

ANNALES DE L'I. H. P., SECTION B

CLAUDE MARTINI

On the marginal laws of one-dimensional stochastic integrals with uniformly elliptic integrand

Annales de l'I. H. P., section B, tome 36, n° 1 (2000), p. 35-43

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIHPB_2000__36_1_35_0

© Gauthier-Villars, 2000, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales de l'I. H. P., section B » (<http://www.elsevier.com/locate/anihpb>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

On the marginal laws of one-dimensional stochastic integrals with uniformly elliptic integrand

by

Claude MARTINI¹

INRIA, Projet Mathfi, Domaine de Voluceau, Rocquencourt, BP105,
78153 Le Chesnay Cedex, France

Article received on 23 March 1998, revised 9 March 1999

ABSTRACT. – We show that the law of

$$\int_0^t \sigma_u dB_u,$$

where B is a standard Brownian motion, σ a progressive process such that

$$0 < \underline{\sigma} < \sigma_u < \bar{\sigma} < \infty \quad du dP\text{-a.s.}$$

for two real numbers $(\underline{\sigma}, \bar{\sigma})$, and $t > 0$, doesn't weight points. © 2000 Éditions scientifiques et médicales Elsevier SAS

Key words: Absolute continuity, marginal law, stochastic integral

RÉSUMÉ. – On montre que la loi de

$$\int_0^t \sigma_u dB_u$$

¹I thank an anonymous referee for enlightening comments which lead to a crude simplification of a previous version. E-mail: Claude.Martini@inria.fr.

où B est un mouvement Brownien standard, σ un processus progressif tel que

$$0 < \underline{\sigma} < \sigma_u < \bar{\sigma} < \infty \quad du dP\text{-p.s.}$$

pour deux réels $(\underline{\sigma}, \bar{\sigma})$, et $t > 0$, ne charge pas les points. © 2000 Éditions scientifiques et médicales Elsevier SAS

1. INTRODUCTION

Let us consider on some P -complete filtered probability space $(\Omega, F, (F)_{u \geq 0}, P)$ the stochastic integral

$$M_t = \int_0^t \sigma_u dB_u,$$

where B is a one-dimensional standard P - $(F)_{u \geq 0}$ Brownian motion and σ is a $(F)_{u \geq 0}$ -progressive process such that

$$0 < \underline{\sigma} < \sigma_u < \bar{\sigma} < \infty \quad du dP\text{-a.s.} \quad (1)$$

for two real numbers $(\underline{\sigma}, \bar{\sigma})$, and $t > 0$.

Let A be a Lebesgue null set. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \underline{\sigma}^2 \int_0^T 1_A(M_u) du \leq \int_0^T 1_A(M_u) d\langle M \rangle_u \\ &= \int 1_A(a) L_T^a(M) da = 0 \quad P \text{ a.s.,} \end{aligned}$$

where $L_T^a(M)$ denotes the local time of M . This entails

$$\int_0^T P(M_u \in A) du = 0,$$

which says that the set of time indexes u at which the law of M_u gives a weight to a given Lebesgue null set A is not a big one, at least of zero measure with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $[0, T]$.

Thus the following question is very natural: for every $t > 0$, is the law of M_t absolutely continuous? This is a much stronger statement: in

the reasoning above it could happen that for a fixed u the law of M_u weights A . In fact such a phenomenon does happen for some processes σ : Fabes and Kenig² in [2] have designed a uniformly continuous function $\sigma : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow [1, 2]$ such that the law of the solution of the s.d.e.

$$X_0 = 0, \quad dX_u = \sigma(u, X_u) dB_u$$

is singular at time T , such yielding a negative answer to the issue of absolute continuity.

The purpose of this paper is to show the following:

THEOREM 1.1. – *For every $t > 0$, the law of M_t doesn't weight points.*

First of all let us remark that because of assumption (1), σ is a progressive process with respect to the natural filtration of M and B a standard Brownian motion with respect to the same filtration. Therefore we may “normalize” the situation by considering the image law of M on the canonical space $(C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}), \mathcal{B}(C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R})), (G_u)_{u \geq 0})$ where $(G_u)_{u \geq 0}$ is the coordinate filtration. Let still denote by P the image law of M . Then under P the canonical process $(\omega_u)_{u \geq 0}$ is a $(G_u)_{u \geq 0}$ -martingale such that for some $(G_u)_{u \geq 0}$ -progressive process σ and some $(G_u)_{u \geq 0}$ -standard Brownian motion B

$$\omega = \int_0^\cdot \sigma_u dB_u.$$

Let us fix $(\underline{\sigma}, \bar{\sigma})$ and denote by $\mathcal{P}(\underline{\sigma}^2, \bar{\sigma}^2)$ the set of probability laws on

$$(C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}), \mathcal{B}(C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R})), (G_u)_{u \geq 0})$$

for which the canonical process may be written this way, or equivalently (cf. [3, Chapter 5]) for which the canonical process is a martingale with bracket almost surely equivalent to du satisfying:

$$0 < \underline{\sigma}^2 < \frac{d\langle \omega \rangle_u}{du} < \bar{\sigma}^2 < \infty \quad du \, dP\text{-a.s.}$$

We take a stochastic control-looking route. We shall work with the symmetrized laws of $\mathcal{P}(\underline{\sigma}^2, \bar{\sigma}^2)$: consider for any P in $\mathcal{P}(\underline{\sigma}^2, \bar{\sigma}^2)$ the

² I thank Yuyin Hu for this reference.

law \widehat{P} which is the image law of the process

$$u \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\omega_u - \omega'_u)$$

defined on the product of the canonical spaces. Notice that thanks to the $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ factor, $\widehat{P} \in \mathcal{P}(\underline{\sigma}^2, \overline{\sigma}^2)$. Set for a function f bounded and Borel

$$\widehat{C}(f)(t, x) = \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}(\underline{\sigma}^2, \overline{\sigma}^2)} E^{\widehat{P}}[f(x + \omega_t)].$$

If a law P gives some weight to a point in \mathbb{R} , then \widehat{P} weights $\{0\}$ so that we must prove for any $t > 0$ $\widehat{C}(1_{\{0\}})(t, 0) = 0$.

Observe that by Brownian scaling, for every t, x ,

$$\widehat{C}(f)(t, x) = \widehat{C}(f)\left(1, \frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}\right),$$

in particular for $x = 0$

$$\widehat{C}(1_{\{0\}})(t, 0) = \widehat{C}(1_{\{0\}})(1, 0). \quad (2)$$

We proceed as follows: in the next section we show that if f satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{for every } x, \quad f(x) \leq f(0), \\ &\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

then $\sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}(\underline{\sigma}^2, \overline{\sigma}^2)} E^P[f(\omega_t)]$ (with no hat) for big enough t is smaller than $\lambda^* f(0)$ with $\lambda^* < 1$. This relies on a rough upper bound for $\sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}(\underline{\sigma}^2, \overline{\sigma}^2)} E^P[f(\omega_t)]$ obtained from the Dambins–Dubins–Schwarz representation theorem.

The second ingredient is to show that the function $x \mapsto \widehat{C}(1_{\{0\}})(1, x)$ satisfies (3). The third ingredient (Section 3) is the following superharmonic type property of $\widehat{C}(1_{\{0\}})$:

$$\widehat{C}(1_{\{0\}})(t, 0) \leq \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}(\underline{\sigma}^2, \overline{\sigma}^2)} E^P[\widehat{C}(1_{\{0\}})(1, \omega_t)].$$

The result then readily follows from the scaling property (2).

Throughout the paper f stands for a bounded Borel function.

2. A ROUGH UPPER BOUND

By the Dambins–Dubins–Schwarz theorem

$$M_t = \int_0^t \sigma_u dB_u = \beta_{\int_0^t \sigma_u^2 du}$$

for some Brownian motion β . Note that $\int_0^t \sigma_u^2 du$ is a stopping time T_t of the filtration G with respect to which β is a Brownian motion with

$$\underline{\sigma}^2 t \leq T_t = \int_0^t \sigma_u^2 du \leq \bar{\sigma}^2 t \quad \text{a.s.}$$

One can wonder if this property of range of T_t is enough to grant that the law of β_{T_t} does not weight points. Of course it is not: take for instance the crossing time of a fixed level by β between times $\underline{\sigma}^2 t$ and $\bar{\sigma}^2 t$. Clearly the stopping times T_t are very particular stopping times: they satisfy for instance the property that $\bar{\sigma}^2 t - T_t = \int_0^t (\bar{\sigma}^2 - \sigma_u^2) du$ is also a stopping time of the same filtration.

Nevertheless, we derive in this section a control of $E^P[f(\beta_T)]$ where T ranges over all stopping times between times $\underline{\sigma}^2 t$ and $\bar{\sigma}^2 t$, with a few assumptions on f , which gives in particular a contraction property for big times (Corollary 2.3).

PROPOSITION 2.1. – *The following holds:*

$$\sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}(\underline{\sigma}^2, \bar{\sigma}^2)} E^P[f(x + \omega_t)] \leq \sup_G \sup_{\tau \in T(G, \underline{\sigma}^2 t, \bar{\sigma}^2 t)} E[f(x + \beta_\tau)],$$

where $T(G, \underline{\sigma}^2 t, \bar{\sigma}^2 t)$ is the set of the G -stopping times with values almost surely in $[\underline{\sigma}^2 t, \bar{\sigma}^2 t]$

It is easy to give a more explicit bound for this upper bound:

PROPOSITION 2.2. – *Let $-\infty < a \leq b < \infty$, $M \geq 0$, $\varepsilon \geq 0$. Assume $|f| \leq M1_{[a,b]} + \varepsilon 1_{[a,b]^c}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_G \sup_{\tau \in T(G, \underline{\sigma}^2 t, \bar{\sigma}^2 t)} |E[f(\beta_\tau)]| &\leq \varepsilon + MP(\beta_{\underline{\sigma}^2 t} \in [a, b]) \\ &\quad + 2MP(\beta_{\underline{\sigma}^2 t} < a < \beta_{\bar{\sigma}^2 t}) \\ &\quad + 2MP(\beta_{\bar{\sigma}^2 t} < b < \beta_{\underline{\sigma}^2 t}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. – We have

$$\sup_{\tau \in T(G, \underline{\sigma}^2 t, \bar{\sigma}^2 t)} |E[f(\beta_\tau)]| \leq M \sup_{\tau \in T(G, \underline{\sigma}^2 t, \bar{\sigma}^2 t)} E[\mathbf{1}_{[a,b]}(\beta_\tau)] + \varepsilon.$$

Observe now that

$$\sup_{\tau \in T(G, \underline{\sigma}^2 t, \bar{\sigma}^2 t)} E[\mathbf{1}_{[a,b]}(\beta_\tau)] = E[\mathbf{1}(T_{[a,b]} \leq \bar{\sigma}^2 t)] = P(T_{[a,b]} \leq \bar{\sigma}^2 t),$$

where $T_{[a,b]} = \inf\{u \geq \underline{\sigma}^2 t, \beta_u \in [a, b]\}$. Now by the reflection principle

$$P(T_{[a,b]} \leq \bar{\sigma}^2 t) \leq P(\beta_{\underline{\sigma}^2 t} \in [a, b]) \\ + 2P(\beta_{\underline{\sigma}^2 t} < a < \beta_{\bar{\sigma}^2 t}) + 2P(\beta_{\bar{\sigma}^2 t} < b < \beta_{\underline{\sigma}^2 t})$$

whence the result. \square

As an application:

COROLLARY 2.3. – Assume $0 \leq f \leq f(0)$ and $f(x) \xrightarrow{|x| \rightarrow \infty} 0$. Then

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup_G \sup_{\tau \in T(G, \underline{\sigma}^2 t, \bar{\sigma}^2 t)} |E[f(\beta_\tau)]| \right) \leq \lambda^* f(0),$$

where

$$\lambda^* = 1 - \frac{2}{\pi} \arctan \left(\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{\bar{\sigma}^2 - \underline{\sigma}^2}} \right).$$

In particular $\lambda^* < 1$.

Proof. – By setting $a = b = 0$ in the proposition the result is clear with $2P(\beta_{\underline{\sigma}^2 t} < 0 < \beta_{\bar{\sigma}^2 t}) + 2P(\beta_{\bar{\sigma}^2 t} < 0 < \beta_{\underline{\sigma}^2 t})$ for the value of λ^* . Now

$$P(\beta_{\underline{\sigma}^2 t} < 0 < \beta_{\bar{\sigma}^2 t}) = P(\beta_{\underline{\sigma}^2 t} < 0, -\beta_{\underline{\sigma}^2 t} < \beta_{\bar{\sigma}^2 t} - \beta_{\underline{\sigma}^2 t}) \\ = P\left(X < 0, -\underline{\sigma}\sqrt{t}X < \sqrt{\bar{\sigma}^2 t - \underline{\sigma}^2 t}Y\right),$$

whence

$$\frac{\lambda^*}{2} = P\left(X < 0, -\underline{\sigma}\sqrt{t}X < \sqrt{\bar{\sigma}^2 t - \underline{\sigma}^2 t}Y\right) \\ + P\left(X > 0, -\underline{\sigma}\sqrt{t}X > \sqrt{\bar{\sigma}^2 t - \underline{\sigma}^2 t}Y\right) \\ = P\left(\frac{Y}{X} < -\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{\bar{\sigma}^2 - \underline{\sigma}^2}}\right),$$

where X and Y are two independant standard gaussian variables. Then $\frac{X}{Y}$ is Cauchy, whence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\lambda^*}{2} &= \int_{-\infty}^{-\sigma/\sqrt{\bar{\sigma}^2-\underline{\sigma}^2}} \frac{dx}{\pi(1+x^2)} \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \left[\frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan\left(\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{\bar{\sigma}^2-\underline{\sigma}^2}}\right) \right]. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

We also get from the proposition, by replacing $f(\cdot)$ by $f(x + \cdot)$:

LEMMA 2.4. – *If $f(x) \xrightarrow{|x| \rightarrow \infty} 0$ then for every t*

$$\sup_G \sup_{\tau \in T(G, \underline{\sigma}^2 t, \bar{\sigma}^2 t)} |E[f(x + \beta_\tau)]| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty.$$

3. SUPERHARMONIC-TYPE PROPERTY OF $\widehat{C}(1_{\{0\}})$

In fact we prove in this section the announced property for any f .

Notice first:

LEMMA 3.1. – *For every $f, t > 0$, the function $x \mapsto \widehat{C}(f)(t, x)$ is Borel.*

Proof. – Take first a (bounded) Lipschitz f with Lipschitz constant k . Then for any P in $\mathcal{P}(\underline{\sigma}^2, \bar{\sigma}^2)$

$$|E^{\widehat{P}}[f(x + \omega_t)] - E^{\widehat{P}}[f(x + \varepsilon + \omega_t)]| \leq k\varepsilon,$$

which entails

$$|\widehat{C}(f)(t, x) - \widehat{C}(f)(t, x + \varepsilon)| \leq k\varepsilon$$

so that the function $\widehat{C}(f)$ is Lipschitz, therefore Borel. The result follows by a monotone class argument, more precisely by the version given in Theorem 21, Chapter 2 of [1] of the monotone class theorem. \square

LEMMA 3.2. – *For any $f, x, s > 0, t > 0$*

$$\widehat{C}(f)(t + s, x) \leq \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}(\underline{\sigma}^2, \bar{\sigma}^2)} E^P[\widehat{C}(f)(t, x + \omega_s)].$$

Proof. – For any P in $\mathcal{P}(\underline{\sigma}^2, \bar{\sigma}^2)$, with transparent notations

$$\begin{aligned} E^{\widehat{P}}[f(x + \omega_{t+s})] &= E^{P \otimes P}[f(x + \omega'_{t+s} - \omega''_{t+s})] \\ &= E^{P \otimes P}[E^{P \otimes P}[f(x + (\omega'_s - \omega''_s) + (\omega'_{t+s} - \omega''_{t+s}) \\ &\quad - (\omega'_s - \omega''_s)) \mid F'_s \otimes F''_s]]. \end{aligned}$$

Observe now that the conditional law with respect to $F'_s \otimes F''_s$ is the product of the conditional laws, therefore it is a symmetrized law of $\mathcal{P}(\underline{\sigma}^2, \bar{\sigma}^2)$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} E^{P \otimes P}[f(x + (\omega'_s - \omega''_s) + (\omega'_{t+s} - \omega''_{t+s}) - (\omega'_s - \omega''_s)) \mid F'_s \otimes F''_s] \\ \leq \widehat{C}(f)(t, x + (\omega'_s - \omega''_s)) P \otimes P \text{ a.s.} \end{aligned}$$

Whence

$$E^{\widehat{P}}[f(x + \omega_{t+s})] \leq E^{\widehat{P}}[\widehat{C}(f)(t, x + \omega_s)]$$

so that

$$E^{\widehat{P}}[f(x + \omega_{t+s})] \leq \sup_{\mathcal{P}(\underline{\sigma}^2, \bar{\sigma}^2)} E^P[\widehat{C}(f)(t, x + \omega_s)].$$

The result follows.

4. CONCLUSION

In order to apply Corollary 2.3 to the function $\widehat{C}(1_{\{0\}})$ we need the following property:

LEMMA 4.1. – For every $t > 0$, $\widehat{C}(1_{\{0\}})(t, x) \leq \widehat{C}(1_{\{0\}})(t, 0)$.

Proof. – Indeed the result is true if $\widehat{C}(1_{\{0\}})(t, x) = 0$. If not, take P such that P weights some points, let I be the (denumerable) set of such points. Then

$$\widehat{P}(x) = \sum_{y \in I / y-x \in I} P(y-x)P(y)$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} 2\widehat{P}(x) &= 2 \sum_{y \in I / y-x \in I} P(y-x)P(y) \\ &\leq \sum_{y \in I / y-x \in I} P^2(y) + \sum_{y-x \in I / y \in I} P^2(y-x) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \sum_{y \in I} P^2(y) + \sum_{z \in I} P^2(z) \\ &= 2\widehat{P}(0). \end{aligned}$$

The result follows. \square

In fact, the above property of the set of symmetrized laws explains why we work with these instead of $\mathcal{P}(\underline{\sigma}^2, \overline{\sigma}^2)$: we were not able to prove the corresponding a priori inequality for $\mathcal{P}(\underline{\sigma}^2, \overline{\sigma}^2)$.

It is easy to conclude now: by Lemmas 2.4 and 4.1 we can apply Corollary 2.3 to the function $x \mapsto C(1_{\{0\}})(1, x)$. Next Lemma 3.2 altogether with the scaling property (2) yield

$$C(1_{\{0\}})(1, 0) = \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} C(1_{\{0\}})(t, 0) \leq \lambda^* C(1_{\{0\}})(1, 0),$$

whence $C(1_{\{0\}})(1, 0) = 0$ since $\lambda^* < 1$.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. DELLACHERIE and P.A. MEYER, *Probabilités et Potentiel*, Vol. 1, Hermann, 1975.
- [2] E.B. FABES and C.E. KENIG, Examples of singular parabolic measures and singular transition probability densities, *Duke Math. J.* 48 (4) (1981)
- [3] D. REVUZ and M. YOR, *Continuous Martingales and Brownian Motion*, Springer, Berlin, 1991.