

ANNALES MATHÉMATIQUES



BLAISE PASCAL

SATOSHI ISHIWATA

Discrete version of Dungey's proof for the gradient heat kernel estimate on coverings

Volume 14, n° 1 (2007), p. 93-102.

http://ambp.cedram.org/item?id=AMBP_2007__14_1_93_0

© Annales mathématiques Blaise Pascal, 2007, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux articles de la revue « Annales mathématiques Blaise Pascal » (<http://ambp.cedram.org/>), implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://ambp.cedram.org/legal/>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

*Publication éditée par le laboratoire de mathématiques
de l'université Blaise-Pascal, UMR 6620 du CNRS
Clermont-Ferrand — France*

cedram

*Article mis en ligne dans le cadre du
Centre de diffusion des revues académiques de mathématiques
<http://www.cedram.org/>*

Discrete version of Dungey's proof for the gradient heat kernel estimate on coverings

SATOSHI ISHIWATA

Abstract

We obtain another proof of a Gaussian upper estimate for a gradient of the heat kernel on cofinite covering graphs whose covering transformation group has a polynomial volume growth. It is proved by using the temporal regularity of the discrete heat kernel obtained by Blunck [2] and Christ [3] along with the arguments of Dungey [7] on covering manifolds.

1. Introduction

Let $X = (V, E)$ be an oriented locally finite connected graph. We consider the reversible random walk on X defined by functions $p : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and $m : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ satisfying

$$p(e)m(o(e)) = p(\bar{e})m(t(e))$$

and

$$\sum_{e \in E_x} p(e) = 1 \quad x \in V,$$

where $o(e)$ is the origin of e , $t(e)$ is the end of e , \bar{e} is the inverse edge of e and $E_x = \{e \in E \mid o(e) = x\}$. Here, $p(e)$ is the probability that a particle at $o(e)$ moves to $t(e)$ in a unit time. The function m on V is a measure on V . Then the transition probability $p_n(x, y)$, $x, y \in V$ is given by

$$p_n(x, y) = \sum_{(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n) \in C_{x, n, t(e_n) = y}} p(e_1)p(e_2) \cdots p(e_n),$$

The author is partially supported by the Overseas Advanced Educational Research Practice Support Program by Japanese Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology.

Keywords: Gradient estimates, Random walks, Gaussian estimates for the heat kernel.

Math. classification: 60J10, 58J35, 58J37.

where $C_{x,n}$ is the set of paths from x with length n . The transition operator P associated with the random walk generates a discrete semigroup $\{P^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ acting on functions on V defined by

$$P^n f(x) = \sum_{y \in V} p_n(x, y) f(y).$$

Then the kernel of P^n on the weighted graph (X, m) is given by

$$k_n(x, y) = p_n(x, y) m(y)^{-1}.$$

The purpose of this paper is to obtain a Gaussian upper estimate for the gradient of k_n on X assuming that the latter admits a cofinite group action with polynomial volume growth. By the results of Gromov [9], without loss of generality, we can always assume that the covering transformation group Γ of X is a nilpotent group of order D . Moreover, we also assume that the random walk on such X is Γ -invariant, namely, $p : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and $m : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ are Γ -invariant.

Under our assumptions, the Gaussian upper estimate for k_n

$$k_n(x, y) \leq C n^{-D/2} e^{-C' d(x,y)^2/n} \quad \forall x, y \in V \quad (1.1)$$

is known (see [12] and also [10]). Here $d(x, y)$ is the graph distance, the length of the shortest path from x to y and C, C' are some positive constants.

Moreover, the following theorem for the Gaussian upper estimate for the gradient of k_n has been proved by [12] along the method of [10]. Let ∇_1 be the gradient with respect to the first variable given by

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_1 k_n(x, y) &= \left(\sum_{d(x, \omega) \leq 1} |k_n(\omega, y) - k_n(x, y)|^2 p_1(x, \omega) \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left(\sum_{e \in E_x} |dk_n(e, y)|^2 p(e) \right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where d is the exterior derivative defined by

$$df(e) = f(t(e)) - f(o(e)), \quad e \in E$$

for a function f on V . Similarly we denote by $\nabla_2 k_n(x, y)$ the gradient with respect to the second variable, namely

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_2 k_n(x, y) &= \left(\sum_{d(y, \omega) \leq 1} |k_n(x, \omega) - k_n(x, y)|^2 p_1(y, \omega) \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left(\sum_{e \in E_y} |dk_n(x, e)|^2 p(e) \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

Theorem 1.1. *Let $X = (V, E)$ be a non-bipartite covering graph whose covering transformation group has polynomial volume growth of order D . Then there exist $C, C' > 0$ such that*

$$\nabla_1 k_n(x, y) \leq C n^{-(D+1)/2} e^{-C' d(x, y)^2/n} \quad (1.2)$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $x, y \in V$.

It should be noted that the estimate (1.2) is closely related to the boundedness of the Riesz transform (see [1], [13] and [11]). Let Δ be a discrete Laplacian on X given by $\Delta = I - P$. Then we have

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be as above. For $1 < p < \infty$, there exists $C_p > 0$ such that*

$$\|\nabla \Delta^{-1/2} f\|_{L^p} \leq C_p \|f\|_{L^p}$$

for all finitely supported functions f on V . Here $\|\cdot\|_{L^p}$ is the L^p norm with respect to the measure m on V .

On the other hand, Dungey proved (1.2) for the heat kernel on covering manifolds with polynomial volume growth in [6]. Recently, in [7], he gave a new proof of (1.2) using the well-known temporal regularity of the heat kernel (see for instance [5]). In this paper, we give a shorter proof of Theorem 1.1 along with the arguments of the recent result by Dungey [7]. Let

$$\partial_1 k_n(x, y) = k_{n+1}(x, y) - k_n(x, y).$$

We use the following discrete version of the temporal regularity proved by Blunck [2], Christ [3]:

Theorem 1.3. *Let X be an oriented non-bipartite graph satisfying*

$$C^{-1} r^D \leq m(B(x, r)) \leq C r^D \quad x \in V, r \geq 1$$

and (1.1), where $B(x, r)$ is the ball centered at $x \in V$ with radius r . Then there exist positive constants C and C' such that

$$\partial_1 k_n(x, y) \leq C n^{-(D+2)/2} e^{-C'd(x,y)^2/n} \quad (1.3)$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $x, y \in V$.

We remark that Dungey gave a short proof of (1.3) recently in [8].

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let $\nu = m + n$ be a positive integer, where we choose $m = n$ or $m = n + 1$ depending on whether ν is even or odd. By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, it is easy to see that

$$e^{rd^2(u,z)/\nu} \nabla_1 k_\nu(u, z) \leq \|e^{2rd^2(u,\cdot)/n} \nabla_1 k_n(u, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \|e^{2rd^2(\cdot,z)/m} k_m(\cdot, z)\|_{L^2}$$

for $r > 0$.

By the Gaussian upper bound (1.1) for k_n , for small $r > 0$, there exists $C_r > 0$ such that

$$\|e^{2rd^2(\cdot,z)/m} k_m(\cdot, z)\|_{L^2} \leq C_r m^{-D/4}. \quad (2.1)$$

Let $F \subset V$ be a fundamental domain for the action of the transformation group Γ on V . Namely, F is a subset in V such that for all $x \in V$, there exists a unique pair $\gamma_x \in \Gamma$ and $x_0 \in F$ satisfying $x = \gamma_x x_0$. Then we denote $F_x = \gamma_x F$. The following lemma gives a comparison of the weighted integral for $\nabla_1 k_n$ and $\nabla_2 k_n$. Similar arguments can be found in [12].

Lemma 2.1. *There exists a positive constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|e^{2rd^2(u,\cdot)/n} \nabla_1 k_n(u, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C \sum_{y_0 \in F_u} \|e^{2rd^2(y_0,\cdot)/n} \nabla_2 k_n(y_0, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 m(y_0)$$

for all $u \in X$.

Proof. Since m is Γ -invariant, there exists $C > 0$ such that $C^{-1} < \min\{m(x) \mid x \in V\}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|e^{2rd^2(u,\cdot)/n} \nabla_1 k_n(u, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & \leq C \sum_{v_0 \in F_u} \sum_{y \in V} \left| e^{2rd^2(v_0,y)/n} \nabla_1 k_n(v_0, y) \right|^2 m(y) m(v_0) \\ & = C \sum_{v_0, y_0 \in F_u} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \left| e^{2rd^2(v_0, \gamma y_0)/n} \nabla_1 k_n(v_0, \gamma y_0) \right|^2 m(\gamma y_0) m(v_0). \end{aligned}$$

From the Γ -invariance of the distance function d and k_n , the latter is

$$C \sum_{v_0, y_0 \in F_u} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \left| e^{2rd^2(\gamma^{-1}v_0, y_0)/n} \nabla_1 k_n(\gamma^{-1}v_0, y_0) \right|^2 m(y_0) m(\gamma^{-1}v_0).$$

By replacing γ^{-1} with γ in the sum of Γ , we get

$$C \sum_{v_0, y_0 \in F_u} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \left| e^{2rd^2(\gamma v_0, y_0)/n} \nabla_1 k_n(\gamma v_0, y_0) \right|^2 m(y_0) m(\gamma v_0).$$

Since $\nabla_1 k_n(\gamma v_0, y_0) = \nabla_2 k_n(y_0, \gamma v_0)$, this is also

$$\begin{aligned} & C \sum_{v_0, y_0 \in F_u} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \left| e^{2rd^2(y_0, \gamma v_0)/n} \nabla_2 k_n(y_0, \gamma v_0) \right|^2 m(\gamma v_0) m(y_0) \\ &= C \sum_{y_0 \in F_u} \sum_{v \in V} \left| e^{2rd^2(y_0, v)/n} \nabla_2 k_n(y_0, v) \right|^2 m(v) m(y_0) \\ &= C \sum_{y_0 \in F_u} \| e^{2rd^2(y_0, \cdot)/n} \nabla_2 k_n(y_0, \cdot) \|_{L^2}^2 m(y_0). \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 2.2. In this proof, we use only the Γ -invariance of d , $\nabla_1 k_n$ and $\nabla_1 k_n(x, y) = \nabla_2 k_n(y, x)$. Therefore, there are other definitions of ∇ so that the previous lemma holds. For example, we can obtain the same results for

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_1^p k_n(x, y) &:= \left(\sum_{d(\omega, x) \leq 1} |k_n(\omega, y) - k_n(x, y)|^p p_1(x, \omega) \right)^{1/p}, \quad 1 < p < \infty, \\ \nabla_1^\infty k_n(x, y) &:= \sup_{d(\omega, x) \leq 1} |k_n(\omega, y) - k_n(x, y)|, \end{aligned}$$

which are comparable with each other.

By the same arguments as the continuous case ([4]), we obtain the following by “discrete integration by parts”. Similar arguments can be found in [10] and [12].

Lemma 2.3. *For $e \in E$, let $m(e)$ be a weight of e defined by $m(e) = p(e)m(o(e))$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \| e^{2rd^2(y_0, \cdot)/n} \nabla_2 k_n(y_0, \cdot) \|_{L^2}^2 &= - \sum_{e \in E} d e^{4rd^2(y_0, e)/n} k_n(y_0, o(e)) dk_n(y_0, e) m(e) \\ &\quad - 2 \sum_{v \in V} e^{4rd^2(y_0, v)/n} k_n(y_0, v) \partial_1 k_n(y_0, v) m(v). \end{aligned}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{v \in V} \left| e^{2rd^2(y_0, v)/n} \nabla_2 k_n(y_0, v) \right|^2 m(v) \\
&= \sum_{v \in X} e^{4rd^2(y_0, v)/n} \sum_{e \in E_v} |dk_n(y_0, e)|^2 p(e) m(v) \\
&= \sum_{e \in E} e^{4rd^2(y_0, o(e))/n} |k_n(y_0, t(e)) - k_n(y_0, o(e))|^2 p(e) m(o(e)) \\
&= \sum_{e \in E} e^{4rd^2(y_0, o(e))/n} \left(k_n(y_0, t(e))^2 - 2k_n(y_0, t(e))k_n(y_0, o(e)) \right. \\
&\qquad \qquad \qquad \left. + k_n(y_0, o(e))^2 \right) m(e) \\
&= \sum_{e \in E} e^{4rd^2(y_0, o(e))/n} k_n(y_0, t(e)) (k_n(y_0, t(e)) - k_n(y_0, o(e))) m(e) \\
&\quad + \sum_{e \in E} e^{4rd^2(y_0, o(e))/n} k_n(y_0, o(e)) (k_n(y_0, o(e)) - k_n(y_0, t(e))) m(e).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $m(e) = m(\bar{e})$, by replacing e with \bar{e} in the sum of E in the first term,

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{e \in E} e^{4rd^2(y_0, t(e))/n} k_n(y_0, o(e)) (k_n(y_0, o(e)) - k_n(y_0, t(e))) m(e) \\
&\quad + \sum_{e \in E} e^{4rd^2(y_0, o(e))/n} k_n(y_0, o(e)) (k_n(y_0, o(e)) - k_n(y_0, t(e))) m(e) \\
&= \sum_{e \in E} \left(e^{4rd^2(y_0, t(e))/n} - e^{4rd^2(y_0, o(e))/n} \right) k_n(y_0, o(e)) \\
&\qquad \qquad \qquad \cdot (k_n(y_0, o(e)) - k_n(y_0, t(e))) m(e) \\
&\quad + 2 \sum_{e \in E} e^{4rd^2(y_0, o(e))/n} k_n(y_0, o(e)) (k_n(y_0, o(e)) - k_n(y_0, t(e))) m(e) \\
&= - \sum_{e \in E} de^{4rd^2(y_0, e)/n} k_n(y_0, o(e)) dk_n(y_0, e) m(e) \\
&\quad - 2 \sum_{v \in V} e^{4rd^2(y_0, v)/n} k_n(y_0, v) \sum_{e \in E_v} (k_n(y_0, t(e)) - k_n(y_0, o(e))) p(e) m(v).
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{e \in E_v} (k_n(y_0, t(e)) - k_n(y_0, o(e)))p(e) \\
 &= \sum_{e \in E_v} k_n(y_0, t(e))p(e) - k_n(y_0, v) \\
 &= k_{n+1}(y_0, v) - k_n(y_0, v) \\
 &= \partial_1 k_n(y_0, v),
 \end{aligned}$$

the lemma is proved. \square

Finally, we apply the temporal regularity for k_n along with the argument of Lemma 2.3 in [4]. Let

$$\begin{aligned}
 I(n, y_0) &= \sum_{v \in V} \left| e^{2rd^2(y_0, v)/n} \nabla_2 k_n(y_0, v) \right|^2 m(v), \\
 I_1(n, y_0) &= -2 \sum_{v \in V} e^{4rd^2(y_0, v)/n} k_n(y_0, v) \partial_1 k_n(y_0, v) m(v), \\
 I_2(n, y_0) &= - \sum_{e \in E} d e^{4rd^2(y_0, e)/n} k_n(y_0, o(e)) dk_n(y_0, e) m(e).
 \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.3 says that $I(n, y_0) = I_1(n, y_0) + I_2(n, y_0)$. Using (1.1) and (1.3), for sufficiently small $r > 0$, there exists $C_r > 0$ such that

$$|I_1(n, y_0)| \leq C_r n^{-D/2-1}.$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have that $|I_2(n, y_0)|$ is less than

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{v \in V} \left(\sum_{e \in E_v} |d e^{4rd^2(y_0, e)/n}|^2 p(e) \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{e \in E_v} |dk_n(y_0, e)|^2 p(e) \right)^{1/2} k_n(y_0, v) m(v) \\
 &= \sum_{v \in V} \left(\sum_{e \in E_v} |d e^{4rd^2(y_0, e)/n}|^2 p(e) \right)^{1/2} \nabla_2 k_n(y_0, v) k_n(y_0, v) m(v).
 \end{aligned}$$

S. ISHIWATA

Using the mean value theorem for $f(x) = e^{4rx^2/n}$, there exists $d(y_0, o(e)) < s < d(y_0, t(e))$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} e^{4rd^2(y_0, t(e))/n} - e^{4rd^2(y_0, o(e))/n} &= \frac{8rs}{n} e^{4rs^2/n} (d(y_0, t(e)) - d(y_0, o(e))) \\ &\leq \frac{8r(d(y_0, o(e)) + 1)}{n} e^{4r(d(y_0, o(e)) + 1)^2/n} \\ &\leq \frac{C_r}{\sqrt{n}} e^{16rd^2(y_0, o(e))/n} \end{aligned}$$

for some $C_r > 0$. Then we have that $|I_2(n, y_0)|$ is less than

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{C_r}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{v \in V} e^{16rd^2(y_0, v)/n} \nabla_2 k_n(y_0, v) k_n(y_0, v) m(v) \\ \leq \frac{C_r}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\sum_{v \in V} e^{28rd^2(y_0, v)/n} |k_n(y_0, v)|^2 m(v) \right)^{1/2} \\ \cdot \left(\sum_{v \in V} |e^{2rd^2(y_0, v)/n} \nabla_2 k_n(y_0, v)|^2 m(v) \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

For sufficiently small $r > 0$, we obtain

$$|I_2(n, y_0)| \leq C'_r n^{-D/4-1/2} I(n, y_0)^{1/2}.$$

Then we conclude that

$$I(n, y_0) \leq C_r n^{-D/2-1} + C'_r n^{-D/4-1/2} I(n, y_0)^{1/2},$$

namely,

$$I(n, y_0) \leq C n^{-D/4-1/2}$$

for some $C > 0$. Together with (2.1), this completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Acknowledgment. This work was done while the author was visiting University of Cergy-Pontoise. He would like to thank Professor Thierry Coulhon for his encouragements. He also thanks Professor Nick Dungey for reading the manuscript carefully and useful comments.

References

- [1] P. AUSCHER, T. COULHON, X. T. DUONG & S. HOFMANN – Riesz transform on manifolds and heat kernel regularity, *Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup.* **37** (2004), p. 911–957.
- [2] S. BLUNCK – Perturbation of analytic operators and temporal regularity, *Colloq. Math.* **86** (2000), p. 189–201.
- [3] M. CHRIST – Temporal regularity for random walk on discrete nilpotent groups, *Proceedings of the Conference in Honor of Jean-Pierre Kahane (Orsay, 1993). J. Fourier Anal. Appl. Special Issue* (1995), p. 141–151.
- [4] T. COULHON & X. T. DUONG – Riesz transforms for $1 \leq p \leq 2$, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **351** (1999), p. 1151–1169.
- [5] E. B. DAVIES – Non-gaussian aspects of heat kernel behaviour, *J. London Math. Soc.* **55** (1997), p. 105–125.
- [6] N. DUNGEY – Heat kernel estimates and Riesz transforms on some riemannian covering manifolds, *Math. Z.* **247** (2004), p. 765–794.
- [7] ———, Some gradient estimates on covering manifolds, *Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. Math.* **52** (2004), p. 437–443.
- [8] ———, A note on time regularity for discrete time heat kernel, *Semigroup Forum* **72** (2006), p. 404–410.
- [9] M. GROMOV – Groups of polynomial growth and expanding maps, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **53** (1981), p. 53–73.
- [10] W. HEBISCH & L. SALOFF-COSTE – Gaussian estimates for Markov chains and random walks on groups, *Ann. Probab.* **21** (1993), p. 673–709.
- [11] S. ISHIWATA – Asymptotic behavior of a transition probability for a random walk on a nilpotent covering graph, *Contemp. Math.* **347** (2004), p. 57–68.
- [12] ———, A Berry-Esseen type theorem on nilpotent covering graphs, *Canad. J. Math.* **56** (2004), p. 963–982.
- [13] E. RUSS – Riesz transform on graphs for $p > 2$, *unpublished manuscript*.

S. ISHIWATA

SATOSHI ISHIWATA
Institute of Mathematics
University of Tsukuba
1-1-1 Tennoudai, 305-8571
Ibaraki
JAPAN
ishiwata@math.tsukuba.ac.jp