

ANNALES DE L'I. H. P., SECTION A

B. SIMON

Semiclassical analysis of low lying eigenvalues. I. Non degenerate minima : asymptotic expansions

Annales de l'I. H. P., section A, tome 40, n° 2 (1984), p. 224

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIHPA_1984__40_2_224_0

© Gauthier-Villars, 1984, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales de l'I. H. P., section A » implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

ERRATA

Semiclassical analysis of low lying eigenvalues I. Non degenerate minima : Asymptotic expansions.

(*Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré*, t. XXXVIII, n° 3, 1983, p. 295-308).

by B. SIMON

Departments of Mathematics and Physics
California Institute of Technology,
Pasadena, California 91125 USA

Theorem 5.1 is incorrect as stated. Without an additional assumption the perturbation series for the $E_j(\lambda)$ may have $\lambda^{-l/2}$ terms (l odd) in the *degenerate* case. The last statement in the proof is incorrect: For Theorem 5.1 to be correct one must *assume* that degenerate states in the same well have the same parity. If, for example, $v = 2$, $\omega_1 = 1$, $\omega_2 = 2$ in some well, then energies in that well have the form $(n_1 + 1/2) + 2n_2 + 1$ and the states with $(n_1, n_2) = (2, 1)$ and $(0, 2)$ have opposite parity and the same energy. I would like to thank D. Helffer and J. Sjostrand for pointing this out to me.