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ABSTRACT. – We prove here that for any pair of regular Cantor sets in the line, either its arithmetic sum has Lebesgue measure zero or the pair can be approximated (through the image of a smooth diffeomorphism) by another one whose arithmetic sum contains an interval. The latter occurs when the Hausdorff dimension of the product of the sets is bigger than one.

RÉSUMÉ. – Nous démontrons que pour toute paire d’ensembles de Cantor réguliers sur la droite, ou bien leur somme arithmétique est de mesure de Lebesgue nulle, ou bien la paire peut être approchée (par l’image d’un difféomorphisme) par une autre dont la somme arithmétique contient un intervalle. Le dernier cas se produit quand la dimension de Hausdorff du produit des ensembles est plus grande que 1.

1. INTRODUCTION

Besides its perhaps more classical role in number theory and related topics, as it can be seen in the reference to Hall in [PT2], the arithmetic sum (difference) of Cantor sets plays a central role in dynamic bifurcations,
especially homoclinic bifurcations. These bifurcations in turn, can be a key to better understand the dark realm of dynamics: we conjecture that bifurcating homoclinic systems are dense in the complement of the hyperbolic or stable ones [PT2, Ch. 7].

Roughly speaking, the arithmetic sum of Cantor sets appear naturally in dynamics, when we analyse the set of intersections (often the set of tangencies) of invariant foliations, say one-dimensional stable and unstable foliations, and how this set varies with a parameter. If such foliations are associated to the same invariant hyperbolic set and their leaves are tangent along a transversal line, we are lead to analyse the sum of the two Cantor sets thus obtained, to study the bifurcations that appear when varying the diffeomorphism. They include, in the dissipative case, infinitely many simultaneous sinks, strange attractors, and cascades of period doubling bifurcations (see [PT2]). The only known way to produce infinitely many sinks, due to Newhouse, is to show that the sum of the Cantor sets contains intervals. That is what we prove here for a dense subset of pairs of regular Cantor sets whose Cartesian product has Hausdorff dimension bigger than one. The precise statement is presented in Section 2. Notice that if the Hausdorff dimension of the product of the Cantor sets is smaller than one, then its sum has Lebesgue measure zero [PT2]. In this last situation, in the context of homoclinic bifurcations, we have a total prevalence of hyperbolicity for the nearby diffeomorphisms [PT1], whereas in the latter it is shown with techniques quite different from the ones exhibited here, that this is definitively not the case [PY].

Finally, we have been conjecturing for more than a decade that the result in the present paper should be true for generic, or even an open and dense subset of pairs of regular Cantor sets and also for affine Cantor sets in general [P]. The conjecture may be of central interest in bifurcations of homoclinic tangencies.

2. DEFINITIONS AND RESULTS

We consider the following setting:

- a compact non trivial interval $I$;
- $C^{1+\alpha}$ diffeomorphisms $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_p$ of $I$ onto disjoint subintervals of $I$, which satisfy $|D\varphi_i(x)| < 1$, $1 \leq i \leq p$, $x \in I$.
- a matrix $A = (a_{ij})$, $1 \leq i, j \leq p$, with $a_{ij} \in \{-1, 1\}$. 
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We assume that the subshift of finite type $\Sigma_A$ of the full shift on $p$ elements is infinite and topologically mixing. For $1 \leq i_0 \leq p$, let:

$$K(i_0) = \bigcup_{k \geq 1} \varphi_{i_1} \ldots \varphi_{i_k}(I)$$

the union being taken over all sequences $(i_0, i_1, \ldots)$ such that $a_{i_k i_{k+1}} = 1$ for $k \geq 0$. This is a Cantor subset of $I$; a Cantor subset of $\mathbb{R}$ obtained in this way is called regular.

The $K(i_0)$, for $1 \leq i_0 \leq p$, are called twin regular Cantor sets.

The diffeomorphic image of a regular Cantor set is regular: conjugate the $\varphi_i$ by the diffeomorphism.

For $K = K(i_0)$ as above, we define:

$$\Phi(K) = \{\varphi = \varphi_{i_1} \ldots \varphi_{i_k} \mid k \geq 1, \ a_{i_\ell i_{\ell+1}} = 1 \text{ for } 0 \leq \ell < k\}.$$ 

For $\varphi = \varphi_{i_1} \ldots \varphi_{i_k} \in \Phi(K)$, we have:

$$\varphi(I) \cap K(i_0) = \varphi(K(i_k)).$$

This shows that the cylinder $\varphi(I) \cap K(i_0)$ is regular; $\varphi(I)$ is the box of $\varphi(I) \cap K(i_0)$. Two cylinders (or boxes) are either disjoint, or one is contained in the other.

We recall that the arithmetic sum of two subsets $A'$ and $A''$ of the line is defined as

$$A' + A'' = \{t \in \mathbb{R} ; \exists a' \in A', a'' \in A'' \text{ such that } a' + a'' = t\}$$

We can now state our result.

**Theorem.** – Let $K'$, $K''$ be two regular Cantor sets, of respective Hausdorff dimensions $d'$, $d''$. Assume that $d' + d'' > 1$. Then, given $\delta > 0$, one can find $C^\infty$ diffeomorphisms $h$ of $\mathbb{R}$, arbitrarily near the identity, such that any point in $h(K') + K''$ is at a distance less than $\delta$ from some nontrivial interval in $h(K') + K''$.

It is actually sufficient to find $h$ such that $h(K') + K''$ contains one nontrivial interval. Indeed, let $J'_1, \ldots, J'_k$ and $J''_1, \ldots, J''_k$ be disjoint cylinders of $K'$ and $K''$, respectively, such that any point in $K' + K''$ contains some $J'_1 + J''_1$ in its $\delta/2$ neighbourhood. Gluing together diffeomorphisms $h_1 \ldots h_k$ near the identity such that $h_i(J'_i) + J''_i$ contains one nontrivial interval, we get the conclusion of the theorem (observe that $J'_i$, resp. $J''_i$, is regular with the same Hausdorff dimension as $K'$, respectively $K''$).
3. BASIC ESTIMATES

We give here the basic estimates for the regular set $K'$, with constants $c_1', c_2', \ldots$. Similar estimates hold for $K''$, with constants $c_1'', c_2'' \ldots$. Let $I'$, $\varphi_1', \ldots, \varphi_p'$ be as in 2., defining $K'$.

There exist $c_1', c_2', c_3', c_4'$ such that:

(2) \[ 0 < c_1'^{-1} < |D\varphi_i'(x)| < c_2', \quad 1 \leq i \leq p, \quad x \in I' \]

(3) \[ |\varphi_i'(x) - \varphi_j'(y)| > c_3'^{-1} > 0, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq p, \quad i \neq j, \quad x, y \in I' \]

(4) \[ |\log |D\varphi_i'(x)| - \log |D\varphi_j'(y)|| \leq c_4'|x - y|^{\alpha}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq p, \quad x, y \in I'. \]

From (2) and (4), we get:

**Distortion estimate.** - For $\varphi \in \Phi(K')$, $x, y \in I'$.

(5) \[ |\log |D\varphi(x)| - \log |D\varphi(y)|| \leq c_5 = c_4'(1 - c_2'^{-1}|I'|^{-\alpha}). \]

Let $Q' = \varphi(I')$, $\varphi \in \Phi(K')$, be a box of $K'$, with $Q' \neq I'$. Writing $\varphi = \varphi'\varphi''$, we have $|Q'| \leq c_2'|I'|\max |D\varphi'|$ and the distance from $Q'$ to $K' - Q'$ is at least $c_3'^{-1}\min |D\varphi'|$. Hence we get from (5):

(6) \[ d(Q', K' - Q') \geq c_6^{-1}|Q'|, \quad \text{with} \quad c_6 = c_3' e^{c_2'} c_2'|I'|. \]

Let $m'$ be the $d'$-dimensional Hausdorff measure. Because of the topologically mixing property, each twin of $K'$ contains a diffeomorphic image of any other, so they all have Hausdorff dimension $d'$. Moreover, regularity implies that there are constants $c_7'$, $c_8'$ such that:

(7) \[ 0 < c_7'^{-1} < m'(K'_1) < c_8' \]

for any $K'_1$ twin of $K'$ (including itself). See [F], [PT2].

**Lemma 1.** - Let $J'$ be a cylinder of $K'$, with box $Q'$; one has:

\[ c_9'^{-1}|Q'|^{d'} \leq m'(J') \leq c_{10}'|Q'|^{d'}, \]

with $c_9' = c_7' \exp(c_5'd')|I'|^{d'}$, $c_{10}' = c_8' \exp(c_5'd')|I'|^{-d'}$. 
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Proof. – One has \( J' = \varphi(K'_1), Q' = \varphi(I') \) for some \( \varphi \in \Phi(K') \) and some twin \( K'_1 \) of \( K' \). From this, we get:

\[
|Q'| = |D\varphi(x_\circ)| |I'|, \quad \text{for some } x_\circ \in I'
\]

\[
m'(J') = \int_{K'_1} |D\varphi(x)|^{d'} dm'(x) = m'(K'_1)|D\varphi(x_1)|^{d'}
\]

for some \( x_1 \in I' \). The lemma then follows from (5) and (7).

Let \( \beta > 0 \). A \( \beta \)-decomposition of \( K' \) is a partition of \( K' \) in (disjoint) cylinders whose boxes have length between \( \beta \) and \( \epsilon_{11}^{-1} \beta \). Such a decomposition exists and is non trivial if \( \beta < |I'| \) (see (2)). Let \( \pi' \) be a \( \beta \)-decomposition of \( K' \).

**Lemma 2.** – We have:

\[
m'(J'_1) \leq c'_{11} m'(J'_2), \quad \text{for } J'_1, J'_2 \in \pi'
\]

with \( c'_{11} = c_0 c_{10} c_{1}^{d'} d' \).

**Proof.** – Trivial from Lemma 1 and the definition of a \( \beta \)-decomposition.

**Lemma 3.** – Let \( Q'_0 \) of length greater than \( \beta \). The number \( N \) of elements of \( \pi' \) contained in \( Q'_0 \) satisfies:

\[
c_{12}' \beta^{-1} |Q'_0|^{d'} \leq N \leq c_{13}' \beta^{-1} |Q'_0|^{d'}
\]

with \( c_{12}' = c_0 c_{10} \quad c_{13}' = c_0 c_{10} c_1^{d'} \).

**Proof.** – One has, if \( J'_0 \) is the cylinder of \( Q'_0 \):

\[
c_{9}'^{-1} |Q'_0|^{d'} \leq m'(J'_0) \leq c_{10}' |Q'_0|^{d'}, \quad \text{by Lemma 1};
\]

\[
m'(J'_0) = \sum_{J' \in \pi'} m'(J'),
\]

and \( c_{9}'^{-1} c_{1}^{d'} \beta^{d'} \leq m'(J') \leq c_{10} \beta^{d'} \) for \( J' \in \pi' \).

The lemma follows.

\[ \Box \]

4. THE ENERGY ESTIMATES

We now consider $K', K''$ as in the theorem. We let $K = K' \times K'' \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, $D = d' + d'' > 1$, $m_D = m' \times m''$ the $D$-dimensional Hausdorff measure on $K$. The Euclidean metrics on $\mathbb{R}^2$ is noted $|| \cdot ||$.

A $\beta$-decomposition of $K$ is a partition of $K$ which is product of two $\beta$-decompositions of $K', K''$. In a similar way, we define cylinders and boxes for $K$.

For simplicity, we assume that:

\begin{equation}
|I'| \leq 1, \quad |I''| \leq 1, \quad |I'| + |I''| \geq 1,
\end{equation}

which can be obtained by an homothety of the same ratio on $K', K''$. We also assume that $c'_1, c''_1$ have been taken so that:

\begin{equation}
|I'| \geq c'_1^{-1}, \quad |I''| \geq c''_1^{-1},
\end{equation}

in such a way that $\pi(0) = \{K\}$ is a 1-decomposition of $K$.

Let $\pi$ be a $\beta$-decomposition of $K$.

**Lemma 4.** For $J_1, J_2 \in \pi$, one has:

$$m_D(J_1) \leq c_{11} m_D(J_2), \quad \text{with} \quad c_{11} = c'_1 c''_1$$

**Proof.** Direct consequence of Lemma 2.

**Lemma 5.** Let $\beta_1 < (c'_1 + c''_1)^{-1} \beta$ and $\pi_1$ a $\beta_1$-decomposition of $K$. For $J \in \pi_0$, the number $N$ of elements of $\pi_1$ contained in $J$ satisfies:

$$c_{14}^{-1} (\beta \beta_1^{-1})^D \leq N \leq c_{14} (\beta \beta_1^{-1})^D$$

with $c_{14} = c'_9 c'_9 c''_1 c''_1 c'_1 c''_1 d' d''$.

**Proof.** Use Lemma 3, knowing that if $Q' \times Q''$ is the box of $J$, one has $c'_1^{-1} \beta \leq |Q'| \leq \beta$, $c''_1^{-1} \beta \leq |Q''| \leq \beta$.

**Definition.** The energy of a cylinder $J$ of $K$ is the value of the integral:

$$\mathcal{I}(J) = \iint_{J \times J} \frac{m_D(z) m_D(z')}{||z - z'||}.$$

**Lemma 6.** One has

$$\mathcal{I}(K) \leq c_{15} = 2^D (1 - 2^{1-D})^{-1} c'_3 c'_3 c''_8 (c'_8 c''_8)^2 \exp(c'_5 d' + c''_6 d'').$$

**Proof.** Let $z = (x', x'') \in K$, $r > 0$, and $B_r(z)$ the Euclidean ball of center $z$, radius $r$. Let $Q = Q' \times Q''$ be the smallest box
of $K$ containing $B_r(z) \cap K$; write $Q' = \varphi'(I')$, $Q'' = \varphi''(I'')$ with $\varphi' \in \Phi(K')$, $\varphi'' \in \Phi(K'')$. Let $i$ (resp. $j$) be such that $x' \in \varphi'_i(I')$ (resp. $x'' \in \varphi''_{j}(I'')$). By the minimality of $Q$, the interval of center $x'$ (resp. $x''$) and radius $r$ must intersect $\varphi'_i(I')$ (resp. $\varphi''_{j}(I'')$) for some $k \neq i$ (resp. $\ell \neq j$). Then, we get from (3) that:
\[
\begin{align*}
    r &\geq (\inf |D\varphi'|) c_3^{i-1} \\
    r &\geq (\inf |D\varphi''|) c_3^{\ell-1}.
\end{align*}
\]
If $J'$, $J''$ are the cylinders associated to $Q'$, $Q''$, we obtain (using (5)):
\[
\begin{align*}
m'(J') &= \int_{K'_i} |D\varphi'(y)|^{d'} dm'(y) \leq (c_3 r)^{d'} c_8 \exp(c_5 d') \\
m''(J'') &= \int_{K''_{j}} |D\varphi''(y)|^{d''} dm''(y) \leq (c_3 r)^{d''} c_8 \exp(c_5 d''),
\end{align*}
\]
where $K'_i$, $K''_{j}$ are twins of $K'$, $K''$. This gives:
\[
m_D(B_r(z) \cap K) \leq c_{16} r^D, \quad \text{with} \quad c_{16} = c_8 c_{16}^{d'} c_8^{d''} \exp(c_5 d' + c_5^{d''}).
\]
One has $K \subset B_2(z)$ by (8), so we can write
\[
K - \{z\} = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} ((B_{2^{k+1}}(z) - B_{2^{-k}}(z)) \cap K),
\]
to get
\[
\int_K \frac{dm_D(z')}{||z - z'||} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^k c_{16} \left(2^{1-k} \right)^D = 2^D c_{16} \left(1 - 2^{-1-D} \right)^{-1}.
\]
Using $m_D(K) \leq c_8 c_{16}'$, we get the lemma. \qed

**Lemma 7.** Let $J$ be an element of a $\beta$-decomposition of $K$. One has:
\[
\mathcal{I}(J) \leq c_{17} \beta^{2D-1} \quad \text{with} \quad c_{17} = c_1 c_2^{d'} c_2^{d''} e^{2c_3 d' + 2c_3 d''} \max\left(c_1^{d_3}, c_2^{d''} \right).
\]

**Proof.** Write $J = J' \times J''$, $Q'$, $Q''$ for the boxes of $J'$, $J''$; let $\varphi' \in \Phi(K)$, $\varphi'' \in \Phi(K'')$ be such that $Q' = \varphi'(I')$, $Q'' = \varphi''(I'')$, $J'_i = \varphi'(K'_i)$, $J''_{j} = \varphi''(K''_{j})$, for some twins $K'_1$, $K''_1$ of $K'$, $K''$. The estimate of Lemma 6 holds with $K_1 = K'_1 \times K''_1$ instead of $K$. One has:
\[
\begin{align*}
    \inf |D\varphi'| &\geq e^{-c_5} |Q'| |I'|^{-1} \geq e^{-c_5} c_1^{-1} \beta \quad \text{(see (8))} \\
    \inf |D\varphi''| &\geq e^{-c_5'} c_1''^{-1} \beta
\end{align*}
\]
and, for \( z = (x', x''), z' = (y', y'') \in K_1 \):
\[
\| \varphi' \times \varphi''(z) - \varphi' \times \varphi''(z') \| \geq \min(\inf |D\varphi'|, \inf |D\varphi''|) \| z - z' \|.
\]

We then get:
\[
\int_{J \times J} \frac{dm_D(z)dm_D(z')}{\| z - z' \|} 
\leq \int_{K_1 \times K_1} \frac{dm_D(z)dm_D(z')|D\varphi'(x')|^d|D\varphi''(y')|^d|D\varphi''(x'')|^d|D\varphi''(y'')|^d}{\| z - z' \| \min(\inf |D\varphi'|, \inf |D\varphi''|)} 
\leq \beta^{-1}c_{15} \max(c_1e^{\beta'\gamma}, c_1'\exp(2c_5d' + 2c_6d''))(\frac{|Q'|}{|I'|})^{2d'}(\frac{|Q''|}{|I''|})^{2d''}
\]
which gives the lemma, using (9). □

5. MEASURE OF PROJECTIONS

For \( \lambda > 0 \), let \( \pi_\lambda \) the linear map from \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) to \( \mathbb{R} \) defined by:
\[
\pi_\lambda(x, y) = \lambda x + y.
\]
Let \( J \) be a cylinder of \( K \). We write \( m_J \) for the restriction of \( m_D \) to \( J \) and \( m_{J, \lambda} \) for the image of \( m_J \) by \( \pi_\lambda \).

**Lemma.** - Let \( J \) be an element of a \( \beta \)-decomposition \( \pi \) of \( K \). Assume that for some \( \lambda \in [1/2, 1] \), \( \gamma > 0 \), we have:
\[
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |m_{J, \lambda}(\zeta)|^2 d\zeta \leq \gamma^{-1}\beta^{2\lambda-1}.
\]
Let \( J_0 \) be a measurable subset of \( J \) satisfying \( m_D(J_0) \geq km_D(J) \), with \( 0 < k \leq 1 \). Then, one has:
\[
m(\pi_\lambda(J_0)) \geq c_{18}^{-1}k^2\gamma\beta, \text{ with } m \text{ the Lebesgue measure on } \mathbb{R}.
\]
and \( c_{18} = (c_6c_9c_1'c_6^{d'}c_1'')^2 \).

**Proof.** - Let \( m_{J_0} \) be the restriction of \( m_J \) to \( J_0 \), and \( m_{J_0, \lambda} \) its image by \( \pi_\lambda \). The hypothesis implies that \( m_{J, \lambda} \) (resp. \( m_{J_0, \lambda} \)) is absolutely continuous with respect to \( m \) and its density \( g \) (resp. \( g_0 \)) satisfy:
\[
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (g_0(u))^2 du \leq \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (g(u))^2 du < \gamma^{-1}\beta^{2\lambda-1}.
\]
By Cauchy-Schwarz’s inequality, one has:

\[
m(\pi(\lambda)(J_0)) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (g_0(u))^2 \, du \geq \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g_0(u) \, du \right]^2.
\]

On the other hand, one has, with

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g_0(u) \, du = m_{J_0, \lambda}(\mathbb{R}) = m_D(J_0)
\]

\[
\geq k m_D(J) \geq k c_9^{-1} c_9^{\prime -1} c_1^{\prime -d} c_1^{'' -d''} \beta^D
\]

by Lemma 1-Lemma 8 follows from (10), (12), (13).

Lemma 9. Let \( \beta_1 < (c_1 + c_1^\prime)^{-1} \beta \), \( \pi_1 \) a \( \beta_1 \)-decomposition of \( K \) and \( J \in \pi \). Let \( J_0 \) be the union of at least one eighth of all elements of \( \pi_1 \) which are contained in \( J \). If \( \lambda, \gamma \) are as in Lemma 8 and (10) holds, then we have:

\[
m(\pi(\lambda)(J_0)) \geq c_1^{-1} \gamma \beta,
\]

with \( c_1 = 64c_{18}c_{11}^2 \).

Proof. By Lemma 4, we can take \( k = \frac{1}{8} c_{11}^{-1} \) in Lemma 8.

Lemma 10. There is a universal constant \( c \) such that, for any cylinder \( J \) of \( K \), we have:

\[
\int_{1/2}^{1} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\hat{m}_{J, \lambda}(\zeta)|^2 \, d\zeta \right) d\lambda \leq c I(J).
\]

Proof. This is a trivial consequence of a deep inequality of Kaufman; see the proof of Marstrand’s theorem in [F].

6. TWO COUNTING LEMMAS

Lemma 11. Let \( E = E_1 \cup \ldots \cup E_N \) be a partition of a finite set \( E \). Then at least half of the elements of \( E \) belong to a \( E_j \) such that \( \#E_j \geq \frac{1}{2N} \#E \).

Proof. Immediate.

Let \( \beta_1 < (c_1 + c_1^\prime)^{-1} \beta \), and \( \pi_1 \) (resp. \( \pi \)) a \( \beta_1 \) (resp. \( \beta \)) decomposition of \( K \). We consider a subset \( \tilde{\pi}_1 \) of \( \pi_1 \) such that:

\[
\#\tilde{\pi}_1 \geq (1 - \rho_1)\#\pi_1.
\]
with some \( \rho_1 \in [0; 1/2] \). We then define, for \( J \in \pi \):
\[
\pi_1(J) = \{J_1 \in \pi_1, J_1 \subset J\}
\]
and
\[
\tilde{\pi}_1(J) = \pi_1(J) \cap \tilde{\pi}_2,
\]
and
\[
\pi_2 = \left\{ J \in \pi \mid \#\tilde{\pi}_1(J) \geq \frac{1}{2}(1 - \rho_1)\#\pi_1(J) \right\}
\]

**LEMMA.** – We have
\[
\#\pi_2 \geq (1 - \rho)\#\pi, \text{ with } \rho = 4c^2_{14}\rho_1.
\]

**Proof.** – By definition of \( \pi_2 \), one has:
\[
(1 - \rho_1)\#\pi_1 \leq \#\tilde{\pi}_1 \leq \sum_{J \in \tilde{\pi}_1} \#\pi_1(J) + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \rho_1) \sum_{J \in \pi \setminus \pi_2} \#\pi_1(J)
\]
which implies:
\[
\frac{1}{2}(1 - \rho_1) \sum_{J \in \pi \setminus \pi_2} \#\pi_1(J) \leq \rho_1 \sum_{J \in \pi_2} \#\pi_1(J).
\]
Using Lemma 5, we get (as \( \rho_1 \leq 1/2 \)):
\[
\#(\pi - \pi_2) \leq 4c^2_{14}\rho_1\#\pi_2. \quad \square
\]

### 7. STARTING THE CONSTRUCTION

The construction we are going to make depends on a certain number of parameters, which are:

- an integer \( N \geq 1 \);
- a positive number \( \varepsilon \in (0, 1/2] \);
- a decreasing sequence \( 1 = \beta_0 > \beta_1 > \cdots > \beta_{2N} > 0 \)

These parameters will be specified later.

We define \( \pi(0) = \{K\} \) and choose, for \( 1 \leq i \leq 2N \), a \( \beta_i \)-decomposition \( \pi(i) = \pi'(i) \times \pi''(i) \) of \( K \).

We assume that
\[
\beta_i > (c'_i + c''_i)\beta_{i+1}
\]
\[\text{(15)}\]
so that each element of \( \pi(i+1) \) is contained in one element of \( \pi(i) \). From Lemmas 7 and 10, we have:

\[
\int_{1-\varepsilon}^{1} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\tilde{m}_{J,\lambda}(\zeta)|^2 \, d\zeta \right) \, d\lambda \leq cc_{17} \beta_i^{2D-1} \quad \text{if } J \in \pi(i).
\]

From this we deduce:

\[
\int_{1-\varepsilon}^{1} \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{2N} 2^{-i} \beta_i^{1-2D}[\#\pi(i)]^{-1} \sum_{J \in \pi(i)} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\tilde{m}_{J,\lambda}(\zeta)|^2 \, d\zeta \right] \, d\lambda \leq 2cc_{17}.
\]

We can therefore find \( \lambda \in [1 - \varepsilon, 1] \), fixed in the following such that we have, for \( 0 \leq i \leq 2N \):

\[
\sum_{J \in \pi(i)} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\tilde{m}_{J,\lambda}(\zeta)|^2 \, d\zeta \leq 2^{i+1} \varepsilon^{-1} cc_{17}\beta_i^{2D-1}.
\]

For \( 1 \leq i \leq 2N \), let \( \pi_1(i) \) be the subset of \( \pi(i) \) formed by the \( J \) which satisfy:

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\tilde{m}_{J,\lambda}(\zeta)|^2 \, d\zeta \leq c_{20} (16c_{14}^2)^{1-i} \varepsilon^{-1} \beta_i^{2D-1}
\]

with \( c_{20} = 16cc_{17} \).

From (18), (19), we get:

\[
\#\pi_1(i) \geq \left( 1 - \frac{1}{4} (8c_{14}^2)^{-1-i} \right) \#\pi(i).
\]

We now define inductively subsets \( \tilde{\pi}(i) \) of \( \pi(i) \). Define \( \rho_i = \frac{1}{2} (8c_{14}^2)^{1-i} \), for \( 1 \leq i \leq 2N \). Let:

\[
\tilde{\pi}(2N) = \pi_1(2N).
\]

For \( i < 2N \), if \( \tilde{\pi}(i+1) \) has already been defined, let

\[
\pi_2(i) = \left\{ J \in \pi(i) \mid \#\tilde{\pi}(i+1, J) \geq \frac{1}{2} (1 - \rho_{i+1}) \#\pi(i+1, J) \right\}
\]

where the notations \( \pi(i+1, J), \tilde{\pi}(i+1, J) \) is as before Lemma 12: the sets of elements of \( \pi(i + 1) \), \( \tilde{\pi}(i + 1) \) which are contained in \( J \). Then let

\[
\tilde{\pi}(i) = \pi_1(i) \cap \pi_2(i).
\]
We show inductively that

\[ \#\tilde{\pi}(i) \geq (1 - \rho_i)\#\pi(i). \]

This is true for \( i = 2N \) by (20). Assuming it true for \( i + 1 \), one can apply Lemma 12 to get:

\[ \#\pi_2(i) \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\rho_i\right)\#\pi(i), \]

which, taking (20), (22) into account, gives (23). Observe that \( \rho_1 = \frac{1}{2} \), and \( \rho_i \in [0,1/2] \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq 2N \). Finally, we define:

\[ \tilde{\pi}(0) = \pi(0) = \{ K \}. \]

8. THE MAIN ESTIMATES

8.1. For \( 1 \leq i \leq 2N \), \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \), let:

\[ M(i, k) = [(2k - 2)\beta_i, (2k + 2)\beta_i]. \]

For \( J \in \pi(i) \), the diameter of \( \pi_\lambda(J) \) is less than \( 2\beta_i \), so we have \( \pi_\lambda(J) \subset M(i, k) \) for at least one (and at most 3) \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \).

We choose a partition of \( \pi(i) \) in subsets \( \pi(i, k) \) such that \( J \in \pi(i, k) \) implies \( \pi_\lambda(J) \subset M(i, k) \). We use the notation:

\[ \pi(i + 1, J, k) = \pi(i + 1, k) \cap \pi(i + 1, J) \]
\[ \tilde{\pi}(i + 1, J, k) = \pi(i + 1, k) \cap \tilde{\pi}(i + 1, J), \quad J \in \pi(i). \]

8.2. Let \( 0 \leq i \leq 2N - 1 \), \( J \in \tilde{\pi}(i) \).

By (21), (22) and Lemma 5, one has:

\[ \#\tilde{\pi}(i + 1, J) \geq \frac{1}{4}\#\pi(i + 1, J) \geq \frac{1}{4}c_{14}^{-1}(\beta_i\beta_{i+1}^{-1})^D. \]

On the other hand, the number of \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \) such that \( M(i + 1, k) \) intersects \( \pi_\lambda(J) \) (whose diameter is \( \leq 2\beta_i \)) is at most \( 3 + \beta_i\beta_{i+1}^{-1} \), therefore assuming

\[ \beta_i > 3\beta_{i+1} \]

we get

\[ \#\{ k \in \mathbb{Z}, \tilde{\pi}(i + 1, J, k) \neq \phi \} \leq 2\beta_i\beta_{i+1}^{-1}. \]
Let \( A(i+1, J) \) be the set of \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \) such that:
\[
\#\pi(i+1, J, k) \geq (16c_{14})^{-1}(\beta_i\beta_{i+1}^{-1})^{D-1}.
\]
From Lemma 11, we have:
\[
\sum_{k \in A(i+1, J)} \#\pi(i+1, J, k) \geq \frac{1}{2} \#\pi(i+1, J) \geq \frac{1}{8} \#\pi(i+1, J).
\]
If we define:
\[
J_o = \bigcup_{k \in A(i+1, J)} J_1,
\]
we can apply Lemma 9, using (19) and (28) to conclude that:
\[
m(\pi_\lambda(J_o)) \geq c_{21}^{-1}c_{22}^{1-i} \epsilon \beta_i, \text{ with } c_{21} = c_{19}c_{20}, c_{22} = 16c_{14}^2.
\]
As \( \pi_\lambda(J_o) \) is included in the union of \( M(i+1, k) \) for \( k \in A(i+1, J) \),
one deduces from (29) that:
\[
\#A(i+1, J) \geq (4c_{21})^{-1}c_{22}^{1-i} \epsilon \beta_i\beta_{i+1}^{-1}.
\]

8.3. Keeping the same notations as above, we assume \( i \leq 2N - 2 \). For \( \ell \in \mathbb{Z}, J_1 \in \pi(i+1, J) \),
we define:
\[
\chi(\ell, J_1) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } \pi(i+2, J_1, \ell) \neq \phi \\
0 & \text{if } \pi(i+2, J_1, \ell) = \phi.
\end{cases}
\]
From (30), we have:
\[
\sum_\ell \chi(\ell, J_1) \geq (4c_{21})^{-1}c_{22}^{1-i} \epsilon \beta_i\beta_{i+1}^{-1}\beta_{i+2}^{-1}.
\]
Let \( k \in A(i+1, J) \), and let \( \chi(\ell, k) = \sum_{J_1 \in \pi(i+1, J, k)} \chi(\ell, J_1) \). If \( \chi(\ell, k) \neq 0 \),
\( M(i+2, \ell) \) must intersect \( M(i+1, k) \), so there are at most \( 2\beta_i\beta_{i+1}^{-1}\beta_{i+2}^{-1} \)
such \( \ell \). On the other hand, by (31):
\[
\sum_\ell \chi(\ell, k) \geq (4c_{21})^{-1}c_{22}^{1-i} \epsilon \beta_i\beta_{i+1}^{-1}\beta_{i+2}^{-1}\#\pi(i+1, J, k).
\]
Let \( B(i+2, J, k) \) be the set of \( \ell \in \mathbb{Z} \) such that:
\[
\chi(\ell, k) \geq (16c_{21})^{-1}c_{22}^{1-i} \#\pi(i+1, J, k).
\]
Then we have by (32) and the remark preceding it:
\[
\sum_{\ell \in B(i+2, J, k)} \chi(\ell, k) \geq (8c_{21})^{-1}c_{22}^{1-i} \epsilon \beta_i\beta_{i+1}^{-1}\beta_{i+2}^{-1}\#\pi(i+1, J, k).
\]
As trivially \( \chi(\ell, k) \leq \#\pi(i+1, J, k) \), we conclude that:
\[
\#B(i+2, J, k) \geq (8c_{21})^{-1}c_{22}^{1-i} \epsilon \beta_i\beta_{i+1}^{-1}\beta_{i+2}^{-1}.
\]
9. PERTURBATIONS

We choose once and for all a $C^\infty$ function $\eta$ on $\mathbb{R}$ which satisfies:

$$\eta(x) = 0, \text{ for } x \leq -2, \quad \eta(x) = 1 \text{ for } x \geq -1, \quad 0 \leq \eta(x) \leq 1, \quad \forall x.$$ 

Let $Q'$ be a box of $\pi'(i), 1 \leq i \leq 2N$; by (6) we have:

$$d(Q', K' - Q') > c_{23}^{-1} \beta_i, \text{ with } c_{23} = c_6 c_1.'$$

Define $\eta_{Q'}$ by (if $Q' = [a, b]$):

$$\eta_{Q'}(x) = (6c_{23}\beta_i^{-1}(x-a)) + \eta(6c_{23}\beta_i^{-1}(b-x)) - 1.$$ 

The following properties hold:

(38) \quad $\eta_{Q'}(x) = 1$ if $d(x, Q') \leq (6c_{23})^{-1} \beta_i$;

(39) \quad $\eta_{Q'}(x) = 0$ if $d(x, Q') \geq (3c_{23})^{-1} \beta_i$

(40) \quad $0 \leq \eta_{Q'}(x) \leq 1, \quad \forall x$

(41) \quad $||D^k \eta_{Q'}||_{C^0} \leq (6c_{23} \beta_i^{-1})^k ||D^k \eta||_{C^0}, \text{ for } k \geq 0.$

For $t \in [-1/2, +1/2]$, define a map $h_{Q', t}$ by:

$$h_{Q', t}(x) = x + 16 \beta_i \beta_{i+1} t \eta_{Q'}(x).$$

We require that

$$48c_{23} ||D \eta||_{C^0} \beta_{i+1} < \beta_i$$

so that, by (41), $h_{Q', t}$ is a $C^\infty$ diffeomorphism.

Let $T = (t(Q'))_{Q' \in \pi'(i)}$ be a family with $t(Q') \in [-1/2, 1/2]$ for all $Q'$. Then $h_{Q_1', t(Q_1')} - \text{Id}$ and $h_{Q_2', t(Q_2')} - \text{Id}$, for distinct $Q_1', Q_2' \in \pi'(i)$, have disjoint support by (39), (36); hence the various $h_{Q', t(Q')}$ glue in a diffeomorphism $h_T$ which satisfy, by (41):

$$||D^k (h_T - \text{id}_R)||_{C^0} \leq 8 \beta_{i+1} (6c_{23} \beta_i^{-1})^k ||D^k \eta||_{C^0}.$$

We denote by $C(i)$ the cube $[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]^{\pi'(i)}$, equipped the Lebesgue measure $m$.

If $h$ is a diffeomorphism of the form $h = h_{T_1} \circ h_{T_{i+1}} \circ \ldots \circ h_{T_{2N-1}}$, with $T_j \in C(j)$ for $i \leq j < 2N$, we get from (40), (42) that:

$$|h(x) - x| \leq 8 \sum_{j > i} \beta_j \leq 16 \beta_{i+1} \quad \text{by (25)}.$$
10. INDUCTION

10.1. We begin with some easy consequences of the estimates in 8. For
0 ≤ i ≤ 2N − 2, J ∈ π(i), let:

\[ B(i + 2, J) = \bigcup_{k \in A(i+1, J)} B(i + 2, J, k). \]

If \( \ell \in B(i + 2, J, k) \), \( M(i + 2, \ell) \) must intersect \( M(i + 1, k) \), so \( \ell \) can
belong to at most 3 of the \( B(i + 2, J, k) \).

Then using (30) and (35), we obtain:

\[ \#B(i + 2, J) \geq c_{24}^{-1} c_{25}^{-i} \varepsilon^2 \beta_i \beta_{i+2}^{-1}, \]

with \( c_{24} = 96 c_{21}^2 c_{22}^2, c_{25} = c_{22}^2 \).

Let \( \ell \in B(i + 2, J) \), and \( N(\ell, J) \) be the number of \( J_1 \in \tilde{\pi}(i + 1, J) \) such
that \( \tilde{\pi}(i + 2, J_1, \ell) \neq \phi \). By (33) and (27), one has:

\[ N(\ell, J) \geq c_{26}^{-1} c_{22}^{-i} \varepsilon (\beta_i \beta_{i+1}^{-1})^{D-1}, \quad c_{26} = 256 c_{14} c_{21} c_{22}^{-1}. \]

10.2. Let \( J_1, J_2 \in \tilde{\pi}(i + 1, J) \), such that \( \tilde{\pi}(i + 2, J_p, \ell) \neq \phi \) for \( p = 1, 2, \)
and let \( a_1, a_2 \) be the smallest points of \( \pi_\lambda(J_1), \pi_\lambda(J_2) \). If we assume
that \( J_1, J_2 \) have the same component in \( \pi'(i + 1) \), one must have, like in
(36), \( |a_1 - a_2| \geq \frac{1}{2} c_{23}^{-1} \beta_{i+1}, \) where \( c_{23} = c_6' c_0'' \). On the other hand, \( \pi_\lambda(J_p) \)
intersects \( M(i + 2, \ell) \) and has diameter less than \( 2 \beta_{i+1} \) for \( p = 1, 2, \ldots, \)
hence \( |a_1 - a_2| \leq 2 \beta_{i+1} + 4 \beta_{i+2} \leq 4 \beta_{i+1} \). We conclude that at most
\( (8 c_{23} + 1) \) elements \( \tilde{J} \) of \( \tilde{\pi}(i + 1, J) \) with the same component in \( \pi'(i + 1) \)
can verify \( \tilde{\pi}(i + 2, \tilde{J}, \ell) \neq \phi \).

Using (47), we see that for \( \ell \in B(i + 2, J) \), we can find \( w_i \) elements
\( J_1 \in \tilde{\pi}(i + 1, J) \) with distinct components in \( \pi'(i + 1) \) such that
\( \tilde{\pi}(i + 2, J_1, \ell) \neq \phi \), where:

\[ w_i = c_{27}^{-1} c_{22}^{-i} \varepsilon (\beta_i \beta_{i+1}^{-1})^{D-1}, \quad c_{27} = c_{26}(8 c_{23} + 1). \]

10.3. We now construct a diffeomorphism of the form:

\[ h = h_{T_1} \circ h_{T_3} \circ \ldots \circ h_{T_{2N-1}}, \quad \text{with} \quad T_{2j+1} \in C(2j + 1). \]

Denote \( h_j = h_{T_{2j+1}} \circ \ldots \circ h_{T_{2N-1}} \) so that \( h_N = \text{id} \), \( h_j = h_{T_{2j+1}} \circ h_{j+1}, \)
\( h = h_0 \). We will determine the \( T_{2j+1} \) inductively, starting from \( T_{2N-1} = 0 \),
in order to have the following property:

\[ \forall J \in \tilde{\pi}(2i), \text{ and any } \ell \in B(2i + 2, J), \]

any point in \( M(2i + 2, \ell) \) is at a distance less than \( 4 \beta_{2N} \)
from \( \pi_\lambda(h_i(J') \times J'') \), where \( J = J' \times J'' \).

We take $T_{2N-1} = 0$, so that $h_{N-1} = \text{id}$. Each interval $M(2N, \ell)$ has diameter $4\beta_{2N}$, so $P(N-1)$ is a consequence of the definition of $B(2N, J)$.

We now assume that $T_{2i+1}, \ldots, T_{2N-3}$ have been determined in order to have $P(i)$, and we will choose $T_{2i-1}$ in order to satisfy $P(i-1)$.

Let $J \in \tilde{\pi}(2i-2)$, $\ell \in B(2i, J)$, and $x \in M(2i, \ell)$; by 10.2 one can find $J_1, \ldots, J_{w_{2i-2}} \in \tilde{\pi}(2i - 1, J)$, having disjoint projections $J_1', \ldots, J_{w_{2i-2}}'$ on $K'$, such that:

$$\tilde{\pi}(2i, J_k, \ell) \neq \phi, \quad 1 \leq k \leq w_{2i-2}.$$ 

Let $1 \leq k \leq w_{2i-2}$, and $\tilde{J}_k \in \tilde{\pi}(2i, J_k, \ell)$. Let

$$M_k = \bigcup_{n \in B(2i+2, \tilde{J}_k)} \tilde{M}(2i + 2, n),$$

where $\tilde{M}(2i + 2, n)$ is the interval with same centre and half length than $M(2i + 2, n)$. The $\tilde{M}(2i + 2, n)$ have disjoint interiors so, by (46):

$$m(M_k) \geq 2c_2^{-1}c_6^{-2}e^2\beta_{2i}.$$ 

As each $\tilde{M}(2i + 2, n)$ must intersect $M(2i, \ell)$, $M_k$ is contained in the interval of center $x$, radius $5\beta_{2i}$. On the other hand, let

$$E(J, x, k) = \left\{ t \in \left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right], x - 16\beta_{2i}\lambda t \notin M_k \right\}.$$ 

We assume $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{4}$, hence $\lambda \in \left[\frac{3}{4}, 1\right]$, and we get, from (49):

$$m(E(J, x, k)) \leq 1 - (8c_{24})^{-1}c_2^{-2i}\varepsilon^2.$$ 

Consider now the subset $E(J, x)$ of $C(2i - 1)$ formed by the $T_{2i-1}$ such that, for $1 \leq k \leq w_{2i-2}$, the coordinate $t_k$ of $T_{2i-1}$ corresponding to $J_k$ belongs to $E(J, x, k)$. As these coordinates are distinct, we obtain:

$$m(E(J, x)) \leq (1 - (8c_{24})^{-1}c_2^{-2i}\varepsilon^2)^{w_{2i-2}}.$$ 

We now take the union $E$ of the sets $E(J, x)$, where $J$ runs over $\tilde{\pi}(2i-2)$, and $x$ is any point of the form $p\beta_{2i+2}$, $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ in $M(2i, \ell)$ for some $\ell \in B(2i, J)$, some $J \in \tilde{\pi}(2i - 2)$. The number of these sets is at most $2\beta_{2i+2}^{-1}c_{14}\beta_{2i-2}^{-D}$ (Lemma 5, and (8)). We assume:

$$2\beta_{2i+2}^{-1}c_{14}\beta_{2i-2}^{-D}(1 - (8c_{24})^{-1}c_2^{-2i}\varepsilon^2)^{w_{2i-2}} < 1,$$

which implies $m(E) < 1$, and we choose $T_{2i-1} \in C(2i - 1) - E$. 
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10.4. We now check that $P(i - 1)$ is satisfied by this choice of $T_{2i-1}$. Let $J, \ell, x \ldots$ be as above. Let $x_0 = p\beta_{2i+2}, p \in \mathbb{Z}$, be such that $x_0 \in M(2i, \ell)$ and $|x - x_o| < \beta_{2i+2}$. As $T_{2i-1} \notin E(J, x_0)$, there exists $1 \leq k \leq w_{2i-2}$ such that $x_0 - 16\beta_{2i}t_k \in M_k$ (where $t_k$ is the coordinate of $T_{2i-1}$ corresponding to $J_k'$). This means that $x_0 - 16\beta_{2i}t_k \in \widetilde{M}(2i + 2, n)$ for some $n \in B(2i + 2, J_k)$; as $|x - x_o| < \beta_{2i+2}$, $x - 16\beta_{2i}t_k \in M(2i + 2, n)$. By the induction hypothesis ($P(i)$), there exists $z = (z', z'') \in \tilde{J}_k$ such that:

$$|x - 16\beta_{2i}t_k - \pi(h_i(z'), z'')| \leq 4\beta_{2N},$$

which can be rewritten as:

$$|x - \pi(\pi(h_i(z')) + 16\beta_{2i}t_k, z'')| \leq 4\beta_{2N}.$$

Finally, from (38), (42), (45) we have $h_i(z') + 16\beta_{2i}t_k = h_{T_{2i-1}} o h_i(z')$ if we assume that

$$96c_{23} \beta_{2i+1} < \beta_{2i}.$$  

This proves $P(i - 1)$.

11. CONCLUSION

11.1. We have made on the $\beta_i$'s the assumptions (15), (25), (43), (53) and (52) the first four reduce to a single assumption:

$$\beta_i > c_{28} \beta_{i+1} \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq i < 2N. \quad (54)$$

On the other hand, as $e^x < (1 - x)^{-1}$ for $0 < x < 1$, and using formula (48) for $w_{2i-2}$, (52) is implied by:

$$\beta_{2i+2}^{-1} < (2c_{14})^{-1} \beta_{2i-2}^D \exp \left[ c_{29}^i \epsilon^{c_{30}} \left( \beta_{2i-2} \beta_{2i-1}^{-1} \right)^D \right]$$

with $c_{29} = 8c_{24} c_{27} c_{22}^2$, $c_{30} = c_{25}^2 c_{22}^2$, for $1 \leq i \leq N - 1$.

11.2. We now choose the infinite sequence $(\beta_i)_{i \geq 0}$ as:

$$\beta_i = \epsilon^{n_i}, \quad n_i = a^{2^i} - a,$$

for some $a > 1$. It is then easy to check that $\beta_0 = 1$, and (54), (55) are satisfied if we have $a > c_{31}$ (where $c_{31}$ depends only on $c_{28}$, $c_{29}$, $c_{30}$, $c_{14}$ and $D$, but not on $\epsilon$).
We now fix a closed neighbourhood $\mathcal{U}$ of the identity in $\text{Diff}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. It is not difficult (but fastidious) to check that one can choose $\varepsilon$ and $a > c_{31}$ such that the following hold:

If we fix $N \geq 1$ and construct from the finite sequence $\beta_0 = 1, \ldots, \beta_{2N}$ a number $\lambda \in [1 - \varepsilon, 1]$ as in 7. and a diffeomorphism $h$ as in 10., then, for the diffeomorphism $H_N = \lambda h$, we have:

(a) $H_N \in \mathcal{U}$, for all $N \geq 1$.

(b) the sequence $H_N - \text{Id}$ is bounded in the $C^r$ topology, for all $r \geq 1$.

Then we can extract from $H_N$ a subsequence which converges in the $C^\infty$-topology to a $C^\infty$ diffeomorphism $H$ in $\mathcal{U}$. By $P(0)$ for $H_{N_k}$, each diffeomorphism $H_{N_k}$ in this subsequence has at least one interval $I_k$ of length $4\beta_2$ such that any point in $I_k$ is at distance less than $4\beta_{2N_k}$ from $H_{N_k}(K') + K''$. There exists an interval $I$ length $2\beta_2$ which is contained in infinitely many $I_k$. Passing to the limit, $I$ must be contained in $H(K') + K''$.

This proves the theorem. $\square$
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