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Abstract. — For an entire function $f$ let $N(z) = z - f(z)/f'(z)$ be the Newton function associated to $f$. Each zero $\xi$ of $f$ is an attractive fixed point of $N$ and is contained in an invariant component of the Fatou set of the meromorphic function $N$ in which the iterates of $N$ converge to $\xi$. If $f$ has an asymptotic representation $f(z) \sim \exp(-z^n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, in a sector $|\arg z| < \varepsilon$, then there exists an invariant component of the Fatou set where the iterates of $N$ tend to infinity. Such a component is called an invariant Baker domain.

A question in the opposite direction was asked by A. Douady: if $N$ has an invariant Baker domain, must $0$ be an asymptotic value of $f$? X. Buff and J. Rückert have shown that the answer is positive in many cases.

Using results of Balašov and Hayman, it is shown that the answer is negative in general: there exists an entire function $f$, of any order between $\frac{1}{2}$ and $1$, and without finite asymptotic values, for which the Newton function $N$ has an invariant Baker domain.

Résumé. — Pour une fonction entière $f$ soit $N(z) = z - f(z)/f'(z)$ la fonction de Newton associée à $f$. Chaque zéro $\xi$ de $f$ est un point fixe attractif de $N$ et appartient à une composante invariante de l’ensemble de Fatou de la fonction méromorphe $N$ dans laquelle les itérées de $N$ convergent vers $\xi$. Si $f$ a une représentation asymptotique $f(z) \sim \exp(-z^n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, dans un secteur $|\arg z| < \varepsilon$, alors il existe une composante invariante de l’ensemble de Fatou de $N$ dans laquelle les itérées de $N$ tendent vers l’infini. Une composante avec cette propriété est appelée un domaine invariant de Baker.

Une question dans la direction réciproque a été posée par A. Douady: si $N$ a un invariant Baker domain, est-ce que $0$ doit être une valeur asymptotique de $f$? X. Buff et J. Rückert ont démontré que la réponse est affirmative dans beaucoup de cas.

En utilisant des résultats de Balašov et Hayman, on prouve que la réponse est négative en général : il existe une fonction entière $f$, d’ordre arbitraire entre $\frac{1}{2}$ et $1$, et sans valeurs finies asymptotiques, pour laquelle il existe un domaine invariant de Baker de la fonction de Newton $N$.

Keywords: Baker domain, Newton’s method, iteration, Julia set, Fatou set, asymptotic value.

Math. classification: 30D05, 37F10, 65H05.

(*) The first and third author were supported by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation. The first author was also supported by the G.I.F., the German–Israeli Foundation for Scientific Research and Development, Grant G -809-234.6/2003. The second author was supported by the University of Kiel.
1. Introduction and result

Let $f$ be an entire function. Newton’s method for finding the zeros of $f$ consists of iterating the function

$$N(z) := z - \frac{f(z)}{f'(z)}.$$  

If $\xi$ is a zero of $f$, then $N(\xi) = \xi$ and $|N'(\xi)| < 1$, so there is an $N$-invariant domain $U$ containing $\xi$ in which the iterates $N^k$ of $N$ converge to $\xi$ as $k \to \infty$. (Here $N$-invariance of $U$ means that $N(U) \subset U$.)

There may also be $N$-invariant domains in which the iterates of $N$ tend to $\infty$. A simple example is given by $f(z) = P(z) \exp(Q(z))$ where $P$ and $Q$ are polynomials, with $Q$ nonconstant. Then $N$ is rational. Moreover, in the terminology of complex dynamics, the point at $\infty$ is a fixed point of $N$ of multiplier $1$, and the iterates of $N$ tend to $\infty$ in the Leau petals associated to this fixed point.

If $f$ does not have the above form, then $N$ is transcendental; see [2] for an introduction to the iteration theory of transcendental meromorphic functions. A maximal $N$-invariant domain where the iterates of $N$ tend to $\infty$ is called an invariant Baker domain.

A simple example (cf. [3]) is given by functions $f$ for which $f(z) \sim \exp(-z^n)$ as $z \to \infty$ in some sector $|\arg z| < \varepsilon$. Then

$$N(z) = z + (1/n + o(1))z^{1-n}$$  

and this implies that $N^k|_U \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$ for some $N$-invariant domain $U$ containing all sufficiently large positive real numbers. Note that $f(x) \to 0$ as $x \to +\infty$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus 0 is an asymptotic value of $f$, the positive real axis being an asymptotic path. Figuratively speaking one might say that Newton’s method believes that there is a zero of $f$ at $+\infty$, and thus it yields a domain $U$ containing all sufficiently large positive real numbers such that $N^k(z) \to +\infty$ for $z \in U$ as $k \to \infty$.

The question arises whether an entire function $f$ must always have 0 as an asymptotic value if $N$ has an invariant Baker domain. This question was raised by A. Douady and has been brought to our attention by J. Rückert. It has been shown by X. Buff and J. Rückert [4] that the answer to this question is positive in situations much more general than those given above. However, we shall show that this is not always the case.

**Theorem.** — There exists an entire function $f$ without finite asymptotic values such that $N(z) = z - f(z)/f'(z)$ has an invariant Baker domain.
Moreover, \( f \) can be chosen to be of any preassigned order strictly between \( \frac{1}{2} \) and 1.

We explain the basic idea of the construction. Using functions of the type introduced by S. K. Balašov [1], in §2 we construct an entire function \( f \) of order less than 1 (and in fact of any preassigned order strictly between \( \frac{1}{2} \) and 1) which satisfies

\[
f(z) \sim \sqrt[\!\!\!\!q]{z}
\]

for some integer \( q \) and some branch of the \( q \)-th root as \( z \to \infty \) in the spiralling region

\[
S := \{ r e^{it \log r + i \theta} : r > 1, |\theta| < \theta_0 \},
\]

where \( c := \pi / \log(q - 1) \) and \( 0 < \theta_0 < \pi \). Here the relation between \( c \) and \( q \) is such that \( S \) is invariant under \( z \mapsto -pz \) where \( p := q - 1 \). We show in §3 that

\[
\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} \sim \frac{1}{qz}
\]

so that

\[
N(z) = z - \frac{f(z)}{f'(z)} \sim -pz.
\]

In fact, we have an explicit error estimate in this asymptotic equality, which yields that \( S \) contains an \( N \)-invariant domain in which the iterates of \( N \) tend to \( \infty \). Hence \( N \) has an invariant Baker domain. Finally we show in §4, using the Denjoy-Carleman-Ahlfors Theorem, that \( f \) has no finite asymptotic values.

2. The construction of \( f \)

Let \((a_k)\) be a sequence of complex numbers tending to infinity. For \( r > 0 \) let \( n(r) \) be the number of \( a_k \), taking account of repetition, in \(|z| \leq r\). Let

\[
\rho := \limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log n(r)}{\log r}.
\]

Equivalently, \( \rho \) is the exponent of convergence of the sequence \((a_k)\). It is well known that the canonical product II whose zeros are the \( a_k \) has order \( \rho \); that is,

\[
\rho = \limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log \log M(r, \Pi)}{\log r}.
\]
where \( M(r, \Pi) := \max_{|z|=r} |\Pi(z)| \) is the maximum modulus. There are standard results concerning the asymptotic behavior of \( \Pi \) if all \( a_k \) lie on one ray and

(2.1) \[ n(r) \sim \Delta r^\rho \]

for some \( \Delta > 0 \) as \( r \to \infty \). These results have been extended by Balašov [1] to the case where the \( a_k \) lie on a logarithmic spiral, say

(2.2) \[ a_k \in \{ re^{ic \log r} : r \geq 1 \} , \]

where \( c > 0 \). We quote only a simplified version of Balašov’s result [1, Theorem 1], as this suffices for our purposes.

**Lemma 1.** — Let \((a_k)\) be a sequence satisfying (2.1) and (2.2). Suppose that \( \rho \) is not an integer. Let \( \Pi \) be the canonical product formed with the \( a_k \). Then

\[
\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log \Pi(re^{i \log r + i \theta})}{r^\rho} = -\frac{2\pi i \Delta \exp(i \rho \theta/(1 + ic))}{1 - \exp(i 2\pi \rho/(1 + ic))}.
\]

for \( 0 < \theta < 2\pi \) and a suitable branch of the logarithm, the convergence being uniform for \( \varepsilon \leq \theta \leq 2\pi - \varepsilon \) if \( \varepsilon > 0 \). In particular,

(2.3) \[
\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log |\Pi(re^{i \log r + i \theta})|}{r^\rho} = -2\pi \Delta \Re \left( \frac{i \exp(i \rho \theta/(1 + ic))}{1 - \exp(i 2\pi \rho/(1 + ic))} \right) =: h(\theta).
\]

Now let \( \frac{1}{2} < \rho < 1 \) and \( \Delta > 0 \). Choose \( p \in \mathbb{N} \) such that

(2.4) \[
\mu := \frac{\rho}{1 + c^2} := \frac{\rho}{1 + (\pi/\log p)^2} > \frac{1}{2},
\]

thus defining \( c := \pi/\log p \). Note that since \( \frac{1}{2} < \mu < \rho < 1 \) we have \( c < 1 \) and hence \( p > \exp(\pi) > 23 \). Let \((a_k)\) be a sequence satisfying (2.1) and (2.2) and let \( \Pi \) be the canonical product formed with the \( a_k \) so that (2.3) holds.

A series of elementary modifications of \( \Pi \) will produce the function \( f \) of our theorem.

A computation shows that

\[
\begin{align*}
    h(0) &= -2\pi \Delta \Re \left( \frac{i}{1 - \exp(i 2\pi \rho/(1 + ic))} \right) \\
    &= \frac{2\pi \Delta \exp(2\pi \mu c)}{|1 - \exp(i 2\pi \rho/(1 + ic))|^2} \sin(2\pi \mu).
\end{align*}
\]
Since $\frac{1}{2} < \mu < 1$ we thus have $h(0) < 0$. Hence there exists $\theta_0 > 0$ such that $h(\theta) < 0$ for $|\theta| < \theta_0$. For $0 < \varepsilon < \theta_1 < \theta_0$ we thus deduce from (2.3) that there exists $\eta_0 > 0$ such that

$$\log |\Pi(re^{i\log r + i\theta})| \leq -\eta_0 r^\rho \quad \text{for} \quad \varepsilon \leq |\theta| \leq \theta_1,$$

provided $r$ is sufficiently large.

We show that an estimate of this type also holds for $|\theta| < \varepsilon$. In order to do so, we use a standard estimate which in slightly different form can be found in [6, p. 548] or [8, p. 117].

**Lemma 2.** — Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ be an unbounded domain. For $r > 0$ such that the circle $C_r := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = r\}$ intersects $D$, let $r\theta(r)$ be the linear measure of the intersection. Let $\theta^*(r) := \theta(r)$ if $C_r \not\subset D$ and let $\theta^*(r) := \infty$ and thus $1/\theta^*(r) := 0$ if $C_r \subset D$.

Suppose that $u : \overline{D} \to [-\infty, \infty)$ is continuous in $\overline{D}$ and subharmonic in $D$. Suppose also that $u$ is bounded above on $\partial D$, but not bounded above in $D$. Let $0 < \kappa < 1$ and let $R > 0$ be such that $C_R$ intersects $D$. Then $B(r, u) := \max_{|z|=r} u(z)$ satisfies

$$\log B(r, u) \geq \pi \int_R^{\kappa R} \frac{dt}{t\theta^*(t)} - O(1)$$

as $r \to \infty$.

We may assume that $\varepsilon$ in (2.5) is chosen such that $0 < \varepsilon < \pi/2$. We consider the spiralling domain

$$D := \{re^{i\log r + i\theta} : r > 1, |\theta| < \varepsilon\}$$

and the function

$$u(z) := \log |\Pi(z)| + \eta_0 |z|^\rho.$$

Then $u$ is continuous in $\overline{D}$, subharmonic in $D$ and bounded above on $\partial D$. We claim that $u$ is also bounded above in $D$. Otherwise, on applying Lemma 2 and noting that $\theta^*(r) = 2\varepsilon$ we find that

$$\log B(r, u) \geq \pi \int_R^{\kappa R} \frac{dt}{2\varepsilon t} - O(1) = \frac{\pi}{2\varepsilon} \log r - O(1) > \log r$$

and thus

$$\log M(r, \Pi) = B(r, u) - \eta_0 r^\rho > r - \eta_0 r^\rho > \frac{r}{2}$$

for large $r$. This implies that the order of $\Pi$ is at least 1, a contradiction.

Thus $u$ must be bounded above in $D$, and this, together with (2.5), implies that if $0 < \eta_1 < \eta_0$, then

$$\log |\Pi(re^{i\log r + i\theta})| \leq -\eta_1 r^\rho \quad \text{for} \quad |\theta| \leq \theta_1$$
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and sufficiently large $r$.

Let $L$ be the natural parametrization of the logarithmic spiral on which the $a_k$ lie; that is, $L : [1, \infty) \to \mathbb{C}$, $L(t) = te^{ic\log t}$. Then $\Pi(L(t)) \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$ by (2.6). Thus there exists $t_0 > 1$ such that $|\Pi(L(t))| < |\Pi(L(t_0))|$ for $t > t_0$.

The function $f$ of our theorem will now be defined as follows. We put $z_0 := L(t_0)$ and define $g_0(z) := \Pi(z + z_0)$. Next we put $q := p + 1$ and $g_1(z) := g_0(z^q)$, and define $\sigma : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{C}$ by $\sigma(t) = \sqrt[2]{L(t_0 + t)} - z_0$, for some fixed branch of the root. We then define

$$
(2.7) \quad g_2(z) := \int_0^z g_1(\zeta)^n d\zeta = \int_0^z \Pi(\zeta^q + z_0)^n d\zeta,
$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It will follow easily that

$$
a := \int_\sigma g_1(z)^n dz
$$

is finite for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and using a result of W. K. Hayman [5, Lemma 1] we will see that $a = a(n) \neq 0$ if $n$ is sufficiently large. For such $n$ we then define $g_3(z) := g_2(z)/az$ and note that $g_3$ is of the form $g_3(z) = g_4(z^q)$ for some entire function $g_4$. The function claimed in the theorem is

$$
f(z) := zg_4(z)^{q-1}.
$$

We remark that we introduced $z_0$ and $n$ only to ensure that $a \neq 0$. In a generic situation we could probably define $g_2$ directly via (2.7) with $z_0 = 0$ and $n = 1$.

To prove that $f$ has the desired properties, we determine the asymptotic behavior of the $g_j$ and $f$ in spiralling regions similar to $D$. We first note from (2.6) that if $0 < \eta_2 < \eta_1$ and if $0 < \theta_2 < \theta_1$, then

$$
\log |g_0(re^{ic\log r+i\theta})| \leq -\eta_2 r^\rho \quad \text{for} \quad |\theta| \leq \theta_2
$$

and sufficiently large $r$. This implies that if $|\theta| \leq \theta_2/q$ and if $r$ is sufficiently large, then

$$
\log |g_1(re^{ic\log r+i\theta})| = \log |g_0(r^qe^{ic\log (r^q)+iq\theta})| \leq -\eta_2 r^{q\rho}.
$$

With

$$
S_1 := \left\{ re^{ic\log r+i\theta} : r > 1, |\theta| < \frac{\theta_2}{q} \right\}
$$

we thus find that

$$
|g_1(z)| \leq \exp (-\eta_2 |z|^{q\rho})
$$
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if \( z \in S_1 \) is sufficiently large. Moreover, \( \sigma(t) \in S_1 \) for large \( t \), since for suitably chosen branches of the argument we have

\[
\arg \sigma(t) = \frac{1}{q} \arg(L(t_0 + t) - z_0) = \frac{1}{q} \arg L(t_0 + t) + o(1) = \frac{c}{q} \log |L(t_0 + t)| + o(1)
\]

as \( t \to \infty \). From this it is straightforward to deduce that the integral defining \( a \) converges for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). In order to show that \( a \neq 0 \) for large \( n \), we use the following result of W. K. Hayman \[5\], Lemma 1.

**Lemma 3.** Let \( \gamma \) be a Jordan arc in \( \mathbb{C} \) which tends to \( \infty \) in both directions and let \( g \) be holomorphic in a domain containing \( \gamma \). Suppose that \( \int_\gamma |g(z)||dz| < \infty \) and that \( |g(z)| \to 0 \) as \( z \to \infty \) on \( \gamma \). Suppose also that \( |g(z)| \leq M \) for \( z \) on \( \gamma \), with equality for a single point \( z_1 \) on \( \gamma \) with \( g'(z_1) = 0 \). Suppose finally that \( \gamma \) cannot be deformed in a neighborhood of \( z_1 \) into a curve on which \( |g(z)| < M \). Then

\[
\int_\gamma g(z)\,dz \neq 0
\]

for all sufficiently large integers \( n \).

We remark that since \( g'(z_1) = 0 \), the set \( \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |g(z)| < M \} \) has at least two components whose boundary contains \( z_1 \). The condition that \( \gamma \) cannot be deformed in a neighborhood of \( z_1 \) into a curve on which \( |g(z)| < M \) means that \( \gamma \) passes from one component of this set into another component at \( z_1 \).

We apply Lemma 3 with \( g := g_1 \), the curve \( \gamma \) parametrized as \( \gamma : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C} \),

\[
\gamma(t) :=\begin{cases} \sigma(-t) & \text{if } t \leq 0, \\ \sigma^*(t) := e^{2\pi i/q}\sigma(t) & \text{if } t > 0, \end{cases}
\]

and \( z_1 := \gamma(0) = 0 \). Since by the choice of \( z_0 \) we have

\[
|g_1(\sigma^*(t))| = |g_1(\sigma(t))| = |\Pi(L(t_0 + t))| < |\Pi(L(t_0))| = |g_1(\sigma(0))|
\]

for \( t > 0 \), it follows that \( |g_1(\gamma(t))| < |g_1(z_1)| \) for \( t \neq 0 \). Moreover, \( g_1'(z_1) = g_1'(0) = 0 \), and thus the hypotheses of Lemma 3 are satisfied. Since

\[
\int_\gamma g_1(z)^n\,dz = -\int_\sigma g_1(z)^n\,dz + \int_{\sigma^*} g_1(z)^n\,dz = \left(-1 + e^{2\pi i/q}\right) \int_\sigma g_1(z)^n\,dz
\]
we conclude from Lemma 3 that $a = \int_\sigma g_1(z)^n \, dz \neq 0$ for sufficiently large values of $n$.

Thus $g_2(\sigma(t)) \to a$ as $t \to \infty$. More generally, $g_2(z) \to a$ as $z \to \infty$ in $S_1$. In fact, if $z \in S_1$ then

$$g_2(z) - a = \int_{\tau_z} g_1(\zeta)^n \, d\zeta$$

for any path $\tau_z$ joining $z$ to $\infty$ in $S_1$. For large $z \in S_1$ and a suitable path $\tau_z$ we find that

$$|g_2(z) - a| \leq \int_{\tau_z} |g_1(\zeta)|^n |d\zeta| \leq \int_{\tau_z} \exp(-n\eta_2|\zeta|^\rho) |d\zeta| \leq \exp(-\eta_3|z|^\rho)$$

for some $\eta_3 > 0$. It follows that if $z \in S_1$ is sufficiently large, then

$$\left| g_3(z) - \frac{1}{z} \right| = \left| g_2(z) - a \right| |az| \leq \frac{\exp(-\eta_3|z|^\rho)}{|az|} \leq \exp(-\eta_3|z|^\rho).$$

Now let

$$S_2 := \{ re^{ic\log r + i\theta} : r > 1, |\theta| < \theta_2 \}.$$

For $z \in S_2$ we have $\sqrt[2]{z} \in S_1$ for a suitable branch. For large $z \in S_2$ we thus find that

$$\left| g_4(z) - \frac{1}{\sqrt[2]{z}} \right| = \left| g_3(\sqrt[2]{z}) - \frac{1}{\sqrt[2]{z}} \right| \leq \exp(-\eta_3|z|^\rho);$$

i. e. if $z \in S_2$ is sufficiently large, then

(2.8) $$|f(z) - \sqrt[2]{z}| \leq \exp(-\eta_4|z|^\rho)$$

for some $\eta_4 > 0$ and a suitable branch.

3. Newton’s method for $f$

We choose $\theta_3$ with $0 < \theta_3 < \theta_2$ and define

$$S_3 := \{ re^{ic\log r + i\theta} : r > 1, |\theta| < \theta_3 \}.$$

Then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $z \in S_3$ is sufficiently large, then the closed disk of radius $\delta|z|$ around $z$ is contained in $S_2$. With $d(z) :=$
$f(z) - \sqrt{z}$ we deduce from (2.8) that if $z \in S_3$ is sufficiently large, then

$$\left| f'(z) - \frac{\sqrt{z}}{qz} \right| = |d'(z)|$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \int_{|\zeta - z| = \delta |z|} \frac{d(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^2} d\zeta \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\delta |z|} \max_{|\zeta - z| = \delta |z|} |d(\zeta)|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\delta |z|} \exp (-\eta_4 (1 - \delta)^\rho |z|)$$

$$\leq \exp (-\eta_5 |z|^\rho)$$

for some $\eta_5 > 0$. Combining this with (2.8) we find that if $z \in S_3$ is sufficiently large, then

$$\left| \frac{f(z)}{f'(z)} - qz \right| \leq \exp (-\eta_6 |z|^\rho)$$

where $\eta_6 > 0$. Since $q = p + 1$ we deduce that

$$(3.1) \quad |N(z) + pz| = \left| z - \frac{f(z)}{f'(z)} + pz \right| = \left| \frac{f(z)}{f'(z)} - qz \right| \leq \exp (-\eta_6 |z|^\rho)$$

for large $z \in S_3$. In particular,

$$\left| |N(z)| - p|z| \right| \leq \exp (-\eta_6 |z|^\rho)$$

which implies that

$$(3.2) \quad | \log |N(z)|| - \log(p|z|) | \leq \exp (-\eta_6 |z|^\rho)$$

for large $z \in S_3$. Moreover, (3.1) yields

$$(3.3) \quad |\arg N(z) - \arg(-pz)| \leq \exp (-\eta_6 |z|^\rho)$$

for large $z \in S_3$. However, $c$ was chosen such that $c \log p = \pi$, so we deduce from (3.2) and (3.3) that

$$\left| \arg N(z) - c \log |N(z)|| \right.$$

$$\leq \left| \arg N(z) - \arg(-pz) \right| + \left| \arg(-pz) - c \log (p|z|) \right|$$

$$+ \left| c \log (p|z|) - c \log |N(z)|| \right.$$}

$$\leq \left| \arg(-pz) - c \log (p|z|) \right| + (1 + c) \exp (-\eta_6 |z|^\rho)$$

$$= \left| \arg z + \pi - c \log p - c \log |z|| + (1 + c) \exp (-\eta_6 |z|^\rho)$$

$$= \left| \arg z - c \log |z|| + (1 + c) \exp (-\eta_6 |z|^\rho)$$

$$\leq \left| \arg z - c \log |z|| + \frac{1}{2|z|}$$
for large \( z \in S_3 \). Since \( p > 23 \) we deduce from (3.1) that \( |N(z)| > 2|z| \) if \( z \in S_3 \) and if \( |z| \) is sufficiently large, say \( |z| > r_0 > 1 \). Combining this with the previous estimate, we conclude that if
\[
\left| \arg z - c \log |z| \right| < \theta_3 - \frac{1}{|z|},
\]
then
\[
\left| \arg N(z) - c \log |N(z)| \right| < \theta_3 - \frac{1}{|z|} + \frac{1}{2|z|} = \theta_3 - \frac{1}{2|z|} < \theta_3 - \frac{1}{|N(z)|}
\]
if \( z \in S_3 \) and if \( |z| \) is large enough, say \( |z| > r_1 > r_0 \). This implies that
\[
U := \left\{ re^{i\theta} : r > r_1, |\theta| < \theta_3 - \frac{1}{r} \right\}
\]
is \( N \)-invariant. Since \( |N(z)| \geq 2|z| \) for \( z \in U \), we have \( |N^k(z)| \geq 2^k|z| \) for \( z \in U \) and \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). Thus \( N^k|_U \to \infty \) as \( k \to \infty \). Hence \( U \) is contained in an invariant Baker domain of \( N \).

4. Asymptotic values of \( f \)

Suppose that \( f \) has a finite asymptotic value, say \( f(z) \to b \in \mathbb{C} \) as \( z \to \infty \) along a curve \( \Gamma \). The function
\[
F(z) := \frac{f(z)^q}{z}
\]
is entire since \( f(0) = 0 \). By (2.8) we have \( F(z) \to 1 \) as \( z \to \infty \) along the logarithmic spiral \( L \) while \( F(z) \to 0 \) as \( z \to \infty \) along \( \Gamma \). Thus \( F \) has two finite asymptotic values. By the Denjoy-Carleman-Ahlfors Theorem (see [7, §XI.4.5]), \( F \) has order at least 1. On the other hand, \( F \) has the same order as \( f \), which has been taken less than 1. This is a contradiction.

Remark. — Our method will produce examples \( f \) of any preassigned non-integer order \( \rho > 1 \), as well as examples with more than one invariant Baker domain. We only sketch the modifications that have to be made.

We again choose \( \rho \) and \( p \) such that (2.4) is holds. The condition \( \mu < 1 \) need not be satisfied, and there may be several, say \( \ell \), intervals where \( h(\theta) \) is negative and corresponding spiralling regions \( S_1, \ldots, S_\ell \) where \( \Pi(z) \to 0 \) as \( z \to \infty \). It is not difficult to see that \( \ell \) can be any given positive number. For each \( j \), let \( L_j \) be a curve starting at 0 which outside the unit circle is a logarithmic spiral in \( S_j \) and which inside the unit circle is a straight line from 0 to the corresponding point of the unit circle. Deforming one of the curves \( L_j \) if necessary we may assume that there exists \( z_0 \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{\ell} L_j \).
such that $|\Pi(z_0)| > |\Pi(z)|$ for all $z \in \bigcup_{j=1}^\ell L_j$. Defining $g_2$ by (2.7) for some large $n$ and then $f$ as in §2, we find that $f(z) \sim c_j \sqrt{z}$ for some $c_j \neq 0$ as $z \to \infty$ in $S_j$. As before, this means that $N(z) \sim -pz$ as $z \to \infty$ in $S_j$, $j = 1, \ldots, \ell$. We thus obtain an entire function $f$ for which $N$ has $\ell$ invariant Baker domains. A difference occurs in the proof that $f$ does not have finite asymptotic values. Here we cannot simply appeal to the classical Denjoy-Carleman-Ahlfors Theorem, but instead use that the function $f$ constructed has only $\ell$ “tracts”; see [6, §8.3].

Balašov’s result takes a different form if $\rho$ is an integer, but it seems possible to treat this case along the same lines.
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