

ANNALES DE L'I. H. P., SECTION B

BERNARD DE MEYER

The maximal variation of a bounded martingale and the central limit theorem

Annales de l'I. H. P., section B, tome 34, n° 1 (1998), p. 49-59

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIHPB_1998__34_1_49_0

© Gauthier-Villars, 1998, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales de l'I. H. P., section B » (<http://www.elsevier.com/locate/anihpb>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

The maximal variation of a bounded martingale and the central limit theorem

by

Bernard DE MEYER⁰

C.O.R.E., Université Catholique de Louvain,
34, Voie du Roman Pays, B-1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium.
E-mail : DeMeyer@core.ucl.ac.be

ABSTRACT. – Mertens and Zamir's paper [3] is concerned with the asymptotic behavior of the maximal L^1 -variation $\xi_n^1(p)$ of a $[0, 1]$ -valued martingale of length n starting at p . They prove the convergence of $\xi_n^1(p)/\sqrt{n}$ to the normal density evaluated at its p -quantile.

This paper generalizes this result to the conditional L^q -variation for $q \in [1, 2)$.

The appearance of the normal density remained unexplained in Mertens and Zamir's proof: it appeared as the solution of a differential equation. Our proof however justifies this normal density as a consequence of a generalization of the central limit theorem discussed in the second part of this paper. © Elsevier, Paris

RÉSUMÉ. – L'article [3] de Mertens et Zamir s'intéresse au comportement asymptotique de la variation maximale $\xi_n^1(p)$ au sens L^1 d'une martingale de longueur n issue de p et à valeurs dans $[0, 1]$. Ils démontrent que $\xi_n^1(p)/\sqrt{n}$ converge vers la densité normale évaluée à son p -quantile.

Ce résultat est ici étendu à la variation L^q - conditionnelle pour $q \in [1, 2)$.

L'apparition de la loi normale reste inexpliquée au terme de la démonstration de Mertens et Zamir : elle y apparaît en tant que solution d'une équation différentielle. Notre preuve justifie l'occurrence de la densité

1996 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 60 G 42, 60 F 05; Secondary 90 D 20.

⁰ I gratefully acknowledge comments from Professors S. Sorin and J.-F. Mertens. This paper has been written during a stay at the Laboratoire d'Econométrie de l'Ecole Polytechnique at Paris. I am thankful to the members of the "Laboratoire" for their hospitality.

normale comme une conséquence d'une généralisation du Théorème Central Limite présentée dans la deuxième partie de l'article. © Elsevier, Paris

1. ON THE MAXIMAL VARIATION OF A MARTINGALE

Let $\mathcal{M}_n(p)$ denote the set of all $[0, 1]$ -valued martingales X of length n : $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ with $E[X_1] = p$. For a martingale X in $\mathcal{M}_n(p)$, we will refer to the quantity $V_n^q(X)$:

$$V_n^q(X) := E \left[\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (E[|X_{k+1} - X_k|^q | X_1, \dots, X_k])^{\frac{1}{q}} \right]$$

as the conditional L^q -variation of X . In case $q = 1$, $V_n^1(X)$ turns out to be equal to the classical L^1 -variation of X : $\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|X_{k+1} - X_k\|_{L^1}$.

Let us still define $\xi_n^q(p)$ as:

$$\xi_n^q(p) := \sup\{V_n^q(X) | X \in \mathcal{M}_n(p)\}.$$

With these notations, the main result of this section is:

THEOREM 1. – *For q in $[1, 2)$, the limit of $\frac{\xi_n^q(p)}{\sqrt{n}}$, as n increases to ∞ , is*

$$\Phi(p) := \exp(-x_p^2/2)/\sqrt{2\pi},$$

where x_p is such that $p = \int_{-\infty}^{x_p} \exp(-s^2/2)/\sqrt{2\pi} ds$. (i.e. $\Phi(p)$ is the normal density evaluated at its p -quantile.)

Mertens and Zamir proved this result in [3] for the particular case $q = 1$ and they applied it to repeated game theory in [2]. The heuristic underlying their proof is based on a recursive formula for ξ_n^1 that could be written formally as $\xi_{n+1}^1/\sqrt{n+1} = T_n(\xi_n^1/\sqrt{n})$, where T_n is the corresponding recurrence operator. If the sequence ξ_n^1/\sqrt{n} were to converge to a limit Φ , we would have $T_n(\Phi) \approx \Phi$. By interpreting heuristically the last relation as $T_n(\Phi) - \Phi = O(n^{-3/2})$, they are led to a differential equation whose solution is the normal density evaluated at its p -quantile. In fact, their proof contains no probabilistic justification of this appearance of the normal density. Our argument is of a completely different nature and this normal density appears as a consequence of the generalization of the central limit theorem presented in the next section.

Proof of Theorem 1. – Let us first observe that $V_n^q(X)$ just depends on the joint distribution of the random vector X_1, \dots, X_n .

Let then (u_1, \dots, u_n) be a system of independent random variables uniformly distributed on $[0, 1]$ and let $\mathcal{G} := \{\mathcal{G}_k\}_{k=1}^n$ be the filtration generated by (u_1, \dots, u_n) : $\mathcal{G}_k := \sigma\{u_1, \dots, u_k\}$.

It is well known that if F_1 denotes the distribution function of X_1 , then $X'_1 := F_1^{inv}(u_1)$ has the same distribution as X_1 , where $F_1^{inv}(u) := \inf\{x | F_1(x) \geq u\}$. Applying this argument recursively on the distribution of X_{k+1} conditional on (X_1, \dots, X_k) , we obtain a \mathcal{G} -adapted martingale X' inducing on \mathbb{R}^n the same distribution as X , and thus $V_n^q(X) = V_n^q(X')$. As a consequence,

$$\xi_n^q(p) = \sup\{V_n^q(X) | X \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathcal{G}, p)\},$$

where $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathcal{G}, p)$ denotes the set of \mathcal{G} -adapted martingales in $\mathcal{M}_n(p)$.

It follows from the above construction of X' that, for $k = 0, \dots, n - 1$, X'_{k+1} is measurable with respect to $\sigma\{X'_1, \dots, X'_k, u_{k+1}\}$. Thus,

$$E[|X'_{k+1} - X'_k|^q | \mathcal{G}_k] = E[|X'_{k+1} - X'_k|^q | X'_1, \dots, X'_k].$$

This last relation implies then that $V_n^q(X) = V_n^q(X') = \tilde{V}_n^q(X')$, where $\tilde{V}_n^q(X')$ denotes the L^q -variation conditional on \mathcal{G} of the \mathcal{G} -adapted martingale X' :

$$\tilde{V}_n^q(X') := E \left[\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (E[|X'_{k+1} - X'_k|^q | \mathcal{G}_k])^{\frac{1}{q}} \right].$$

We then infer that $\xi_n^q(p) \leq \sup\{\tilde{V}_n^q(X) | X \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathcal{G}, p)\}$. On the other hand, since $\sigma\{X_1, \dots, X_k\}$ is included in \mathcal{G}_k , it follows from Jensen's inequality that $\tilde{V}_n^q(X) \leq V_n^q(X)$, and we may conclude that

$$\xi_n^q(p) = \sup\{\tilde{V}_n^q(X) | X \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathcal{G}, p)\}.$$

We now will prove that the term

$$E[(E[|X_{k+1} - X_k|^q | \mathcal{G}_k])^{\frac{1}{q}}]$$

in the definition of $\tilde{V}_n^q(X)$ can be replaced with

$$\sup\{E[(X_{k+1} - X_k)Y_{k+1}] | Y_{k+1} \in \mathcal{B}_{k+1}\},$$

where \mathcal{B}_{k+1} denotes the set of \mathcal{G}_{k+1} -measurable random variables Y_{k+1} such that $E[|Y_{k+1}|^{q'} | \mathcal{G}_k]$ is a.s. less than 1, with q' fulfilling $1/q + 1/q' = 1$. (In

the particular case $q = 1$, we define \mathcal{B}_{k+1} as the set of $[-1, 1]$ -valued \mathcal{G}_{k+1} -measurable random variables.). Indeed, a conditional version of Holder's inequality indicates that

$$E[(X_{k+1} - X_k)Y_{k+1}|\mathcal{G}_k] \leq (E[|X_{k+1} - X_k|^q|\mathcal{G}_k])^{\frac{1}{q}}(E[Y_{k+1}^{q'}|\mathcal{G}_k])^{\frac{1}{q'}}.$$

Thus, for $Y_{k+1} \in \mathcal{B}_{k+1}$, we have

$$E[(X_{k+1} - X_k)Y_{k+1}] \leq E[(E[|X_{k+1} - X_k|^q|\mathcal{G}_k])^{\frac{1}{q}}].$$

Since the equality is satisfied in the last relation for

$$Y_{k+1} = \text{sgn}(X_{k+1} - X_k)|X_{k+1} - X_k|^{\frac{q}{q'}}/E[|X_{k+1} - X_k|^q|\mathcal{G}_k]^{\frac{1}{q'}} \in \mathcal{B}_{k+1},$$

we then conclude as announced that

$$E[(E[|X_{k+1} - X_k|^q|\mathcal{G}_k])^{\frac{1}{q}}] = \sup\{E[(X_{k+1} - X_k)Y_{k+1}]|Y_{k+1} \in \mathcal{B}_{k+1}\}.$$

As a next step, let us remark that, since X is a martingale, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E[(X_{k+1} - X_k)Y_{k+1}] &= E[(X_{k+1} - X_k)(Y_{k+1} - E[Y_{k+1}|\mathcal{G}_k])] \\ &= E[X_{k+1}(Y_{k+1} - E[Y_{k+1}|\mathcal{G}_k])] \\ &= E[X_n(Y_{k+1} - E[Y_{k+1}|\mathcal{G}_k])] \end{aligned}$$

We obtain therefore:

$$\tilde{V}_n^q(X) = \sup\left\{E\left[X_n \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (Y_{k+1} - E[Y_{k+1}|\mathcal{G}_k])\right] | Y_2 \in \mathcal{B}_2, \dots, Y_n \in \mathcal{B}_n\right\}.$$

This expression of $\tilde{V}_n^q(X)$ just depends on the final value X_n of the martingale X . Furthermore, if, for a σ -algebra \mathcal{A} , $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{A}, p)$ denotes the class of $[0, 1]$ -valued \mathcal{A} -measurable random variables R with $E[R] = p$, any R in $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{G}_n, p)$ is the value X_n at time n of a martingale X in $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathcal{G}, p)$. We then conclude that

$$(1) \quad \xi_n^q(p) = \sup\left\{E\left[R \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (Y_{k+1} - E[Y_{k+1}|\mathcal{G}_k])\right] | R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{G}_n, p), Y_2 \in \mathcal{B}_2, \dots, Y_n \in \mathcal{B}_n\right\}.$$

By hypothesis we have $q < 2$. This implies $q' > 2$. Therefore $E[Y_{k+1}^2|\mathcal{G}_k] \leq 1$ since $Y_k \in \mathcal{B}_k$. Hence, the terms $(Y_{k+1} - E[Y_{k+1}|\mathcal{G}_k])$

appearing in the last formula have a conditional variance bounded by 1. The process S defined as $S_m := \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} (Y_{k+1} - E[Y_{k+1}|\mathcal{G}_k])$ belongs therefore to the class $\mathcal{S}_n^{q'}([0, 1], 2)$ of the martingales S of length n starting at 0 and whose increments $S_{k+1} - S_k$ have a conditional variance $E[(S_{k+1} - S_k)^2|\mathcal{G}_k]$ a.s. valued in the interval $[0, 1]$ and a conditional q' -order moment bounded by $2^{q'}$.

So, we infer that

$$\frac{\xi_n^q(p)}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \sup_{S \in \mathcal{S}_n^{q'}([0,1],2)} \mu_p \left(\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}} \right),$$

where

$$\mu_p \left(\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}} \right) := \sup_{R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{G}_n, p)} E \left[R \frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}} \right].$$

Obviously the quantity $\mu_p(\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}})$ just depends on the distribution of S_n/\sqrt{n} and not on the σ -algebra on which this random variable is defined.

According to Theorem 3, there exists a κ such that for all S in $\mathcal{S}_n^{q'}([0, 1], 2)$ we can claim the existence of a Brownian Motion β on a filtration \mathcal{F} , of a $[0,1]$ -valued stopping time τ and of a \mathcal{F}_∞ -measurable random variable Y such that Y has the same distribution as S_n/\sqrt{n} and $\|Y - \beta_\tau\|_{L^2} \leq 2\kappa n^{\frac{1}{q'\wedge 4} - \frac{1}{2}}$.

We then conclude that

$$\mu_p \left(\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}} \right) = \sup_{R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}_\infty, p)} E[R \cdot Y] \leq \sup_{R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}_\infty, p)} E[R \cdot \beta_\tau] + 2\kappa n^{\frac{1}{q'\wedge 4} - \frac{1}{2}}.$$

Due to the inequality $\tau \leq 1$, it follows that:

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}_\infty, p)} E[R \cdot \beta_\tau] &= \sup_{R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}_\infty, p)} E[E[R|\mathcal{F}_\tau] \cdot \beta_\tau] \\ &= \sup_{R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}_\tau, p)} E[R \cdot \beta_\tau] \\ &= \sup_{R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}_\tau, p)} E[R \cdot \beta_1] \\ &\leq \sup_{R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}_1, p)} E[R \cdot \beta_1]. \end{aligned}$$

We will now explicitly compute $\sup_{R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}_1, p)} E[R \cdot \beta_1]$: if \mathcal{H} denotes $\sigma\{\beta_1\}$, then

$$\sup_{R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}_1, p)} E[R \cdot \beta_1] = \sup_{R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}_1, p)} E[E[R|\mathcal{H}] \cdot \beta_1] = \sup_{R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{H}, p)} E[R \cdot \beta_1].$$

Since this optimization problem consists of maximizing a linear functional on the convex set $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{H}, p)$, we may restrict our attention to the the extreme

points of $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{H}, p)$, which are clearly the $\{0, 1\}$ -valued random variables R in $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{H}, p)$ since the normal density has no atoms. Now, in order to maximize $E[R \cdot \beta_1]$, the random variable $R(\beta_1)$ has to map the highest values of β_1 to 1, and the lowest values to 0, i.e. $R(\beta_1) = \mathbb{1}_{\beta_1 \geq v}$, where v is a constant such that $p = E[\mathbb{1}_{\beta_1 \geq v}] = \int_v^\infty e^{(-s^2/2)} / \sqrt{2\pi} ds$.

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}_1, p)} E[R \cdot \beta_1] &= E[\mathbb{1}_{\beta_1 \geq v} \beta_1] \\ &= \int_v^\infty s e^{(-s^2/2)} / \sqrt{2\pi} ds \\ &= e^{(-v^2/2)} / \sqrt{2\pi}. \end{aligned}$$

Observing that $v = -x_p$, we get

$$\sup_{R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}_1, p)} E[R \cdot \beta_1] = \Phi(p),$$

and the following inequality is proved:

$$\frac{\xi_n^q(p)}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \Phi(p) + 2\kappa n^{\frac{1}{q'} \wedge 4} - \frac{1}{2}.$$

To get the reverse inequality, let us come back to equation (1). Obviously, if Y_k is a system of independent random variables adapted to \mathcal{G} , with $Y_k = +1$ or -1 each with probability $1/2$, we get $Y_k \in \mathcal{B}_k$ and we infer that

$$\frac{\xi_n^q(p)}{\sqrt{n}} \geq \mu_p \left(\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}} \right),$$

where $S_m := \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} Y_{k+1}$. Since $(S_{k+1} - S_k)^2 = 1$, S belongs to $\mathcal{S}_n^4([1, 1], 2)$. According to Theorem 3, there exist a Brownian motion β on a filtration \mathcal{F} and a \mathcal{F}_∞ -measurable random variable Y distributed as S_n / \sqrt{n} , with the property $\|Y - \beta_1\|_{L^2} \leq 2\kappa n^{-\frac{1}{4}}$. We then infer that

$$\mu_p \left(\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \geq \sup_{R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}_1, p)} E[R \cdot \beta_1] - 2\kappa n^{-\frac{1}{4}} = \Phi(p) - 2\kappa n^{-\frac{1}{4}},$$

as we wanted to prove. \square

To continue this analysis of the maximal variation of a bounded martingale, let us prove the following result:

THEOREM 2. – For $q > 2$ and for $0 < p < 1$, $\xi_n^q(p) / \sqrt{n}$ tends to ∞ as n increases.

Proof. – For fixed n let $X^n = (X_1^n, \dots, X_n^n)$ denotes the martingale starting from p defined by the following transitions: $X_k^n = X_{k+1}^n$ conditionally on $X_k^n \in \{0, 1\}$, and conditionally on $X_k^n = p$, X_{k+1}^n takes the value 0, p and 1 with respective probability $(1-p)/n$, $1-n^{-1}$ and p/n .

An easy computation indicates that

$$V_n^q(X^n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (1-n^{-1})^{k-1} n^{-\frac{1}{q}} \lambda(p) = (1 - (1-n^{-1})^n) n^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \lambda(p),$$

with $\lambda(p) := (p(1-p)^q + (1-p)p^q)^{\frac{1}{q}} > 0$. Since $(1-n^{-1})^n$ converges to e^{-1} as n tends to ∞ , we conclude that $V_n^q(X^n) = O(n^{1-\frac{1}{q}})$, and thus $V_n^q(X^n)/\sqrt{n}$ tends to ∞ as far as $\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q} > 0$ i.e. $q > 2$. \square

So the only unexplored case is the asymptotic behavior of $\xi_n^2(p)/\sqrt{n}$. The argument used above to prove Theorem 1 fails to work here. However, it can be proved that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi_n^2(p)/\sqrt{n} = \Phi(p)$: the argument of Mertens and Zamir’s paper can be adapted to this case.

2. A GENERALIZATION OF THE CENTRAL LIMIT THEOREM

The central limit theorem deals with the limit distributions of S_n/\sqrt{n} , where S_n is the sum of n i.i.d. random variables. The next result dispenses with the i.i.d. hypothesis: It identifies the class of all possible limit distributions of X_n/\sqrt{n} , where X_n is the terminal value of a discrete time martingale X whose n increments $X_{k+1} - X_k$ have a conditional variance in a given interval $[A, B]$ and a conditional q -order moment uniformly bounded for a $q > 2$, as the weak closure of the set of distributions of a Brownian motion stopped at a $[A, B]$ -valued stopping time. The classical central limit theorem, when stated for i.i.d. random variables with bounded q -order moment, appears then as a particular case of this result when $A = B$.

To be more formal, let $\mathcal{S}_n^q([A, B], C)$ denote the set of n -stages martingales S such that for all k , both relations hold:

$$A \leq E[|S_{k+1} - S_k|^2 | S_1, \dots, S_k] \leq B,$$

and

$$E[|S_{k+1} - S_k|^q | S_1, \dots, S_k] \leq C^q.$$

THEOREM 3. – *There exists a universal constant κ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, for all $q > 2$, for all $A, B, C \in \mathbb{R}$ with $0 \leq A \leq B \leq C$ and for all $X \in \mathcal{S}_n^q([A, B], C)$, there exist a filtration \mathcal{F} , an \mathcal{F} -Brownian motion β , an*

$[A, B]$ -valued stopping time τ on \mathcal{F} and a \mathcal{F}_∞ -measurable random variable Y whose marginal distribution coincides with that of X_n/\sqrt{n} and such that

$$E[(Y - \beta_\tau)^2] \leq \kappa^2 C^2 n^{\frac{2}{q\wedge 4} - 1}$$

To prove this result, we will need the following Lemma which is obvious in case $p = 2$:

LEMMA 4. – For $p \in [1, 2]$, for all discrete martingale X with $X_0 = 0$, we have:

$$E[|X_n|^p] \leq 2^{2-p} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} E[|X_{k+1} - X_k|^p].$$

*Proof*¹.

By a recursive argument, this follows from the relation:

$$E[|x + Y|^p] \leq |x|^p + 2^{2-p} E[|Y|^p],$$

that holds for all x in \mathbb{R} whenever Y is a centered random variable: Indeed,

$$|x + Y|^p - |x|^p = Y \int_0^1 p|x + sY|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn}(x + sY) ds$$

Thus, since $E[Y] = 0$, we get

$$E[|x + Y|^p] - |x|^p = E \left[Y \int_0^1 p(|x + sY|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn}(x + sY) - |x|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn}(x)) ds \right]$$

A straightforward computation indicates that, for $1 \leq p \leq 2$ and a fixed a , the function $g(x) := ||x + a|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn}(x + a) - |x|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn}(x)|$ reaches its maximum at $x = -a/2$, implying $g(x) \leq 2^{2-p} |a|^{p-1}$.

So, $E[|x + Y|^p] - |x|^p \leq E \left[|Y| \int_0^1 2^{2-p} p |sY|^{p-1} ds \right] = 2^{2-p} E[|Y|^p]$, as announced. \square

Proof of Theorem 3. – Let W be a standard 1-dimensional Brownian motion starting at 0 at time 0 and let \mathcal{H}_s denote the completion of the

¹ As suggested by an anonymous referee, we could obtain a similar inequality for $p > 1$, as a consequence of Burkholder's square function inequality for discrete martingales, since $p/2 < 1$. The constant factor 2^{2-p} should then be replaced by C_p^p , where C_p denotes Burkholder's universal constant. However, as stated in Theorem 3.2 of Burkholder's paper [1], the optimal choice of this constant C_p is $O(p\sqrt{q})$, where $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$ and is thus unbounded as p decreases to 1. This would completely alterate the nature of the bound of Theorem 3 above.

σ -algebra generated by $\{W_t, t \leq s\}$. The filtration $\mathcal{G} := \{\mathcal{G}_k\}_{k=1}^n$ defined as $\mathcal{G}_k = \mathcal{H}_{\frac{k}{n}}$ is rich enough to insure the existence of an adapted system (u_1, \dots, u_n) of independent random variables uniformly distributed on $[0, 1]$.

Let then X be in $S_n^q([A, B], C)$. As we saw in the previous section, it is possible to create a \mathcal{G} -adapted martingale Z inducing on \mathbb{R}^n the same distribution as X , with the property $E[Z_{k+1} - Z_k | \mathcal{G}_k] = E[Z_{k+1} - Z_k | Z_1, \dots, Z_k]$.

In turn, Z_k is the value at time k/n of the process $S_t := E[Z_n | \mathcal{H}_t]$. As a particular property of the Brownian filtration \mathcal{H} , any such martingale can be represented as the Itô-integral $S_t = \int_0^t R_s dW_s$ of a progressively measurable process R with $E[\int_0^1 R_s^2 ds] \leq \infty$ (see Proposition (3.2), Chapter V in [4]).

Let us now define the process $r_t := R_t/\sqrt{n}$, if $t \leq 1$ and $r_t := 1$ if $t > 1$, let $\phi(t)$ denote $\phi(t) := B$ if $t \leq 1$ and $\phi(t) := A$ otherwise. Let us define the stopping times

$$\theta := \inf \left\{ t \mid \int_0^t r_s^2 ds \geq \phi(t) \right\}$$

and

$$T_u := \inf \left\{ t \mid \int_0^t r_s^2 ds > u \right\}.$$

Let finally ρ_t be $\int_0^t r_s dW_s$.

With these definitions, our proof is as follows: On one hand, $Y := \rho_1$ is equal to S_1/\sqrt{n} and has thus the same distribution as X_n/\sqrt{n} . According to Dambis Dubins Schwarz's Theorem (see Theorem 1.6, Chapter V in [4]), the process $\beta_u := \rho_{T_u}$ is a Brownian motion with respect to the filtration $\{\mathcal{H}_{T_u}\}_{u \geq 0}$ and for all t , the random variable $U_t := \int_0^t r_s^2 ds$ is a stopping time on this filtration. In particular, $Y = \beta_{U_1}$ is \mathcal{H}_{T_∞} -measurable.

On the other hand, $\tau := U_\theta$ is a stopping time on $\{\mathcal{H}_{T_u}\}_{u \geq 0}$. Indeed, for all u , $\{\tau \leq u\} = \{\theta \leq T_u\} \in \mathcal{H}_{T_u}$, according to 4.16, chapter I in [4]. Due to the definition of θ , τ is $[A, B]$ -valued and it remains for us to prove that $\|Y - \beta_\tau\|_{L^2} = \|\rho_1 - \rho_\theta\|_{L^2}$ is bounded.

Now $\|\rho_1 - \rho_\theta\|_{L^2}^2 = E[\int_{\theta \wedge 1}^{\theta \vee 1} r_s^2 ds] = E[\int_{\theta \wedge 1}^1 r_s^2 ds] + E[\int_1^{\theta \vee 1} r_s^2 ds]$. According to the definition of θ , on $\{\theta > 1\}$, we have $\int_0^\theta r_s^2 ds = A$ and thus $\int_1^{\theta \vee 1} r_s^2 ds = A - \int_0^1 r_s^2 ds$. Since the event $\{\theta > 1\}$ is just equal to $\{\int_0^1 r_s^2 ds < A\}$, we conclude that $E[\int_1^{\theta \vee 1} r_s^2 ds] = E[(A - \int_0^1 r_s^2 ds)^+]$.

Similarly, on $\{\theta < 1\}$, $\int_0^\theta r_s^2 ds = B$ and $\int_\theta^1 r_s^2 ds = \int_0^1 r_s^2 ds - B$. Furthermore, on $\{\theta = 1\}$, $\int_0^1 r_s^2 ds \leq B$. Hence, $E[\int_{\theta \wedge 1}^1 r_s^2 ds] = E[(\int_0^1 r_s^2 ds - B)^+]$.

All together, we find $\|\rho_1 - \rho_\theta\|_{L^2}^2 = E[\|\int_0^1 r_s^2 ds - V\|]$, where

$$V := \left(B \wedge \left(A \vee \int_0^1 r_s^2 ds \right) \right)$$

is the “truncation” to the interval $[A, B]$ of the random variable $\int_0^1 r_s^2 ds$.

Obviously, among the $[A, B]$ -valued random variables, V is the best L^1 -approximation of $\int_0^1 r_s^2 ds$.

Taking into account the condition $E[(X_{k+1} - X_k)^2 | X_1, \dots, X_k] \in [A, B]$ we have $\hat{\zeta}_k := E[\zeta_k | \mathcal{H}_{\frac{k}{n}}] \in [A, B]$, where $\zeta_k := \int_{\frac{k}{n}}^{\frac{k+1}{n}} R_s^2 ds$. Therefore, $V' := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \hat{\zeta}_k/n$ is also an $[A, B]$ -valued random variable and we may conclude:

$$E \left[\left\| \int_0^1 r_s^2 ds - V \right\| \right] \leq E \left[\left\| \int_0^1 r_s^2 ds - V' \right\| \right] = \frac{1}{n} \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (\zeta_k - \hat{\zeta}_k) \right\|_{L^1}.$$

Finally, the conditional q -order moment condition

$$E[|X_{k+1} - X_k|^q | X_1, \dots, X_k] \leq C^q$$

implies $E[|X_{k+1} - X_k|^{\tilde{q}} | X_1, \dots, X_k] \leq C^{\tilde{q}}$, where $\tilde{q} = 4 \wedge q$. As a joint consequence of Burkholder Davis Gundy’s inequality and Doob’s one, this condition becomes

$$E[\zeta_k^{\frac{\tilde{q}}{2}} | \mathcal{H}_{\frac{k}{n}}] \leq (1/c_{\tilde{q}}) E \left[\sup_{t \in [\frac{k}{n}, \frac{k+1}{n}]} \{|S_t - S_{\frac{k}{n}}|^{\tilde{q}}\} | \mathcal{H}_{\frac{k}{n}} \right] \leq \left(\frac{\tilde{q}}{\tilde{q} - 1} \right)^{\tilde{q}} C^{\tilde{q}}/c_{\tilde{q}},$$

where $c_{\tilde{q}}$ is the Burkholder Davis Gundy universal constant (see theorem (4.1), Chapter IV in [4]). Since, by hypothesis, $q > 2$, we have $\tilde{q}/2 \in [1, 2]$ and we may apply Lemma 4 to conclude that

$$\left\| \sum_0^{n-1} (\zeta_k - \hat{\zeta}_k) \right\|_{L^{\tilde{q}/2}}^{\tilde{q}/2} \leq \left(\frac{\tilde{q}}{\tilde{q} - 1} \right)^{\tilde{q}} \frac{2^{2-\tilde{q}/2}}{c_{\tilde{q}}} C^{\tilde{q}} n,$$

and thus:

$$\|Y - \beta_\tau\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \frac{1}{n} \left\| \sum_0^{n-1} (\zeta_k - \hat{\zeta}_k) \right\|_{L^1} \leq \left(\frac{\tilde{q}}{\tilde{q} - 1} \right)^2 \frac{2^{4/\tilde{q}-1}}{c_{\tilde{q}}^{2/\tilde{q}}} C^2 n^{2/\tilde{q}-1}.$$

This terminates the proof of Theorem 2 since, for $\tilde{q} \in [2, 4]$, the constant $c_{\tilde{q}}$ is bounded away from 0. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] D. L. BURKHOLDER, Distribution function inequalities for martingales, *The Annals of Probability*, Vol. 1, 1973, pp. 19-42.
- [2] J.-F. MERTENS and S. ZAMIR, The normal distribution and Repeated games, *International Journal of Game Theory*, Vol. 5, 1976, pp. 187-197.
- [3] J.-F. MERTENS and S. ZAMIR, The maximal variation of a bounded martingale, *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, Vol. 27, 1977, pp. 252-276.
- [4] D. REVUZ and M. YOR, *Continuous Martingales and Brownian Motion*, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1990.

*(Manuscript received June 19, 1996;
Revised April 8, 1997.)*