

ANNALES DE L'I. H. P., SECTION B

S. R. FOGUEL

Iterates of a convolution on a non abelian group

Annales de l'I. H. P., section B, tome 11, n° 2 (1975), p. 199-202

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIHPB_1975__11_2_199_0

© Gauthier-Villars, 1975, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales de l'I. H. P., section B » (<http://www.elsevier.com/locate/anihpb>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

Iterates of a convolution on a non abelian group

by

S. R. FOGUEL

Hebrew University of Jerusalem

ABSTRACT. — Sufficient conditions are studied for $\|v * \mu^n\| \rightarrow 0$ when μ is a probability measure and v is absolutely continuous with respect to the left Haar measure.

RÉSUMÉ. — On étudie des conditions suffisantes pour que $\|v * \mu^n\| \rightarrow 0$ lorsque μ est une probabilité et lorsque v est une mesure absolument continue par rapport à la mesure de Haar à gauche.

NOTATION. — We shall use the notation of [2]: let G be a locally compact group, which is not necessarily Abelian. Let λ be its left Haar measure and M_a the two sided ideal of bounded measures which are absolutely continuous with respect to λ : see [2], Theorem 19.18. Note that M_a is identified with $L_1(\lambda)$ by the Radon Nikodym Theorem.

The convolution of two measures, τ and θ , is given by

$$(\tau * \theta)(A) = \int_G \theta(x^{-1}A)\tau(dx)$$

and if f is a bounded measurable function then

$$\langle \tau * \theta, f \rangle = \int f d(\tau * \theta) = \iint f(x \cdot y)\theta(dy)\tau(dx) = \langle \tau, \theta * f \rangle.$$

Let μ be a fixed probability measure. If $v \in M_a$ then $v * \mu \in M_a$ and

$\mu * \nu \in M_a$. Thus μ acts as an operator on M_a and on $L_\infty(\lambda) = M_a^*$. Note that if τ is a non negative measure then $\|\tau\| = \langle \tau, 1 \rangle$. Put $\mu^n = \mu * \dots * \mu$ (n times), $\mu^0 = \delta$ the identity.

THEOREM 1. — *Let μ and η be probability measures with $\mu * \eta = \eta * \mu$. Assume there is an integer h and a non negative measure ν , $\nu(G) > 0$, such that $\mu^h \geq \nu$ and $\mu^h \geq \nu * \eta$, then*

$$\|\mu^n - \mu^n * \eta\| \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0$$

Proof. — Put

$$\mu^h = \nu + \tau_1 = \nu * \eta + \tau_2 = \nu * \frac{1}{2}(\delta + \eta) + \theta_1$$

where ν and θ_1 are non negative. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^{2h} &= \nu * \mu^h * \frac{1}{2}(\delta + \eta) + \theta_1 * \mu^h \\ &= \nu^2 * \left(\frac{1}{2}(\delta + \eta)\right)^2 + \nu * \theta_1 * \frac{1}{2}(\delta + \eta) + \theta_1 * \mu^h \\ &= \nu^2 * \left(\frac{1}{2}(\delta + \eta)\right)^2 + \theta_2. \end{aligned}$$

Continue the same computation to obtain

$$(1) \quad \mu^{nh} = \nu^n * \left(\frac{1}{2}(\delta + \eta)\right)^n + \theta_n$$

Now

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle \theta_n, 1 \rangle &= \langle \mu^{nh}, 1 \rangle - \left\langle \nu^n * \left(\frac{1}{2}(\delta + \eta)\right)^n, 1 \right\rangle \\ &= 1 - \langle \nu^n, 1 \rangle = 1 - \langle \nu, 1 \rangle^n < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Let us use (1) now to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^{2nh} &= \nu^n * \mu^{nh} * \left(\frac{1}{2}(\delta + \eta)\right)^n + \theta_n * \mu^{nh} \\ &= \nu^n * \mu^{nh} * \left(\frac{1}{2}(\delta + \eta)\right)^n + \theta_n * \nu^n * \left(\frac{1}{2}(\delta + \eta)\right)^n + \theta_n^2 \\ &= \rho_2 * \left(\frac{1}{2}(\delta + \eta)\right)^n + \theta_n^2 \end{aligned}$$

and by an induction argument

$$(3) \quad \mu^{jnh} = \rho_j * \left(\frac{1}{2}(\delta + \eta)\right)^n + \theta_n^j \quad (\rho_j \geq 0, \theta_n \geq 0, \langle \theta_n, 1 \rangle < 1).$$

Thus

$$\|\mu^{jnh} * (\delta - \eta)\| \leq \frac{1}{2^n} \left\| \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} \eta^i - \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} \eta^{i+1} \right\| + 2 \langle \theta_n, 1 \rangle^j.$$

Now the first sum is smaller than $\frac{\text{Const}}{\sqrt{n}}$ see [3], p. 1632, and $\langle \theta_n, 1 \rangle^j \rightarrow 0$.

The following Corollary is included in [1], Theorem V.1. We present it here since the proof is very different. Let H be the center of G : if $y \in H$, $x \in G$ then $xy = yx$. Denote by δ_x the Dirac measure at x . Thus $\delta = \delta_e$ where $ex = xe = x$ for all $x \in G$. Let H_0 be the set of points in H such that μ^n and $\mu^n * \delta_x$ are not mutually singular for all n . If $x \in H_0$ then $x^{-1} \in H_0$ too.

COROLLARY 1. — *Let the closed group generated by H_0 be H . Let ν be a measure on H which is absolutely continuous with respect to the left Haar measure on H . Then $\|\nu * \mu^n\| \rightarrow 0$ provided $\nu(H) = 0$.*

Proof. — By the Hahn Banach Theorem it is enough to show that if f is a bounded measurable function on H such that $\langle \nu, f \rangle = 0$ whenever $\|\nu * \mu^n\| \rightarrow 0$ then $f = \text{Const. a. e.}$ with respect to the left Haar measure on H .

Now if θ is a measure on H and is absolutely continuous with respect to the left Haar measure on H then, by Theorem 1, if $x \in H_0$ then

$$\|(\theta - \theta * \delta_x) * \mu^n\| = \|\theta * (\mu^n - \mu^n * \delta_x)\| \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus

$$\langle \theta, f \rangle = \langle \theta * \delta_x, f \rangle \quad \text{for all } x \in H_0.$$

Now, this equality remains true for the closed subgroup generated by H_0 namely all of H . Thus $f = \text{Const. a. e.}$ with respect to the left Haar measure on H .

Throughout the rest of the paper we shall assume.

ASSUMPTION 1. — *The measures μ^n are not pairwise mutually singular.*

Thus there exists two integers n and k and a non negative measure ν with $\nu(G) > 0$ such that $\mu^n \geq \nu$, $\mu^{n+k} \geq \nu$.

Therefore

$$\mu^{n+k} \geq \nu \quad \text{and} \quad \mu^{n+k} \geq \nu * \mu^k$$

and we may use Theorem 1 with $\eta = \mu^k$:

COROLLARY 2. — *If μ^n and μ^{n+k} are not mutually singular then*

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|\mu^m - \mu^{m+k}\| = 0.$$

Remark. — If $k = 1$ it follows that either $\|\mu^n - \mu^{n+1}\| = 2$ for all n or $\|\mu^n - \mu^{n+1}\| \rightarrow 0$. This « zero-two » law, was proved in [3], Theorem 3.1, for general Markov operators that are ergodic and conservative. In our case the measure μ induces a random walk which need not be neither ergodic nor conservative.

Now if $\|\mu^n - \mu^{n+1}\| \rightarrow 0$ then the spectrum of μ intersects the circumference of the unit circle only at the point 1: Let φ be a homomorphism of the commutative Banach Algebra generated by μ . Then

$$|\varphi(\mu)|^n |1 - \varphi(\mu)| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{hence either} \quad |\varphi(\mu)| < 1 \text{ or } \varphi(\mu) = 1.$$

By Gelfand's Theory the spectrum of μ , even in the smaller algebra, touches the circumference of the unit circle only at 1. In particular: if $\mu * f = \alpha f$ where $|\alpha| = 1$ then $\alpha = 1$.

Let us conclude with:

THEOREM 2. — *Let $\|\mu^n - \mu^{n+k}\| < 2$. Let $v \in M_a$ then $\|v * \mu^m\| \rightarrow 0$ if and only if $\langle v, f \rangle = 0$ for all $f \in L_\infty(\lambda)$ with $\mu^k * f = f$ a. e. λ .*

Proof. — If $\mu^k * f = f$ a. e. λ then

$$|\langle v, f \rangle| = |\langle v, \mu^{jk} * f \rangle| = |\langle v * \mu^{jk}, f \rangle| \leq \|v * \mu^{jk}\| \|f\|.$$

Thus if $\|v * \mu^m\| \rightarrow 0$ and $\mu^k * f = f$ then $\langle v, f \rangle = 0$.

Conversely, put $A = \{v : v \in M_a \text{ and } \|v * \mu^m\| \rightarrow 0\}$. If $\langle v, f \rangle = 0$ for all $v \in A$ then by Corollary 2 $\langle \theta * (\delta - \mu^k), f \rangle = 0$ for all $\theta \in M_a$. Thus $f = \mu^k * f$ a. e. λ and our result follows from the Hahn Banach Theorem.

Remark. — If $k = 1$ and the Choquet-Deny Theorem holds namely: $\mu * f = f$ a. e. λ implies $f = \text{Const.}$ a. e. λ then $v \in A$ if and only if $v(G) = 0$.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] Y. GUIVARCH, Croissance polynomiale et périodes des fonctions harmoniques. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, vol. **101**, 1973, p. 333-379.
- [2] E. HEWITT and K. A. ROSS, *Abstract harmonic analysis*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1963.
- [3] D. ORNSTEIN and L. SUCHESTON, An operator theorem on L_1 convergence to zero with applications to Markov kernels. *Annals Math. Stat.*, vol. **41**, 1970, p. 1631-1639.

(Manuscrit reçu le 7 février 1975).