

HENRIK PETERSSON

**Hypercyclic convolution operators on entire functions
of Hilbert-Schmidt holomorphy type**

Annales mathématiques Blaise Pascal, tome 8, n° 2 (2001), p. 107-114

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AMBP_2001__8_2_107_0

© Annales mathématiques Blaise Pascal, 2001, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales mathématiques Blaise Pascal » (<http://math.univ-bpclermont.fr/ambp/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

Hypercyclic convolution operators on entire functions of Hilbert-Schmidt holomorphy type

Henrik Petersson

Abstract

A theorem due to G. Godefroy and J. Shapiro states that every continuous convolution operator, that is not just multiplication by a scalar (non-trivial), is hypercyclic on the space of entire functions in n variables endowed with the compact-open topology. We study the space of entire functions of Hilbert-Schmidt type $\mathcal{H}_H(E)$ on a Hilbert space E . We characterize its continuous convolution operators and prove the following: Every continuous non-trivial convolution operator is hypercyclic on $\mathcal{H}_H(E)$.

Key words: Hypercyclic, Hilbert-Schmidt, Holomorphic, Convolution operator, Exponential type.

1 Introduction

A cyclic (hypercyclic) vector for an operator $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a vector x such that the closed linear hull (closed hull) of the orbit $\mathcal{O}(T, x) \equiv \{x, Tx, T^2x, \dots\}$ under the operator is the entire space. An operator T is cyclic (hypercyclic) whenever there exists a cyclic (hypercyclic) vector. Recall that an invariant subset for an operator $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a subset $S \subseteq X$ such that $TS \subseteq S$. Thus every orbit constitutes an invariant set and the invariant sets $\{0\}, X$ are called trivial. Note that the closed linear hull of an orbit under a continuous operator is the smallest closed invariant subspace that contains the vector under consideration. Consequently, a continuous operator lacks non-trivial invariant closed subspaces (subsets) if and only if every non-zero vector is cyclic (hypercyclic).

The theory of cyclic and hypercyclic operators is a natural part of the study of invariant subspaces and the approximation theory. An overview of the theory is exposed in [7]. The most natural problems are maybe (1): given an operator $T : X \rightarrow X$, is it hypercyclic and (2): given a space X , does it admit a hypercyclic operator $T : X \rightarrow X$. For example, it is known that no linear operator on a finite dimensional space is hypercyclic but every separable infinite-dimensional Fréchet space carries a hypercyclic operator (see [7] for more on this).

Godefroy and Shapiro show in [6] that every continuous non-trivial convolution operator is hypercyclic on the (Fréchet-) space of entire functions in n -variables (a convolution operator is an operator that commutes with all translations and it is called trivial when it is given by $x \mapsto \alpha x$ for some scalar α). It is known that the continuous convolution operators are the operators of the form $\varphi(D)$, $\varphi(D)f \equiv \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \varphi_\alpha D^\alpha f$ where $\varphi = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \varphi_\alpha y^\alpha$ is an entire exponential type function in n variables. Thus, in particular, every operator of translation is hypercyclic and the one variable version of this particular result was obtained by Birkhoff already in the twenties [2]. Before Godefroy and Shapiro obtained their general result, MacLane [11] had established the hypercyclicity of differentiation D on the one variable entire functions. Hypercyclic properties of exponential type differential operators on spaces of holomorphic functions with infinite dimensional domains, have

also been studied (see for example [1]). In this note we prove the analogue of Godefroy and Shapiro's result for entire functions of Hilbert-Schmidt type $\mathcal{H}_H(E)$ on a (separable) Hilbert space E (Theorem 3.1). $\mathcal{H}_H(E)$ is a separable Fréchet space and is built up of homogenous Hilbert-Schmidt polynomials. A similar, but different, type of holomorphy is studied in [4]. In fact, we prove that every continuous non-trivial convolution operator has a dense set of hypercyclic vectors but that there is a certain dense subspace for which every such type of hypercyclic vector must be outside. This result is interesting in view of a result of the following type: There exists a continuous linear operator on ℓ_1 for which every non-zero vector is hypercyclic (due to Read [14] and it is not known whether we can replace ℓ_1 with an infinite-dimensional separable Hilbert space (see [7] page 359)).

For our purpose we make use of the following well-known theorem due to Gethner, Godefroy, Shapiro, Kitai ([5], [6], [10]). The theorem is based on the Baire Category Theorem and gives a criterion, known as the Hypercyclicity Criterion, for an operator to be hypercyclic.

Theorem 1.1 (Hypercyclicity Criterion) *Let X be a separable Fréchet space and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous linear operator. Assume that T satisfies the following (hypercyclicity) criterion (HC): there are dense subsets $Z, Y \subseteq X$ and a map $S : Y \rightarrow Y$ such that*

1. $T^n z \rightarrow 0 \quad \forall z \in Z,$
2. $S^n y \rightarrow 0 \quad \forall y \in Y,$
3. $TSy = y \quad \forall y \in Y.$

Then T is hypercyclic.

We emphasize that the subsets Z, Y and the operator S in the hypothesis need not to be linear. Moreover, it is not necessary that the map S is continuous. It is known that (HC) is not a necessary condition for an operator to be hypercyclic. We shall say that an operator T (on an arbitrary locally convex Hausdorff space X) satisfies the Strong Hypercyclicity Criterion (SHC) when it satisfies the condition (HC) in such a way that the set Z can be chosen as an invariant set for T .

2 Hilbert-Schmidt entire functions and convolution operators

In this section we introduce the space of entire functions of Hilbert-Schmidt type and characterize its continuous convolution operators.

If X is a complex vector space, we denote by $\mathcal{H}_G(X)$ the complex valued Gateaux holomorphic functions on X . If $f \in \mathcal{H}_G(X)$, we denote by $D_y^n f(x)$ the n :th directional derivative at x along y . Let E be a separable complex Hilbert space (we shall tacitly assume everywhere below that all vector spaces are complex and that all Hilbert spaces are separable). We denote by $\mathcal{P}_F({}^n E) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_G(E)$ the space of n -homogenous polynomials on E of finite type. That is, $\mathcal{P}_F({}^n E)$ is the subspace of the n -homogenous polynomials $\mathcal{P}({}^n E)$ on E , spanned by the elements $(\cdot, y)^n, y \in E$, where (\cdot, \cdot) denotes the inner product on E . We endow $\mathcal{P}_F({}^n E)$ with the inner product defined by $((\cdot, y)^n, (\cdot, z)^n)_n \equiv n!(z, y)^n$ (More precisely, by the assumption on E we can identify the symmetric tensors $\otimes_{n,s} E$ with $\mathcal{P}_F({}^n E)$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)_n$ is the inner product is induced from the inner product space $\otimes_{n,s} E$ in this way). The n -homogenous Hilbert-Schmidt polynomials, denoted by $\mathcal{P}_H({}^n E)$, is the completion of $\mathcal{P}_F({}^n E)$ w.r.t. the inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)_n$. We use the symbol $\|\cdot\|_n$ for the corresponding norm. In view of our purposes, it is convenient to note that

$$(P, (\cdot, y)^n / n!)_n = P(y), \quad y \in E, P \in \mathcal{P}_H({}^n E). \quad (2.1)$$

Let (e_j) be an orthonormal basis in E . For a given multi-index $\alpha \in N_\infty \equiv \bigoplus_{k=1}^\infty N$, let $e_\alpha \equiv \prod_{\text{supp } \alpha} (\cdot, e_j)^{\alpha_j} \in \mathcal{P}_H(|\alpha|E)$. Here $\text{supp } \alpha \equiv \{j : \alpha_j \neq 0\}$ and $|\alpha| \equiv \sum \alpha_j$. The elements e_α , $|\alpha| = n$, form an orthogonal basis for $\mathcal{P}_H({}^nE)$ and $\|e_\alpha\|_n^2 = \alpha! \equiv \alpha_1! \dots$ (this follows from Lemma 1 in [4]). Thus $\mathcal{P}_H({}^nE)$ can be identified with the space of all sequences (P_α) such that $\sum_{|\alpha|=n} |P_\alpha|^2 \alpha! < \infty$ and in this way we have that

$$\|P\|_n^2 = \sum_{|\alpha|=n} |P_\alpha|^2 \alpha!, \quad P \in \mathcal{P}_H({}^nE). \tag{2.2}$$

Let us note the following. The n -homogenous nuclear polynomials $\mathcal{P}_N({}^nE)$ and the continuous polynomials $\mathcal{P}_C({}^nE)$ can be put in duality by passing to the limit out of the inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)_n$ on $\mathcal{P}_F({}^nE)$. In this way we have that $\mathcal{P}_C({}^nE)$ is the topological dual of $\mathcal{P}_N({}^nE)$ (see Dineen [3] or Gupta [8] for further details). Recall that $\mathcal{P}_N({}^nE)$ is the Banach space obtained from the completion of $\mathcal{P}_F({}^nE)$ w.r.t. the nuclear norm. We have the following (continuous) injections

$$\mathcal{P}_N({}^nE) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_H({}^nE) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_C({}^nE). \tag{2.3}$$

The following lemma is crucial for our investigation and can, at this stage, only be found in a preprint [13]. Therefore we include here a proof.

Lemma 2.1 *Let E be a Hilbert space and let $P \in \mathcal{P}_H({}^mE)$, $Q \in \mathcal{P}_H({}^nE)$. Then $PQ \in \mathcal{P}_H({}^{n+m}E)$ and*

$$\|PQ\|_{n+m} \leq 2^{n+m} \|P\|_m \|Q\|_n. \tag{2.4}$$

Thus, multiplication by P defines a continuous operator between $\mathcal{P}_H({}^nE)$ and $\mathcal{P}_H({}^{n+m}E)$.

PROOF: Let (e_j) be an orthonormal basis in E and let $P = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} P_\alpha e_\alpha$, $Q = \sum_{|\alpha|=n} Q_\alpha e_\alpha$. Formally we have that $PQ = \sum_{|\gamma|=n+m} R_\gamma e_\gamma$, where

$$R_\gamma \equiv \sum_{\alpha \leq \gamma, |\alpha|=m} P_\alpha Q_{\gamma-\alpha}, \quad \gamma \in N_\infty. \tag{2.5}$$

It suffices to prove that the right hand side defines an element R in $\mathcal{P}_H({}^{n+m}E)$, i.e. that $\sum_{|\gamma|=n+m} |R_\gamma|^2 \gamma! < \infty$. Indeed, then both PQ and R define continuous polynomials and since they coincide on $E_j \equiv \text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_j\}$ for all j , we deduce that $PQ = R$.

We have that

$$\begin{aligned} |R_\gamma|^2 \gamma! &\leq \left(\sum_{J_\gamma(m)} |P_\alpha| |Q_{\gamma-\alpha}| \right)^2 \gamma! \leq \\ &\leq N_\gamma(m) \gamma! \sum_{J_\gamma(m)} |P_\alpha|^2 |Q_{\gamma-\alpha}|^2 \leq 2^{n+m} N_\gamma(m) \sum_{J_\gamma(m)} |P_\alpha|^2 \alpha! |Q_{\gamma-\alpha}|^2 (\gamma - \alpha)!, \end{aligned}$$

where $J_\gamma(m) \subseteq N_\infty$ is the index set in the sum in (2.5) and $N_\gamma(m)$ denotes the number of elements $\#J_\gamma(m)$ in $J_\gamma(m)$. We derive an estimate for $N_\gamma(m)$ by using arguments from the probability theory. Consider a bowl with $|\gamma|$ objects of $\#\text{supp } \gamma$ different kinds and of γ_j of sort $j \in \text{supp } \gamma$ respectively. Assume that we pick m objects from the bowl. Given $\alpha \in J_\gamma(m)$, the probability of obtaining precisely α_j elements of each respective sort $j \in \text{supp } \gamma$ is known to be

$$\binom{\gamma}{\alpha} / \binom{|\gamma|}{m}, \quad \binom{\gamma}{\alpha} \equiv \prod \binom{\gamma_i}{\alpha_i}, \quad \binom{0}{0} \equiv 1.$$

The number $N_\gamma(m)$ is now nothing but the number of elementary events and hence

$$N_\gamma(m) \leq \binom{|\gamma|}{m} / \min_{\alpha \in J_\gamma(m)} \binom{\gamma}{\alpha} \leq \binom{|\gamma|}{m} \leq 2^{n+m}.$$

Thus

$$\sum_{|\gamma|=n+m} |R_\gamma|^2 \gamma! \leq 4^{n+m} \sum_{|\gamma|=n+m} \sum_{J_\gamma(m)} |P_\alpha|^2 \alpha! |Q_{\gamma-\alpha}|^2 (\gamma-\alpha)! = 4^{n+m} \|P\|_n^2 \|Q\|_m^2$$

and the proof is complete. □

We denote by $\mathfrak{A}_H(E)$ the space of all formal expansions $f = \sum f_n$, $f_n \in \mathcal{P}_H({}^n E)$, i.e. $\mathfrak{A}_H(E) \equiv \prod_n \mathcal{P}_H({}^n E)$ ($\mathcal{P}_H({}^0 E) \equiv C$). $\mathfrak{A}_H(E)$ is a ring by virtue of Lemma 2.1. The Hilbert-Schmidt polynomials, denoted by $\mathcal{P}_H(E)$, is the subring $\oplus_n \mathcal{P}_H({}^n E)$, or alternatively, the space spanned by $\cup_n \mathcal{P}_H({}^n E)$ in $\mathcal{H}_G(E)$.

If E is a Hilbert space, the space of entire functions of Hilbert-Schmidt type on E , denoted by $\mathcal{H}_H(E)$, is the space defined as follows. $\mathcal{H}_H(E)$ is the space of all $f = \sum f_n \in \mathfrak{A}_H(E)$ such that

$$\|f\|_{H:r} \equiv \sum r^n \|f_n\|_n / \sqrt{n!} < \infty, \quad r > 0, \tag{2.6}$$

endowed with the semi-norms thus defined. $\mathcal{H}_H(E)$ is a Fréchet space and, in particular, $\mathcal{H}_H(C^n)$ is the space of entire functions endowed with the compact-open topology. The series $\sum f_n$ converges absolutely in $\mathcal{H}_H(E)$ and uniformly on bounded sets for every $f = \sum f_n \in \mathcal{H}_H(E)$. Indeed, we have that $|f_n(y)| \leq r^n \|f_n\|_n / \sqrt{n!}$, $n \geq 0$, if $\|y\| \leq r$. Thus, $\mathcal{H}_H(E)$ is separable and every element in $\mathcal{H}_H(E)$ defines an entire function of bounded type so $\mathcal{H}_H(E)$ can also be described as the space of all $f \in \mathcal{H}_G(E)$ such that $f_n \equiv D_{(\cdot)}^n f(0) / n! \in \mathcal{P}_H({}^n E)$, $n = 0, \dots$, and such that (2.6) holds.

By Lemma 2.1 we obtain:

Theorem 2.1 *Let E be a Hilbert space. Then $fg \in \mathcal{H}_H(E)$ and $\|fg\|_{H:r} \leq \|f\|_{H:2r} \|g\|_{H:2r}$ for all $f, g \in \mathcal{H}_H(E)$. Thus $\mathcal{H}_H(E)$ is a subring of $\mathfrak{A}_H(E)$ and multiplication by $f \in \mathcal{H}_H(E)$ defines an everywhere defined continuous operator on $\mathcal{H}_H(E)$.*

PROOF: Let $f, g \in \mathcal{H}_H(E)$. Then $fg = \sum h_n \in \mathfrak{A}_H(E)$ where $h_n \in \sum_{i+j=n} f_i g_j$. By Lemma (2.1) we obtain

$$\frac{r^n \|h_n\|_n}{\sqrt{n!}} \leq \sum_{i+j=n} \frac{r^{i+j} \|f_i g_j\|_n}{\sqrt{i!} \sqrt{j!}} \leq \sum_{i+j=n} \frac{(2r)^i \|f_i\|_i}{\sqrt{i!}} \frac{(2r)^j \|g_j\|_j}{\sqrt{j!}}. \tag{2.7}$$

This estimate completes the proof. □

Given $r > 0$ we denote by $\text{EXP}_r(E)$ the (Banach-) space of all $\varphi = \sum \varphi_n \in \mathfrak{A}_H(E)$ such that for some $M > 0$, $\|\varphi_n\|_n \leq M r^n / \sqrt{n!}$, $n = 0, \dots$ equipped with the norm $\|\varphi\|_{H:r} \equiv \sup_n \sqrt{n!} r^{-n} \|\varphi_n\|_n$. The symbol $\text{EXP}_H(E)$ denotes the union $\cup_{r>0} \text{EXP}_r(E)$ equipped with the corresponding inductive locally convex topology. Thus $\text{EXP}_H(E)$ is given by all $\varphi = \sum \varphi_n \in \mathfrak{A}_H(E)$ such that $\overline{\lim} (\sqrt{n!} \|\varphi_n\|_n)^{1/n} < \infty$. Every $\varphi \in \text{EXP}_H(E)$ defines an exponential type function. i.e. a Gateaux holomorphic function with $|\varphi(y)| \leq M e^{r\|y\|}$ for some $M, r \geq 0$, and its power series converges in $\text{EXP}_H(E)$. A proof of the "finite-dimensional" analogue of the following proposition can be found in [15] (see also [12] page 320).

Proposition 2.1 *Let E be a Hilbert space. Then $\mathcal{H}_H(E)$ is reflexive and the map $\mathcal{F} : \lambda \mapsto \sum \lambda_n \cdot \lambda_n(y) \equiv \lambda((\cdot, y)^n / n!)$. defines an anti-linear isomorphism between $\mathcal{H}'_H(E)$ (strong topology) and $\text{EXP}_H(E)$.*

PROOF: Let $\varphi = \sum \varphi_n \in \text{EXP}_r(E)$. Then $\|\varphi_n\|_n \leq \|\varphi\|_{H:r} r^n / \sqrt{n!}$ and we can define a functional $\lambda = \lambda_\varphi$ on $\mathcal{H}_H(E)$ by $\lambda(f) \equiv \sum (f_n, \varphi_n)_n$. Indeed, the following estimates show that λ is well-defined and is a continuous linear functional

$$|\lambda(f)| \leq \sum \|f_n\|_n \|\varphi_n\|_n \leq \|\varphi\|_{H:r} \sum \|f_n\|_n r^n / \sqrt{n!} = \|\varphi\|_{H:r} \|f\|_{H:r}. \tag{2.8}$$

Moreover, in view of (2.1) it follows that $\mathcal{F}\lambda = \varphi$.

Next we prove that $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{H}'_H(E) \subseteq \text{EXP}_H(E)$. Let $\lambda \in \mathcal{H}'_H(E)$ be arbitrary. Every $\mathcal{P}_H(^nE)$ has the topology induced by $\mathcal{H}_H(E)$. Consequently, the restriction $\lambda|_n$ to $\mathcal{P}_H(^nE)$ belongs to $\mathcal{P}'_H(^nE)$ for all n . From this we conclude that $\lambda_n \in \mathcal{P}_H(^nE)$ for all n , i.e. $\mathcal{F}\lambda = \sum \lambda_n \in \mathfrak{A}_H(E)$, and $\lambda|_n = (\cdot, \lambda_n)_n$. Now there is an $r > 0$ such that $|\lambda(f)| \leq M\|f\|_{H:r}$ for all $f \in \mathcal{H}_H(E)$. Hence

$$\|\lambda_n\|_n^2 = |\lambda|_n(\lambda_n)| = |\lambda(\lambda_n)| \leq M\|\lambda_n\|_{H:r} \leq Mr^n \|\lambda_n\|_n / \sqrt{n!} \tag{2.9}$$

and thus $\mathcal{F}\lambda = \sum \lambda_n \in \text{EXP}_H(E)$. \mathcal{F} is one to one and thus \mathcal{F} is a vector space isomorphism.

We prove that \mathcal{F}^{-1} is continuous. Let $U = B^\circ$, $B = \{f \in \mathcal{H}_H(E) : \|f\|_r \leq Mr, r > 0\}$ be a neighbourhood of the origin in $\mathcal{H}'_H(E)$. Let $r_0 > 0$ be arbitrary and consider the neighbourhood of the origin $V_0 \equiv \{\varphi \in \text{EXP}_{r_0}(E) : \|\varphi\|_{H:r_0} \leq Mr_0^{-1}\}$ in $\text{EXP}_{r_0}(E)$. From (2.8) it follows that $\mathcal{F}^{-1}V_0 \subseteq U$ and thus \mathcal{F}^{-1} is continuous since r_0 was arbitrary.

In order to complete the proof of that \mathcal{F} is an isomorphism, we must prove that \mathcal{F} is continuous. It suffices to prove that \mathcal{F} is continuous for the weak topologies $\sigma(\mathcal{H}'_H, \mathcal{H}_H)$, $\sigma(\text{EXP}_H, \text{EXP}'_H)$. Let $\mu \in \text{EXP}'_H(E)$ be arbitrary. Then $\mu \in \text{EXP}'_r(E)$ for every r . For any n and r , $\mathcal{P}_H(^nE)$ has the topology induced by $\text{EXP}_r(E)$. In view of this it follows that $\mu_n(y) \equiv \overline{\mu((\cdot, y)^n/n!)}$ belongs to $\mathcal{P}_H(^nE)$ and $\mu = (\cdot, \mu_n)_n$ on $\mathcal{P}_H(^nE)$ for all n . If $r > 0$ there is an $M_r > 0$ such that $|\mu(\varphi)| \leq M_r \|\varphi\|_{H:r}$ for all $\varphi \in \text{EXP}_r(E)$. Let $r > 0$ be arbitrary and choose $R > r$. Then we obtain

$$r^n \|\mu_n\|_n^2 / \sqrt{n!} \leq r^n |\mu(\mu_n)| / \sqrt{n!} \leq r^n M_R \|\mu_n\|_{H:R} / \sqrt{n!} \leq M_R (r/R)^n \|\mu_n\|_n. \tag{2.10}$$

Hence $f = f_\mu \equiv \sum \mu_n \in \mathcal{H}_H(E)$. Further, we conclude that $\langle \lambda, f \rangle = \langle \mathcal{F}\lambda, \mu \rangle$ for all $\lambda \in \mathcal{H}'_H(E)$ so \mathcal{F} is weakly continuous.

We have proved that \mathcal{F} is an isomorphism which implies that \mathcal{F} is an isomorphism for the weak topologies $\tau'' \equiv \sigma(\mathcal{H}'_H, \mathcal{H}''_H)$ and $\sigma(\text{EXP}_H, \text{EXP}'_H)$. But we also proved that \mathcal{F} is continuous for the dual pairs $\tau' \equiv \sigma(\mathcal{H}'_H, \mathcal{H}_H)$ and $\sigma(\text{EXP}_H, \text{EXP}'_H)$. From this we deduce that the injection $(\mathcal{H}'_H, \tau) \rightarrow (\mathcal{H}'_H, \tau'')$ is continuous and hence $\mathcal{H}_H(E) = \mathcal{H}''_H(E)$. Thus $\mathcal{H}_H(E)$ is semi-reflexive and therefore reflexive since $\mathcal{H}_H(E)$ is barreled. \square

We put $\mathcal{H}_H(E)$ and $\text{EXP}_H(E)$ into sesqui-linear duality by $\langle f, \varphi \rangle = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi(f)$, i.e. by the formula $\sum (f_n, \varphi_n)_n$. In view of our purposes, it is convenient to note the following. Let $e_y \equiv \overline{e^{(\cdot, y)}} = \sum (\cdot, y)^n / n! \in \text{EXP}_H(E) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_H(E)$, $y \in E$. Then \mathcal{F} is given by $\mathcal{F}\lambda(y) = \overline{\lambda(e_y)}$ and $\varphi(y) = \langle e_y, \varphi \rangle$, $f(y) = \langle f, e_y \rangle$ for all $\varphi \in \text{EXP}_H(E)$ and $f \in \mathcal{H}_H(E)$.

Proposition 2.2 *Let E be a Hilbert space. Multiplication by $\varphi \in \text{EXP}_H(E)$ is a continuous operator on $\text{EXP}_H(E)$ and continuous for the duality between $\text{EXP}_H(E)$ and $\mathcal{H}_H(E)$. $\mathcal{H}_H(E)$ is stable under translations and the transpose $\bar{\varphi}(D) \equiv {}^t\varphi : \mathcal{H}_H(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_H(E)$ is a continuous convolution operator on $\mathcal{H}_H(E)$. The family, $\{\bar{\varphi}(D) : \varphi \in \text{EXP}_H(E)\}$ is all the continuous convolution operators on $\mathcal{H}_H(E)$. (Compare [6] Prop. 5.2.)*

PROOF: Let $\varphi, \psi \in \text{EXP}_H(E)$ and put $\phi \equiv \varphi\psi \in \mathfrak{A}_H(E)$. Then there are $M, r > 0$ such that $\|\varphi\|_n, \|\psi\|_n \leq Mr^n / \sqrt{n!}$ for all n . By Lemma 2.1, and since $i!j! \geq n!/2^n$ when

$i + j = n$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi_n\|_n &= \left\| \sum_{i+j=n} \varphi_i \psi_j \right\|_n \leq \sum_{i+j=n} 2^{i+j} \|\varphi_i\|_i \|\psi_j\|_j \\ &\leq M^2 2^n r^n \sum_{i+j=n} 1/\sqrt{i!j!} \leq M^2 2^n r^n \frac{2^{n/2}(n+1)}{\sqrt{n!}} \leq \frac{M^2(R)^n}{\sqrt{n!}}, \end{aligned}$$

for some $R = R(r) > 0$. Hence $\phi \in \text{EXP}_H(E)$ and our estimates show that $\psi \mapsto \psi\varphi$ is continuous on $\text{EXP}_H(E)$. By Proposition 2.1 this implies that this map is continuous for the duality between $\text{EXP}_H(E)$ and $\mathcal{H}_H(E)$.

Since $\psi \mapsto \psi\varphi$ is weakly continuous its transpose $\bar{\varphi}(D) \equiv \text{t}\varphi$ is continuous on $\mathcal{H}_H(E)$. Indeed, $\bar{\varphi}(D)$ is continuous for $\sigma(\mathcal{H}_H, \mathcal{H}'_H) = \sigma(\mathcal{H}_H, \text{EXP}_H)$ and thus for the strong topology, which is the (Fréchet-) topology on $\mathcal{H}_H(E)$ (see [9], Prop. 8 page 218 & Prop. 5 page 256, for details).

The transpose of multiplication by e_y on $\text{EXP}_H(E)$ is the translation operator τ_y , $[\tau_y f](x) \equiv f(y + x)$. Thus $\mathcal{H}_H(E)$ is ("continuously") stable under translations. Further, it is easily checked that every operator $\bar{\varphi}(D)$ commutes with every translation operator on the total set $\{e_y : y \in E\}$ in $\mathcal{H}_H(E)$. From this we deduce that $\bar{\varphi}(D)$, $\varphi \in \text{EXP}_H(E)$ are convolution operators.

Let T be a continuous convolution operator on $\mathcal{H}_H(E)$. Then the composition $\lambda_T \equiv \delta_0 \circ T$, where $\delta_0(f) \equiv f(0)$, belongs to $\mathcal{H}'_H(E)$. Thus, by Proposition 2.1, there is a $\varphi \in \text{EXP}_H(E)$ such that $\mathcal{F}\lambda_T = \varphi$, i.e. $\overline{\lambda_T(e_y)} = \overline{[Te_y](0)} = \varphi(y)$, $y \in E$. Hence if $y_0 \in E$

$$[Te_{y_0}](y) = [\tau_y(Te_{y_0})](0) = [T(\tau_y e_{y_0})](0) = e^{(y,y_0)} [Te_{y_0}](0) = e^{(y,y_0)} \overline{\varphi(y_0)}, \quad y \in E.$$

On the other hand

$$[\bar{\varphi}(D)e_{y_0}](y) = \langle e_{y_0}, \varphi e_y \rangle = \langle \tau_y e_{y_0}, \varphi \rangle = e^{(y,y_0)} \langle e_{y_0}, \varphi \rangle = e^{(y,y_0)} \overline{\varphi(y_0)}, \quad y \in E.$$

Hence, T and $\bar{\varphi}(D)$ coincide on the total set formed by the elements e_y , $y \in E$, and thus, by continuity, on all of $\mathcal{H}_H(E)$. □

Remark: If $\varphi = \sum \varphi_n \in \text{EXP}_H(E)$ and $f \in \mathcal{H}_H(E)$, $\bar{\varphi}(D)f = \sum \bar{\varphi}_n(D)f$ with absolute convergence in $\mathcal{H}_H(E)$. Moreover, if $\varphi_n = \sum_j \lambda_j(\cdot, y_j)^n \in \mathcal{P}_F(^nE)$, $\bar{\varphi}_n(D) = \sum_j \overline{\lambda_j} D^n_{y_j}$. This motivates our notation.

3 An infinite-dimensional analogue of the Godefroy-Shapiro Theorem

We have characterized the continuous convolution operators on $\mathcal{H}_H(E)$ and in this section we prove our main result - the analogue of Godefroy & Shapiro's result for $\mathcal{H}_H(E)$. We start with a short discussion.

We have that $\bar{\varphi}(D) \circ \bar{\psi}(D) = \overline{\varphi\psi}(D)$ for all $\varphi, \psi \in \text{EXP}_H(E)$. From this we deduce that $\mathcal{O}(\bar{\varphi}(D), \bar{\psi}(D)f) = \bar{\psi}(D)\mathcal{O}(\bar{\varphi}(D), f)$. Since every convolution operator $\bar{\varphi}(D)$, $\varphi \neq 0$ on $\mathcal{H}_H(E)$ has a dense range (its transpose is one to one) we conclude that if f is a hypercyclic vector for $\bar{\varphi}(D)$, then so is $\bar{\psi}(D)f$ for every $0 \neq \psi \in \text{EXP}_H(E)$ (it is not known if every non-zero convolution operator is surjective, i.e. if the analogue of Malgrange's classical theorem holds [12]. However by virtue of Lemma 2.1 it is not difficult to prove that every homogenous convolution operator $\bar{P}(D)$, $0 \neq P \in \mathcal{P}_H(^nE)$) is surjective). Thus a hypercyclic vector for a convolution operator must be outside the set $\mathcal{H}_0 \equiv \bigcup_{\psi \neq 0} \ker \bar{\psi}(D)$. \mathcal{H}_0 is a dense subspace of $\mathcal{H}_H(E)$. Indeed, since $\ker \bar{\varphi}(D) \cup \ker \bar{\psi}(D) \subseteq \overline{\varphi\psi}(D)$, \mathcal{H}_0 is a vector space. Further, assume that $0 \neq \varphi \in \mathcal{H}_0^\perp$. Since $\ker \bar{\psi}(D)^\perp = \overline{\text{Im } \psi}$, we have that $\mathcal{H}_0^\perp = \bigcap_{\psi \neq 0} \overline{\text{Im } \psi}$. Choose y_0 so that $\overline{\varphi}(y_0) \neq 0$ and let y_1 be a vector orthogonal to y_0 . We deduce that φ does not belong to $\overline{\text{Im } \psi}$ where $\psi = (\cdot, y_1)\varphi$. Thus \mathcal{H}_0^\perp contains no non-zero vectors hence \mathcal{H}_0 is dense in $\mathcal{H}_H(E)$.

Theorem 3.1 *Let E be a Hilbert space and let $\varphi \in \text{EXP}_H(E)$ be non-constant. Then $\bar{\varphi}(D) : \mathcal{H}_H(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_H(E)$ has the property (SHC) and is thus hypercyclic. Thus there exists a hypercyclic vector $f \in \mathcal{H}_H(E) \setminus \mathcal{H}_0$ such that the (dense) subspace $\mathcal{M} = \{\bar{\psi}(D)f : \psi \in \text{EXP}_H(E)\}$ is invariant for $\bar{\varphi}(D)$ and every non-zero vector in \mathcal{M} is hypercyclic for $\bar{\varphi}(D)$.*

PROOF: We shall prove that $T = \bar{\varphi}(D)$ has the property (SHC). Consider the subsets

$$V = \{y \in Y : |\varphi(y)| < 1\}, \quad W = \{y \in Y : |\varphi(y)| > 1\}.$$

By the assumption on φ , V and W are both non-empty and open. Let

$$\mathcal{H}_V(E) \equiv \text{span}\{e_y : y \in V\}$$

and define $\mathcal{H}_W(E)$ similarly. We claim that $\mathcal{H}_V(E)$ and $\mathcal{H}_W(E)$ both are dense in $\mathcal{H}_H(E)$. Assume that $\mathcal{H}_V(E)$ is not dense. By the Hahn-Banach theorem and Proposition 2.1 there is a $0 \neq \psi \in \text{EXP}_H(E)$ such that

$$0 = \langle e_y, \psi \rangle = \overline{\psi(y)}, \quad y \in V.$$

Thus ψ vanishes in a neighbourhood of the origin and hence $\psi = 0$. This is a contradiction which proves our claim for $\mathcal{H}_V(E)$ and the assertion concerning $\mathcal{H}_W(E)$ follows analogously. Next, let $y \in V$ be arbitrary. Then $\bar{\varphi}(D)^n e_y = \overline{\varphi(y)^n} e_y$ for all $n \geq 0$. This shows that $\bar{\varphi}(D)$ maps $\mathcal{H}_V(E)$ into $\mathcal{H}_V(E)$ and that $\bar{\varphi}(D)^n f \rightarrow 0$ for every $f \in \mathcal{H}_V(E)$. On $\mathcal{H}_W(E)$ we define the operator S by $S e_y \equiv e_y / \varphi(y)$, $y \in W$. We conclude, in the same way as for T and $\mathcal{H}_V(E)$, that S maps $\mathcal{H}_W(E)$ into $\mathcal{H}_W(E)$ and that $S^n f \rightarrow 0$ for every $f \in \mathcal{H}_W(E)$. Finally we note that $T S e_y = \bar{\varphi}(D) e_y / \varphi(y) = e_y$ for $y \in W$ and thus $T S f = f$ for all $f \in \mathcal{H}_W(E)$. This completes the proof. \square

References

- [1] R. Aron and J. Bés. Hypercyclic differentiation operators. *Function Spaces (Proc. Conf. Edwardsville, IL, 1998)*, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, pages 39–42, 1999. MR 2000b:47019.
- [2] G.D. Birkhoff. Démonstration d'un théoreme élémentaire sur les fonctions entières. *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 189:437–475, 1929.
- [3] S. Dineen. *Complex analysis on Infinite Dimensional Spaces*. Springer-Verlag, 1999.
- [4] A.W. Dwyer. Partial differential equations in Fischer-Fock spaces for the Hilbert-Schmidt holomorphy type. *Bull. Amer. Soc.*, 77:725–730, 1971. MR 44#7288.
- [5] R.M. Gethner and J.H. Shapiro. Universal vectors for operators on spaces of holomorphic functions. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, No. 2, 100:281–288, 1987. MR 88g:47060.
- [6] G. Godefroy and J.H. Shapiro. Operators with dense, invariant, cyclic vector manifolds. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 98:229–269, 1991. MR 92d:47029.
- [7] K.-G. Grosse-Erdmann. Universal families and hypercyclic operators. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, No. 3, 36:345–381, 1999. MR 2000c:47001.
- [8] C. Gupta. Convolution operators and holomorphic mappings on a Banach space. *Sem. Anal. Mod.*, No. 2, 1969. Univ. Sherbrooke. Québec.

- [9] J. Horvath. *Topological Vector Spaces and Distributions*, volume 1. Addison-Wesley, Reading Massachusetts, 1966.
- [10] C. Kitai. Invariant closed sets for linear operators. Ph.D. thesis, Univ. of Toronto, 1982.
- [11] G.R. MacLane. Sequences of derivatives and normal families. *J. Analyse Math.*, pages 72–87, 1952/53. MR 14:741d.
- [12] B. Malgrange. Existence et approximation des solutions des équations aux dérivatives partielles et des équations de convolution. *Ann. Inst. Fourier*, 6:271–354, 1955.
- [13] H. Petersson. Fischer decompositions of entire functions of Hilbert-Schmidt holomorphy type. preprint and submitted, 2001.
- [14] C. J. Read. The invariant subspace problem for a class of Banach spaces. ii. *Israel J. Math.*, 63:1–40, 1998. MR 90b:47013.
- [15] F. Trèves. *Linear partial differential equations with constant coefficients*. Gordon and Breach, 1966.

HENRIK PETERSSON
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND SYSTEMS
ENGINEERING

SE-351 95
VÄXJÖ
SWEDEN
Henrik.Petersson@msi.vxu.se