## Annales de l'institut Fourier

### **SVANTE JANSON**

## BMO and commutators of martingale transforms

Annales de l'institut Fourier, tome 31, nº 1 (1981), p. 265-270 <a href="http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIF\_1981\_\_31\_1\_265\_0">http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIF\_1981\_\_31\_1\_265\_0</a>

© Annales de l'institut Fourier, 1981, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales de l'institut Fourier » (http://annalif.ujf-grenoble.fr/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.



Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/

# BMO AND COMMUTATORS OF MARTINGALE TRANSFORMS

by Svante JANSON (1)

#### 0. Introduction.

The connection between BMO and commutators of singular integrals on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  was found by Coifman, Rochberg and Weiss [1]. Their result has been further developed by Uchiyama [5] and myself [4]. This paper shows that these results hold also for the martingale transforms studied in [3].

#### 1. The transform.

We state the basic definitions and properties of our transforms. More details are given in [3].

We assume that  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  is a probability space and that  $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is an increasing sequence of sub- $\sigma$ -fields of  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $\mathcal{F}_n$  is generated by  $d^n$  disjoint atoms of probability  $d^{-n}$ . d is here and in the sequel a fixed integer. Thus, an atom Q of  $\mathcal{F}_n$  is the union of d atoms of  $\mathcal{F}_{n+1}$  which will be denoted  $Q^1 \dots Q^d$ .

For f an integrable function, we define  $f_n = \mathrm{E}(f \mid \mathscr{F}_n)$ . On any atom of  $\mathscr{F}_n$ ,  $f_n$  is constant and  $f_{n+1}$  assumes d values. Hence, still studying one atom only,  $f_{n+1} - f_n$  may be regarded as a vector in  $\mathbf{C}^d$ , which will be called the local difference of f on the atom.

<sup>(\*)</sup> Research has partly been done during boring lessons at Arméns stabsoch sambandsskola (Army School of Staff Work and Communications) in Uppsala.

266 S. JANSON

It is easily seen that every local difference actually belongs to the d-1 dimensional space  $V = \{(x_i)_{i=1}^d ; \Sigma x_i = 0\}$ .

Let A be a linear operator in V.

We define, whenever possible, Tf to be the function whose local differences are obtained from those of f by the operator A. (Also  $Tf_0 = 0$ ).

We will need the fact that T is a bounded operator on  $L^p$ , 1 .

We will represent A by a  $d \times d$  matrix. This represents an extension of A to an operator of  $C^d$  into  $C^d$  and may be chosen in many ways, but we will use the unique choice  $(a_{ij})_{i,j}$  such that  $\sum_j a_{ij} = \sum_i a_{ij} = 0$ . Note that the identity mapping in V is represented by  $\widetilde{1} = (\delta_{ij} - 1/d)_{i,j=1}^d$ , and the corresponding transform is Tf = f - Ef. C will denote various positive constants.

#### 2. The commutator.

For any integrable function f on  $\Omega$ , we define  $C_f$  to be the commutator of multiplication by f and the operator T above, i.e.  $C_f g = f T g - T(f g)$ . If  $f \in L^q$ , it is obvious that  $C_f$  is a continuous linear operator from  $L^p$  to  $L^r$ ,  $1 < r < p < \infty$  and 1/r = 1/p + 1/q.

The following theorem is less trivial. Here

BMO = 
$$\{f : \sup_{n,\omega} \mathbb{E}(|f - f_n| | \mathfrak{I}_n) < \infty\}.$$

We also have  $\sup E(|f-f_n|^p |\mathfrak{F}_n) < \infty$  for  $f \in BMO$  and any  $p < \infty$ .

THEOREM 1. – If  $f \in BMO$ , then  $C_f$  is a bounded linear operator in  $L^p$ , 1 .

**Proof.** – This is a simple adaptation of the proof of [4], Lemma 11, but for completeness, we give the main steps. We define  $g^* = \sup_n E(|g| | \mathscr{F}_n)$  and  $g^\# = \sup_n E(|g-g_n| | \mathscr{F}_n)$ . Choose q and r such that 1 < q < qr < p. Assume that  $\omega \in \mathbb{Q}$ , an atom of  $\mathscr{F}_n$ , and  $g \in L^p$ . Let  $g_1 = g \cdot X_0$ ,  $g_2 = g - g_1$  and  $a = f_n(\omega)$ .

 $C_f g = C_{f-a} g = (f-a) Tg - T(f-a) g_1 - T(f-a) g_2$ . We treat three terms separately.

$$E(|(f - a) Tg||Q) \le E(|f - a|^{q'}|Q)^{1/q'} E(|Tg|^{q}|Q)^{1/q}$$
  
$$\le C((Tg^{q})^{*}(\omega))^{1/q}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}(|\mathsf{T}(f-a)\,g_1|\,|\,\mathsf{Q}) & \leq d^{-n/r}\,\|\mathsf{T}(f-a)\,g_1\|_r \leq \mathsf{C}d^{-n/r}\,\|(f-a)\,g_1\|_r \\ & \leq \mathsf{C}((g^{rq})^*\,(\omega))^{1/rq}\;, \end{split}$$

and  $T(f-a)g_2$  is constant on Q. Hence

$$E(|C_f g - (C_f g)_n||Q) \le C((Tg^q)^* (\omega))^{1/q} + C((g^{rq})^* (\omega))^{1/rq},$$

and since the right hand side is independent of Q,

$$(C_f g)^\# \le C((Tg^q)^*)^{1/q} + C((g^{rq})^*)^{1/rq} \in L^p$$
.

Now  $C_f g \in L^p$  follows as in the real-variable case [2].

In order to prove the converse, we obviously have to exclude some cases, e.g. when T is the identity. The proper requirement turns out to be the following.

We define A to be degenerate if there exists  $i_0$  such that  $a_{i_0j}=a_{ji_0}=-a_{i_0i_0}/(d-1)$  for every  $j\neq i_0$ , otherwise A is non-degenerate.

Equivalently A is degenerate if and only if it is a multiple of  $\widetilde{1}$  plus a matrix having all entries in one row and in the corresponding column equal to zero.

Remark. — This property is weaker than the property required for the characterization of  $H^1$  and BMO by a different method in [3] (viz. that A has no real eigenvector). In the important special case  $a_{ij} = \alpha_{i-j}$  (where  $\alpha_{-k} = \alpha_{d-k}$ ), A is non-degenerate unless it is a multiple of the identity.

#### THEOREM 2. -

- a) Assume that A is non-degenerate. If  $C_f$  is bounded on any  $L^p$ , then  $f \in BMO$ .
  - b) If A is degenerate, this fails for every  $L^p$ , 1 .

*Proof.* – Assume that  $C_f$  is bounded on  $L^p$ . We choose an atom Q of  $\mathcal{F}_n(n \ge 1)$ .

Choose  $j, k \neq i$  and define g to be  $\chi_{Qj} - \chi_{Qk}$ . All local differences but one of g are zero and we find that  $Tg = a_{ii} - a_{ik}$ 

268 S. JANSON

on  $Q^i$ . Since fg is zero on  $Q^i$ , T(fg) is constant there. Thus there is a constant a such that

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{ij} - a_{ik}| & \operatorname{E}(|f - a| | Q^i) \leq \operatorname{E}(|(a_{ij} - a_{ik}) (f - a)|^p | Q^i)^{1/p} \\ & = \operatorname{E}(|C_f g|^p | Q^i)^{1/p} \leq |Q^i|^{-1/p} \|C_f g\|_p \\ & \leq \operatorname{C}|Q^i|^{-1/p} \|g\|_p = \operatorname{C}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently  $E(|f-a||Q^i) \le C$  unless the d-1 values of  $a_{ij}$ ,  $j \ne i$ , all are equal. In that case their common value must be  $-a_{ii}/(d-1)$ .

Now we note that the transpose operator  $C_f'$  is bounded on  $L^{p'}$ . However  $C_f' = -C_f^t$ , where  $C_f^t$  is the commutator of f and the operator  $T^t$  obtained as above from the transpose matrix  $A^t$ . Hence we also have  $E(|f-a||Q^i) \leq C$  unless  $a_{ij}^t = -a_{ii}^t/(d-1)$ . This together with the preliminary result above shows that  $E(|f-a||Q^i) \leq C$  unless A is degenerate. Since every atom, except  $\Omega$  itself, is of the form  $Q^i$  for some Q and i, this completes the proof of part a).

For the converse, let us assume that A is degenerate;  $A = \lambda \widetilde{1} + A'$  where  $a'_{i_0 j} = a'_{j i_0} = 0$ ,  $j = 1 \dots d$ . Hence  $Tg = \lambda(g - Eg) + T'g$ . We choose a positive integer N.  $\Omega$ ,  $\Omega^{i_0}$ ,  $(\Omega^{i_0})^{i_0}$ ... is a sequence of atoms of  $\mathscr{F}_0$ ,  $\mathscr{F}_1$ ,... respectively. Let  $Q \in \mathscr{F}_N$  be the (N+1):th of these, and define  $f = X_Q$ . Then it is easy to see, for any g, that  $T(f(g-g_N)) = fT(g-g_N)$ ,  $T'(fg_N) = 0$  and  $fT'g_N = 0$ . Consequently

$$C_f g = \lambda f(g - Eg) + f T'g - \lambda (fg - E(fg)) - T'(fg)$$
$$= \lambda (-f Eg + E(fg))$$

and  $\|C_f g\|_p \le |\lambda| (\|f\|_p + \|f\|_{p'}) \|g\|_p$ . Hence

$$\|C_f\| \le |\lambda| (\|f\|_p + \|f\|_{p'}).$$

If the result of part a) were to hold, we would by the closed graph theorem have  $||f||_{BMO} \le C ||C_f|| \le C(||f||_p + ||f||_{p'})$ , but we see that this is impossible by letting  $N \longrightarrow \infty$ .

#### 3. Various extensions.

On  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , Uchiyama [5] showed that the commutator is compact if and only if the function belongs to the subspace CMO of BMO. This holds in our case too.

DEFINITION. — CMO =  $\{f \in BMO : f_n \longrightarrow f \text{ in BMO as } n \longrightarrow \infty\} = \{f : \sup E(|f - f_n||\mathfrak{F}_n) \longrightarrow 0, n \longrightarrow \infty\}$ . CMO is the closure in BMO of the functions that are  $\mathfrak{F}_n$ -measurable for some n.

THEOREM 3. — Assume that A is non-degenerate. Then  $C_f$  is a compact operator of  $L^p$  into itself if and only if  $f \in CMO$  (1 .

Proof. — If  $f \in \text{CMO}$ ,  $\|C_{f_n} - C_f\| = \|C_{f_n - f}\| \le C \|f_n - f\|_{\text{BMO}}$  by Theorem 1. Hence  $C_{f_n} \longrightarrow C_f$ , and since the range of  $C_{f_n}$  is finite-dimensional,  $C_f$  is compact.

Conversely, if  $f \notin CMO$ , there exists an infinite sequence  $Q_n$  of atoms such that  $E(|f-E(f|Q_n)||Q_n) \geqslant C$  for some positive C. Thus, by the proof of Theorem 2, there exist functions  $g_n$  such that  $g_n$  and  $C_f g_n$  are supported on  $Q_n$ ,  $\|g_n\| \leqslant 1$  and  $\|C_f g_n\| \geqslant C$ . There is no convergent subsequence of  $\{C_f g_n\}$ , and hence  $C_f$  is not compact.

Quantitative estimates of the rate of convergence of  $f_n$  to f correspond to the commutator mapping one space into another.

Theorem 4. — Assume that A is non-degenerate. Let  $1 and let <math>\varphi$  be a positive increasing convex function on  $R^+$  such that  $\varphi(0) = 0$ ,  $\varphi(2t) \leq C\varphi(t)$  and  $t^{-1/p}\varphi^{-1}(t)$  is decreasing. Then  $E(|f-f_n||\mathscr{F}_n) \leq Cd^{-n/p}\varphi^{-1}(d^n)$  if and only if  $C_f$  maps  $L^p$  into the Orlicz space  $L\varphi$ .

The proof is similar to the one for  $\mathbb{R}^n$  given in [4].

We may also study more general operators. Let us assume that we begin with one linear operator  $A_Q$  in V for every atom Q. Define the operator T as before, now applying  $A_Q$  to the local difference on Q.

It is clear, by the same proof as above, that Theorem 1 (and one direction of Theorems 3 and 4) holds if the operators  $A_Q$  are uniformly bounded. Also, if the operators are uniformly non-degenerate, all theorems above hold.

#### **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- [1] R.R. COIFMAN, R. ROCHBERG and G. WEISS, Factorization theorems for Hardy spaces in several variables, *Ann. Math.*, 103 (1976), 611-635.
- [2] C. Fefferman and E.M. Stein, H<sup>p</sup>-spaces of several variables, *Acta Math.*, 129 (1972), 137-193.
- [3] S. Janson, Characterizations of  $H^1$  by singular integral transforms on martingales and  $R^n$ , Math. Scand., 41 (1977), 140-152.
- [4] S. Janson, Mean oscillation and commutators of singular integral operators, Ark. Mat., 16 (1978), 263-270.
- [5] A. UCHIYAMA, Compactness of operators of Hankel type, *Tôhoku Math. J.*, 30 (1978), 163-171.

Manuscrit reçu le 13 août 1980.

Svante Janson, Uppsala University Department of Mathematics Thunbergsvägen 3 S 752 38 Uppsala (Suède).