

ANNALES DE L'I. H. P., SECTION B

CHRISTOPH KOPF

Negative nonsingular transformations

Annales de l'I. H. P., section B, tome 18, n° 1 (1982), p. 81-102

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIHPB_1982__18_1_81_0

© Gauthier-Villars, 1982, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales de l'I. H. P., section B » (<http://www.elsevier.com/locate/anihpb>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

Negative nonsingular transformations

by

Christoph KOPF

Institut für Mathematik, Innrain 52, A-6020 Innsbruck

SUMMARY. — By a negative nonsingular transformation T on a finite measure space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ we mean a mapping T of Ω into itself, such that T is measurable and $\mu(T^{-1}A) = 0$ if $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\mu(A) = 0$. The space Ω is decomposed into several subspaces and the action of T on these subspaces is studied. V. A. Rohlin's tower theorem is established for negative nonsingular transformations. Using Rohlin's theorem it is shown, that for every subset S of natural numbers there exist countable S -generators for aperiodic, negative nonsingular transformations. Furthermore if T is bimeasurable, negative nonsingular and if there exists no nonzero, finite and T -invariant measure absolutely continuous with respect to μ then for every subset S of natural numbers with positive density the sets $B \in \mathcal{A}$, such that the system $\{T^{-s}B; s \in S\}$ generates $\mathcal{A} \bmod \mu$, are dense in $\mathcal{A}$. As a consequence there exist two-set S -generators for T .

PRELIMINARIES

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ be a finite measure space and let T denote a measurable transformation from Ω into Ω . T is not assumed to be invertible or measure preserving unless otherwise stated. T is called negative (positive) nonsingular if $\mu(A) = 0$ implies (is implied by) $\mu(T^{-1}A) = 0$ for every measurable subset A of Ω . A transformation T is said to be nonsingular if T is negative and positive nonsingular. A measure ν on $\mathcal{A}$ is called absolutely continuous with respect to μ , written by $\nu \ll \mu$, if $\mu(A) = 0$ implies $\nu(A) = 0$ for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$ or, equivalently, if for every real number $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a real number $\delta > 0$ such that $\mu(A) < \delta$ implies $\nu(A) < \varepsilon$ for every

$A \in \mathcal{A}$. By the equivalence of two measures ν and μ on $\mathcal{A}$ we mean $\nu \ll \mu$ and $\mu \ll \nu$. $T^n(\mu)$ denotes the measure on $\mathcal{A}$ defined by $T^n(\mu)(A) := \mu(T^{-n}A)$ for every $n \geq 0$. The transformation T is negative nonsingular iff $T^n(\mu) \ll \mu$ for every $n \geq 0$. Set relations are assumed to hold modulo μ . A measurable subset A of Ω is called wandering if $T^{-i}A \cap T^{-j}A = \emptyset$ for $i, j \geq 0$, $i \neq j$. A measurable set A is called weakly wandering if there exists a sequence $(n_k)_{k \geq 1}$ of natural numbers $n_k \geq 0$ such that $T^{-n_k}A \cap T^{-n_l}A = \emptyset$ for $k, l \geq 1$, $k \neq l$. We write $\hat{A}$ for $\bigcup_{k \geq 0} T^{-k}A$. A measurable set A is called a sweep out set for Ω if $\hat{A} = \Omega$. The set $A \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be invariant if $T^{-1}A \supset A$. If A is invariant, then by the transformation T_A on $(A, \mathcal{A}_A, \mu_A)$ we understand the restriction of T on the measure space $(A, \mathcal{A} \cap A, \mu|_{\mathcal{A} \cap A})$.

DECOMPOSITION OF Ω

The following decomposition of Ω will be basic for our further considerations.

THEOREM 1. — Let T be a measurable, negative nonsingular transformation on $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$.

Then there exists a unique decomposition of Ω into measurable, invariant and disjoint subsets Ω_1 and Ω_2 (i. e. $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \in \mathcal{A}$, $\Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2 = \emptyset$, $\Omega_1 + \Omega_2 = \Omega$, $T^{-1}\Omega_i = \Omega_i$ for $i = 1, 2$) with the following properties:

i) For every decreasing sequence $(\varepsilon_i)_{i \geq 1} \searrow 0$ of real numbers $\varepsilon_i > 0$ there exists a decreasing sequence $(A_{\varepsilon_i})_{i \geq 1} \searrow \emptyset$ of measurable sets A_{ε_i} such that $\mu(A_{\varepsilon_i}) < \varepsilon_i$, A_{ε_i} is invariant and A_{ε_i} is a sweep out set for Ω_1 for all $i \geq 1$. Ω_1 is called the purely dissipative part of $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ and T is called purely dissipative on Ω_1 .

ii) There exists an unique measurable subset C of Ω , called the conservative part of Ω , such that C is invariant, C is a sweep out set for Ω_2 and there is no T -wandering subset W of C of positive measure.

Proof. — Exhaust Ω by a sequence $A_1, A_2, \dots$ of wandering sets such that $\Omega \setminus \bigcup_{i \geq 1} A_i$ does not contain any wandering set of positive measure.

For $C := \Omega \setminus \bigcup_{i \geq 1} \hat{A}_i$ we have $T^{-1}C \supset C$. Let $\Omega_2 := \hat{C}$ and

$$\Omega_1 := \complement \Omega_2 = \bigcup_{i \geq 1} (\hat{A}_i \setminus \Omega_2) = \bigcup_{k \geq 1} B_k$$

for a sequence $(B_k)_{k \geq 1}$ of wandering sets. Now

$$W_k := (B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \dots \cup B_k) \setminus \bigcup_{i \geq 1} T^{-i}(B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_k)$$

is a wandering set for every $k \geq 1$ and the increasing sequence $\hat{W}_1 \subset \hat{W}_2 \subset \dots$ converges to Ω_1 . Define $A_{\varepsilon_1} := \Omega_1 \setminus \hat{W}_{n_1}$ if $\mu(\Omega_1 \setminus \hat{W}_{n_1}) < \varepsilon_1$ and define $A_{\varepsilon_i} := \Omega_1 \setminus \hat{W}_{n_i}$ if $\mu(\Omega_1 \setminus \hat{W}_{n_i}) < \varepsilon_i$ and if $n_i \geq n_{i-1}$ for $i > 1$. $\square$

Note that the decomposition $\Omega = \Omega_1 + \Omega_2$ depends only on the equivalence class of μ . The restrictions T_{Ω_1} and $T_{A_{\varepsilon_i}}$ ($i \geq 1$) are dissipative or compressible. The restriction T_C is conservative, i. e. there exists no T_C -wandering subset of C of positive measure. Therefore the negative nonsingularity of T_C implies the positive nonsingularity of T_C . For every measurable

subset A of C we have $A \subset \bigcup_{i \geq 1} T_C^{-i}A$ because $A \setminus \bigcup_{i \geq 1} T_C^{-i}A$ is a T_C -wandering subset of C . Furthermore $\mu_C(T_C^{-1}A) = 0$ implies $\mu_C(T_C^{-i}A) = 0$ for all $i > 1$ because T_C is negative nonsingular and we obtain $\mu_C(A) = 0$ i. e. T_C is positive nonsingular.

Using T^n instead of T we get an analogous decomposition of Ω with the same conservative part C as in the theorem above because every power of a conservative transformation is itself a conservative transformation. Thus T_C satisfies the strong recurrence theorem. For every $A \in \mathcal{A}_C$ with $\mu(A) > 0$ we have $\bigcap_{k \geq 0} \bigcup_{i \geq k} T_C^{-i}A \supset A$.

We shall need the following special case of a result of J. Neveu [12], which may be proved by a exhaustion argument.

THEOREM 2. — Let T be a negative nonsingular transformation on $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$. Then there exists a unique decomposition of the conservative part C into measurable subsets I and $C \setminus I$ such that I is the largest subset of C with the following property

- (1) I is invariant and T_I admits a finite invariant measure ν equivalent to μ_I .

From (1) and since T_C is conservative it follows that $\Omega_2 = \hat{C}$ is the disjoint union of the two invariant measurable subsets $\hat{I}$ and $\widehat{C \setminus I}$.

In the following theorem we establish the existence of eventually weakly wandering sequences on $\Omega \setminus \hat{I}$, which were introduced in [5] and which turned out to be important for the existence of subset generators of size two for nonsingular invertible transformations, see [4]. By means of the

following theorem it is shown in Theorem 9 and in Theorem 10 that for bimeasurable, negative nonsingular, non-invertible transformations there exist generators and subset generators of size two on $\Omega \setminus \hat{I}$.

DEFINITION. — A sequence $(s_j)_{j \geq 1}$ of natural numbers is called an eventually weakly wandering sequence (e. w. w. s.) for T if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a natural number $j(\varepsilon)$ and a measurable set E_ε such that $\mu(\mathbb{C}E_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$ and E_ε is a weakly wandering set under the sequence $(s_j)_{j \geq j(\varepsilon)}$ i. e.

$$T^{-s_j}E_\varepsilon \cap T^{-s_i}E_\varepsilon = \emptyset \quad \text{for} \quad j > i \geq j(\varepsilon).$$

A set S of natural numbers is said to have positive density if

$$\limsup_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} 1_S(k) > 0.$$

THEOREM 3. — Let T denote a measurable, negative nonsingular transformation on $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$.

The following conditions are equivalent:

i) T admits no nontrivial finite invariant measure ν absolutely continuous with respect to μ .

ii) There is no measurable invariant subset A of Ω such that the transformation T_A admits a nontrivial finite invariant measure ν absolutely continuous with respect to μ_A .

iii) For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a measurable subset B of Ω with $\mu(\mathbb{C}B) < \varepsilon$ and $\lim_n \left(\sup_{j \geq 0} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=j}^{j+n-1} \mu(T^{-k}B) \right) = 0$.

iv) Every set S of natural numbers with positive density contains an e. w. w. s. for T .

v) For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a measurable subset B of Ω with $\mu(\mathbb{C}B) < \varepsilon$ and $\inf_n \mu(T^{-n}B) = 0$.

vi) For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a weakly wandering set W with $\mu(\mathbb{C}W) < \varepsilon$.

vii) For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a measurable subset B of Ω with $\mu(\mathbb{C}B) < \varepsilon$ and $B \cap T^{-k}B = \emptyset$ for infinitely many $k \geq 1$.

For nonsingular invertible transformations some of these equivalences are shown in [8].

Proof. — i) $\Rightarrow$ ii): Assume there is a measurable subset A of Ω with $T^{-1}A \supset A$ such that T_A admits a nontrivial finite invariant measure ν

absolutely continuous with respect to μ_A . Then the measure ρ on $\mathcal{A}$, defined by $\rho(B) := \nu(B \cap A)$ for $B \in \mathcal{A}$, is a nontrivial finite T -invariant measure absolutely continuous with respect to μ .

ii) $\Rightarrow$ iii): This implication follows from [12], Theorem 2.

iii) $\Rightarrow$ iv): As shown in Theorem 1 we decompose Ω into measurable sets $\Omega_1 = \mathcal{C}\hat{C}$ and $\Omega_2 = \hat{C}$ with the following properties. There is a measurable set C with $T^{-1}C \supset C$ and $\hat{C} = \bigcup_{k \geq 0} T^{-k}C$ such that C contains no T -wandering measurable set of positive measure and for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a measurable set D with $\mu(D) < \varepsilon$, $T^{-1}D \supset D$ and $\mathcal{C}\hat{C} = \bigcup_{k \geq 0} T^{-k}D$.

Note that $\limsup_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} 1_S(k) > 0$ and $\lim_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mu_C(T_C^{-k}B) = 0$ imply

$\inf_{s \in S} \mu_C(T_C^{-s}B) = 0$ for every measurable subset B of C .

Condition iii) now guarantees the existence of a sequence $(B_j)_{j \geq 1}$ of measurable subsets B_j of C such that $\mu(B_j) > \mu(C) - \frac{1}{2^j}$ and $\inf_{s \in S} \mu_C(T_C^{-s}B_j) = 0$ for all $j \geq 1$.

We construct a decreasing sequence $(\varepsilon_j)_{j \geq 1}$ of positive numbers ε_j and an increasing sequence $(s_j)_{j \geq 1}$ of natural numbers $s_j \in S$. Let $\varepsilon_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ and

let s_1 be an arbitrary number in S with $s_1 \geq 1$. Since T is negative nonsingular there exists a positive number $\varepsilon_2 < \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}$ such that $\mu(B) < \varepsilon_2$ implies

$\sum_{k=1}^{s_1} \mu(T^{-k}B) < \frac{1}{2^2}$ for every measurable set B . Furthermore since

$\inf_{s \in S} \mu_C(T_C^{-s}B_2) = 0$ and since T_C is positive nonsingular there exists a natural

number $s_2 \in S$, $s_2 > 2s_1$ with $\mu(T^{-s_2}C) > \mu(\hat{C}) - \frac{1}{2^2}$ and $\sum_{l=1}^{2s_1} \mu_C(T_C^{-s_2+l}B_2) < \varepsilon_2$.

Assume decreasing positive numbers ε_i and increasing natural numbers $s_i \in S$ have been chosen for $1 \leq i \leq j-1$ such that

$$1) \quad 0 < \varepsilon_i < \frac{\varepsilon_{i-1}}{2}$$

$$2) \quad \mu(B) < \varepsilon_i \quad \text{implies} \quad \sum_{k=1}^{s_{i-1}} \mu(T^{-k}B) < \frac{1}{2^i}$$

- 3) $s_i > 2s_{i-1}$, $s_i \in S$
- 4) $\mu(T^{-s_i}C) > \mu(\hat{C}) - \frac{1}{2^i}$
- 5) $\sum_{l=1}^{2s_{i-1}} \mu_C(T_C^{-s_i+l}B_i) < \varepsilon_i$ for $1 < i \leq j-1$.

Since T is negative nonsingular there exists a positive number $\varepsilon_j < \frac{\varepsilon_{j-1}}{2}$ such that $\mu(B) < \varepsilon_j$ implies $\sum_{k=1}^{s_{j-1}} \mu(T^{-k}B) < \frac{1}{2^j}$. Since $\inf_{s \in S} \mu_C(T_C^{-s}B_j) = 0$ and since T_C is positive nonsingular there exists a natural number $s_j \in S$, $s_j > 2s_{j-1}$ such that $\mu(T^{-s_j}C) > \mu(\hat{C}) - \frac{1}{2^j}$ and $\sum_{l=1}^{2s_{j-1}} \mu_C(T_C^{-s_j+l}B_j) < \varepsilon_j$.

Therefore the statements 1)-5) hold for $i = j$ and by induction for all $i > 1$.

For $A_j = B_j \setminus \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j} T_C^{-s_j+s_i}B_j$ ($j > 1$) we conclude $\mu(A_j) > \mu(C) - 2\frac{1}{2^j}$ and $A_j \cap T^{-s_j+s_i}A_j = \emptyset$ ($1 \leq i < j$). Assume $\varepsilon > 0$. We choose a measurable subset D of $\mathfrak{C}\hat{C}$ with $\mu(D) < \frac{\varepsilon}{6}$, $T^{-1}D \supset D$ and $\mathfrak{C}\hat{C} = \bigcup_{k \geq 0} T^{-k}D$. Let $j(\varepsilon)$ denote a natural number such that $\frac{1}{2^{j(\varepsilon)}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{6}$ and

$$\mu(T^{-s_{j(\varepsilon)}}D) > \mu(\mathfrak{C}\hat{C}) - \frac{\varepsilon}{6}.$$

We define

$$\begin{aligned} F_\varepsilon &= \bigcap_{j > j(\varepsilon)} A_j \\ G_\varepsilon &= T^{-s_{j(\varepsilon)}}C \setminus \left(\bigcup_{j > j(\varepsilon)} \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{s_{j-1}} T^{-k} \left(\bigcup_{l=1}^{2s_{j-1}} T_C^{-s_j+l}B_j \right) \right) \right) \setminus C \\ H_\varepsilon &= T^{-s_{j(\varepsilon)}}D \setminus D. \end{aligned}$$

Then we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(F_\varepsilon) &> \mu(C) - 2\frac{1}{2^{j(\varepsilon)}} > \mu(C) - \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \\ \mu(G_\varepsilon) &> \mu(\hat{C} \setminus C) - 2\frac{1}{2^{j(\varepsilon)}} > \mu(\hat{C} \setminus C) - \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \\ \mu(H_\varepsilon) &> \mu(\mathfrak{C}\hat{C}) - \frac{\varepsilon}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the measurable set $E_\varepsilon = F_\varepsilon + G_\varepsilon + H_\varepsilon$ satisfies $\mu(\mathbf{C}E_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$.

Finally we will show that the sets $T^{-s_j}E_\varepsilon$ ($j \geq j(\varepsilon)$) are pairwise disjoint. Assume $j > i \geq j(\varepsilon)$. The condition 3) implies $T^{-s_i}H_\varepsilon \cap T^{-s_j}H_\varepsilon = \emptyset$ and $T^{-s_i}G_\varepsilon \cap T^{-s_j}G_\varepsilon = \emptyset$. From $T^{-s_i}A_j \cap T^{-s_j}A_j = \emptyset$ it follows that $T^{-s_i}F_\varepsilon \cap T^{-s_j}F_\varepsilon = \emptyset$. To show that $G_\varepsilon \cap T^{-s_j+s_i}F_\varepsilon = \emptyset$ we assume $x \in (T^{-s_j(\varepsilon)}C \setminus C) \cap T^{-s_j+s_i}F_\varepsilon$. Then there exists a natural number n with $1 \leq n \leq s_{j(\varepsilon)} \leq s_{j-1}$ such that $T^{n-1}x \notin C$, $T^n x \in C$, $T^{n+(s_j-s_i-n)}x \in F_\varepsilon \subset B_j$ and $T^n x \in T_C^{-s_j+s_i+n}B_j$. It follows that $x \in T^{-n}(T_C^{-s_j+s_i+n}B_j)$ and $x \notin G_\varepsilon$. Therefore $T^{-s_i}G_\varepsilon \cap T^{-s_j}F_\varepsilon = \emptyset$ and E_ε is a weakly wandering set under the sequence $(s_j)_{j \geq j(\varepsilon)}$.

It is easy to see that on $\mathbf{C}\hat{C}$ every infinite set of natural numbers contains an e. w. w. s. for T .

$iv) \Rightarrow v)$ is obvious.

$v) \Rightarrow vi)$: See e. g. [6].

$vi) \Rightarrow vii)$ is obvious.

$vii) \Rightarrow v)$: Assume $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $(B_i)_{i \geq 1}$ be a sequence of measurable subsets of Ω and let $(k_i)_{i \geq 1}$ denote an increasing sequence of natural numbers such that

$\mu(\mathbf{C}B_i) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^i}$ and $B_i \cap T^{-k_i}B_i = \emptyset$ for all $i \geq 1$. For the intersection $B = \bigcap_{i \geq 1} B_i$

we get $\mu(\mathbf{C}B) \leq \sum_{i \geq 1} \mu(\mathbf{C}B_i) < \varepsilon$. Since $T^{-k_i}B_i \subset \mathbf{C}B_i$ it follows that $\mu(T^{-k_i}B) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^i}$

for $i \geq 1$ and therefore $\inf_n \mu(T^{-n}B) = 0$.

$v) \Rightarrow i)$ is obvious. $\square$

From Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 we obtain the following

COROLLARY. — For each of the following properties there exists a sequence $(A_i)_{i \geq 1}$ of measurable sets such that $\Omega \setminus \hat{I} = \bigcup_{i \geq 1} A_i$ and the sets A_i possess one of the following properties:

$$i) \quad \lim_n \inf \mu(T^{-n}A_i) = 0 \quad \text{for all } i \geq 1$$

$$ii) \quad \lim_n \inf \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mu(T^{-j}A_i) = 0 \quad \text{for all } i \geq 1$$

$$iii) \quad \lim_n \sup \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mu(T^{-j}A_i) = 0 \quad \text{for all } i \geq 1$$

$$iv) \quad \lim_n \left(\sup_{j \geq 1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=j}^{j+n-1} \mu(T^{-k}A_i) \right) = 0 \quad \text{for all } i \geq 1$$

$$v) \quad A_i \text{ is weakly wandering} \quad \text{for all } i \geq 1$$

DEFINITION. — Let T denote a negative nonsingular transformation on $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$. T is called aperiodic iff

- (2) for every $n \geq 1$ and every $A \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\mu(A) > 0$ there exists a measurable subset B of A such that $\mu(B \setminus T^{-n}B) > 0$.

This definition of aperiodicity is used in [7] under the additional assumption of positive nonsingularity. If T is invertible and negative nonsingular then condition (2) is equivalent to

- (3) for every $n \geq 1$ and every $A \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\mu(A) > 0$ there exists a measurable subset B of A such that $\mu(B \triangle T^{-n}B) > 0$.

To obtain (2) from (3) we fix a number $n \geq 1$ and a set $A \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\mu(A) > 0$ and we chose a measurable subset B of A such that $\mu(B \triangle T^{-n}B) > 0$. If $\mu(T^{-n}B \setminus B) > 0$, then since T is negative nonsingular we get $\mu(B \setminus T^n B) > 0$, $B \setminus T^n B \subset A$ and $\mu((B \setminus T^n B) \setminus T^{-n}(B \setminus T^n B)) = \mu(B \setminus T^n B) > 0$.

Furthermore if T is an invertible nonsingular transformation and if $\mathcal{A}$ is countably generated and contains all points of Ω then (2) is equivalent to each of the following two conditions:

$$(4) \quad \mu(\{ \omega \in \Omega \mid T^n \omega = \omega \text{ for some } n \geq 1 \}) = 0$$

$$(5) \quad \mu(\{ T^m \neq \text{id}_\Omega \}) = 1 \quad \text{for all } m \geq 1$$

The difference of (2) and (3) in the case of noninvertible transformations is explained by the following

EXAMPLE. — $\Omega = \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{A} = 2^{\mathbb{N}}$, $\mu(\{ m \}) = 2^{-m}$ ($m \geq 1$) and

$$T : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} : m \mapsto \begin{cases} m-1 & m \geq 2 \\ 1 & m = 1 \end{cases}$$

After a finite number of applications of T every $m \geq 1$ arrives at 1 and remains there. Thus T is in a certain sense periodic, T does not satisfy condition (2) but T satisfies condition (3).

DEFINITION. — A negative nonsingular transformation T on $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ is called periodic on a set $A \in \mathcal{A}$ iff

- (6) there is some $n \geq 1$ such that $\mu(B \setminus T^{-n}B) = 0$ holds for every measurable subset B of A .

The smallest n satisfying condition (6) is called the period of T on A . T is said to have strict period n on A , if T is periodic with period n on every measurable subset B of A .

THEOREM 4. — Let T denote a negative nonsingular transformation on $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$. Then there is an unique measurable decomposition of I into pairwise disjoint subsets I_i ($i \geq 0$) such that I_i is invariant ($i \geq 0$) and T_{I_i} has strict period i on I_i ($i \geq 1$). For every $i \geq 1$ there exists a measurable subset B_i of I_i such that $T^{-k}B_i \cap T^{-l}B_i = \emptyset$ for $0 \leq k < l \leq i-1$ and $\bigcup_{k=0}^{i-1} T_i^{-k}B_i = I_i$. T_{Ω_0} is aperiodic where $\Omega_0 = \Omega_1 + \widehat{C \setminus I} + \hat{I}_0$. Thus also T_{I_0} , $T_{C \setminus I}$ and $T_{A_{e_i}}$ are aperiodic.

As a consequence we obtain a measurable partition of Ω into invariant and pairwise disjoint sets: $\Omega = \Omega_1 + \widehat{C \setminus I} + \hat{I}_0 + \hat{I}_1 + \dots$

Proof. — The theorem follows by an exhaustion procedure on C . The proofs of the lemmas 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3 of [7] apply almost without changes. Note that T_C is positive nonsingular on C . $\square$

APERIODICITY, ROHLIN SETS AND SWEEP OUT SETS

THEOREM 5. — Let T be a negative nonsingular transformation on $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$. The following conditions are equivalent:

- i) T is aperiodic.
- ii) For every $m > 1$ and for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\mu(A) > 0$ there exists a measurable subset B of A such that $\mu(B) > 0$ and $B, T^{-1}B, \dots, T^{-m+1}B$ are pairwise disjoint.
- iii) For every $n > 1$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a (n, ε) -Rohlin set D i. e. there exists a measurable subset D of Ω such that $D, T^{-1}D, \dots, T^{-n+1}D$ are pairwise disjoint and $\mu\left(\Omega \setminus \bigcup_{k=0}^{n-1} T^{-k}D\right) < \varepsilon$.

Proof. — In the case of invertible nonsingular transformations the implication $i) \Rightarrow iii)$ first appeared in [1], see also [8], Theorem 1.11 and [9].

i) $\Rightarrow$ ii): Let $m > 1$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\mu(A) > 0$ be fixed. According to the definition of aperiodicity there exists a subset B_1 of A such that $B_1 \setminus T^{-1}B_1 =: A_1 \subset A$ has a positive measure and $A_1 \cap T^{-1}A_1 = \emptyset$. Repeat this argument with A_1 and T^2 instead of A and T and so on, after $m - 1$ steps we get a measurable subset B of A with the desired properties.

ii) $\Rightarrow$ iii): Let $n > 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed. On Ω_1 the assertion follows at once. We choose $A_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{A}$ with $T^{-1}A_\varepsilon \supset A_\varepsilon$, $\mu(A_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$ and $\widehat{A_\varepsilon} = \Omega_1$. Then $D_1 := \bigcup_{j \geq 0} (T^{-jn-1}A_\varepsilon \setminus T^{-jn}A_\varepsilon)$ is a (n, ε) -Rohlin set on Ω_1 . Note that T is always aperiodic on Ω_1 .

Now we construct a (n, ε) -Rohlin set on $\Omega_2 = \widehat{C}$. We may assume $\mu(C) > 0$. According to condition *ii)* for a fixed number $k > \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$ we find a measurable subset B_1 of C of positive measure such that $B_1, T^{-1}B_1, \dots, T^{-kn+1}B_1$ are pairwise disjoint. We have $\mu\left(B_1 \setminus \bigcup_{i \geq 1} T^{-i}B_1\right) = 0$ since C contains no wandering subset of positive measure. Now an exhaustion procedure on C yields a measurable subset B of C such that $B, T^{-1}B, \dots, T^{-kn+1}B$ are pairwise disjoint and B is a sweep out set for Ω_2 .

For some number i , $0 \leq i < k$ we have $\mu\left(\bigcup_{j=0}^{n-1} T^{-in-j}B\right) < \varepsilon$. $\overline{B} := T^{-in}B$ is again a sweep out set for Ω_2 and $D_2 := \bigcup_{j \geq 1} \left(T^{-jn}\overline{B} \setminus \bigcup_{k=0}^{jn-1} T^{-k}\overline{B}\right)$ is a (n, ε) -Rohlin set on Ω_2 .

iii) $\Rightarrow$ i): If T is not aperiodic on Ω then there exists a number $n \geq 1$ and a measurable set A of positive measure such that $\mu(B \setminus T^{-n}B) = 0$ for all measurable subsets B of A . But for $0 < \varepsilon < \mu(A)$ and for a $(n+1, \varepsilon)$ -Rohlin set D on Ω we obtain $\mu(T^{-i}D \cap A) > 0$ for some number i , $0 \leq i \leq n$. Hence $\mu((T^{-i}D \cap A) \setminus T^{-n}(T^{-i}D \cap A)) = 0$ and consequently

$$(T^{-i}D \cap A) \cap T^{-n}(T^{-i}D \cap A) \neq \emptyset$$

which contradicts $D \cap T^{-n}D = \emptyset$. $\square$

The implication *i) $\Rightarrow$ iii)* of Theorem 5 can be strengthened in the following way:

COROLLARY. — Let T be an aperiodic, negative nonsingular transformation on $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$.

Then for every $n > 1$ and for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a measurable

subset D of Ω such that $T^{-i}D$ is a (n, ε) -Rohlin set and a sweep out set for every i , $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. Especially for every $n > 1$ and for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a sweep out set E with $\mu(E) \leq \frac{1}{n}$ which is also a (n, ε) -Rohlin set.

Proof. — Since T is negative nonsingular for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $\mu(A) < \delta$ implies $\mu(T^{-i}A) < \varepsilon$ for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. Therefore $T^{-i}D$ is a (n, ε) -Rohlin set for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ if D is a (n, δ) -Rohlin set. It remains to show that for every $n > 1$ and for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a (n, ε) -Rohlin set which is also a sweep out set for Ω . On Ω_2 this assertion follows by an exhaustion argument. On Ω_1 this assertion is trivial if T is invertible. From Hopf's decomposition we obtain that for every $n > 1$ there exists a set $E \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\mu(E) \leq \frac{1}{n}$ such that $E, T^{-1}E, \dots, T^{-n+1}E$ are pairwise disjoint and $\bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i}E = \Omega_1$. But if T is not invertible some additional considerations are necessary.

For every $\eta > 0$, for a wandering set $W \subset \Omega_1$ and for a set $A \subset \Omega_1$ it is easy to show that $\mu(\widehat{W \setminus A}) > \mu(\widehat{W}) - \eta$ if $\mu(A)$ is sufficiently small. First we define inductively a decreasing sequence $(\varepsilon_i)_{i \geq 1} \searrow 0$ of real numbers $\varepsilon_i > 0$ and we denote by $(A_{\varepsilon_i})_{i \geq 1}$ a decreasing sequence of measurable sets A_{ε_i} corresponding to ε_i according Theorem 1. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $n > 1$ be fixed. Assume $\varepsilon_1 := \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$, A_{ε_1} , ε_2 , A_{ε_2} , $\dots$, ε_i , A_{ε_i} be chosen. Let $\delta_i > 0$ such that $\mu(A) < \delta_i$ implies $\mu(\widehat{(T^{-1}A_{\varepsilon_i} \setminus A_{\varepsilon_i}) \setminus A}) > \mu(\widehat{(T^{-1}A_{\varepsilon_i} \setminus A_{\varepsilon_i})}) - \varepsilon_i$. Define $\varepsilon_{i+1} := \min \left\{ \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{i+1}}, \vartheta_{i+1} \right\}$, where $\vartheta_{i+1} > 0$ is such that $\mu(B) < \vartheta_{i+1}$ implies $\mu(T^{-n}B) < \delta_i$. Now

$$D := \bigcup_{i \geq 1} (T^{-1}A_{\varepsilon_i} \setminus (A_{\varepsilon_i} \cup T^{-n}A_{\varepsilon_{i+1}})) \cup \bigcup_{j \geq 1} (T^{-jn-1}A_{\varepsilon_1} \setminus T^{-jn}A_{\varepsilon_1})$$

is a (n, ε) -Rohlin set and a sweep out set for Ω_1 . $\square$

The invertible case of the following theorem was obtained in [3].

THEOREM 6. — Let T denote an aperiodic, negative nonsingular transformation on $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$.

Then for every finite set $\{n_1, \dots, n_r\}$ of integers with $0 \leq n_1 < \dots < n_r$ there exists a measurable subset A of Ω such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^r T^{-n_i}A = \Omega$ and

$$\mu(A) < \frac{1}{r} \sum_{k=1}^r \frac{1}{k}.$$

Proof. — Let $R := \{n_1, \dots, n_r\}$, $0 \leq n_1 < \dots < n_r \leq s$, where s is an integer such that $a := \frac{s}{r} \sum_{k=1}^r \frac{1}{k}$ is not an integer, $S := \{0, 1, \dots, s-1\}$ and $\varepsilon = a - [a] > 0$. Now choose a measurable subset D of Ω such that $T^{-i}D$ is a $\left(s, \frac{\varepsilon}{s^2}\right)$ -Rohlin set for all i , $0 \leq i \leq s-1$. By [3], Lemma 2.3 there exists a subset E of S such that $(E + R) \bmod s = S$ and $|E| \leq [a]$. Then there exists an integer $\bar{j} \in S$ such that for $\bar{E} := (\{\bar{j}\} + E) \bmod s$ $\mu\left(\bigcup_{i \in \bar{E}} T^{-i}D\right) \leq \frac{[a]}{s}$. Define $Y := \Omega \setminus \bigcup_{j=0}^{s-1} T^{-j}D$ and obtain

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{j=0}^{s-1} T^{-j}Y\right) < \frac{\varepsilon}{s}.$$

Then for $A := \bigcup_{i \in \bar{E}} T^{-i}D \cup \bigcup_{j=0}^{s-1} T^{-j}Y$ we get $\mu(A) < \frac{[a]}{s} + \frac{\varepsilon}{s} = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{k=1}^r \frac{1}{k}$ and A sweeps out on R .

First we observe that $\bigcup_{i=1}^r T^{-n_i}A = \bigcup_{i \in \bar{E} + R} T^{-i}D \cup \bigcup_{j=n_1}^{n_r+s-1} T^{-j}Y$. Now assume $\omega \in \Omega$, $\omega \notin \bigcup_{i \in \bar{E} + R} T^{-i}D$ and $\omega \notin T^{-s}Y = \Omega \setminus \bigcup_{j=s}^{2s-1} T^{-j}D$. Then there exists an integer j_0 , $s \leq j_0 \leq 2s-1$ such that $\omega \in T^{-j_0}D$. Since $(\bar{E} + R) \bmod s = S$ and $j_0 \notin \bar{E} + R$ we conclude $j_0 - s \in \bar{E} + R$, $\omega \notin T^{-j_0+s}D$, $n_1 \leq j_0 - s$ and therefore

$$\omega \in \Omega \setminus \bigcup_{j=j_0-s}^{j_0-1} T^{-j}D = T^{-j_0+s}Y \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^r T^{-n_i}A. \quad \square$$

Condition *ii*) of the following theorem is investigated in [2] for non-singular invertible transformations in a more general set-up and several equivalent formulations are given. We now show that the negative non-singular transformations satisfying condition *ii*) of Theorem 7 are exactly the aperiodic transformations and therefore are identical with the transformations which satisfy the condition *iii*) of Theorem 5.

THEOREM 7. — Let T denote a negative nonsingular transformation on the measure space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$.

The following conditions are equivalent:

- i) T is aperiodic.
 ii) For every infinite sequence $0 \leq n_1 < n_2 < \dots$ of natural numbers and for every real number $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a measurable subset A of Ω with $\mu(A) < \varepsilon$ and a natural number $r \geq 2$ such that A sweeps out under the finite sequence $n_1, \dots, n_r$, i. e. $\Omega = \bigcup_{i=1}^r T^{-n_i} A$.

Proof. — The implication i) $\Rightarrow$ ii) is a consequence of Theorem 6.

ii) $\Rightarrow$ i): It follows from Theorem 4 that for every finite measure space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ and for every negative nonsingular transformation T there exists a measurable partition $(\Omega_n)_{n \geq 0}$ of Ω with $T^{-1}\Omega_n \supset \Omega_n$ for every $n \geq 0$ such that T_{Ω_0} is aperiodic on Ω_0 , $\Omega_n = \hat{I}_n$ for a measurable invariant subset I_n of Ω and T_{I_n} has strict period n on I_n for $n \geq 1$. Furthermore T_{I_n} admits a finite invariant measure equivalent to μ_{I_n} on I_n for $n \geq 1$. Therefore, if T is not aperiodic, without loss of generality we assume $\Omega = I_n$ for a $n \geq 1$. Then for every measurable subset A of Ω we have $T^{-n}A \supset A$ and $\mu(T^{-n}A \setminus A) = 0$ since Ω contains no weakly wandering sets of positive measure.

Let $0 < \varepsilon < \mu(\Omega)$ and choose $\delta > 0$ such that $\mu(A) < \delta$ implies $\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i}A\right) < \varepsilon$. This is possible since T^i is negative nonsingular for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. Now for every measurable subset A of Ω with $\mu(A) < \delta$ and for every $r \geq 1$ we conclude $\bigcup_{i=0}^r T^{-i}A \subset \bigcup_{j=0}^{n-1} T^{-j}A \neq \Omega$, which is a contradiction to condition ii). $\square$

COUNTABLE GENERATORS AND TWO-SET GENERATORS

A finite or countable set $\xi = \{A_i; i \in I\}$ of measurable, pairwise disjoint subsets $A_i \in \mathcal{A}$ with union Ω is called a partition of Ω . Let S denote an infinite subset of $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. A partition $\xi = \{A_i; i \in I\}$ is called S -generator for T if $\mathcal{A}$ is mod μ the smallest σ -algebra containing $\{T^{-s}A_i; s \in S, i \in I\}$. A S -generator $\xi = \{A_i; i \in I\}$ is called countable or finite or a two-set generator if I is countable or finite or a two-set.

If T is a measurable, negative nonsingular transformation on $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$

admitting a countable $\mathbb{N}$ -generator then T is isomorphic to the left shift on the sequence space $\Omega' = \{(n_0, n_1, n_2, \dots); n_i \geq 1\}$, i. e. there exists a measure algebra isomorphism between $\mathcal{A}$ and the product σ -algebra on Ω' via the mapping $\varphi: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega'$, where $(\varphi(\omega))_k := i$ if $\omega \in T^{-k}A_i$ for $k \geq 0$ and $i \geq 1$. Furthermore, if T admits a countable S -generator, then the coordinate process $(X_k)_{k \geq 0}$ on Ω' is determined by the process $(X_s)_{s \in S}$, i. e. the coordinate mappings X_k are functions of $\{X_s; s \in S\}$ for every $k \geq 0$.

For an introduction and for a review of results on $\mathbb{N}$ -generators see [11]. V. A. Rohlin [13] showed that there exist countable $\mathbb{N}$ -generators for measure preserving noninvertible aperiodic transformations if and only if $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{C} \vee T^{-1}\mathcal{A}$ for a countable partition $\mathcal{C}$. G. Helmberg and F. H. Simons [7] generalised this result for nonsingular noninvertible transformations. Recently M. H. Ellis and N. A. Friedman [4] established the existence of countable subset generators for nonsingular invertible aperiodic transformations.

We now show the existence of countable subset generators for arbitrary negative nonsingular aperiodic transformations.

THEOREM 8. — Let T be a negative nonsingular aperiodic transformation on $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$. Let $\mathcal{C}$ denote a countable measurable partition of Ω satisfying $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{C} \vee T^{-1}\mathcal{A}$ and let $\mathcal{A}$ be generated mod μ by the sets A_i ($i \geq 1$).

Then for every infinite subset $S = \{n_k | k \geq 0\}$ of natural numbers $0 = n_0 < n_1 < \dots$ there exists a countable measurable partition $\mathcal{K}$ of Ω such that $\bigvee_{k \geq 0} T^{-n_k} \mathcal{K} = \mathcal{A} \bmod \mu$, i. e. T admits a countable S -generator for $\mathcal{A}$.

In Lebesgue-spaces the condition $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{C} \vee T^{-1}\mathcal{A}$ means that T is countable to one. Note that the two conditions $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{C} \vee T^{-1}\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ countable generated are necessary for the conclusion of the theorem. Furthermore if T is not aperiodic and the measure space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ is nonatomic then the conclusion of the theorem is not true.

As in [7], § 3 we need the following lemma:

LEMMA. — Let the assumptions of Theorem 8 be satisfied. Let B denote a measurable set in $\mathcal{A}$ and let $\mathcal{A}_n$ ($n \geq 0$) and $\mathcal{B}$ denote sub- σ -algebras of $\mathcal{A}$. We define

$$U(B, m) = \left\{ \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{A} \mid \mathcal{D} \text{ sub-}\sigma\text{-algebra of } \mathcal{A}, \inf_{D \in \mathcal{D}} \mu((A_i \triangle D) \cap B) < \frac{1}{m} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq m \right\} \quad (1 \leq m)$$

$\text{B}\lim_n \mathcal{A}_n = \{ A \in \mathcal{A} \mid \text{there is a sequence } (E_n)_{n \geq 0}, E_n \in \mathcal{A}_n \text{ such that } \lim_n \mu((A \triangle E_n) \cap B) = 0 \}$

$$\mathcal{B}^0 = \mathcal{B}, \quad \mathcal{B}^{n_0, n_1, \dots, n_l} = \bigvee_{i=0}^l T^{-n_i} \mathcal{B} \vee \bigvee_{j=0}^{n_l-1} T^{-j} \mathcal{C} \quad (l \geq 1)$$

(α)

The following conditions are equivalent:

i) for every $m \geq 1$ there exists a $n(m) \geq 1$ such that $\mathcal{A}_n \in U(B, m)$ for all $n \geq n(m)$,

ii) $\text{B}\lim_n \mathcal{A}_n = \mathcal{A}$,

iii) $\left(\bigcup_{i=0}^l T^{-n_i} B \right) \lim_n \mathcal{A}_n^{n_0, n_1, \dots, n_l} = \mathcal{A}$ for all $l \geq 0$.

(β)

Let $l \geq 0$ and $B \in \mathcal{A}$ be fixed. Then for every $m \geq 1$ there exists a $k \geq 1$ such that $\mathcal{D} \in U(B, k)$ implies

$$\mathcal{D}^{n_0, n_1, \dots, n_l} \in U\left(\bigcup_{i=0}^l T^{-n_i} B, m\right).$$

The proof of the lemma is similar as in [7], § 3 and is omitted.

Proof of Theorem 8. — At first, by analogous arguments as in [4], § 2, we construct a sequence $(k_l)_{l \geq 0}$ of natural numbers and a sequence $(B_l)_{l \geq 0}$ of measurable sets in $\mathcal{A}$ by repeated application of Theorem 6 in the following way.

Let $k_0 = 1$ and $B_0 = \Omega$. Since T is negative nonsingular, there exist a $\delta_1 > 0$ such that $\mu(B) < \delta_1$ implies $\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=0}^{k_0} T^{-n_i} B\right) < \frac{1}{2}$. Theorem 6

yields a natural number $k_1 > k_0$ and a measurable set B_1 such that $\mu(B_1) < \frac{1}{k_1} \sum_{k=1}^{k_1} \frac{1}{k} < \delta_1$ and $\bigcup_{i=0}^{k_1} T^{-n_i} B_1 = \Omega$. Since T is negative non-

singular there exists a $\delta_2 > 0$ such that $\mu(B) < \delta_2$ implies $\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=0}^{k_1} T^{-n_i} B\right) < \frac{1}{2^2}$.

Theorem 6 yields a natural number $k_2 > k_1$ and a measurable set B_2 such

that $\mu(B_2) < \frac{1}{k_2} \sum_{k=1}^{k_2} \frac{1}{k} < \delta_2$ and $\bigcup_{i=0}^{k_2} T^{-n_i} B_2 = \Omega$ and so on. We obtain

natural numbers k_l and measurable sets B_l ($l \geq 0$) such that $k_{l-1} < k_l$,

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=0}^{k_{l-1}} T^{-n_i} B_l\right) < \frac{1}{2^l} \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcup_{i=0}^{k_l} T^{-n_i} B_l = \Omega \quad \text{for} \quad l \geq 1.$$

We now define a sequence $(C_l)_{l \geq 0}$ of pairwise disjoint measurable sets C_l by $C_l = B_l \setminus \bigcup_{j>l} B_j$ and obtain $\sum_{l \geq 0} C_l = \Omega$ and

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=0}^{k_l} T^{-n_i} C_l\right) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=0}^{k_l} T^{-n_i} B_l \setminus \bigcup_{j>l} \bigcup_{i=0}^{k_l} T^{-n_i} B_j\right) \geq 1 - \sum_{j>l} \frac{1}{2^j} = 1 - \frac{1}{2^l}.$$

Let $\mathcal{F}$ denote the countable partition of Ω generated by the sets C_l and $B_j \cap C_l$ ($0 < j < l$) and let $\mathcal{G}$ denote the countable partition of Ω defined on C_l by $\left(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n_{k_l+1}} T^{-i} \mathcal{C}\right) \cap C_l$ for $l \geq 0$. Note that $\bigvee_{i=0}^{n_{k_1}} T^{-i} \mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{G}$ and $\left(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n_{k_l+1}} T^{-i} \mathcal{C}\right) \cap B_l \subset (\mathcal{F} \vee \mathcal{G})$ for $l > 0$.

Furthermore $\bigvee_{i=0}^{n_{k_l}} T^{-i} \mathcal{C} \subset \bigvee_{j=0}^{k_l} T^{-n_j} (\mathcal{F} \vee \mathcal{G})$ for $l \geq 0$ because for $C \in \mathcal{C}$ and for $n_{k_r} \leq i \leq n_{k_{r+1}}$ ($1 \leq r < l$) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T^{-i} C &= T^{-i} C \cap \bigcup_{j=0}^{k_r} T^{-n_j} B_r = T^{-i} C \cap \bigcup_{j=0}^{k_r} T^{-n_j} \left(C_r + \sum_{s>r} (B_r \cap C_s) \right) \\ &= \bigcup_{j=0}^{k_r} T^{-n_j} \left((T^{-(i-n_j)} C) \cap C_r + \sum_{s>r} (T^{-(i-n_j)} C) \cap B_r \cap C_s \right) \\ &\in \bigcup_{j=0}^{k_r} T^{-n_j} (\mathcal{F} \vee \mathcal{G}). \end{aligned}$$

We now construct a sequence $(m_l)_{l \geq 0}$ of natural numbers by repeated application of the Lemma. Let $m_0 > 1$ and $m_1 > 2m_0$ such that $\mathcal{D} \in U(B_1, m_1)$ implies $\mathcal{D}^{n_0, n_1, \dots, n_{k_1}} \in U\left(\bigcup_{i=0}^{k_1} T^{-n_i} B_1, m_0\right)$. Define $m_2 > 2m_1$ such that

$\mathcal{D} \in U(B_2, m_2)$ implies $\mathcal{D}^{n_0, n_1, \dots, n_{k_2}} \in U\left(\bigcup_{i=0}^{k_2} T^{-n_i} B_2, m_1\right)$ and so on. We

obtain a sequence $(m_l)_{l \geq 0}$ of natural numbers such that $2m_{l-1} < m_l$ and

$\mathcal{D} \in U(B_l, m_l)$ implies $\mathcal{D}^{n_0, n_1, \dots, n_{k_l}} \in U\left(\bigcup_{i=0}^{k_l} T^{-n_i} B_l, m_{l-1}\right)$ for $l \geq 1$.

Define a countable measurable partition $\mathcal{H}$ in Ω such that $\mathcal{H} \in U(C_l, m_{l+1})$ ($l \geq 0$). Then $\mathcal{F} \vee \mathcal{H} \in U(B_l, m_l)$ ($l \geq 0$) and from

$$\bigvee_{j=0}^{k_l} T^{-n_j}(\mathcal{F} \vee \mathcal{G} \vee \mathcal{H}) \supset (\mathcal{F} \vee \mathcal{G} \vee \mathcal{H})^{n_0, n_1, \dots, n_{k_l}}$$

it follows that

$$\bigvee_{j \geq 0} T^{-n_j}(\mathcal{F} \vee \mathcal{G} \vee \mathcal{H}) \in U(\Omega, m_{l-1}) \quad \text{for } l \geq 1$$

$$\text{and } \bigvee_{j \geq 0} T^{-n_j}(\mathcal{F} \vee \mathcal{G} \vee \mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{A} \bmod \mu. \quad \square$$

The existence of two-set $\mathbb{N}$ -generators and of two-set S -generators for invertible transformations has been settled by U. Krengel [10], L. K. Jones and U. Krengel [8] and by M. H. Ellis and N. A. Freidman [4].

Nothing is known about the existence of finite $\mathbb{N}$ -generators for non-invertible transformations, see [11], p. 473. For bimeasurable, negative nonsingular transformations without nontrivial finite invariant measure absolutely continuous with respect to μ we now show the existence of two-set $\mathbb{N}$ -generators and we give sufficient conditions for the existence of two-set S -generators.

A measurable subset A of Ω is called a set with a S -dense orbit or S -dense set for T if $\{T^{-s}A; s \in S\}$ is dense in $\mathcal{A}$. If A is S -dense for T then $\xi = \{A, \mathbb{C}A\}$ is a two-set S -generator for T .

THEOREM 9. — Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ be a finite measure space and assume $\mathcal{A}$ to be countably generated. Let T denote a measurable, negative nonsingular transformation on Ω such that $T\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}$ i. e. T maps every measurable set onto a measurable set.

Then the following conditions are equivalent:

i) The $\mathbb{N}$ -dense sets for T are dense in $\mathcal{A}$.

ii) T does not admit a nontrivial finite invariant measure absolutely continuous with respect to μ .

Proof. — $i) \Rightarrow ii)$: If the $\mathbb{N}$ -dense sets for T are dense in $\mathcal{A}$ then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a measurable subset A of Ω with $\mu(\mathbb{C}A) < \varepsilon$ such that A is a $\mathbb{N}$ -dense set and therefore $\inf_n \mu(T^{-n}A) = 0$. The assertion now follows by Theorem 3.

$ii) \Rightarrow i)$: We choose a decreasing sequence $(\varepsilon_i)_{i \geq 1}$ of real numbers $\varepsilon_i > 0$, a sequence $(B_i)_{i \geq 1}$ of measurable subsets B_i of Ω and an increasing sequence $(n_i)_{i \geq 1}$ of natural numbers n_i in the following way.

We set $\varepsilon_1 = \frac{1}{2}$. An application of Theorem 3 yields a set $B_1 \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\mu(\mathbb{C}B_1) < \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}$ and $B_1 \cap T^{-n_1}B_1 = \emptyset$ for a natural number $n_1 \geq 1$. Assume ε_k , B_k and n_k have been defined for $1 \leq k < i$ such that $0 < \varepsilon_k < \frac{\varepsilon_{k-1}}{2}$ and $\mu(A) < \varepsilon_k$ implies $\mu(T^{-n_k-1}A) < \frac{\varepsilon_{k-1}}{2}$ ($A \in \mathcal{A}$), $\mu(\mathbb{C}B_k) < \frac{\varepsilon_k}{2}$, $n_k > n_{k-1}$ and $B_k \cap T^{-n_k}B_k = \emptyset$ for $1 < k < i$.

Since T is negative nonsingular there exists a real number $\varepsilon_i > 0$ with

$$1) \quad 0 < \varepsilon_i < \frac{\varepsilon_{i-1}}{2}$$

such that

$$2) \quad \mu(A) < \varepsilon_i \quad \text{implies} \quad \mu(T^{-n_i-1}A) < \frac{\varepsilon_{i-1}}{2} \quad (A \in \mathcal{A}).$$

Theorem 3 guarantees the existence of a measurable subset B_i of Ω and of a natural number $n_i > n_{i-1}$ such that

$$3) \quad \mu(\mathbb{C}B_i) < \frac{\varepsilon_i}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad B_i \cap T^{-n_i}B_i = \emptyset.$$

By induction we obtain sequences $(\varepsilon_i)_{i \geq 1}$, $(B_i)_{i \geq 1}$ and $(n_i)_{i \geq 1}$ which satisfy 1), 2) and 3) for every $i > 1$.

From 3) we obtain $B_i \cap T^{n_i}B_i = \emptyset$ and $\mu(T^{n_i}B_i) < \frac{\varepsilon_i}{2}$ for $i \geq 1$. Furthermore from $T^{-n_i}(T^{n_i}B_i) \supset B_i$ we conclude $\mu(\mathbb{C}T^{-n_i}(T^{n_i}B_i)) < \frac{\varepsilon_i}{2}$ for $i \geq 1$.

Since

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{l>i} T^{n_l}B_l\right) < \sum_{l>i} \frac{\varepsilon_l}{2} < \varepsilon_{i+1} \quad (i \geq 1)$$

it follows from 2) that

$$4) \quad \mu\left(T^{-n_i}\left(\bigcup_{l>i} T^{n_l} B_l\right)\right) < \frac{\varepsilon_i}{2} \quad \text{for } i \geq 1.$$

Now let $(A_i)_{i \geq 1}$ denote a sequence of measurable subsets A_i of Ω such that $\{A_i; i \geq 1\}$ is dense in $\mathcal{A}$ and such that each A_i occurs infinitely often in the sequence $(A_i)_{i \geq 1}$.

We define measurable subsets D_j of Ω by

$$D_j := \sum_{i \geq j} \left(T^{n_i}(A_i \cap B_i) \setminus \bigcup_{l>i} T^{n_l} B_l \right) \quad \text{for } j \geq 1.$$

From 3) and 4) we obtain for every $j \geq 1$ and every $k \geq j$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu(T^{-n_k} D_j \triangle A_k) \\ & \leq \mu\left((T^{-n_k} D_j \triangle A_k) \cap \left(B_k \setminus T^{-n_k} \bigcup_{l>k} T^{n_l} B_l\right)\right) + \mu\left(\mathcal{C}\left(B_k \setminus T^{-n_k} \bigcup_{l>k} T^{n_l} B_l\right)\right) \\ & < \mu\left(\left[\sum_{i \geq j} \left\{ T^{-n_i} \left(T^{n_i}(A_i \cap B_i) \setminus \bigcup_{l>i} T^{n_l} B_l \right) \right\} \cap \left(B_k \setminus T^{-n_k} \bigcup_{l>k} T^{n_l} B_l\right)\right] \right. \\ & \quad \left. \triangle \left(A_k \cap B_k \setminus T^{-n_k} \bigcup_{l>k} T^{n_l} B_l\right)\right) + \frac{\varepsilon_k}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon_k}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\left\{ T^{-n_i} \left(T^{n_i}(A_i \cap B_i) \setminus \bigcup_{l>i} T^{n_l} B_l \right) \right\} \cap B_k \begin{cases} = \emptyset & \text{for } i < k \\ \subset T^{-n_k} \left(\bigcup_{l>k} T^{n_l} B_l \right) & \text{for } i > k \end{cases}$$

we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu(T^{-n_k} D_j \triangle A_k) \\ & \leq \mu\left(\left[\left\{ T^{-n_k} \left(T^{n_k}(A_k \cap B_k) \setminus \bigcup_{l>k} T^{n_l} B_l \right) \right\} \cap \left(B_k \setminus T^{-n_k} \bigcup_{l>k} T^{n_l} B_l\right)\right] \right. \\ & \quad \left. \triangle \left[A_k \cap B_k \setminus T^{-n_k} \bigcup_{l>k} T^{n_l} B_l\right]\right) + \varepsilon_k \\ & = \mu\left(\left[\left\{ T^{-n_k} (T^{n_k}(A_k \cap B_k)) \right\} \cap B_k \setminus T^{-n_k} \bigcup_{l>k} T^{n_l} B_l\right] \right. \\ & \quad \left. \triangle \left[A_k \cap B_k \setminus T^{-n_k} \bigcup_{l>k} T^{n_l} B_l\right]\right) + \varepsilon_k \\ & \leq \mu\left(\left[\left\{ T^{-n_k} (T^{n_k}(A_k \cap B_k)) \right\} \cap B_k\right] \triangle [A_k \cap B_k]\right) + \varepsilon_k = \varepsilon_k \end{aligned}$$

because $\{T^{-n_k}(T^{n_k}(A_k \cap B_k))\} \cap B_k = A_k \cap B_k$.

The sets D_j therefore are $\mathbb{N}$ -dense for T with $\mu(D_j) < \varepsilon_j$ for every $j \geq 1$. Now choose an arbitrary measurable subset A of Ω and a real number $\varepsilon > 0$. We obtain a $\mathbb{N}$ -dense set $A' \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\mu(A \triangle A') < \varepsilon$ either by

$A' := T^{-k_j} D_j$ for a suitable chosen $k_j > 1$ for every $j \geq 1$ or by

$$A' := \left(A \setminus \bigcup_{l \geq j} T^{n_l} B_l \right) \cup D_j \text{ for a suitable chosen } j \geq 1. \quad \square$$

COROLLARY 1. — Let T denote a negative nonsingular, conservative and countable to one transformation on a Lebesgue space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$. Then the assertions of Theorem 9 are valid.

Proof. — For a negative nonsingular, conservative transformation T we have $\mu\left(A \setminus \bigcup_{k \geq 1} T^{-k} A\right) = 0$ ($A \in \mathcal{A}$) and therefore $\mu(T^{-1}A) = 0$ implies $\mu(A) = 0$ ($A \in \mathcal{A}$). i. e. T is positive nonsingular.

If T is a negative nonsingular, conservative and countable to one transformation on a Lebesgue space then it is shown in [14] that $T\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}$, i. e. T is bimeasurable, and T satisfies $\mu(TA) = 0$ if $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\mu(A) = 0$. $\square$

COROLLARY 2. — Let the hypotheses of Theorem 9 be fulfilled and suppose there is no nonzero finite invariant measure absolutely continuous with respect to μ . Then the sets $A \in \mathcal{A}$, for which the partition $\xi = \{A, \mathbb{C}A\}$ is a two-set $\mathbb{N}$ -generator, are dense in $\mathcal{A}$.

THEOREM 10. — Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ be a finite measure space and suppose $\mathcal{A}$ is countably generated. Let T denote a bimeasurable, negative nonsingular transformation on Ω and let S be an infinite subset of $\mathbb{N}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- i) The S -dense sets for T are dense in $\mathcal{A}$.
- ii) S contains an e. w. w. s. for T .

Proof. — i) $\Rightarrow$ ii): From condition i) it follows that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a measurable subset B of Ω with $\mu(\mathbb{C}B) < \varepsilon$ such that $\inf_{s \in S} \mu(T^{-s}B) = 0$. In a similar manner as in the proof of Theorem 3 one can construct an increasing sequence $(s_j)_{j \geq 1}$ of natural numbers $s_j \in S$ which is an e. w. w. s. for T .

ii) $\Rightarrow$ i): Let $(s_j)_{j \geq 1}$ denote an e. w. w. s. for T with $s_j \in S$ ($j \geq 1$) and assume a real number $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists a measurable subset E

of Ω and a natural number $j(\varepsilon)$ such that $\mu(\mathcal{C}E) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$, E is weakly wandering on $(s_j)_{j \geq j(\varepsilon)}$ and $\mu\left(\bigcup_{j \geq j(\varepsilon)} T^{-s_j}E\right) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$.

Define $B := E \setminus \bigcup_{j \geq j(\varepsilon)} T^{-s_j}E$. Then B is a measurable subset of Ω with $\mu(\mathcal{C}B) < \varepsilon$ and $B \cap T^{-s}B = \emptyset$ for infinitely many $s \in S$. Now after replacing $\mathbb{N}$ by S the second part of the proof of Theorem 9 applies. $\square$

COROLLARY 1. — Let T be a negative nonsingular transformation on Ω . If T is purely dissipative, i. e. Ω is a countable union of wandering sets, then every infinite set S of natural numbers contains an e. w. w. s. for T .

COROLLARY 2. — Let T be a negative nonsingular transformation on Ω and let S be an infinite set of natural numbers with positive density. If T does not admit a nonzero finite invariant measure absolutely continuous with respect to μ then S contains an e. w. w. s. for T .

Proof. — The assertion follows from Theorem 3. $\square$

COROLLARY 3. — Suppose the hypotheses of Theorem 10 are valid and let S contain an e. w. w. s. for T .

Then the sets $A \in \mathcal{A}$, for which the partition $\xi = \{A, \mathcal{C}A\}$ is a two-set S -generator for T , are dense in $\mathcal{A}$.

Example. — Set $\Omega = (0, 1]$, let $\mathcal{A}$ be the Lebesgue σ -algebra in $(0, 1]$ and let μ be the Lebesgue measure on $\mathcal{A}$. Let T denote the dyadic translation on Ω , defined by $T(\omega) := \omega - \frac{1}{2^i}$ if $\omega \in \left(\frac{1}{2^i}, \frac{1}{2^{i-1}}\right]$ ($i \geq 1$). Then T is bimeasurable, negative nonsingular and purely dissipative. Therefore for an arbitrary infinite set S of natural numbers the sets $A \in \mathcal{A}$, such that $\{A, \mathcal{C}A\}$ is a S -generator, are dense in $\mathcal{A}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. V. CHACON and N. A. FRIEDMAN, Approximation and invariant measures, *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Geb.*, t. 3, 1965, p. 286-295.
- [2] M. H. ELLIS, Sweeping out under a collection of transformations, *Math. Z.*, t. 165, 1979, p. 213-221.
- [3] M. H. ELLIS and N. A. FRIEDMAN, Sweeping out on a set of integers, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, t. 72 (3), 1978, p. 509-512.
- [4] M. H. ELLIS and N. A. FRIEDMAN, Subset generators for nonsingular transformations, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2), t. 20, 1979, p. 347-357.

- [5] N. A. FRIEDMAN, On transformations with weakly wandering sets, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung.*, t. **17**, 1966, p. 451-455.
- [6] A. HAJIAN and S. KAKUTANI, Weakly wandering sets and invariant measures, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, t. **110**, 1964, p. 136-151.
- [7] G. HELMBERG and F. H. SIMONS, Aperiodic transformations, *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Geb.*, t. **13**, 1969, 180-190.
- [8] L. K. JONES and U. KRENGEL, On transformations without finite invariant measure, *Advan. Math.*, t. **12**, 1974, p. 275-295.
- [9] Ch. KOPF, On a theorem of V. A. ROHLIN, *Math. Nachr.*, t. **92**, 1979, p. 161-162.
- [10] U. KRENGEL, Transformations without finite invariant measure have finite strong generators, *First Midwestern Conference, Ergodic Theory and Probability, Springer Lecture Notes*, t. **160**, 1970, p. 133-157.
- [11] U. KRENGEL, Recent results on generators in ergodic theory, *Trans. Sixth Prague Conf. on Information Theory, Stat. Decision Functions, Random Processes, Academia Press*, 1973, p. 465-682.
- [12] J. NEVEU, Existence of bounded invariant measures in ergodic theory, *Proc. Fifth Berkely Symp. Math. Stat. and Prob.*, 1965, t. **2**, 1967, p. 461-472.
- [13] V. A. ROHLIN, Lectures on the entropy theory of measure preserving transformations, *Russian Math. Surveys*, t. **22**, 1967, p. 1-52.
- [14] P. WAL'TERS, Roots of $n : 1$ measure-preserving transformations, *J. London Math. Soc.*, t. **44**, 1969, p. 7-14.

(Manuscrit reçu le 18 juin 1981)