebra of elements on which  $\text{ad } z_i$  acts nilpotently.

Since  $E$  contains no infinite dimensional abelian Lie algebra some  $E(N + 1) = 0$  and  $E(1)/E(2) \oplus \dots \oplus E(N)/E(N + 1)$  is a graded vector space isomorphic with  $E$ .

Put  $d = \prod \deg z_i$  and  $d_i = d / \deg z_i$ . Then  $(\text{ad } z_1)^{d_1} \oplus \dots \oplus (\text{ad } z_N)^{d_N}$  is an injective transformation of  $E(1)/E(2) \oplus \dots \oplus E(N)/E(N + 1)$  of degree  $d$ . Since this space is isomorphic with  $E$  it follows that

$$(6) \quad \sum_{i=s}^t \dim E_i \leq \sum_{i=s+d}^{t+d} \dim E_i \quad \text{and thus} \quad \sum_{i=k+1}^{k+d} \dim E_i \geq \frac{d}{k+d} \sum_{i=1}^{k+d} \dim E_i, \quad k \geq 1.$$

On the other hand, choose  $n$  so that

$$\dim E_{\leq n} \geq (r + 3) \cdot \text{polydepth } L.$$

(This is possible because  $E$  is infinite dimensional.) Set  $I = L_{>n}$ . Let  $M$  be an  $L$ -module with  $\text{polydepth } L = \text{polygrade } M$ . As in the proof of Theorem 4.1 in [3],  $\text{Ext}_{UL/I}^p(\text{Tor}_q^{UI}(M, \mathbb{k}), UL/I) \neq 0$  for  $p + q = \text{grade } M$ . Thus  $p, q$  and  $\text{polybd } M$  are all bounded above by  $\text{polydepth } L$ . Now Theorem 3.1 of [3] asserts that for some  $\alpha \in \text{Tor}_q^{UI}(M, \mathbb{k})$ ,  $UL/I \cdot \alpha$  has polynomial growth at least equal to  $\dim(L/I)_{\text{even}} - p$ . This means that for some positive  $C$ ,

$$\sum_{i \leq k} \dim(UL/I \cdot \alpha)_i \geq Ck^{(\dim(L/I)_{\text{even}}) - p}, \quad k \text{ sufficiently large.}$$

Since  $\text{Tor}_*^{UI}(M, \mathbb{k})$  is the homology of  $\wedge^* sI \otimes M$ , it follows that

$$\sum_{i \leq k} \dim \text{Tor}_q^{UI}(M, \mathbb{k})_i \leq \left( \sum_{i \leq k} \dim L_i \right)^q \sum_{i \leq k} \dim M_i.$$

But  $(L/I)_{\text{even}} \cong E_{\leq n}$  and so a quick calculation gives

$$(7) \quad \sum_{i \leq k} \dim L_i \geq Kk^{r+1}, \quad k \text{ sufficiently large.}$$

Finally, recall that either  $L = L_{\text{even}}$  or else  $L$  is generated by the elements of odd degree  $x_i$ . In the former case  $L = E$  and the proposition follows from (6) and (7). In the second case we have

$$L_{\text{odd}} = [x_1, E] + \dots + [x_s, E] + \mathbb{k}x_1 + \dots + \mathbb{k}x_s,$$

and hence (7) yields

$$\sum_{i \leq k} E_i \geq \frac{K}{s+1} k^{r+1} + s, \quad k \text{ sufficiently large.}$$

Combined with (6) this formula gives the proposition.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 5.* – Since  $L$  is not solvable we may choose  $n$  so that

$$\dim(L_{\text{even}})_{\leq n} > \text{polydepth } L \quad (\text{Theorem 3}),$$

and so that the sub Lie algebra generated by  $L_{\leq n}$  satisfies  $\text{polydepth } E \leq \text{polydepth } L$  (Proposition 1.3). Then  $E$  is not solvable (Proposition 3.3).

Let  $x_1, \dots, x_s$  generate  $E$  (see beginning of case B) and put  $d = \max \deg x_i$ . Letting  $UE$  act via the adjoint representation on  $E$  we have that

$$UE_{[0,q]} \cdot E_{[k+1,k+d]} \supset E_{[k+1,k+q]}.$$

For any  $r \geq 1$  choose  $q = q(r)$  so that

$$\sum_{i=k+1}^{k+q} \dim E_i \geq k^{r+1},$$

$k$  sufficiently large (Proposition 4.1). Then

$$\sum_{i=k+1}^{k+d} \dim L_i \geq \sum_{i=k+1}^{k+d} \dim E_i \geq \frac{1}{\dim UE_{[0,q]}} k^{r+1} \geq k^r, \quad k \text{ sufficiently large.}$$

Since  $d$  is independent of  $r$ , the theorem is proved.  $\square$

REFERENCES

[1] FELIX Y., HALPERIN S., LEMAIRE J.-M., THOMAS J.-C., Mod  $p$  loop space homology, *Inventiones math.* **95** (1989) 247–262.  
 [2] FELIX Y., HALPERIN S., JACOBSON C., LÖFWALL C., THOMAS J.-C., The radical of the homotopy Lie algebra, *Amer. J. Math.* **110** (1988) 301–322.  
 [3] FELIX Y., HALPERIN S., THOMAS J.-C., Lie algebras of polynomial growth, *J. London Math. Soc.* **43** (1991) 556–566.  
 [4] FELIX Y., HALPERIN S., THOMAS J.-C., Hopf algebras of polynomial growth, *J. Algebra* **125** (1989) 408–417.  
 [5] FELIX Y., HALPERIN S., THOMAS J.-C., Engel elements in the homotopy Lie algebra, *J. Algebra* **144** (1991) 67–78.  
 [6] FELIX Y., HALPERIN S., THOMAS J.-C., The category of a map and the grade of a module, *Israel J. Math.* **78** (1992) 177–196.  
 [7] FELIX Y., HALPERIN S., THOMAS J.-C., Growth and Lie brackets in the homotopy Lie algebra, in: *The Roos Festschrift, vol. 1, Homology Homotopy Appl. 4, no. 2, part 1*, 2002, pp. 219–225.  
 [8] HALPERIN S., Universal enveloping algebra and loop space homology, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **83** (1992) 237–282.

- [9] KOSZUL J.-L., Homologie et cohomologie des algèbres de Lie, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **78** (1950) 65–127.
- [10] MILNOR J.W., MOORE J.C., On the structure of Hopf algebras, *Ann. Math.* **81** (1965) 211–264.

(Manuscrit reçu le 22 juillet 2002 ;  
accepté le 24 janvier 2003.)

Yves FELIX  
Institut de Mathématiques,  
Université Catholique de Louvain,  
1348 Louvain-La-Neuve, Belgique

Stephen HALPERIN  
College of Computer,  
Mathematical and Physical Sciences  
University of Maryland,  
College Park, MD 20742-3281, USA

Jean-Claude THOMAS  
Faculté des Sciences,  
Université d'Angers,  
Bd. Lavoisier,  
49045 Angers, France  
E-mail: jean-claude.thomas@univ-angers.fr