



ANNALES

DE

L'INSTITUT FOURIER

Pham Hoang HIEP

Hölder continuity of solutions to the Monge-Ampère equations on compact Kähler manifolds

Tome 60, n° 5 (2010), p. 1857-1869.

http://aif.cedram.org/item?id=AIF_2010__60_5_1857_0

© Association des Annales de l'institut Fourier, 2010, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux articles de la revue « Annales de l'institut Fourier » (<http://aif.cedram.org/>), implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://aif.cedram.org/legal/>). Toute reproduction en tout ou partie cet article sous quelque forme que ce soit pour tout usage autre que l'utilisation à fin strictement personnelle du copiste est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

cedram

*Article mis en ligne dans le cadre du
Centre de diffusion des revues académiques de mathématiques
<http://www.cedram.org/>*

HÖLDER CONTINUITY OF SOLUTIONS TO THE MONGE-AMPÈRE EQUATIONS ON COMPACT KÄHLER MANIFOLDS

by Pham Hoang HIEP

ABSTRACT. — We study Hölder continuity of solutions to the Monge-Ampère equations on compact Kähler manifolds. T. C. Dinh, V.A. Nguyen and N. Sibony have shown that the measure ω_u^n is moderate if u is Hölder continuous. We prove a theorem which is a partial converse to this result.

RÉSUMÉ. — Nous étudions la continuité de Hölder des solutions des équations de Monge-Ampère sur des variétés Kähleriennes compactes. T. C. Dinh, V.A. Nguyen et N. Sibony ont prouvé que ω_u^n est modéré si u est Hölder-continue. Nous démontrons dans quelques cas la réciproque de ce résultat.

1. Introduction

Let X be a compact n -dimensional Kähler manifold equipped with a fundamental form ω satisfying $\int_X \omega^n = 1$. An upper semicontinuous function $\varphi: X \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty)$ is called ω -plurisubharmonic (ω -psh) if $\varphi \in L^1(X)$ and $\omega_\varphi := \omega + dd^c\varphi \geq 0$. By $\text{PSH}(X, \omega)$ (resp. $\text{PSH}^-(X, \omega)$) we denote the set of ω -psh (resp. negative ω -psh) functions on X . The complex Monge-Ampère equation $\omega_u^n = f\omega^n$ was solved for smooth positive f in the fundamental work of S. T. Yau (see [31]). Later S. Kolodziej showed that there exists a continuous solution if $f \in L^p(\omega^n)$, $f \geq 0$, $p > 1$ (see [24]). Recently in [27] he proved that this solution is Hölder continuous in this case (see also [18] for the case $X = \mathbf{C}P^n$). In Corollary 1.2 in [16] the authors have shown that the measure ω_u^n is moderate if u is Hölder continuous. The main result is the following theorem which give a partial answer to the converse problem:

Keywords: Hölder continuity, complex Monge-Ampère operator, ω -plurisubharmonic functions, compact Kähler manifolds.

Math. classification: 32W20, 32Q15.

THEOREM A. — *Let μ be a non-negative Radon measure on X such that*

$$\mu(B(z, r)) \leq Ar^{2n-2+\alpha},$$

for all $B(z, r) \subset X$ ($A, \alpha > 0$ are constants). Then for every $f \in L^p(d\mu)$ with $p > 1$, $\int_X f d\mu = 1$, there exists a Hölder continuous ω -psh function u such that $\omega_u^n = f d\mu$.

The following results are simple applications of Theorem A:

COROLLARY B. — *Let $\varphi \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega)$ be a Hölder continuous function. Then for every $f \in L^p(\omega_\varphi \wedge \omega^{n-1})$ with $p > 1$, $\int_X f \omega_\varphi \wedge \omega^{n-1} = 1$, there exists a Hölder continuous ω -psh function u such that $\omega_u^n = f \omega_\varphi \wedge \omega^{n-1}$.*

COROLLARY C. — *Let S be a C^1 smooth real hypersurface in X and V_S be the volume measure on S . Then for every $f \in L^p(dV_S)$ with $p > 1$, $\int_S f dV_S = 1$, there exists a Hölder continuous ω -psh function u such that $\omega_u^n = f dV_S$.*

Acknowledgments. — The author is grateful to Slawomir Dinew and Nguyen Quang Dieu for valuable comments. The author is also indebted to the referee for his useful comments that helped to improve the paper.

2. Preliminaries

First we recall some elements of pluripotential theory that will be used throughout the paper. Details can be found in [2]–[3], [5]–[6], [4], [7], [9]–[8], [13]–[15], [19]–[20], [21], [23]–[27], [28], [29]–[30], [32]–[33].

2.1. In [24] Kołodziej introduced the capacity C_X on X by

$$C_X(E) := \sup \left\{ \int_E \omega_\varphi^n : \varphi \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega), -1 \leq \varphi \leq 0 \right\}$$

for all Borel sets $E \subset X$.

2.2. In [19] Guedj and Zeriahi introduced the Alexander capacity T_X on X by

$$T_X(E) = e^{-\sup_X V_{E,X}^*}$$

for all Borel sets $E \subset X$. Here $V_{E,X}^*$ is the global extremal ω -psh function for E defined as the smallest upper semicontinuous majorant of $V_{E,X}$ i.e.,

$$V_{E,X}(z) = \sup \left\{ \varphi(z) : \varphi \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega), \varphi \leq 0 \text{ on } E \right\}.$$

2.3. The following definition was introduced in [18]: A probability measure μ on X is said to satisfy the condition $\mathcal{H}(\alpha, A)$ ($\alpha, A > 0$) if

$$\mu(K) \leq AC_X(K)^{1+\alpha},$$

for any Borel subset K of X .

A probability measure μ on X is said to satisfy the condition $\mathcal{H}(\infty)$ if for any $\alpha > 0$ there exist $A(\alpha) > 0$ dependent on α such that

$$\mu(K) \leq A(\alpha)C_X(K)^{1+\alpha},$$

for any Borel subset K of X .

2.4. The following definition was introduced in [17]: A measure μ is said to be moderate if for any open set $U \subset X$, any compact set $K \subset\subset U$ and any compact family \mathcal{F} of plurisubharmonic functions on U , there are constants $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$\sup\left\{\int_K e^{-\alpha\varphi} d\mu: \varphi \in \mathcal{F}\right\} < +\infty.$$

2.5. The following class of ω -psh functions was investigated by Guedj and Zeriahi in [20]:

$$\mathcal{E}(X, \omega) = \left\{\varphi \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega): \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\{\varphi > -j\}} \omega_{\max(\varphi, -j)}^n = \int_X \omega^n = 1\right\}.$$

Let us also define

$$\mathcal{E}^-(X, \omega) = \mathcal{E}(X, \omega) \cap \text{PSH}^-(X, \omega).$$

We refer to [20] for the properties of the class $\mathcal{E}(X, \omega)$.

2.6. S is called a C^1 smooth real hypersurface in X if for all $z \in X$ there exists a neighborhood U of z and $\chi \in C^1(U)$ such that $S \cap U = \{z \in U: \chi(z) = 0\}$ and $D\chi(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in S \cap U$.

Next we state a well-known result needed for our work.

2.7. PROPOSITION. — *Let μ be a non-negative Radon measure on X such that $\mu(B(z, r)) \leq Ar^{2n-2+\alpha}$ for all $B(z, r) \subset X$ ($A, \alpha > 0$ are constants). Then $\mu \in \mathcal{H}(\infty)$.*

Proof. — By Theorem 7.2 in [33] and Proposition 7.1 in [19] we can find $\epsilon, C > 0$ such that

$$\mu(K) \leq Ah^{2n-2+\alpha}(K) \leq \frac{AC}{\alpha} T_X(K)^{\epsilon\alpha} \leq \frac{ACe}{\alpha} e^{-\frac{\epsilon\alpha}{C_X(K)^{\frac{1}{n}}}},$$

for all Borel subsets K of X , where $h^{2n-2+\alpha}$ is the Hausdorff content of dimension $2n - 2 + \alpha$. This implies that $\mu \in \mathcal{H}(\infty)$. \square

3. Stability of the solutions

The stability estimate of solutions to the Monge-Ampère equations on compact Kähler manifolds was obtained by Kołodziej ([24]). Recently, in [12] S. Dinew and Z. Zhang proved a stronger version of this estimate. We will show a generalization of the stability theorem by S. Kołodziej. As a first step we have the following proposition. This proof follows ideas of the proof of Theorem 2.5 in [11]. We include a proof for the reader’s convenience.

3.1. PROPOSITION. — *Let $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{E}^-(X, \omega)$ be such that $\omega_\varphi^n \in \mathcal{H}(\alpha, A)$. Then there exist constants $t \in \mathbf{R}$ and $C(\alpha, A) \geq 0$ such that*

$$\int_{\{|\varphi-\psi-t|>a\}} (\omega_\varphi^n + \omega_\psi^n) \leq C(\alpha, A)a^{n+1},$$

here $a = [\int_X \|\omega_\varphi^n - \omega_\psi^n\|]^{-\frac{1}{2n+3+\frac{n+1}{1+\alpha}}}$.

Proof. — Since $\int_{\{|\varphi-\psi-t|>a\}} (\omega_\varphi^n + \omega_\psi^n) \leq 2$, it suffices to consider the case when a is small. Set

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{2} \inf \left\{ \int_{\{|\varphi-\psi-t|>a\}} \omega_\varphi^n : t \in \mathbf{R} \right\}$$

Hence

$$\int_{\{|\varphi-\psi-t|\leq a\}} \omega_\varphi^n \leq 1 - 2\epsilon$$

for all $t \in \mathbf{R}$. Set

$$t_0 = \sup \left\{ t \in \mathbf{R} : \int_{\{\varphi < \psi + t + a\}} \omega_\varphi^n \leq 1 - \epsilon \right\}$$

Replacing ψ by $\psi + t_0$ we can assume that $t_0 = 0$. Then $\int_{\{\varphi < \psi + a\}} \omega_\varphi^n \leq 1 - \epsilon$ and $\int_{\{\varphi \leq \psi + a\}} \omega_\varphi^n \geq 1 - \epsilon$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\{\psi < \varphi + a\}} \omega_\varphi^n &= 1 - \int_{\{\varphi + a \leq \psi\}} \omega_\varphi^n \\ &= 1 - \int_{\{\varphi \leq \psi + a\}} \omega_\varphi^n + \int_{\{\psi - a < \varphi \leq \psi + a\}} \omega_\varphi^n \leq 1 - \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\int_{\{|\varphi-\psi|\leq a\}} \omega_\varphi^n \leq 1$ we can choose $s \in [-a + a^{n+2}, a - a^{n+2}]$ satisfying

$$\int_{\{|\varphi-\psi-s|<a^{n+2}\}} \omega_\varphi^n \leq 2a^{n+1}.$$

Replacing ψ by $\psi + s$ we can assume that $s = 0$. One easily obtains the following inequalities

$$(1) \quad \int_{\{\varphi < \psi + a^{n+2}\}} \omega_\varphi^n \leq 1 - \epsilon, \quad \int_{\{\psi < \varphi + a^{n+2}\}} \omega_\varphi^n \leq 1 - \epsilon, \\ \int_{\{|\varphi - \psi| < a^{n+2}\}} \omega_\varphi^n \leq 2a^{n+1}.$$

By [20] we can find $\rho \in \mathcal{E}(X, \omega)$, such that

$$(2) \quad \omega_\rho^n = \frac{1}{1 - \epsilon} 1_{\{\varphi < \psi\}} \omega_\varphi^n + c 1_{\{\varphi \geq \psi\}} \omega_\varphi^n \text{ and } \sup_X \rho = 0,$$

($c \geq 0$ is chosen so that the measure has total mass 1). For simplicity of notation we set $\beta = \frac{n+1}{1+\alpha}$. Set

$$U = \left\{ (1 - a^{n+2+\beta})\varphi < (1 - a^{n+2+\beta})\psi + a^{n+2+\beta}\rho \right\} \subset \{\varphi < \psi\}.$$

From Theorem 2.1 in [15] and (2) we get

$$(3) \quad \omega_\varphi^{n-1} \wedge \omega_{(1-a^{n+2+\beta})\psi + a^{n+2+\beta}\rho} \geq (1 - a^{n+2+\beta})\omega_\varphi^{n-1} \wedge \omega_\psi + \frac{a^{n+2+\beta}}{(1 - \epsilon)^{\frac{1}{n}}} \omega_\varphi^n,$$

on U . From Theorem 2.3 in [15], Lemma 2.6 in [11] and (3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - a^{n+2+\beta}) \int_U \omega_\varphi^{n-1} \wedge \omega_\psi + \frac{a^{n+2+\beta}}{(1 - \epsilon)^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_U \omega_\varphi^n \\ & \leq \int_U \omega_{(1-a^{n+2+\beta})\psi + a^{n+2+\beta}\rho} \wedge \omega_\varphi^{n-1} \\ & \leq \int_U \omega_{(1-a^{n+2+\beta})\varphi} \wedge \omega_\varphi^{n-1} \\ & = (1 - a^{n+2+\beta}) \int_U \omega_\varphi^n + a^{n+2+\beta} \int_U \omega \wedge \omega_\varphi^{n-1} \\ & \leq (1 - a^{n+2+\beta}) \left(\int_U \omega_\varphi^{n-1} \wedge \omega_\psi + 2a^{2n+3+\beta} \right) + a^{n+2+\beta} \int_U \omega \wedge \omega_\varphi^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(4) \quad \frac{1}{(1 - \epsilon)^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_U \omega_\varphi^n \leq 2a^{n+1} + \int_U \omega \wedge \omega_\varphi^{n-1}.$$

From Proposition 3.6 in [19] and (4) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5) \quad & \frac{1}{(1-\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{n}}} \left[\int_{\{\varphi \leq \psi - a^{n+2}\}} \omega_\varphi^n - C_1(\alpha, A)a^{n+1} \right] \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{(1-\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{n}}} \left[\int_{\{\varphi \leq \psi - a^{n+2}\}} \omega_\varphi^n - A[C_X(\{\rho \leq -\frac{1}{2a^\beta}\})]^{1+\alpha} \right] \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{(1-\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{n}}} \left[\int_{\{\varphi \leq \psi - a^{n+2}\}} \omega_\varphi^n - \int_{\{\rho \leq -\frac{1}{2a^\beta}\}} \omega_\varphi^n \right] \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{(1-\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{n}}} \int_U \omega_\varphi^n \\
 & \leq 2a^{n+1} + \int_U \omega \wedge \omega_\varphi^{n-1} \\
 & \leq 2a^{n+1} + \int_{\{\varphi < \psi\}} \omega \wedge \omega_\varphi^{n-1},
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly to ρ we define $\vartheta \in \mathcal{E}(X, \omega)$, such that

$$\omega_\vartheta^n = \frac{1}{1-\epsilon} 1_{\{\varphi < \psi\}} \omega_\varphi^n + l 1_{\{\psi \geq \varphi\}} \omega_\varphi^n \text{ and } \sup_X \vartheta = 0,$$

(l plays the same role as c above). Set

$$V = \left\{ (1 - a^{n+2+\beta})\psi < (1 - a^{n+2+\beta})\varphi + a^{n+2+\beta}\vartheta \right\} \subset \{\psi < \varphi\}.$$

We get

$$(6) \quad \frac{1}{(1-\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{n}}} \left[\int_{\{\psi \leq \varphi - a^{n+2}\}} \omega_\varphi^n - C_1(\alpha, A)a^{n+1} \right] \leq 2a^{n+1} + \int_{\{\psi < \varphi\}} \omega \wedge \omega_\varphi^{n-1}.$$

From (1), (5) and (6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{(1-\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{n}}} \left[1 - 2a^{n+1} - 2C_1(\alpha, A)a^{n+1} \right] \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{(1-\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{n}}} \left[\int_{\{|\varphi - \psi| \geq a^{n+1}\}} \omega_\varphi^n - 2C_1(\alpha, A)a^{1+\alpha} \right] \\
 & \leq 4a^{n+1} + 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\epsilon \leq 1 - \left[\frac{1 - 2(C_1(\alpha, A) + 1)a^{n+1}}{4a^{n+1} + 1} \right]^n \leq C_2(\alpha, A)a^{n+1}.$$

This implies that there exists $t \in \mathbf{R}$ satisfying

$$\int_{\{|\varphi - \psi - t| > a\}} \omega_\varphi^n \leq 2C_2(\alpha, A)a^{n+1}.$$

Finally we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\{|\varphi-\psi-t|>a\}} (\omega_\varphi^n + \omega_\psi^n) &= 2 \int_{\{|\varphi-\psi-t|>a\}} \omega_\varphi^n + \int_{\{|\varphi-\psi-t|>a\}} (\omega_\psi^n - \omega_\varphi^n) \\ &\leq 2C_2(\alpha, A)a^{n+1} + a^{2n+3+\beta} \leq C(\alpha, A)a^{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

□

The second step in proving our stability theorem is the following

3.2. PROPOSITION. — *Let $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{E}^-(X, \omega)$ be such that $\omega_\varphi^n, \omega_\psi^n \in \mathcal{H}(\alpha, A)$. Then there exist constants $t \in \mathbf{R}$ and $C(\alpha, A) \geq 0$ such that*

$$C_X(\{|\varphi - \psi - t| > a\}) \leq C(\alpha, A)a,$$

here $a = [\int_X \|\omega_\varphi^n - \omega_\psi^n\|]^{\frac{1}{2n+3+\frac{n+1}{1+\alpha}}}$.

Proof. — Since $C_X(\{|\varphi - \psi - t| > a\}) \leq C_X(X) = 1$, it suffices to consider the case when a is small. Without loss of generality we can assume that $\sup_X \varphi = \sup_X \psi = 0$. By Remark 2.5 in [18] there exists $M(\alpha, A) > 0$ such that $\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(X)} < M(\alpha, A)$, $\|\psi\|_{L^\infty(X)} < M(\alpha, A)$. By Proposition 3.1 we can find $t > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\{|\varphi-\psi-t|>a\}} (\omega_\varphi^n + \omega_\psi^n) \leq C_1(\alpha, A)a^{n+1}.$$

We consider the case $a < \min(1, \frac{1}{C_1(\alpha, A)})$. Since $\int_{\{|\varphi-\psi-t|>a\}} (\omega_\varphi^n + \omega_\psi^n) < 1$ we get $\{|\varphi - \psi - t| > a\} \neq X$. This implies that $|t| \leq \sup_X |\varphi - \psi| + 1 \leq M(\alpha, A) + 1$. Replacing ψ by $\psi + t$ we can assume that $t = 0$ and $\|\psi\|_{L^\infty(X)} < 2M(\alpha, A) + 1$. Using Lemma 2.3 in [18] for $s = \frac{a}{2}$, $t = \frac{a}{2(2M(\alpha, A) + 1)}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} C_X(\{\varphi - \psi < -a\}) &\leq C_X\left(\left\{\varphi - \psi < -\frac{a}{2} - \frac{a}{2(2M(\alpha, A) + 1)}\right\}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{2^n(2M(\alpha, A) + 1)^n}{a^n} \int_{\{\varphi-\psi<-a\}} \omega_\varphi^n \\ &\leq 2^n(2M(\alpha, A) + 1)^n C_1(\alpha, A)a. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we get

$$C_X(\{\psi - \varphi < -a\}) \leq 2^n(2M(\alpha, A) + 1)^n C_1(\alpha, A)a.$$

Combination of these inequalities yields

$$C_X(\{|\varphi - \psi| > a\}) \leq C(\alpha, A)a.$$

Now we prove the promised generalization of Kołodziej stability theorem (Theorem 1.1 in [27]). □

3.3. THEOREM. — *Let $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{E}^-(X, \omega)$ be such that $\sup_X \varphi = \sup_X \psi = 0$ and $\omega_\varphi^n, \omega_\psi^n \in \mathcal{H}(\alpha, A)$. Then there exists $C(\alpha, A) > 0$ such that*

$$\sup_X |\varphi - \psi| \leq C(\alpha, A) \left[\int_X \|\omega_\varphi^n - \omega_\psi^n\| \right]^{\frac{\min(1, \frac{\alpha}{n})}{2n+3+\frac{n+1}{1+\alpha}}}.$$

Proof. — Set

$$a = \left[\int_X \|\omega_\varphi^n - \omega_\psi^n\| \right]^{\frac{1}{2n+3+\frac{n+1}{1+\alpha}}}.$$

By Proposition 3.2 there exists $C_1(\alpha, A) > 0$ and $t \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $|t| \leq M(\alpha, A) + 1$ and

$$C_X(\{|\varphi - \psi - t| > a\}) \leq C_1(\alpha, A)a.$$

Moreover, by Proposition 2.6 in [18] there exists $C_2(\alpha, A) > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_X |\varphi - \psi - t| &\leq 2a + C_2(\alpha, A)[C_X(\{|\varphi - \psi - t| > a\})]^{\frac{\alpha}{n}} \\ &\leq 2a + C_2(\alpha, A)[C_1(\alpha, A)a]^{\frac{\alpha}{n}} \\ &\leq C_3(\alpha, A)a^{\min(1, \frac{\alpha}{n})}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since $\sup_X \varphi = \sup_X \psi = 0$ we obtain $|t| \leq C_3(\alpha, A)a^{\min(1, \frac{\alpha}{n})}$. Combination of these inequalities yields

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_X |\varphi - \psi| &\leq \sup_X |\varphi - \psi - t| + |t| \leq 2C_3(\alpha, A)a^{\min(1, \frac{\alpha}{n})} \\ &= C(\alpha, A) \left[\int_X \|\omega_\varphi^n - \omega_\psi^n\| \right]^{\frac{\min(1, \frac{\alpha}{n})}{2n+3+\frac{n+1}{1+\alpha}}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

3.4. COROLLARY. — *Let μ be a non-negative Radon measure on X such that $\mu(B(z, r)) \leq Ar^{2n-2+\alpha}$ for all $B(z, r) \subset X$ ($A, \alpha > 0$ are constants). Given $p > 1, M > 0, \epsilon > 0$ and $f, g \in L^p(d\mu)$ with $\|f\|_{L^p(d\mu)}, \|g\|_{L^p(d\mu)} \leq M$ and $\int_X f d\mu = \int_X g d\mu = 1$. Assume that $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{E}^-(X, \omega)$ satisfy $\omega_\varphi^n = f d\mu, \omega_\psi^n = g d\mu$ and $\sup_X \varphi = \sup_X \psi = 0$. Then there exists $C(\alpha, A, M, \epsilon) > 0$ such that*

$$\sup_X |\varphi - \psi| \leq C(\alpha, A, M, \epsilon) \left[\int_X |f - g| d\mu \right]^{\frac{1}{2n+3+\epsilon}}.$$

Proof. — By Hölder inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_K f d\mu &\leq \|f\|_{L^p(d\mu)}[\mu(K)]^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \leq M[\mu(K)]^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, \\ \int_K g d\mu &\leq \|g\|_{L^p(d\mu)}[\mu(K)]^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \leq M[\mu(K)]^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, \end{aligned}$$

for any Borel subset K of X . By Proposition 2.7 we get $f d\mu, g d\mu \in \mathcal{H}(\infty)$. Using Theorem 3.3 we can find $C(\alpha, A, M, \epsilon) > 0$ such that

$$\sup_X |\varphi - \psi| \leq C(\alpha, A, M, \epsilon) \left[\int_X |f - g| d\mu \right]^{\frac{1}{2n+3+\epsilon}}.$$

□

4. Local estimates in Potential theory

Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbf{R}^n ($n \geq 2$). By $SH(\Omega)$ (resp. $SH^-(\Omega)$) we denote the set of subharmonic (resp. negative subharmonic) functions on Ω . For each $u \in SH(\Omega)$ and $\delta > 0$ we denote

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{u}_\delta(x) &= \frac{1}{c_n \delta^n} \int_{B_\delta} u(x+y) dV_n(y), \\ u_\delta(x) &= \sup_{y \in B_\delta} u(x+y), \end{aligned}$$

for $x \in \Omega_\delta = \{x \in \Omega : d(x, \partial\Omega) > \delta\}$. Here $B_\delta = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : |x| = (x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \delta\}$ and c_n is the volume of the unit ball B_1 . We state some results which will be used in our main theorems.

4.1. THEOREM. — *Let μ be a non-negative Radon measure on Ω such that $\mu(B(z, r)) \leq Ar^{n-2+\alpha}$ for all $B(z, r) \subset D \subset\subset \Omega$ ($A, \alpha > 0$ are constants). Then for $K \subset\subset D$ and $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $C(\alpha, A, K, \epsilon)$ such that*

$$\int_K [\tilde{u}_\delta - u] d\mu \leq C(\alpha, A, K, \epsilon) \int_{\bar{D}} \Delta u \delta^{\frac{\alpha-\epsilon}{1+\alpha}},$$

for all $u \in SH(\Omega)$, where Δ is the Laplace operator.

Proof. — Since the change of radii of the balls does not affect the statement we can assume that $\Omega = B_4, D = B_3, K = B_1$ and u is smooth on B_4 . By [22] we have

$$u(x) = \int_{B_2} G(x, z) \Delta u(z) + h(x),$$

where $G(x, y)$ is the fundamental solution of Laplace equation and h is harmonic in B_2 . By Fubini theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_1} [\tilde{u}_\delta(x) - u(x)] d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_{B_1} \frac{1}{c_n \delta^n} \int_{B_\delta} [u(x+y) - u(x)] dV_n(y) d\mu(x) \\ & \quad \cdot \frac{1}{c_n \delta^n} \int_{B_1} \int_{B_\delta} \int_{B_2} [G(x+y, z) - G(x, z)] \Delta u(z) dV_n(y) d\mu(x) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \int_{B_2} \Delta u(z) \frac{1}{c_n \delta^n} \int_{B_\delta} dV_n(y) \int_{B_1} [G(x+y, z) - G(x, z)] d\mu(x)$$

Set

$$F(y, z) = \int_{B_1} [G(x+y, z) - G(x, z)] d\mu(x).$$

It is enough to prove that $F(y, z) \leq C(\alpha, A, s) \delta^{\frac{\alpha-\epsilon}{1+\alpha}}$ for all $y \in B_\delta, z \in B_2$. We consider two cases:

Case 1: $n = 2$. For $y \in B_\delta, z \in B_2, \delta < \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(y, z) &= \int_{B_1} [\ln|x+y-z| - \ln|x-z|] d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_{B_1 \cap \{|x-z| \geq |y|^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}\}} \ln\left|1 + \frac{y}{x-z}\right| d\mu(x) + \int_{B_1 \cap \{|x-z| < |y|^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}\}} \ln\left|1 + \frac{y}{x-z}\right| d\mu(x) \\ &\leq \int_{B_1 \cap \{|x-z| \geq |y|^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}\}} \ln(1 + |y|^{\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}}) d\mu(x) \\ &\quad + \ln 4 \int_{B_1 \cap \{|x-z| < |y|^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}\}} d\mu + \int_{B_1 \cap \{|x-z| < |y|^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}\}} \ln \frac{1}{|x-z|} d\mu(x) \\ &\leq |y|^{\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}} \mu(B_1) + A|y|^{\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}} \ln 4 \\ &\quad + |y|^{\frac{\alpha-\epsilon}{1+\alpha}} \int_{\{|x-z| < |y|^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}\}} \frac{1}{|x-z|^{\alpha-\epsilon}} \ln \frac{1}{|x-z|} d\mu(x) \\ &\leq A(1 + \ln 4)|y|^{\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}} + |y|^{\frac{\alpha-\epsilon}{1+\alpha}} C_1(\alpha, \epsilon) \int_{\{|x-z| < 1\}} \frac{d\mu(x)}{|x-z|^{\alpha-\frac{\epsilon}{2}}} \\ &\leq A(1 + \ln 4)|y|^{\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}} \\ &\quad + C_1(\alpha, \epsilon)|y|^{\frac{\alpha-\epsilon}{1+\alpha}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{\{2^{-j-1} \leq |x-z| < 2^{-j}\}} \frac{d\mu(x)}{|x-z|^{\alpha-\frac{\epsilon}{2}}} \\ &\leq A(1 + \ln 4)|y|^{\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}} + C_1(\alpha, \epsilon)|y|^{\frac{\alpha-\epsilon}{1+\alpha}} A \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{(j+1)(\alpha-\frac{\epsilon}{2})-j\alpha} \\ &\leq C(\alpha, A, \epsilon)|y|^{\frac{\alpha-\epsilon}{1+\alpha}} \leq C(\alpha, A, \epsilon) \delta^{\frac{\alpha-\epsilon}{1+\alpha}}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: $n \geq 3$. Similarly for $y \in B_\delta, z \in B_2, \delta < \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$F(y, z) = \int_{B_1} \left[-\frac{1}{|x+y-z|^{n-2}} + \frac{1}{|x-z|^{n-2}} \right] d\mu(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \int_{B_1 \cap \{|x-z| \geq |y|^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}\}} \frac{|x+y-z|^{n-2} - |x-z|^{n-2}}{|x+y-z|^{n-2}|x-z|^{n-2}} d\mu(x) \\
 &\quad + \int_{\{|x-z| < |y|^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}\}} \frac{d\mu(x)}{|x-z|^{n-2}} \\
 &\leq C_2(\alpha) |y|^{\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}} \int_{B_1 \cap \{|x-z| \geq |y|^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}\}} d\mu(x) \\
 &\quad + |y|^{\frac{\alpha-\epsilon}{1+\alpha}} \int_{\{|x-z| < |y|^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}\}} \frac{d\mu(x)}{|x-z|^{n-2+\alpha-\epsilon}} \\
 &\leq AC_2(\alpha) |y|^{\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}} + |y|^{\frac{\alpha-\epsilon}{1+\alpha}} \int_{\{|x-z| < 1\}} \frac{d\mu(x)}{|x-z|^{n-2+\alpha-\epsilon}} \\
 &\leq C(\alpha, A, \epsilon) |y|^{\frac{\alpha-\epsilon}{1+\alpha}} \\
 &\leq C(\alpha, A, \epsilon) \delta^{\frac{\alpha-\epsilon}{1+\alpha}},
 \end{aligned}$$

□

4.2. THEOREM. — *Let μ be a non-negative Radon measure on Ω such that $\mu(B(z, r)) \leq Ar^{n-2+\alpha}$ for all $B(z, r) \subset D \subset\subset \Omega$ ($A, \alpha > 0$ are constants). Then for $K \subset\subset D$ and $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $C(\alpha, A, K, \epsilon)$ such that*

$$\int_K [u_\delta - u] d\mu \leq C(\alpha, A, K, \epsilon) \|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \delta^{\frac{\alpha-\epsilon}{2(1+\alpha)}},$$

for all $u \in SH \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$.

We need a well-known lemma:

4.3. LEMMA. — *Let $u \in SH \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$. Then*

$$|\tilde{u}_\delta(x) - \tilde{u}_\delta(y)| \leq \frac{\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} |x - y|}{\delta},$$

for all $x, y \in \Omega_\delta$.

Proof. — Proof of Theorem 4.2 By Lemma 4.3 we have

$$u_\delta(x) = \sup_{y \in B_\delta} u(x+y) \leq \sup_{y \in B_\delta} \tilde{u}_{\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}}(x+y) \leq \tilde{u}_{\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}}(x) + \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}.$$

By Theorem 4.1 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_K [u_\delta - u] d\mu &\leq \int_K [\tilde{u}_{\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}} - u] d\mu + \|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \mu(K) \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &\leq C(\alpha, A, K, \epsilon) \|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \delta^{\frac{\alpha-\epsilon}{2(1+\alpha)}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Next we state a well-known result is a direct consequence of the Jensen formula (see [1]) □

4.4. PROPOSITION. — Let $u \in SH(B_2)$ be such that $|u(x) - u(y)| \leq A|x - y|^\alpha$ for all $x, y \in B_2$. Then there exists $C(\alpha, A) > 0$ such that

$$\int_{B(x,r)} \Delta u \leq C(\alpha, A)r^{n-2+\alpha},$$

for all $B(x, r) \subset B_1$.

5. Main results

Proof of Theorem A. — We use the same scheme as the proof of Theorem 2.1 in [27]. From Corollary 3.4 and from Theorem 4.2 we can replace ω^n by $d\mu$. This implies that u is Hölder continuous with the Hölder exponent dependent on α, A, p, X and $\|f\|_{L^p(d\mu)}$.

Proof of Corollary B. — It follows from Proposition 4.4 and Theorem A.

Proof of Corollary C. — Direct application of Theorem A.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] D. H. ARMITAGE & S. J. GARDINER, *Classical potential theory*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag London Ltd., London, 2001, xvi+333 pages.
- [2] E. BEDFORD & B. A. TAYLOR, “The Dirichlet problem for a complex Monge-Ampère equation”, *Invent. Math.* **37** (1976), no. 1, p. 1-44.
- [3] ———, “A new capacity for plurisubharmonic functions”, *Acta Math.* **149** (1982), no. 1-2, p. 1-40.
- [4] U. CEGRELL & S. KOŁODZIEJ, “The equation of complex Monge-Ampère type and stability of solutions”, *Math. Ann.* **334** (2006), no. 4, p. 713-729.
- [5] U. CEGRELL, “Pluricomplex energy”, *Acta Math.* **180** (1998), no. 2, p. 187-217.
- [6] ———, “The general definition of the complex Monge-Ampère operator”, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **54** (2004), no. 1, p. 159-179.
- [7] D. COMAN, V. GUEDJ & A. ZERIAHI, “Domains of definition of Monge-Ampère operators on compact Kähler manifolds”, *Math. Z.* **259** (2008), no. 2, p. 393-418.
- [8] J. P. DEMAILLY, *Complex analytic and differential geometry*, self published e-book, 1997.
- [9] J.-P. DEMAILLY, “Mesures de Monge-Ampère et mesures pluriharmoniques”, *Math. Z.* **194** (1987), no. 4, p. 519-564.
- [10] ———, “Monge-Ampère operators, Lelong numbers and intersection theory”, in *Complex analysis and geometry*, Univ. Ser. Math., Plenum, New York, 1993, p. 115-193.
- [11] S. DINEW & P. H. HIEP, “Convergence in capacity on compact Kähler manifolds”, Preprint, (<http://arxiv.org>), 2009.
- [12] S. DINEW & Z. ZHANG, “Stability of Bounded Solutions for Degenerate Complex Monge-Ampère equations”, Preprint, (<http://arxiv.org>), 2008.
- [13] S. DINEW, “Cegrell classes on compact Kähler manifolds”, *Ann. Polon. Math.* **91** (2007), no. 2-3, p. 179-195.

- [14] ———, “An inequality for mixed Monge-Ampère measures”, *Math. Z.* **262** (2009), no. 1, p. 1-15.
- [15] ———, “Uniqueness in $\mathcal{E}(X, \omega)$ ”, *J. Funct. Anal.* **256** (2009), no. 7, p. 2113-2122.
- [16] T. C. DINH, V. A. NGUYEN & N. SIBONY, “Exponential estimates for plurisubharmonic functions and stochastic dynamics”, Preprint, (<http://arxiv.org>), 2008.
- [17] T.-C. DINH & N. SIBONY, “Distribution des valeurs de transformations méromorphes et applications”, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **81** (2006), no. 1, p. 221-258.
- [18] P. EYSSIDIEUX, V. GUEDJ & A. ZERIAHI, “Singular Kähler-Einstein metrics”, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **22** (2009), no. 3, p. 607-639.
- [19] V. GUEDJ & A. ZERIAHI, “Intrinsic capacities on compact Kähler manifolds”, *J. Geom. Anal.* **15** (2005), no. 4, p. 607-639.
- [20] ———, “The weighted Monge-Ampère energy of quasiplurisubharmonic functions”, *J. Funct. Anal.* **250** (2007), no. 2, p. 442-482.
- [21] P. H. HIEP, “On the convergence in capacity on compact Kähler manifolds and its applications”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **136** (2008), p. 2007-2018.
- [22] L. HÖRMANDER, *Notions of convexity*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 127, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1994, viii+414 pages.
- [23] S. KOŁODZIEJ, “The complex Monge-Ampère equation”, *Acta Math.* **180** (1998), no. 1, p. 69-117.
- [24] ———, “The Monge-Ampère equation on compact Kähler manifolds”, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **52** (2003), no. 3, p. 667-686.
- [25] ———, “The complex Monge-Ampère equation and pluripotential theory”, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **178** (2005), no. 840, p. x+64.
- [26] ———, “The set of measures given by bounded solutions of the complex Monge-Ampère equation on compact Kähler manifolds”, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **72** (2005), no. 1, p. 225-238.
- [27] ———, “Hölder continuity of solutions to the complex Monge-Ampère equation with the right-hand side in L^p : the case of compact Kähler manifolds”, *Math. Ann.* **342** (2008), no. 2, p. 379-386.
- [28] S. KOŁODZIEJ & G. TIAN, “A uniform L^∞ estimate for complex Monge-Ampère equations”, *Math. Ann.* **342** (2008), no. 4, p. 773-787.
- [29] J. SICIĄK, “On some extremal functions and their applications in the theory of analytic functions of several complex variables”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **105** (1962), p. 322-357.
- [30] ———, “Franciszek Leja (1885–1979)”, *Wiadom. Mat.* **24** (1982), no. 1, p. 65-90.
- [31] S. T. YAU, “On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampère equation. I”, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **31** (1978), no. 3, p. 339-411.
- [32] A. ZERIAHI, “The size of plurisubharmonic lemniscates in terms of Hausdorff-Riesz measures and capacities”, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* **89** (2004), no. 1, p. 104-122.
- [33] ———, “A minimum principle for plurisubharmonic functions”, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **56** (2007), no. 6, p. 2671-2696.

Manuscrit reçu le 5 mai 2009,
 accepté le 21 septembre 2009.

Pham Hoang HIEP
 University of Education (Dai hoc Su Pham Ha Noi)
 Department of Mathematics
 CauGiay, Hanoi (Vietnam)
 phhiep_vn@yahoo.com