

ANNALES DE L'I. H. P., SECTION C

CĂTĂLIN LEFTER

VICENTIU D. RĂDULESCU

On the Ginzburg-Landau energy with weight

Annales de l'I. H. P., section C, tome 13, n° 2 (1996), p. 171-184

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIHPC_1996__13_2_171_0

© Gauthier-Villars, 1996, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales de l'I. H. P., section C » (<http://www.elsevier.com/locate/anihpc>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

On the Ginzburg-Landau energy with weight

by

Cătălin LEFTER^{1,2} and Vicențiu D. RĂDULESCU^{1,3}

¹ Laboratoire d'Analyse Numérique, Tour 55-65,
Université Pierre et Marie Curie,
4, place Jussieu,
75252 Paris, France.

² Department of Mathematics,
University of Iași,
6600 Iași, Romania.

³ Department of Mathematics,
University of Craiova,
1100 Craiova, Romania.

ABSTRACT. – This paper gives a solution to an open problem raised by Bethuel, Brezis and Hélein. We study the Ginzburg-Landau energy with weight. We find the expression of the renormalized energy and we show that the finite configuration of singularities of the limit is a minimum point of this functional. We find a vanishing gradient type property and then we obtain the renormalized energy by Bethuel, Brezis and Hélein's shrinking holes method.

Key words: Ginzburg-Landau energy with weight, renormalized energy.

RÉSUMÉ. – Ce travail donne la solution d'un problème ouvert de Bethuel, Brezis et Hélein. On étudie l'énergie de Ginzburg-Landau avec poids. Nous trouvons l'expression de l'énergie renormalisée et on prouve que la configuration finie des singularités de la limite est un point de minimum pour cette fonctionnelle. Nous montrons une propriété du type « vanishing gradient » et on obtient ensuite l'énergie renormalisée avec la méthode « shrinking holes » de Bethuel, Brezis et Hélein.

Classification A.M.S. : 35 J 60, 35 Q 99.

1. INTRODUCTION

In a recent book [BBH4], F. Bethuel, H. Brezis and F. Hélein studied the vortices related to the Ginzburg-Landau functional. Similar functionals appear in the study of problems occurring in superconductivity or the theory of superfluids.

In [BBH4], F. Bethuel, H. Brezis and F. Hélein have studied the behavior as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ of minimizers u_ε of the Ginzburg-Landau energy

$$E_\varepsilon(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_G |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon^2} \int_G (1 - |u|^2)^2$$

in the class of functions

$$H_g^1(G) = \{u \in H^1(G; \mathbb{R}^2); u = g \text{ on } \partial G\},$$

where:

- a) $\varepsilon > 0$ is a (small) parameter.
- b) G is a smooth, simply connected, starshaped domain in \mathbb{R}^2 .
- c) $g : \partial G \rightarrow S^1$ is a smooth data with a topological degree $d > 0$.

They obtained the convergence of (u_{ε_n}) in certain topologies to u_* . The function u_* is a *harmonic map* from $G \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_d\}$ to S^1 , and is *canonical*, in the sense that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \left(u_* \wedge \frac{\partial u_*}{\partial x_1} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \left(u_* \wedge \frac{\partial u_*}{\partial x_2} \right) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(G).$$

Recall (*see* [BBH4]) that a canonical harmonic map u_* with values in S^1 and singularities b_1, \dots, b_k of degrees d_1, \dots, d_k may be expressed as

$$u_*(x) = \left(\frac{x - b_1}{|x - b_1|} \right)^{d_1} \cdots \left(\frac{x - b_k}{|x - b_k|} \right)^{d_k} e^{i\varphi_0(x)},$$

with

$$\Delta\varphi_0 = 0 \quad \text{in } G.$$

They also defined the notion of renormalized energy $W(b, \bar{d}, g)$ associated to a given configuration $b = (b_1, \dots, b_k)$ of distinct points with associated degrees $\bar{d} = (d_1, \dots, d_k)$. For simplicity we set $W(b) = W(b, \bar{d}, g)$ when $k = d$ and all the degrees equal +1. The expression of the renormalized energy W is given by

$$W(b, \bar{d}, g) = -\pi \sum_{i \neq j} d_i d_j \log |b_i - b_j| + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial G} \Phi_0(g \wedge g_\tau) - \pi \sum_{j=1}^k d_j R_0(b_j),$$

where Φ_0 is the unique solution of

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta \Phi_0 = 2\pi \sum_{j=1}^k d_j \delta_{b_j}, & \text{in } G \\ \frac{\partial \Phi_0}{\partial \nu} = g \wedge g_\tau, & \text{on } \partial G \\ \int_{\partial G} \Phi_0 = 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$R_0(x) = \Phi_0(x) - \sum_{j=1}^k d_j \log |x - b_j|.$$

The functional W is also related to the asymptotic behavior of minimizers u_ε as follows:

$$(2) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \{E_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) - \pi d |\log \varepsilon|\} = \min_{b \in G^d} W(b) + d\gamma,$$

where γ is an universal constant, $k = d$, $d_i = +1$ for all i and the configuration $a = (a_1, \dots, a_d)$ achieves the minimum of W .

We study in this paper a similar problem, related to the Ginzburg-Landau energy with the weight w , that is

$$E_\varepsilon^w(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_G |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon^2} \int_G (1 - |u|^2)^2 w,$$

with $w \in C^1(\overline{G})$, $w > 0$ in \overline{G} . Throughout, u_ε will denote a minimizer of E_ε^w . We mention that u_ε verifies the Ginzburg-Landau equation with weight

$$(3) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u_\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} u_\varepsilon (1 - |u_\varepsilon|^2) w & \text{in } G \\ u_\varepsilon = g & \text{on } \partial G. \end{cases}$$

Our work is motivated by the Open Problem 2, p. 137 in [BBH4]. We are concerned in this paper with the study of the convergence of minimizers, as well as with the corresponding expression of the renormalized energy. We prove that the behavior of minimizers is of the same type as in the case $w \equiv 1$, the change appearing in the expression of the renormalized energy and, consequently, in the location of singularities of the limit u_* of u_{ε_n} . In our proof we borrow some of the ideas from Chapter VIII in [BBH4], without relying on the vanishing gradient property that is used there. We then prove a corresponding vanishing gradient property for the configuration of singularities obtained at the limit. In the last section we obtain the new renormalized energy by a variant of the “shrinking holes” method which was developed in [BBH4], Chapter I.

2. THE RENORMALIZED ENERGY

THEOREM 1. – *There is a sequence $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ and exactly d points a_1, \dots, a_d in G such that*

$$u_{\varepsilon_n} \rightarrow u_* \quad \text{in } H_{\text{loc}}^1(\overline{G} \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_d\}; \mathbb{R}^2),$$

where u_* is the canonical harmonic map associated to the singularities a_1, \dots, a_d of degrees $+1$ and to the boundary data g .

Moreover, $a = (a_1, \dots, a_d)$ minimizes the functional

$$(4) \quad \widetilde{W}(b) = W(b) + \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{j=1}^d \log w(b_j)$$

among all configurations $b = (b_1, \dots, b_d)$ of d distinct points in G .

In addition, the following holds:

$$(5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{E_{\varepsilon_n}^w(u_{\varepsilon_n}) - \pi d |\log \varepsilon_n|\} = W(a) + \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{j=1}^d \log w(a_j) + d\gamma,$$

where γ is some universal constant, the same as in (2).

Remark. – The functional \widetilde{W} may be regarded as the renormalized energy corresponding to the energy E_ε^w .

Before giving the proof, we shall make some useful notations: given the constants $c, \varepsilon, \eta > 0$, set

$$I^c(\varepsilon, \eta) = \min \left\{ E_\varepsilon^c(u); u \in H^1(B_\eta; \mathbb{R}^2) \text{ and } u(x) = \frac{x}{\eta} \text{ on } \partial B_\eta \right\}.$$

Here $B_\eta = B(0, \eta) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$.

For $x \in G$, denote

$$M_\eta(x) = \sup_{B(x, \eta) \cap \overline{G}} w \quad \text{and} \quad m_\eta(x) = \inf_{B(x, \eta) \cap \overline{G}} w.$$

Note that

$$I^c(\varepsilon, \eta) = I^c\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\eta}, 1\right) = I^1\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\eta\sqrt{c}}, 1\right)$$

and

$$I^{c_1}(\varepsilon, \eta) \leq I^{c_2}(\varepsilon, \eta),$$

provided $c_1 \leq c_2$.

We shall drop the superscript c if it equals 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. – The first part of the conclusion may be obtained by adapting the techniques developed in [BBH1], [BBH2], [BBH3], [BBH4] (see also [S]). We shall point out only the main steps that are necessary to prove the convergence:

a) Using the techniques from [S] we find a sequence $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ such that, for each n ,

$$(6) \quad \frac{1}{\varepsilon_n^2} \int_G (1 - |u_{\varepsilon_n}|^2)^2 w \leq C.$$

b) Using the methods developed in [BBH4], Chapters 3-5, we determine the “bad” disks, as well as the fact that their number is uniformly bounded. These techniques allow us to prove the convergence of (u_{ε_n}) weakly in $H^1_{loc}(G \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}; \mathbb{R}^2)$ to u_* , which is the canonical harmonic map associated to a_1, \dots, a_k with some degrees d_1, \dots, d_k and to the given boundary data.

c) The strong convergence of (u_{ε_n}) in $H^1_{loc}(\overline{G} \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}; \mathbb{R}^2)$ follows as in [BBH4], Theorem VI.1 with the techniques from [BBH3], Theorem 2, Step 1. Now the local convergence of (u_{ε_n}) in $G \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$ in stronger topologies, say C^2 , may be easily obtained by a bootstrap argument in (3). This implies that

$$(7) \quad \frac{1 - |u_{\varepsilon_n}|^2}{\varepsilon_n^2} w \rightarrow |\nabla u_*|^2,$$

uniformly on every compact subset of $G \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$.

d) For each $1 \leq j \leq k$, $\deg(u_*, a_j) \neq 0$. Indeed, if not, then as in Step 1 of Theorem 2 [BBH3], the H^1 -convergence is extended up to a_j , which becomes a “removable singularity”.

e) The fact that all degrees equal +1 may be deduced as in Theorem VI.2, [BBH4].

f) The points a_1, \dots, a_d lie in G . The proof of this fact is similar to the corresponding result in [BBH4].

The proof of the second part of the theorem is divided into 3 steps:

Step 1. – An upper bound for $E_\varepsilon^w(u_\varepsilon)$.

We shall prove that if $b = (b_j)$ is an arbitrary configuration of d distinct points in G , then there exists $\eta_0 > 0$ such that, for each $\eta < \eta_0$,

$$(8) \quad E_\varepsilon^w(u_\varepsilon) \leq \sum_{j=1}^d I\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\eta \sqrt{M_\eta(b_j)}}, 1\right) + W(b) + \pi d \log \frac{1}{\eta} + O(\eta) \quad \text{as } \eta \rightarrow 0,$$

for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough. Here $O(\eta)$ is a quantity which is bounded by $C\eta$, with C independent of $\eta > 0$ small enough.

The idea is to construct a suitable comparison function v_ε . Let $\eta < \eta_0$, where

$$\eta_0 = \min_{j,k} \{ \text{dist}(b_j, \partial G), |b_j - b_k| \}.$$

Applying Theorem I.9 in [BBH4] to the configuration b , we find $\tilde{u} : G_\eta := G \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^d \overline{B(b_j, \eta)} \rightarrow S^1$ with $\tilde{u} = g$ on ∂G and $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{C}$, $|\alpha_j| = 1$ such that

$$\tilde{u} = \alpha_j \frac{z - b_j}{|z - b_j|} \quad \text{on } \partial B(b_j, \eta)$$

and

$$(9) \quad \frac{1}{2} \int_{G_\eta} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^2 = \pi d \log \frac{1}{\eta} + W(b) + O(\eta), \quad \text{as } \eta \rightarrow 0.$$

We define v_ε as follows: let $v_\varepsilon = \tilde{u}$ on G_η and, in $B(b_j, \eta)$, let v_ε be a minimizer of E_ε^w on $H_h^1(B(b_j, \eta); \mathbb{R}^2)$, where $h = \tilde{u}|_{\partial B(b_j, \eta)}$. We have the following estimate

$$(10) \quad E_\varepsilon^w(v_\varepsilon|_{B(b_j, \eta)}) \leq I^{M_\eta(b_j)}(\varepsilon, \eta) = I\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\eta \sqrt{M_\eta(b_j)}}, 1\right).$$

The desired conclusion follows from (9), (10) and $E_\varepsilon^w(u_\varepsilon) \leq E_\varepsilon^w(v_\varepsilon)$.

Step 2. – A lower bound for $E_{\varepsilon_n}^w(u_{\varepsilon_n})$.

We shall prove that, if a_1, \dots, a_d are the singularities of u_\star , then given any $\eta > 0$, there is $N_0 = N_0(\eta) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for each $n \geq N_0$,

$$(11) \quad E_{\varepsilon_n}^w(u_{\varepsilon_n}) \geq \sum_{j=1}^d I\left(\frac{\varepsilon_n}{\alpha \eta \sqrt{m_{\alpha \eta}(a_j)}}, 1\right) + \pi d \log \frac{1}{\eta} + W(a) + O(\eta).$$

Here $\alpha = 1 + \eta$ and $O(\eta)$ is a quantity with the same behavior as in (8).

Indeed, for a fixed a_j , supposed to be 0, u_\star may be written

$$u_\star = e^{i(\psi + \theta)},$$

where ψ is a smooth harmonic function in a neighbourhood of 0. We may assume, without loss of generality, that $\psi(0) = 0$.

In the annulus $A_{\eta, \alpha\eta} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 ; \eta \leq |x| \leq \alpha\eta\}$ the function u_{ε_n} may be written, for n large enough, as

$$u_{\varepsilon_n} = \rho_n e^{i(\psi_n + \theta)},$$

where ψ_n is a smooth function and $0 < \rho_n \leq 1$. Define, for $\eta \leq r \leq \alpha\eta$, the interpolation function

$$v_n(r, \theta) = \frac{r - \eta + \rho_n(\eta, \theta)(\alpha\eta - r)}{\eta(\alpha - 1)} \cdot e^{i[\frac{\alpha\eta - r}{\eta(\alpha - 1)}\psi_n(\eta, \theta) + \theta]}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_n^2} \int_{A_{\eta, \alpha\eta}} (1 - |v_n|^2)^2 w &\leq \frac{\|w\|_{L^\infty}}{\varepsilon_n^2} \cdot \int_{\eta}^{\alpha\eta} \frac{r}{\eta} \left(\int_{\partial B_\eta} (1 - |u_n|^2)^2 d\sigma \right) dr \\ &= \|w\|_{L^\infty} \cdot \frac{\alpha + 1}{2} \eta^2 \int_{\partial B_\eta} \frac{(1 - |u_n|^2)^2}{\varepsilon_n^2} d\sigma \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This convergence is motivated by (7). We also observe that the convergence of (u_{ε_n}) in $H^1_{\text{loc}}(G \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_d\}; \mathbb{R}^2)$ implies

$$(12) \quad \int_{A_{\eta, \alpha\eta}} |\nabla v_n|^2 \rightarrow \int_{A_{\eta, \alpha\eta}} |\nabla v|^2, \quad \text{as } \eta \rightarrow 0,$$

where

$$v(\eta, \theta) = e^{i[\frac{\alpha\eta - r}{\eta(\alpha - 1)}\psi(\eta, \theta) + \theta]}.$$

Thus, we may write, for $n \geq N_1$,

$$E_{\varepsilon_n}^w(v_n |_{A_{\eta, \alpha\eta}}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{A_{\eta, \alpha\eta}} |\nabla v|^2 + o(1).$$

We prove in what follows that

$$(13) \quad \int_{A_{\eta, \alpha\eta}} |\nabla v|^2 = O(\eta).$$

Indeed, since

$$|\nabla v|^2 = \frac{\psi^2(\eta, \theta)}{\eta^2(\alpha - 1)^2} + \frac{1}{r^2} \left[\frac{\alpha\eta - r}{\eta(\alpha - 1)} \psi_\theta(\eta, \theta) + 1 \right]^2$$

and

$$\psi(r, \theta) \leq Cr, \quad |\psi_r(r, \theta)| \leq C, \quad |\psi_\theta(r, \theta)| \leq Cr,$$

the desired conclusion follows by a straightforward calculation.

We obtain

$$(14) \quad E_{\varepsilon_n}^w(v_{\varepsilon_n}|_{B(a_j, \eta)}) \geq I^{m_{\alpha\eta}(a_j)}(\varepsilon_n, \alpha\eta) + O(\eta).$$

On the other hand, by the convergence of (u_{ε_n}) in $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_d\}; \mathbb{R}^2)$ it follows that

$$(15) \quad E_{\varepsilon_n}^w(u_{\varepsilon_n}|_{G_\eta}) = \int_{G_\eta} |\nabla u_x|^2 + O(\eta),$$

for ε_n sufficiently small.

Taking into account (12)-(15) we obtain the desired result.

Step 3. – *The final conclusion.*

It follows from [BBH4], Chapter IX that

$$(16) \quad I(\varepsilon, \eta) = \pi \left| \log \frac{\varepsilon}{\eta} \right| + \gamma + o(1) \quad \text{as } \frac{\varepsilon}{\eta} \rightarrow 0,$$

where the constant γ represents the minimum of the renormalized energy corresponding to the boundary data x in B_1 .

From (8) and (11) we obtain

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} & W(b) + \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{j=1}^d \log M_\eta(b_j) - \pi d \log \varepsilon_n + d\gamma + o(1) \\ & \geq W(a) + \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d \log m_\eta(a_i) - \pi d \log \varepsilon_n + \pi d \log \frac{1}{\eta} - \pi d \log \frac{1}{\eta} + d\gamma + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

where $o(1)$ stands for a quantity which goes to 0 as $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ for fixed η . Adding $\pi d \log \varepsilon_n$ and passing to the limit firstly as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and then as $\eta \rightarrow 0$, we obtain that $a = (a_1, \dots, a_d)$ is a global minimum point of \widetilde{W} . We also deduce that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{E_{\varepsilon_n}^w(u_{\varepsilon_n}) - \pi d |\log \varepsilon_n|\} = W(a) + \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{j=1}^d \log w(a_j) + d\gamma.$$

We now generalize another result from [BBH4] concerning the behavior of u_ε .

THEOREM 2. – *Set*

$$W_n = \frac{1}{4\varepsilon_n^2} (1 - |u_{\varepsilon_n}|^2)^2 w.$$

Then (W_n) converges in the weak \star topology of $C(\overline{G})$ to

$$W_\star = \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{j=1}^d \delta_{a_j}.$$

Proof. – The boundedness of (W_n) in $L^1(G)$ follows directly from (6). Hence (up to a subsequence), W_n converges in the sense of measures of \overline{G} to some W_\star . With the same techniques as those developed in [BBH3] (Theorem 2) or [BBH4] (Theorem X.3) we can obtain that, for any compact

subset K of $\overline{G} \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^d \{a_j\}$,

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon_n^2} \|1 - |u_{\varepsilon_n}|^2\|_{L^\infty(K)} \leq C_K.$$

Hence

$$\text{supp } W_\star \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^d \{a_j\}.$$

Therefore

$$W_\star = \sum_{j=1}^d m_j \delta_{a_j} \quad \text{with } m_j \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We now determine m_j using the same methods as in [BBH4]. Fix one of the points a_j (supposed to be 0) and consider $B_R = B(0, R)$ for R small enough so that B_R contains no other point a_i ($i \neq j$). As in the proof of the Pohozaev identity, multiplying the Ginzburg-Landau equation (3) by $x \cdot \nabla u_\varepsilon$ and integrating on B_R we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (18) \quad & \frac{R}{2} \int_{\partial B_R} \left| \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial \nu} \right|^2 + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^2} \int_{B_R} (1 - |u_\varepsilon|^2)^2 w + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon^2} \int_{B_R} (1 - |u_\varepsilon|^2)^2 (\nabla w \cdot x) \\ & = \frac{R}{2} \int_{\partial B_R} \left| \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial \tau} \right|^2 + \frac{R}{4\varepsilon^2} \int_{\partial B_R} (1 - |u_\varepsilon|^2)^2 w. \end{aligned}$$

Passing to the limit in (18) as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and using the convergence of W_n we find

$$(19) \quad \frac{R}{2} \int_{\partial B_R} \left| \frac{\partial u_\star}{\partial \nu} \right|^2 + 2m_j = \frac{R}{2} \int_{\partial B_R} \left| \frac{\partial u_\star}{\partial \tau} \right|^2.$$

Using now the expression of u_* around a singularity we deduce that, on ∂B_R ,

$$(20) \quad \left| \frac{\partial u_*}{\partial \nu} \right|^2 = \left| \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \nu} + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \nu} \right|^2 = \left| \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \nu} \right|^2.$$

$$(21) \quad \left| \frac{\partial u_*}{\partial \tau} \right|^2 = \left| \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \tau} + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \tau} \right|^2 = \frac{1}{R^2} + \frac{2}{R} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \tau} + \left| \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \tau} \right|^2.$$

Inserting (20) and (21) into (19) we obtain

$$(22) \quad \frac{R}{2} \int_{\partial B_R} \left| \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \nu} \right|^2 + 2m_j = \pi + \frac{R}{2} \int_{\partial B_R} \left| \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \tau} \right|^2.$$

On the other hand, multiplying $\Delta \psi = 0$ by $x \cdot \nabla \psi$ and integrating on B_R we find

$$(23) \quad \frac{R}{2} \int_{\partial B_R} \left| \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \nu} \right|^2 = \frac{R}{2} \int_{\partial B_R} \left| \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \tau} \right|^2.$$

Thus, from (17) and (18) we obtain

$$m_j = \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

3. THE VANISHING GRADIENT PROPERTY OF THE RENORMALIZED ENERGY WITH WEIGHT

The expression of the renormalized energy \widetilde{W} allows us, by using the results obtained in [BBH4], to give an expression of the vanishing gradient property in the case of a weight.

From (4) it follows that

$$(24) \quad D\widetilde{W}(b_1, \dots, b_d) = DW(b_1, \dots, b_d) + \frac{\pi}{2} \left(\frac{\nabla w(b_1)}{w(b_1)}, \dots, \frac{\nabla w(b_d)}{w(b_d)} \right),$$

for each configuration $b = (b_1, \dots, b_d) \in G^d$.

Recall now Theorem VIII.3 in [BBH4], which gives the expression of the differential of W in an arbitrary configuration of distinct points

$b = (b_1, \dots, b_d) \in G^d$:

$$(25) \quad DW(b) = -2\pi \left[\left(\frac{\partial S_1}{\partial x_1}(b_1), \frac{\partial S_1}{\partial x_2}(b_1) \right), \dots, \left(\frac{\partial S_d}{\partial x_1}(b_d), \frac{\partial S_d}{\partial x_2}(b_d) \right) \right] \\ = 2\pi \left[\left(-\frac{\partial H_1}{\partial x_2}(b_1), \frac{\partial H_1}{\partial x_1}(b_1) \right), \dots, \left(-\frac{\partial H_d}{\partial x_2}(b_d), \frac{\partial H_d}{\partial x_1}(b_d) \right) \right].$$

Here $S_j(x) = \Phi_0(x) - \log |x - b_j|$ in G and Φ_0 the unique solution of

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \Phi_0 = 2\pi \sum_{j=1}^d \delta_{b_j}, & \text{in } G \\ \frac{\partial \Phi_0}{\partial \nu} = g \wedge g_\tau, & \text{on } \partial G \\ \int_{\partial G} \Phi_0 = 0. \end{cases}$$

The function H_j is harmonic around b_j and is related to u_\star by

$$u_\star(x) = \frac{x - b_j}{|x - b_j|} e^{iH_j(x)}, \quad \text{near } b_j.$$

Let

$$R_0(x) = S_j(x) - \sum_{i \neq j} \log |x - b_i|.$$

Our variant of the vanishing gradient property in [BBH4] (Corollary VIII.1) is:

THEOREM 3. – *The following properties are equivalent:*

- i) $a = (a_1, \dots, a_d)$ is a critical point of the renormalized energy \widetilde{W} .
- ii) $\nabla S_j(a_j) = \frac{1}{4} \frac{\nabla w(a_j)}{w(a_j)}$, for each j .
- iii) $\nabla H_j(a_j) = \frac{1}{4w(a_j)} \left(-\frac{\partial w}{\partial x_2}(a_j), \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_1}(a_j) \right)$, for each j .
- iv) $\nabla R_0(a_j) + \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{a_j - a_i}{|a_j - a_i|^2} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{\nabla w(a_j)}{w(a_j)}$, for each j .

The proof follows by the above considerations and the fact that, for each j ,

$$\nabla R_0(x) = \nabla S_j(x) - \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{x - a_i}{|x - a_i|^2}.$$

4. SHRINKING HOLES AND THE RENORMALIZED ENERGY WITH WEIGHT

As in [BBH4], Chapter I.4, we may define the renormalized energy by considering a suitable variational problem in a domain with “shrinking holes”.

Let, as above, G be a smooth, bounded and simply connected domain in \mathbb{R}^2 and let b_1, \dots, b_k be distinct points in G . Fix $d_1, \dots, d_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and a smooth data $g : \partial G \rightarrow S^1$ of degree $d = d_1 + \dots + d_k$. For each $\eta > 0$ small enough, define

$$G_\eta^w = G \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^k \overline{\omega_{j,\eta}},$$

where

$$\omega_{j,\eta} = B\left(b_j, \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{w(b_j)}}\right).$$

Set

$$\mathcal{E}_\eta^w = \{v \in H^1(G_\eta^w; S^1); \deg(v, \partial\omega_{j,\eta}) = d_j \text{ and } v = g \text{ on } \partial G\}.$$

We consider the minimization problem

$$(26) \quad \min_{u \in \mathcal{E}_\eta^w} \int_{G_\eta^w} |\nabla u|^2.$$

The following result shows that the renormalized energy \widetilde{W} is what remains in the energy after the singular “core energy” $\pi d |\log \eta|$ has been removed.

THEOREM 4. – *We have the following asymptotic estimate:*

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{G_\eta^w} |\nabla u_\eta|^2 = \pi \left(\sum_{j=1}^k d_j^2 \right) |\log \eta| + \widetilde{W}(b, \bar{d}, g) + O(\eta), \quad \text{as } \eta \rightarrow 0,$$

where

$$\widetilde{W}(b, \bar{d}, g) = W(b, \bar{d}, g) + \frac{\pi}{2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k d_j^2 \log w(b_j) \right).$$

Proof. – As in [BBH4], Chapter I we associate to (26) the linear problem:

$$(27) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta \Phi_\eta = 0, & \text{in } G_\eta^w \\ \Phi_\eta = C_j = \text{Const.}, & \text{on each } \partial\omega_{j,\eta} \\ \int_{\partial\omega_{j,\eta}} \frac{\partial \Phi_\eta}{\partial \nu} = 2\pi d_j, & \text{for each } j = 1, \dots, k \\ \frac{\partial \Phi_\eta}{\partial \nu} = g \wedge g_\tau, & \text{on } \partial G \\ \int_{\partial G} \Phi_\eta = 0. \end{cases}$$

With the same techniques as in [BBH4] (see Lemma I.2), one may prove that

$$\|\Phi_\eta - \Phi_0\|_{L^\infty(G_\eta^w)} = O(\eta),$$

where Φ_0 is the unique solution of (1).

Note that the link between Φ_η and an arbitrary solution u_η of (26) is

$$(28) \quad \begin{cases} u_\eta \wedge \frac{\partial u_\eta}{\partial x_1} = -\frac{\partial \Phi_\eta}{\partial x_2} & \text{in } G_\eta^w \\ u_\eta \wedge \frac{\partial u_\eta}{\partial x_2} = \frac{\partial \Phi_\eta}{\partial x_1} & \text{in } G_\eta^w \end{cases}$$

From now on the proof follows the same lines as of Theorem I.7 in [BBH4]. □

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to Prof. H. Brezis for his encouragements during the preparation of this work.

REFERENCES

- [BBH1] F. BETHUEL, H. BREZIS and F. HÉLEIN, Limite singulière pour la minimisation des fonctionnelles du type Ginzburg-Landau, *C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris*, Vol. **314**, 1992, pp. 891-895.
- [BBH2] F. BETHUEL, H. BREZIS and F. HÉLEIN, Tourbillons de Ginzburg-Landau et énergie renormalisée, *C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris*, Vol. **317**, 1993, pp. 165-171.

- [BBH3] F. BETHUEL, H. BREZIS and F. HÉLEIN, Asymptotics for the minimization of a Ginzburg-Landau functional, *Calculus of Variations and PDE*, Vol. **1**, 1993, pp. 123-148.
- [BBH4] F. BETHUEL, H. BREZIS and F. HÉLEIN, *Ginzburg-Landau Vortices*, Birkhäuser, 1994.
- [LR] C. LEFTER and V. RĂDULESCU, On the Ginzburg-Landau energy with weight, *C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris*, Vol. **319**, 1994, pp. 843-848.
- [S] M. STRUWE, Une estimation asymptotique pour le modèle de Ginzburg-Landau, *C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris*, Vol. **317**, 1993, pp. 677-680.

*(Manuscript received June 10, 1994;
revised version received July 11, 1994.)*