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1. Introduction.

The relevance of algebras such as the Weyl algebra $A_n(\mathbb{C})$, the enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ and its primitive quotients $B_\lambda$ and other algebras related to algebras of differential operators is already well-known. Recently, some articles where their Hochschild homology and cohomology has an important role have been written (see for example [1], [2], [3], [10], [11], [20], [21]). Both the results obtained in [1] and in [21] seem to depend strongly on intrinsic properties of $A_1(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. However, this is not entirely the case.

In this article we consider a class of algebras, called generalized Weyl algebras (GWA for short), defined by V. Bavula in [4] and studied by himself and collaborators in a series of papers (see for example [4], [5], [6]) from the point of view of ring theory.

This kind of algebras has been also studied by T. J. Hodges [13] with the name of “Non commutative deformation of type $A$-Kleinian singularities”. In his paper there is also a proof of 5.1.
Our aim is to compute the Hochschild homology and cohomology groups of these algebras and to study whether there is a duality between these groups.

Examples of GWA are, as we said before, $n$-th Weyl algebras, $U(sI_2)$, primitive quotients of $U(sI_2)$, and also the subalgebras of invariants of these algebras under the action of finite cyclic subgroups of automorphisms.

As a consequence we recover in a simple way the results of [1] and we also complete results of [11], [15] and of [21], giving at the same time a unified method for GWA.

The article is organized as follows:

In Section 2 we recall from [4] the definition of generalized Weyl algebras and state the main theorems.

In Section 3 we describe the resolution used afterwards in order to compute the Hochschild homology and cohomology groups. We prove a "reduction" result (Proposition 3.3) and finally we prove the main theorem for homology using a spectral sequence argument.

Section 4 is devoted to the computation of Hochschild cohomology of GWA. As a consequence of the results we obtain, we notice that the hypotheses of Theorem 1 of [22] are not sufficient to assure duality between Hochschild homology and cohomology. We state here hypotheses under which duality holds.

In Section 5 we consider subalgebras of invariants of the previous ones under diagonalizable cyclic actions of finite order. We first show that these subalgebras are also GWA and we state the main theorem concerning subalgebras of invariants.

Finally, in Section 6, we begin by describing some applications of the above results. The first application is to specialize the results to the usual Weyl algebra. Secondly, we consider the primitive quotients of $sI_2$, and considering the Cartan involution $\Omega$ we answer a question of Bavula ([5], Remark 3.30) and we finish the proof of the main theorem. The formula for the dimension of $HH_*(A^G)$ explains, in particular, the computations made by O. Fleury for $HH_0(B^G_\chi)$.

We will work over a field $k$ of characteristic zero and all algebras will be $k$-algebras. Given a $k$-algebra $A$, $\text{Aut}_k(A)$ will always denote the group of $k$-algebra automorphisms of $A$. 

We recall the definition of generalized Weyl algebras given by Bavula in [4].

Let $R$ be an algebra, fix a central element $a \in Z(R)$ and $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_k(R)$. The generalized Weyl Algebra $A = A(R, a, \sigma)$ is the $k$-algebra generated by $R$ and two new free variables $x$ and $y$ subject to the relations:

$$yx = a, \quad xy = \sigma(a)$$

and

$$xr = \sigma(r)x, \quad ry = y\sigma(r)$$

for all $r \in R$.

**Example 2.1.** If $R = k[h], a = h$ and $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_k(k[h])$ is the unique automorphism determined by $\sigma(h) = h - 1$; then $A(k[h], a, \sigma) \cong A_1(k)$, the usual Weyl algebra, generated by $x$ and $y$ subject to the relation $[x, y] = 1$.

**Example 2.2.** Let $R = k[h, c], \sigma(h) = h - 1$ and $\sigma(c) = c$, and define $a := c - h(h + 1)$. Then $A(k[h, c], \sigma, a) \cong \mathcal{U}(sl_2)$. Under the obvious isomorphism (choosing $x, y$ and $h$ as the standard generators of $sl_2$) the image of the element $c$ corresponds to the Casimir element.

**Example 2.3.** Given $\lambda \in k$, the maximal primitive quotients of $\mathcal{U}(sl_2)$ are the algebras $B_\lambda := \mathcal{U}(sl_2)/(c - \lambda)$, cf. [9]. They can also be obtained as generalized Weyl algebras because $B_\lambda \cong A(k[h], \sigma, a = \lambda - h(h + 1))$.

We will focus on the family of examples $A = A(k[h], a, \sigma)$ with $a = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i h^i \in k[h]$ a non-constant polynomial, and the automorphism $\sigma$ defined by $\sigma(h) = h - h_0$, with $h_0 \in k \setminus \{0\}$.

There is a filtration on $A$ which assigns to the generators $x$ and $y$ degree $n$ and to $h$ degree 2; the associated graded algebra is, with an obvious notation, $k[x, y, h]/(yx - a_n h^n)$. This is, for $n > 1$, the coordinate ring of a Klein surface. We remark that this is a complete intersection, hence it is a Gorenstein algebra.

There is also a graduation on $A$, which we will refer to as weight, such that $\deg x = 1, \deg y = -1$ and $\deg h = 0$.

We will denote, for polynomial $a, b \in k[h]$, $\deg a$ the degree of $a$, $a' = \frac{\partial a}{\partial h}$ the formal derivative of $a$, and $(a; b)$ the greatest common divisor of $a$ and $b$. 
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Our main results are the following theorems, whose proofs will be given in next sections.

**THEOREM 2.1.** — Let \( a \in k[h] \) be a non-constant polynomial, \( \sigma \in \text{Aut}_k(k[h]) \) defined by \( \sigma(h) = h - h_0 \) with \( 0 \neq h_0 \in k \). Consider \( A = A(k[h], a, \sigma) \) and \( n = \deg a, d = \deg(a; a') \).

1. If \( (a; a') = 1 \) (i.e., \( d = 0 \)), we have \( \dim_k \text{HH}_0(A) = n - 1, \dim_k \text{HH}_2(A) = 1, \) and \( \text{HH}_i(A) = 0 \) for \( i \neq 0, 2 \).

2. If \( d > 1 \), we have \( \dim_k \text{HH}_0(A) = n - 1, \dim_k \text{HH}_1(A) = d - 1, \) and \( \dim_k \text{HH}_i(A) = d \) for \( i \geq 2 \).

**THEOREM 2.2.** — Let \( a \in k[h] \) be a non-constant polynomial, \( \sigma \in \text{Aut}_k(k[h]) \) defined by \( \sigma(h) = h - h_0 \) with \( 0 \neq h_0 \in k \). Consider \( A = A(k[h], a, \sigma) \) and \( n = \deg a, d = \deg(a; a') \).

1. If \( (a; a') = 1 \) (i.e., \( d = 0 \)), we have \( \dim_k \text{HH}_0(A) = 1, \dim_k \text{HH}_2(A) = n - 1 \) and \( \text{HH}_i(A) = 0 \) for \( i \neq 0, 2 \).

2. If \( d > 1 \), we have \( \dim_k \text{HH}_0(A) = 1, \dim_k \text{HH}_1(A) = 0, \dim_k \text{HH}_2(A) = n - 1 \) and \( \dim_k \text{HH}_i(A) = d \) for \( i \geq 3 \).

**Remark 2.3.** — The problem of the isomorphism between two GWA has been solved in [5]. The question concerning Morita equivalence between two GWA is still not answered. Hodges [13] has remarked that if \( A \) and \( B \) are two Morita equivalent GWA, then their defining polynomials \( a \) and \( b \) must have equal degrees. After the theorem above, we can add that also the degrees of \( (a; a') \) and \( (b; b') \) must coincide.

3. A resolution for \( A \) and proof of the first theorem.

In this section we will construct a complex of free \( A^e \)-modules and we will prove, using an appropriate filtration, that this complex is actually a resolution of \( A \).

The construction of the resolution is performed in two steps. First we consider an algebra \( B \) above \( A \) which has “one relation less” than \( A \). Then we use the Koszul resolution of \( B \) and obtain a resolution of \( A \) mimicking the construction of the resolution for the coordinate ring of an affine hypersurface done in [7].
Let us consider $V$ the $k$-vector space with and the following complex of free $A^e$-modules:

$$(†) \quad 0 \to A \otimes \Lambda^3 V \otimes A \to A \otimes \Lambda^2 V \otimes A \to A \otimes V \otimes A \to A \otimes A \to 0.$$

In order to define the differential, we consider the elements $\lambda_k \in k$ such that

$$\sigma(a) - a = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda_k h^k$$

and let

$$e_{[x,y]} = \sum_{k,i} \lambda_k h^i e_h h^{k-i-1}, \quad e_{[x,h]} = -e_x, \quad e_{[y,h]} = e_y \in A \otimes V \otimes A.$$

For simplicity, in these formulas we have written for example $xe_y$ instead of $x \otimes e_y \otimes 1 \in A \otimes V \otimes A$ and similarly in the other degrees.

The differential in $(†)$ is formally, with these notations, the Chevalley-Eilenberg differential; for example,

$$d(\alpha e_x \wedge e_y \beta) = \alpha x e_y \beta - \alpha e_y x \beta - \alpha y e_x \beta + \alpha e_x y \beta - \alpha e_{[x,y]} \beta.$$

**Lemma 3.1.** — The homology of the complex $(†)$ is isomorphic to $A$ in degree 0 and 1, and zero elsewhere.

**Proof.** — We consider the $k$-algebra $B$ freely generated by $x, y, h$ modulo relations

$$xh = \sigma(h)x, \quad hy = y\sigma(h), \quad xy - yx = \sigma(a) - a.$$ 

Let $f := yx - a$; observe that $f$ is central in $B$. This algebra $B$ has been studied by S. Smith in [16]. Our interest in it comes from the fact that $A$ is the quotient of $B$ by the two-sided ideal generated by $f$. In particular, $B$ has a filtration induced by the filtration on $A$, and it is clear that the associated graded algebra is simply $k[x, y, h]$.

We claim that a complex similar to $(†)$ but with $A$ replaced throughout by $B$ is a resolution of $B$ by free $B^e$-modules. Indeed, the filtration on $B$ extends to a filtration on this complex, and the associated graded object is acyclic, because it coincides with the usual Koszul resolution of the polynomial algebra $k[x, y, h]$ as a bimodule over itself.

The original complex can be recovered by tensoring over $B$ with $A$ on the right and on the left, or, equivalently, by tensoring over $B^e$ with $A^e$. As a result, the homology of the resulting complex computes $\text{Tor}_*^{B^e}(B, A^e) \cong \text{Tor}_*^B(A, A)$; see for example corollary IX. §4.4 of [8].
Consider now the free resolution of $A$ as a left $B$-module

$$0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow B \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$$

with the map $B \rightarrow A$ being the natural projection and the other one multiplication by $f$. This can be used to compute $\text{Tor}_B^1(A, A)$, and the proof of the lemma is finished. \qed

In order to kill the homology of the complex (†), we consider a resolution of the following type:

(†)

$$0 \rightarrow A \otimes \Lambda^3 V \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes \Lambda^2 V \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes V \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes A \rightarrow 0$$

The horizontal differentials are the same as before, and the vertical ones—denoted “$df$” are defined as follows:

- $df: A \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes V \otimes A$
  
  $$1 \otimes 1 \mapsto ye_x + e_x - \sum_{i,k} a_kh^i e_x e_h^{k-i-1};$$

- $df: A \otimes V \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes \Lambda^2 V \otimes A$
  
  $$e_x \mapsto -e_x \wedge e_y + \sum_{i,k} a_k \sigma(h^i)e_x \wedge e_h^{k-i-1}$$
  
  $$e_y \mapsto -ye_x \wedge e_y + \sum_{i,k} a_kh^i e_y \wedge e_h^{k-i-1}$$
  
  $$e_h \mapsto -ye_e \wedge e_x - e_h \wedge e_y x;$$

- $df: A \otimes \Lambda^2 V \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes \Lambda^3 V \otimes A$
  
  $$e_y \wedge e_h \mapsto ye_y \wedge e_h \wedge e_x$$
  
  $$e_x \wedge e_h \mapsto e_x \wedge e_h \wedge e_y x$$
  
  $$e_x \wedge e_y \mapsto -\sum_{i,k} a_k \sigma(h^i)e_x \wedge e_y \wedge e_h^{k-i-1}.$$

**Proposition 3.2.** — The total complex associated to (†) is a resolution of $A$ as $A^e$-module.
Proof. — That it is a double complex follows from a straightforward computation. We consider again the filtration on \( A \) and the filtration induced by it on \((\mathbb{I})\). All maps respect it, so it will suffice to see that the associated graded complex is a resolution of \( \text{gr} A \). Filtering this new complex by rows, we know that the homology of the rows compute \( \text{Tor}^B_*(\text{gr}(A), \text{gr}(A)) \). The only thing to be checked now is that the differential on the \( E^1 \) term can be identified to \( \cdot df \), and this is easily done.  

In order to compute \( \text{HH}_*(A) \) we can compute the homology of the complex \( (A \otimes_A^\mathbb{L} V \otimes A, \cdot df, d_{CE}) \cong (A \otimes \Lambda^* V, \cdot df, d_{CE}) \). This is a double complex which can be filtered by the rows, as usual, so we obtain a spectral sequence converging to the homology of the total complex. Of course, the first term is just the homology of the rows.

The computation of Hochschild homology can be done in a direct way; however, it is worth noticing that this procedure can be considerably reduced. Let \( X_* = (A \otimes \Lambda^* V, d) \) be the complex obtained by tensoring the rows in \((\mathbb{I})\) with \( A \) over \( A^e \), and let \( X^0_* \) be the zero weight component of \( X_* \).

**Proposition 3.3.** — The inclusion map \( X^0_* \rightarrow X_* \) induces an isomorphism in homology.

**Proof.** — Let us define the map \( s: A \otimes \Lambda^* V \rightarrow A \otimes \Lambda^{*+1} V \) by \( s(w \otimes v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge v_k) := w \otimes v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge v_k \wedge e_h \). A computation shows that

\[
(d_{CE} + sd_{CE})(w \otimes v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge v_k) = \text{weight}(w \otimes v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge v_k) \cdot (w \otimes v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge v_k).
\]

Since \( \text{char}(k) = 0 \), this “Euler” map is an isomorphism for non-zero weights, but it is the zero map in homology, because (3.1) shows that it is homotopic to zero.  

**3.1. The term \( E^1 \).**

**3.1.1. Computation of \( \text{HH}_0(A) \).** — We remark that in the graduation by weight \( A = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} A_n \) we have \( A_0 = k[h] \), and, for \( n > 0 \), \( A_n = k[h] x^n \) and \( A_{-n} = k[h] y^n \).

We have to compute \( \text{HH}_0(A) = A/[A, A] = A/([A, x] + [A, y] + [A, h]) \), and this is, according to Proposition 3.3, the same as \( A_0/([A_0, x] + [A_1, y] + [A_0, h]) \).
Since \( A_0 = k[h], \ [A_0, h] = 0 \). Because \( A_{-1} = k[h]y \), a system of linear generators of \( [A_{-1}, x] \) is given by commutators of the form \( [h^i y, x] = h^i a - \sigma(h^i a)(\text{Id} - \sigma)(h^i a) \). On the other hand, \( A_1 = k[h]x \), so \( [A_1, y] \) is spanned by the \( [h^j x, y] = h^j \sigma(a) - \sigma^{-1}(h^j)a = (\text{Id} - \sigma)(-\sigma^{-1}(h^j)a) \) for \( j \geq 0 \). As a consequence, \( [A_1, y] + [A_{-1}, x] = [A_{-1}, x] \) is the subspace of \( A_0 = k[h] \) of all polynomials \( pa - \sigma(pa) \) with \( p \in k[h] \).

The \( k \)-linear map \( \text{Id} - \sigma : k[h] \rightarrow k[h] \) is an epimorphism, and its kernel is the one dimensional subspace consisting of constant polynomials. The subspace of multiples of \( a \) has codimension \( \deg a = n \), so the image of the restriction of \( \text{Id} - \sigma \) to this subspace has codimension \( n - 1 \). Then we conclude that \( \dim_k HH_0(A) = n - 1 \); a basis is given for example by the set of homology classes \( \{[1], [h], [h^2], \ldots, [h^{n-2}] \} \).

**3.1.2. Homology of the row in degree 1.** — Recall that we only have to consider the subcomplex of elements of weight zero. Let us then suppose that \( c = sye_x + txe_y + u e_h \) is a 1-cycle in the row complex of weight zero. This implies that

\[
d(sye_x + txe_y + u e_h) = \sigma((\sigma^{-1}(t) - s)a) - (\sigma^{-1}(t) - s)a = 0.
\]

As a consequence, \( (\sigma^{-1}(t) - s)a \in \ker(Id - \sigma) = k \). But \( a \) is not a constant polynomial, so \( \sigma^{-1}(t) - s = 0 \). In other words, \( s = \sigma^{-1}(t) \) and the cycle can be written in the form

\[
\sigma^{-1}(t)ye_x + txe_y + u e_h.
\]

The horizontal boundary of a 2-chain \( pe_x \wedge e_y + qye_x \wedge e_h + rxe_y \wedge e_h \) is

\[
d_{CE}(pe_x \wedge e_y + qye_x \wedge e_h + rxe_y \wedge e_h) = (p - \sigma(p))xe_y + (\sigma^{-1}(p) - p)ye_x
\]

\[
+ (-p(\sigma(a') - a') + (q - \sigma^{-1}(r))a - \sigma((q - \sigma^{-1}(r))a)) e_h.
\]

We can choose \( p \) such that \( \sigma(p) - p = t \), so that, adding \( d(pe_x \wedge e_y) \) to \( c \), we obtain cycle homologous to \( c \), in which the only eventually non-zero coefficient is the one corresponding to \( e_h \). We can then simply assume that \( c \) is of the form \( u e_h \) to begin with, and we want to know if it is a boundary or not.

The equation \( d(pe_x \wedge e_y + qye_x \wedge e_h + rxe_y \wedge e_h) = u e_h \) implies \( p = \sigma(p) \), so \( p \in k \), and

\[
(3.2) \quad u + (-\sigma(a') - a')p = -\sigma((q - \sigma^{-1}(r))a) + (q - \sigma^{-1}(r))a.
\]

If \( n = \deg a = 1 \), then we are in the special case of the usual Weyl algebra. In this case \( a' \in k \) and \( \sigma(a') - a' = 0 \), so there is one term less in the left hand side of (3.2) and the homology of the row in this degree is zero.
Suppose now $n \geq 2$; if $p = 0$ then $u \in \text{Im}((\text{Id} - \sigma)_a \cdot k[h])$, and we have, as in degree zero, that $\{[1], \ldots, [h^{n-2}]\}$ is a basis of the quotient. If $p \neq 0$, we have to mod out a $(n - 1)$-dimensional space by the space spanned by a non-zero element, so we obtain a $(n - 2)$-dimensional space. We notice that $\deg (\sigma(a') - a') = \deg a - 2$, and since $n \geq 2$, the element $\sigma(a') - a'$ is a non-zero element of $k[h]/(\text{Id} - \sigma)(a \cdot k[h])$.

3.1.3. Homology of the row in degree 2. — The boundary of a weight zero element in degree two $w := sx_x \wedge e_y + tx_y \wedge e_h + ux_y \wedge e_h$ is 
\[
d(w) = (s - \sigma(s))x_y - (s - \sigma^{-1}(s))y_e_x
+ ((a' - \sigma(a'))s + (t - \sigma^{-1}(u))a - \sigma((t - \sigma^{-1}(u))a)) e_h.
\]
If $d(w) = 0$, we must have that $s = \sigma(s)$, so $s \in k$, and that 
\[
s(\sigma(a') - a') = -(t - \sigma^{-1}(u))a - \sigma((t - \sigma^{-1}(u))a).
\]
If $s \neq 0$, then the expression on the left is a polynomial of degree $n - 2$, and the degree of the polynomial on the right is (if it is not the zero polynomial) $n + \deg(t - \sigma^{-1}(u)) - 1$. This is only possible if both sides are zero and we see that $\sigma(t) = u$.

We mention that in the case $\deg a = 1$ (i.e., the usual Weyl algebra), the expression on the left is always zero independently of $s$, so the argument is not really different in this case.

Now we compute the 2-boundaries: as $p$ varies in $k[h]$, they are the elements 
\[
d(pe_x \wedge e_y \wedge e_h) = [p, x]e_y \wedge e_h - [p, y]e_x \wedge e_h + [p, h]e_x \wedge e_y
= (p - \sigma(p))x_e_y \wedge e_h - (p - \sigma^{-1}(p))y_e_x \wedge e_h.
\]
Given $u$, there is a $p$ such that $(\text{Id} - \sigma)(p) = u$, and this $p$ automatically satisfies $\sigma^{-1}(p) - p = t$.

We remark that the coefficient corresponding to $e_x \wedge e_y$ in a 0-weight boundary, is always zero. As a consequence, in the case of the usual Weyl algebra, the class of $e_x \wedge e_y$ is a generator of the homology. On the other hand, if $n > 2$ the homology is zero.

3.1.4. Homology of the row in degree 3. — The homology in degree three is the kernel of the map $A \otimes \Lambda^3 V \to A \otimes \Lambda^2 V$ given by 
\[
w \mapsto [w, x]e_y \wedge e_h - [w, y]e_x \wedge e_h + [w, h]e_x \wedge e_y.
\]
It is clearly isomorphic to the center of $A$, which is known to be $k$ — see for example [4]. A basis of the homology is given by the class of $e_x \wedge e_y \wedge e_h$. 
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3.1.5. Summary. — We summarize the previous computations in the following table showing the dimensions of the vector spaces in the term $E^1$. In each case, the boxed entry has coordinates $(0, 0)$.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & n-2 \\
1 & 0 & n-2 \\
1 & 0 & n-2 \\
1 & 0 & n-2 \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
[\boxed{n-1}] & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
[\boxed{n-1}] & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
[\boxed{n-1}] & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
[\boxed{n-1}] & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
\end{array}
\]

$n \geq 2$

The Weyl algebra ($n = 1$)

3.2. The term $E^2$.

The differential $d^1$ corresponds to the vertical differential in the original complex. Let $n \geq 2$. The only relevant component is the map $\cdot \mathrm{df}: A \to A \otimes V$; we recall that it is defined by

\[
\cdot \mathrm{df}(b) = b e_y + \sigma(b) x e_y - b a' e_h.
\]

Adding $d_{CE}(pe_x \wedge e_y)$, where $p$ is such that $b = \sigma(p) - p$, we see that the expression $b e_x + \sigma(b) x e_y - b a' e_h$ is homologous to

\[
(-\sigma(\sigma^{-1}(p)a') + (\sigma^{-1}(p)a')) e_h,
\]

and, since the homology of the row in the place corresponding to $A \otimes V$ is isomorphic to $k[h]/(\text{Id} - \sigma)(a \cdot k[h])e_h$, we conclude that the cokernel of the first differential of the spectral sequence (in the same place) is isomorphic to $k[h]/(\text{Id} - \sigma)(a k[h] + a' k[h])e_h$. The subspace $ak[h] + a'k[h]$ has codimension $d = \deg(a; a')$, so $(\text{Id} - \sigma)(ak[h] + a'k[h])$ has codimension $d - 1$ (or zero if $d = 0$).

By linear algebra arguments, the dimension of the kernel of this differential is $d$. The corresponding table at this step of the spectral sequence is the following:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & d-1 \\
1 & 0 & d-1 \\
1 & 0 & d-1 \\
1 & 0 & d-1 \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
[\boxed{n-1}] & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
[\boxed{n-1}] & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
[\boxed{n-1}] & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
[\boxed{n-1}] & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{array}
\]

$d \geq 1$

$d = 0$

In case $n = 1$, the homology of the Weyl algebra is well-known (see for example [14] or [18]), but for completeness we include it. The only relevant
differential is the one corresponding to the map $A \otimes \Lambda^2 V \to A \otimes \Lambda^3 V$. The generator of the homology of the row in the place corresponding to $A \otimes \Lambda^2 V$ is $e_x \wedge e_y$, and $\cdot df(e_x \wedge e_y) = -\sigma(a')e_x \wedge e_y \wedge e_h$. But $\deg a = 1$ so $a'$ is a non-zero constant; as a consequence $d^1$ is an epimorphism and hence an isomorphism. The table of the dimensions is in this case:

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
n = 1
\end{array}
\]

We recover thus known results.

### 3.3. The term $E^3$.

Since $d^2$ has bidegree $(-2, 1)$, its only eventually non-zero component has as target a vector space of dimension one, and there are two possibilities: either it is zero, or it is an epimorphism.

In order to decide whether it is an epimorphism, it is sufficient to determine if the element $e_x \wedge e_y \wedge e_h$ is a coboundary or not. In other words, we want to know if there exist $z_1 = x e_y e_h + \beta y e_x e_h + \gamma e_x e_y$ and $z_2 = p$ such that $z_1 \cdot e_f = e_x \wedge e_y \wedge e_h$ and

\[
d_{C_E}(z_1) + \cdot df(z_2) = 0.
\]

We have that $\cdot df(z_1) = (((\alpha - \sigma(\beta))\sigma(a) - \gamma \sigma(a'))e_x \wedge e_y \wedge e_h$; so $\cdot df(z_1) = e_x \wedge e_y \wedge e_h$ if and only if

\[
(\alpha - \sigma(\beta))\sigma(a) - \gamma \sigma(a') = 1,
\]

if and only if

\[
(\alpha - \sigma(\beta))a - (\sigma^{-1}(a) - \beta)a - (\gamma - \sigma^{-1}(\gamma))a' = 1.
\]

A necessary and sufficient condition for a solution to this equation to exist is that $(a; a') = 1$, in other words, that $a$ have only simple roots.

If this is the case, let $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ be a solution. We have

\[
d_{C_E}(z_1) = (\gamma - \sigma(\gamma))xe_y - (\gamma - \sigma^{-1}(\gamma))ye_x + (-\gamma(\sigma(a') - a') + (\beta - \sigma^{-1}(\alpha))a - \sigma((\beta - \sigma^{-1}(\alpha))a))e_h,
\]

and using (3.4) we see that this is equal to

\[
(\gamma - \sigma(\gamma))xe_y - (\gamma - \sigma^{-1}(\gamma))ye_x + (\gamma - \sigma^{-1}(\gamma))a' e_h.
\]
On the other hand, \( \cdot df(z_2) = \cdot df(p) = p y e_x + \sigma(p) x e_y - p a' e_h \). It is then enough to choose \( p = \sigma^{-1}(\gamma) - \gamma \) to have equation (3.3) satisfied.

We conclude that \( d_2 \) is an epimorphism if \((a; a') = 1 \), and zero if not.

We can summarize the results of the above computations in the following table containing the dimensions of \( HH_p(A) \):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( p )</th>
<th>((a; a') = 1)</th>
<th>( \deg(a; a') = d \geq 1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>( n - 1 )</td>
<td>( n - 1 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>( d - 1 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>( d )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \geq 3 )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>( d )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We note that this proves Theorem 2.1.


The aim of this section is to compute the Hochschild cohomology of \( GWA \). Once we have done this, we compare the obtained dimensions with duality results.

We use resolution (\( \mathcal{J} \)) of \( A \) as an \( A^e \)-module to compute cohomology. We apply the functor \( \text{Hom}_{A^e}(-, A) \) and make the following identifications:

\[
\text{Hom}_{A^e}(A \otimes \Lambda^k V \otimes A, A) \cong \text{Hom}(\Lambda^k V, A) \cong (\Lambda^k V)^* \otimes A \cong \Lambda^{3-k} V \otimes A.
\]

Here we are identifying \((\Lambda^k V)^* \cong \Lambda^{3-k} V \) using the pairing \( \Lambda^k V \otimes \Lambda^{3-k} V \to \Lambda^3 V \cong k \) given by exterior multiplication. Using superscripts for the dual basis, the correspondence between the basis of \((\Lambda^3 V)^* \) and the basis of \( \Lambda^k V \) is:

\[
\begin{align*}
e^h & \mapsto e_x \wedge e_y & e^x \wedge e^h & \mapsto -e_y & 1 & \mapsto e_x \wedge e_y \wedge e_h \\
e^y & \mapsto -e_x \wedge e_h & e^x \wedge e^y & \mapsto e_h \\
e^x & \mapsto e_y \wedge e_h & e^y \wedge e^h & \mapsto e_x.
\end{align*}
\]

In this way, we obtain the following double complex, whose total homology computes \( HH^*(A) \):

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \to & A \otimes \Lambda^3 V & \to & A \otimes \Lambda^2 V & \to & A \otimes V & \to & A & \to & 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \to & A \otimes \Lambda^3 V & \to & A \otimes \Lambda^2 V & \to & A \otimes V & \to & A & \to & 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \to & A \otimes \Lambda^3 V & \to & A \otimes \Lambda^2 V & \to & A \otimes V & \to & A & \to & 0
\end{array}
\]
The horizontal differentials are, up to sign, exactly the same as in homology. The vertical differentials are also essentially the same; for example, \( df^*: A \to A \otimes V \) is such that

\[
\cdot df^*(b) = y b \otimes e_x + b x \otimes e_y - \sum_{i,k} h^{k-i-1} b a_k h^i \otimes e_h.
\]

**Remark 4.1.** — The differences (and similarities) between the above formulas and the corresponding ones in homology may be explained as follows. Given the map \( A^c \to A^c \) defined by \( a \otimes b \mapsto a z \otimes wb \), the induced maps on the tensor product and Hom are related in the following way: when we use the tensor product functor we obtain:

\[
A \cong A \otimes_A A^c \longrightarrow A \otimes_{A^c} A^c \cong A
\]

\[
b \longmapsto wbz.
\]

When, on the other hand, we use the Hom functor we get:

\[
A \cong \text{Hom}_{A^c}(A^c, A) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{A^c}(A^c, A) \cong A
\]

\[
b \longmapsto zbw.
\]

This fact implies that we already know the homology of the rows, up to reindexing. However it is worth noticing that there is a change of degree with respect to the previous computation (note that now degree increases from left to right). Schematically, the dimensions of these homologies are:

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & n-2 & n-1 \\
1 & 0 & n-2 & n-1 \\
1 & 0 & n-2 & n-1 \\
1 & 0 & n-2 & n-1 \\
\end{array}
\]

From this, it follows that \( \dim_k HH^0(A) = 1 \) and \( \dim_k HH^1(A) = 0 \), independently of the polynomial \( a \). Also \( \dim_k HH^2(A) = n-1 = \deg a - 1 \), because of the form of the \( E_2 \) term in the spectral sequence.

As before, there are two different cases: either (I) \( (a; a') = 1 \) or (II) \( 1 \leq \deg(a; a') = d \leq n-1 \). The following tables give the dimensions of the spaces in the \( E_2 \) terms of the spectral sequence, in both situations:

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & n-2 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
\end{array}
\]

(I)

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & n-2 & d \\
1 & 0 & d-1 & d \\
1 & 0 & d-1 & d \\
\end{array}
\]

(II)
In each case, the differential $d_2$ is the same, up to our identifications, as the one considered in Section 3.3. As a consequence, we have: in case (I), the $E_3$ term has the form

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & n-2 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
$$

so $E_\infty = E_3$; in case (II), $d_2 = 0$, and we see that $E_\infty = E_2$.

We summarize the results in the following table containing the dimensions of $HH^p(A)$:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$p$</th>
<th>$(a; a') = 1$</th>
<th>$\deg(a; a') = d \geq 1$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$n - 1$</td>
<td>$n - 1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\geq 3$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$d$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This proves Theorem 2.2 concerning cohomology.

It is clear that, when the polynomial $a$ has multiple roots, there is no duality between Hochschild homology and cohomology, contrary to what one might expect after [22]. This is explained by the fact that in this case the algebra $A^c$ has infinite left global dimension; in this situation, Theorem 1 in [22] fails: one cannot in general replace $\otimes$ by $\otimes^L$ in the first line of Van den Bergh’s proof. One can retain, however, the conclusion in the theorem if one adds the hypothesis that either the $A^c$-module $A$ or the module of coefficients has finite projective dimension. This is explained in detail in [23].

5. Invariants under finite group actions.

The algebraic torus $k^* = k \setminus \{0\}$ acts on generalized Weyl algebras by diagonal automorphisms. More precisely, given $w \in k^*$, there is an automorphism of algebras uniquely determined by

$$
x \mapsto wx, \quad y \mapsto w^{-1}y, \quad h \mapsto h.
$$

This defines a morphism of groups $k^* \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}_k(A)$ which we will consider as an inclusion. The automorphism defined by $w \in k^*$ is of finite order if and only if $w$ is a root of unity, and, in this case, the subalgebra of invariants
can easily be seen to be generated by \( \{h, x^m, y^m\} \), where \( m \) is the order of \( w \).

The following lemma is a statement of the fact that the process of taking invariants with respect to finite subgroups of \( k^\ast \) for this action does not lead out of the class of GWA. This enables us to obtain almost immediately the Hochschild homology and cohomology of the invariants.

**Lemma 5.1.** — Let \( A = A(k[h], \sigma, a) \) be a generalized Weyl algebra, \( \sigma(h) = h - h_0 \), and let \( G := \mathbb{Z}/r \cdot \mathbb{Z} \) act on \( A \) by powers of the diagonal automorphism induced by a primitive \( r \)-th root of unity. The subalgebra of invariants \( A^G \) is isomorphic to the generalized Weyl algebra \( A^G = A(k[H], \tau, \tilde{a}) \), where \( \tau(H) = H - h_0 \) and \( \tilde{a}(H) = \sigma^{-r+1}(a)(rH) \cdots \sigma^{-1}(a)(rH)a(rH) \).

**Proof.** — We know that \( A^G = \langle h, x^r, y^r \rangle \). Let us write \( X := x^r, Y := y^r \) and \( H := h/r. \) Then \( XH = x^r h/r = \sigma^r(h/r)x^r = \tau(H)X \) and similarly \( HY = Y\tau(H) \).

\[
YX = y^r x^r = y^{r-1}yx^{r-1} = y^{r-1} a(h)x^{r-1} = \sigma^{-r+1}(h)y^{r-1}x^{r-1} = \sigma^{-r+1}(a)(rH)y^{r-1}x^{r-1},
\]
so clearly the equality \( y^r x^r = \tilde{a}(H) \) follows by induction on \( r \).

The idea to compute (co)homology of \( A^G \) for \( G \) a finite subgroup of \( \text{Aut}_k(A) \) is to replace \( A^G \) by the crossed product \( A \ast G \). This change does not affect the homology provided that \( A^G \) and \( A \ast G \) are Morita equivalent; this is discussed in detail in [1]. In particular, this is the case when the polynomial \( a \) has no pair of different roots conjugated to each other by \( \sigma \) — that is, there do not exist \( \mu \in \mathbb{C} \) and \( j \in \mathbb{Z} \) such that \( a(\mu) = a(\mu + j) = 0 \) — because in this situation the algebra \( A = A(k[h], \sigma, a) \) is simple, as proved by Bavula in [4]. We state this as

**Proposition 5.2.** — Let \( a \in k[h] \) be a polynomial such that no pair of its roots are conjugated by \( \sigma \) in the sense explained above. Let \( G \) be any finite subgroup of \( \text{Aut}_k(k[h]) \). Then there are isomorphisms

\[
HH^\ast(A^G) \cong H^\ast(A, A \ast G)^G \cong \bigoplus_{(g) \in (G)} H^\ast(A, Ag)^{Z_g},
\]
where the sum is over the set \( (G) \) of conjugacy classes \( (g) \) of \( G \), and, for each \( g \in G, Z_g \) is the centralizer of \( g \) in \( G \). Also, there are duality isomorphisms \( HH_\ast(A^G) \cong HH^{2-\ast}(A^G) \).
Proof. — Given the hypotheses in the statement, we are in a situation similar to the one considered in [1]. The proposition follows from the arguments presented there. The last part concerning homology follows from the duality theorem of [22], since the global dimensional of $A^G$ is finite; see also Section 7 in [1].

Under appropriate conditions on the $g \in G$, we are able to compute the $\mathbb{Z}_g$-module $H_*(A, A^g)$. This module has always finite dimension as $k$-vector space (see Proposition 5.5) and the action of $\mathbb{Z}_g$ is determined by an element $\Omega \in \text{Aut}_k(A)$ (see Proposition 6.1). This automorphism $\Omega$ is a generalization of the Cartan involution of $s\ell_2$, and is explained in more detail in Section 6.3. We state the theorem, but since we need to know some facts about the group $\text{Aut}_k(A)$, its proof will finish in Section 6.4.

**THEOREM 5.3.** — Let us consider a GWA $A = A(k[h], \sigma, a)$ which is simple. Let $G \subset \text{Aut}_k(A)$ be a finite subgroup such that every element $g$ of $G$ is conjugated in $\text{Aut}_k(A)$ to an element in the torus $k^*$. Let us define $a_2 := \#\{\langle g \rangle \in \langle G \rangle \setminus \{\text{Id}\} \text{ such that } \Omega \notin \mathbb{Z}_g\}$, and $a_2 := \#\{\langle g \rangle \in \langle G \rangle \setminus \{\text{Id}\} \text{ such that } \Omega \in \mathbb{Z}_g\}$. We have that

$$\dim_k HH^p(A^G) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } p = 0 \\
(n - 1) + na_1 + [(n + 1)/2]a_2 & \text{if } p = 2 \\
0 & \text{if } p = 1 \text{ or } p > 2. 
\end{cases}$$

**Remark 5.4.** — In particular, if $\Omega \notin G$ then the action of $\mathbb{Z}_g$ is trivial and the formula for $HH^2$ gives $\dim HH^2(A^G) = n \cdot \#\langle G \rangle - 1$.

Proof. — Using the hypotheses and the above proposition, the proof will follow from the computation of the dimensions of $H^*(A, A^g)$ (Proposition 5.5) and the characterization of the action (Proposition 6.1).

We state the following proposition for automorphisms $g$ of $A$ diagonalizable but not necessarily of finite order, although we do not need such generality.

**PROPOSITION 5.5.** — Let $g \in \text{Aut}_k(A)$ different from the identity and conjugated to an element of $k^*$. Then $H^0(A, A^g) = H^1(A, A^g) = 0$, $\dim_k H^2(A, A^g) = n$, and $\dim_k H^*(A, A^g) = d$ for each $* > 2$, where $d = \deg(a; a')$. Also, $\dim_k H_0(A, A^g) = \deg a = n$, and, for all $* > 0$, $\dim_k H_*(A, A^g) = d$.

We can assume that $g \in \text{Aut}_k(A)$ is in fact in $k^*$, since, by Morita invariance, $H^*(A, A^g) \cong H^*(A, A^hgh^{-1})$ for all $h \in \text{Aut}_k(A)$.  

Annales de l'Institut Fourier
The groups $H^*(A, Ag)$ can be computed using the complex obtained by applying to the resolution (1) the functor $\text{Hom}_{A^e}(\cdot, Ag)$; it can be identified, using the same idea as in Section 4, to the double complex

$$0 \longrightarrow Ag \otimes \Lambda^3 V \longrightarrow Ag \otimes \Lambda^2 V \longrightarrow Ag \otimes V \longrightarrow Ag \longrightarrow 0$$

This complex is graded (setting weight($g$) = 0) in an analogous way to the complex which computes $HH^*(A)$. It is straightforward to verify that the homotopy defined in 3.3 may be also used in this case. As a consequence, the cohomology of the rows is concentrated in weight zero.

### 5.1. The term $E_1$.

#### 5.1.1. Computation of $H^0(A, Ag)$.

Let $p \in k[h]$ and assume $pgx \wedge ey \wedge eh \in \text{Ker}(d_C E)$, that is, that

$$(\sigma(p) - wp)xge_y \wedge eh - (\sigma^{-1}(p) - w^{-1}p)yge_x \wedge eh + 0ex \wedge ey = 0.$$  

Then $(\sigma - w \cdot \text{Id})(p) = 0$, so $p = 0$, and the cohomology in degree zero vanishes.

#### 5.1.2. Homology of the rows, degree 1.

Given $u, v, t$ in $k[h]$, a computation shows that $uge_x \wedge ey + vyge_x \wedge eh + txge_y \wedge eh \in \text{Ker}(d_C E)$, if and only if

$$(\sigma^{-1}(u) - w^{-1}u)yge_x + (wu - \sigma(u))xge_y$$

$$+(w^{-1}t\sigma(a) - \sigma^{-1}(t)a - u(\sigma(a') - a') + wva - \sigma(va))ge_h = 0.$$  

Using again that $\sigma - w \cdot \text{Id}$ is an isomorphism, we conclude that in order for the coefficient of $ey$ to vanish, $u$ must be zero. Looking at the coefficient of $eh$, we obtain the only other condition, it is

$$w^{-1}t\sigma(a) - \sigma^{-1}(t)a + wva - \sigma(va) = (\sigma - w \cdot \text{Id})(w^{-1}\sigma^{-1}(t) - v)a = 0,$$

so $w^{-1}\sigma^{-1}(t) - v = 0$.

We conclude that any 1-cocycle is of the form

$$d_C E(pgex \wedge ey \wedge eh) = vyge_x \wedge eh + w\sigma(v)xge_y \wedge eh,$$
where \( p \in k[h] \) is chosen so that \( \sigma(p) - wp = w\sigma(v) \). It follows immediately that the cohomology of the rows in degree 1 is zero.

### 5.1.3. Homology of the rows, degree 2

The 2-coboundaries are expressions of the form

\[
(\sigma^{-1}(u) - w^{-1}u)ygex + (wu - \sigma(u))xge_y + (w^{-1}t\sigma(a) - \sigma^{-1}(t)a - u(\sigma(a') - a') + wva - \sigma(va))ge_h.
\]

A 2-cochain \( \alpha = pyge_x + qxge_y + rge_h \), with \( p, q, r \in k[h] \) is a cocycle if and only if \( q = w\sigma(p) \) holds; in particular, this imposes no conditions on \( r \). We can then assume that \( p = q = 0 \) in \( \alpha \) because one can add to \( \alpha \) a coboundary of the form \( d(uge_x \wedge e_y) \) with \( u \in k[h] \). We want now to decide when such a 2-cocycle is a coboundary. In view of (5.1) and the fact that \( \sigma - w \cdot \text{Id} \) is an isomorphism, we see at once \( u \) must be zero. We are reduced to solve the equation

\[
w^{-1}t\sigma(a) - \sigma^{-1}(t)a + wva - \sigma(va) = (\sigma - w \cdot \text{Id})(w^{-1}\sigma^{-1}(t) - v)a = r.
\]

This can be solved if and only if \( (\sigma - w \cdot \text{Id})^{-1}(r) \) is a multiple of \( a \), so the codimension of the subspace of solutions is \( \text{deg } a = n \). In other words, the dimension of the cohomology of the rows in degree 2 is \( n \).

### 5.1.4. Homology of the rows, degree 3

The coboundaries of weight zero are of the form

\[
d(pyge_x + qxge_y + rge_h) = (wpa - \sigma(pa) + w^{-1}qa - \sigma^{-1}(q)a)g
\]

\[
= (\sigma - w \cdot \text{Id})(w^{-1}\sigma^{-1}(q) - p)a)g
\]

with \( p, q, r \in k[h] \). Every polynomial in \( k[h] \) can be written as \( w^{-1}\sigma^{-1}(q) - p \) for some \( p, q \in k[h] \), so, since the map \( \sigma - w \cdot \text{Id} : k[h] \to k[h] \) is an isomorphism, we see that the dimension of the cohomology of the row complex in degree 3 is equal to \( \text{dim}_k k[h]/ak[h] = \text{deg } a = n \).

### 5.2. The term \( E_2 \)

In view of the above computations, the dimensions of the components of the \( E_1 \)-term of the spectral sequence are as follows:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & n & n \\
0 & 0 & n & n \\
0 & 0 & n & n
\end{array}
\]
Consequently, the only relevant vertical differential is
\[
\cdot df : Ag \to Ag \otimes V
\]
\[
b g \mapsto b w^{-1} y g e_x + \sigma(b) x g e_y - b a' g e_h.
\]
Adding \(d(q g e_x \wedge e_y)\) one sees that this element is cohomologous to \((-ba' + q(\sigma(a') - a'))g e_h\), where \(q \in k[h]\) is such that \((\sigma - w \cdot \text{Id})(q) = -\sigma(b)\). But then \(b = w \sigma^{-1}(q) - q\), and therefore
\[
\cdot df(b g) = (-w \sigma^{-1}(q)a' + q \sigma(a'))g e_h = (\sigma - w \cdot \text{Id})(\sigma^{-1}(q)a')g e_h.
\]
On the other hand, the target of \(\cdot df\) has been already shown to be isomorphic to \(k[h]/(\sigma - w \cdot \text{Id})(a \cdot k[h] + a' \cdot k[h])\). Under this isomorphism, the cokernel of \(\cdot df\) is isomorphic to \(A'/(\sigma - w \cdot \text{Id})(a \cdot k[h] + a' \cdot k[h])\). Since we have assumed that \((a; a') = 1\), the cokernel of \(\cdot df\) is zero, and by counting dimensions, the kernel of \(\cdot df\) also vanishes. This proves the first part of Proposition 5.5; the rest of the statements thereof follows from similar computations, which we omit. In particular, Theorem 5.3 follows.

We would like to observe that in order to prove Theorem 5.3, if one assumes that the action of \(Z_g\) is trivial, then the full strength of Proposition 5.5 is not needed. Indeed, let \(g \in G\). Using Proposition 5.2 for the cyclic subgroup group \(C = (g) \subset \text{Aut}_k(A)\) generated by \(g\), and the hypothesis on the triviality of the action of the centralizers in homology, we see that the following relation holds for all \(p > 0\):

\[
(5.2) \quad \dim_k HH_p(A^C) = \dim_k HH_p(A) + \sum_{1 \leq i < |g|} \dim_k H_p(A, Ag^i)^C
\]
\[
= \dim_k HH_p(A) + \sum_{1 \leq i < |g|} \dim_k H_p(A, Ag^i).
\]

Now, in view of Lemma 5.1, the algebra \(A^C\) is a GWA, so we already know its homology. For \(p = 1\) or \(p \geq 3\), it vanishes, in particular, \(H_p(A, Ag) = 0\); for \(p = 2\), \(\dim_k HH_2(A^C) = 1 = \dim_k HH_2(A)\), so again we have \(H_2(A, Ag) = 0\). Finally, computing \(g\)-commutators as in the end of Section 5.1, it is easy to see that \(\dim_k H_0(A, Ag^i) \leq n\) for all \(1 \leq i < |g|\); since \(\dim_k HH_0(A^C) = |g|n - 1\) and \(\dim_k HH_0(A) = n - 1\), relation (5.2) forces \(\dim_k H_0(A, Ag^i) = n\).
6. Applications.

6.1. The usual Weyl algebra.

The results of the previous sections apply to the case when \( A = A_1(k) \) (\( \text{char } k = 0 \)) and \( G \) is an arbitrary finite subgroup of \( \text{Aut}_k(A) \), because in this case the finite order automorphisms of \( A \) are always diagonalizable, and \( \mathcal{Z}_g \) acts trivially on \( H_*(A, A_g) \) for all such \( g \). We then recover the results of [1].

6.2. Primitive quotients of \( \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \).

If the polynomial \( a \) is of degree two, then \( A \) is isomorphic to one of the maximal primitive quotients of \( \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \). In this case, O. Fleury [11] has proved that the group of automorphisms is isomorphic to the amalgamated product of \( \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \) with a torsion-free group. The action of \( \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \) is the one coming from the adjoint action of \( \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \) on \( \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \). There is then a simple classification, up to conjugacy, of all finite groups of automorphisms of \( A \): they are the cyclic groups \( A_n \), the binary dihedral groups \( D_n \), and the binary polyhedral groups \( E_6, E_7 \) and \( E_8 \); cf. [17].

In her thesis, for the regular case, O. Fleury [11] has computed, case by case the action of the centralizers and in this way she achieves the computation of \( HH_0(A^G) \). For positive degrees, following Proposition 5.2 one has to compute \( H_*(A, A_g) \) and the action of \( \mathcal{Z}_g \) on it. After Proposition 5.5 one knows that \( H_*(A, A_g) = 0 \) for \( * > 0 \) and \( g \neq 1 \), so, for positive degrees \( HH_*(A^G) = HH_*(A)^G \). But the only positive and nonzero degree of \( HH_*(A) \) is \( * = 2 \) and \( HH_2(A) \cong HH_0(A) = \mathcal{Z}(A) = k \). Since the duality isomorphism is \( G \)-equivariant, the action of \( G \) on \( HH_2(A) \) is trivial and we conclude \( HH_*(A^G) = 0 \) for \( * \neq 0, 2 \) and \( HH_2(A^G) = k \). Using the duality one has the cohomology. For the non-regular case, what we are able to compute is not \( HH_*(A^G) \) but \( HH_*(A^\#G) \). The computation of the action of the centralizers is discussed in next sections, because those actions can be described in general, and also "explain" the computations made by O. Fleury.
6.3. The Cartan involution.

In the case of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ there is a special automorphism (that descends to $B_\chi$) defined by $e \mapsto f$, $f \mapsto e$ and $h \mapsto -h$. For an arbitrary GWA $A$ with defining polynomial $a(h)$ of degree $n$, there are some particular cases on which a similar automorphism is defined. In [5] the authors find generators of the automorphism group of GWAs. It turns out that $\text{Aut}_k(A)$ is generated by the torus action and exponentials of inner derivations, and, in the case that there is $\rho \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $a(\rho - h) = (-1)^n a(h)$, a generalization of the Cartan involution — still called $\Omega$ — is defined as follows:

$$ x \mapsto y ; \quad y \mapsto (-1)^n x ; \quad h \mapsto 1 + \rho - h. $$

Let us call in this section $\mathcal{G}$ the subgroup of $\text{Aut}_k(A)$ generated by the torus and the exponentials. When the polynomial is reflective (i.e., $a(\rho - h) = (-1)^n a(h)$), the group generated by $\mathcal{G}$ and $\Omega$ coincides with $\text{Aut}_k(A)$. If the polynomial is not reflective, $\mathcal{G} = \text{Aut}_k(A)$.

In [9] Dixmier shows, in the case of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, that $\Omega$ belongs to $\mathcal{G}$ (and of course this fact descends to the primitive quotients). This situation corresponds to $\deg(a) = 2$. In [5], Remark 3.30, the authors ask whether this automorphism $\Omega$ belongs to the subgroup generated by the torus and exponentials. We will answer this question looking at the action of the group of automorphisms on $HH_0(A)$, so we begin by recalling the expression of the exponential-type automorphisms.

Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the two exponential automorphisms associated to them are defined as follows:

$$
\phi_{m,\lambda} : = \exp(\lambda \text{ad}(y^m)) \quad \psi_{m,\lambda} : = \exp(\lambda \text{ad}(x^m))
$$

$$
x \mapsto x + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(-\lambda)^i}{i!} \text{ad} y^m(x) \quad x \mapsto x
$$

$$
y \mapsto y + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\lambda^i}{i!} \text{ad} x^m(y) \quad y \mapsto y
$$

$$
h \mapsto h + m\lambda y^m \quad h \mapsto h - m\lambda x^m.
$$

We know that $HH_0(A)$ has $\{1, h, h^2, \ldots, h^{n-2}\}$ as a basis. If one assumes $n2$ then the action of $\Omega$ on $HH_0(A)$ is not trivial. On the other hand, since the homogeneous components of weight different from zero are commutators, the action of $\psi_{m,\lambda}$ and of $\phi_{n,\lambda}$ is trivial on $HH_0(A)$. To see this we consider for example

$$
\phi_{m,\lambda}(h^i) = \phi_{m,\lambda}(h)^i = (h + m\lambda y^m)^i
$$
and it is clear that the 0-weight component of \((h + m\lambda y^m)^i\) equals \(h^i\). The action of the torus is also trivial on \(HH_0(A)\) (it is already trivial on \(k[h]\)). We conclude that for \(n > 2\), the automorphism \(\Omega\) cannot belong to \(G\).

6.4. End of the proof of Theorem 5.3.

The hypotheses of Theorem 5.3 are that in the finite group \(G\) under consideration, every element \(g\) is conjugated (in \(\text{Aut}_k(A)\)) to an element of the torus. We will show that the triviality of the action of \(Z_g\) on \(H_*(A, Ag)\) is generically satisfied, and the fact that the action of \(Z_g\) is trivial or not depends only on whether \(\Omega\) belongs to \(Z_g\).

**Proposition 6.1.** — Let \(A\) be a GWA, \(G\) a finite subgroup of \(\text{Aut}_k(A)\) such that every \(g \in G\) is conjugated to an element of the torus. If \(\Omega \notin Z_g\) then the action of \(Z_g\) on \(H_*(A, Ag)\) is trivial.

**Proof.** — Let us suppose that \(a\) is not reflective and let \(g \in G\). If \(g\) is the identity, then the centralizer of \(g\) in \(\text{Aut}_k(A)\) is \(\text{Aut}_k(A)\) itself, and the triviality of the action on \(HH_0\) was explained in the above section. For \(HH_2(A) \cong HH^0(A) = Z(A) = k\) the action is always trivial. When \(* \neq 0, 2, HH_*(A) = 0\).

Let us now consider \(g \neq \text{Id}\). Up to conjugation may assume that \(g(h) = h, g(x) = wx\) and \(g(y) = w^{-1}y\), where \(w\) is a root of unity. After Proposition 5.5 the only non-zero homology group is \(H_0(A, Ag)\) which has basis \(\{g, hg, h^2g, \ldots, h^{n-1}g\}\).

If \(g' \in \text{Aut}_k(A)\) commutes with \(g\) then it induces an automorphism \(g'|_{A^g}: A^g \to A^g\). This defines a map \(Z_g \to \text{Aut}_k(A^g)\). But \(A^g = \langle h, x^{\text{deg} \, w}, y^{\text{deg} \, w} \rangle\) is again a GWA so one knows the generators of its group of automorphisms.

It is not hard to see that if \(a\) is not reflective then the polynomial \(\tilde{a}\) associated to \(A^g\) is not reflective, neither. In this case \(\text{Aut}_k(A)\) is generated by the torus and exponentials of \(\lambda \text{ad} x^{\text{deg} \, w \cdot m}\) and \(\lambda \text{ad} y^{\text{deg} \, w \cdot m}\). On the other hand, an automorphism \(g'\) induces the identity on \(A^g\) if and only if it fixes \(h, x^{\text{deg} \, w}\) and \(y^{\text{deg} \, w}\), and by degree considerations it is clear that \(g'(x)\) must be a multiple of \(x\) and analogously for \(y\). We conclude that the group of elements (in \(\text{Aut}_k(A)\)) commuting with \(g\) is generated by the torus and exponentials of \(\lambda \text{ad} x^{\text{deg} \, m \cdot w}\) and \(\lambda \text{ad} y^{\text{deg} \, m \cdot w}\).
It is clear that the torus acts trivially on the vector space spanned by the elements $h^i g$ with $i = 0, \ldots, n - 1$. A computation similar to the one done in the end of Section 6.3 shows that $\phi_{m, \lambda}(h^i g) = h^i g$ modulo $g$-commutators, and analogously for $\psi$.

If the polynomial $a$ is reflective then $\text{Aut}_k(A)$ is generated by $\mathcal{G}$ and $\Omega$. We have just seen the triviality of the action for the generators of $\mathcal{G}$, and $\Omega$ is excluded by hypothesis. \qed

We can now finish the proof of Theorem 5.3: we will explain the formula $\dim HH_0(A^G) = (n - 1) + n \cdot a_1 + [(n + 1)/2] \cdot a_2$. From the decomposition $HH_0(A^G) = \bigoplus_{(g) \in (\mathcal{G})} H_0(A, Ag)^{Z_g}$, the first "$n - 1$" comes from the summand corresponding to the identity element that contributes with $\dim HH_0(A^G) = \dim H_0(A, Ag) = n - 1$. The "$n \cdot a_1$" comes from the terms corresponding to conjugacy classes $(g)$ such that $\mathcal{Z}_g$ does not contain $\Omega$ because in this case $\dim H_0(A, Ag)^{Z_g} = \dim H_0(A, Ag) = n$. Finally, the summand "$(n + 1)/2 \cdot a_2$" corresponds to the conjugacy classes having $\Omega$ in their centralizers, in these cases the dimension of $(kg \oplus kh g \oplus kh^2 g \oplus \ldots \oplus kh^{n-1} g)^\Omega$ is the integer part of the half of $n + 1$.

Remark 6.2. — This result explains, for $n = 2$, the case by case computations of $HH_0(B_\lambda^G)$ made by O. Fleury in [11], see also [12].
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