

ANNALES DE L'INSTITUT FOURIER

A. K. MOOKHOPADHYAYA

On restricted measurability

Annales de l'institut Fourier, tome 16, n° 2 (1966), p. 159-166

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIF_1966__16_2_159_0

© Annales de l'institut Fourier, 1966, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales de l'institut Fourier » (<http://annalif.ujf-grenoble.fr/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

ON RESTRICTED MEASURABILITY

by A. K. MOOKHOPADHYAYA

1. Introduction and Definitions.

The purpose of the present paper is to study some properties of the restricted measurability [5] and to show that a Radon measure similar to that of [4] can be constructed with the help of the notion of the restricted measurability. Before we go into details, we write out, for the sake of completeness, a few definitions and notations some of which are borrowed from the above papers and the standard texts such as Halmos [1] and Kelley [2].

1.1. DEFINITION. — μ is a measure (Carathéodory) on X if μ is a function on the family of all subsets of X to $0 \leq t \leq \infty$ such that

$$(i) \quad \mu(\emptyset) = 0$$

$$(ii) \quad 0 \leq \mu A \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu B_n, \quad \text{whenever} \quad A \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \subset X.$$

1.2. DEFINITION. — $A \subset X$ is μ -measurable if for every $T \subset X$

$$\mu T = \mu(T \cap A) + \mu(T \sim A)$$

where μ is a measure on X .

1.3. DEFINITION. — A partition is a finite or infinite disjoint sequence $\{E_i\}$ of sets such that $\bigcup_i E_i = X$.

1.4. DEFINITION. — A partition $\{E_i\}$ is called a μ -partition if

$$\mu A = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(A \cap E_i)$$

for every A in X and where μ is a measure on X .

1.5. DEFINITION. — If μ is a measure on X , then a partition $\{E_i\}$ is called a μ -partition F if for every E of F

$$\mu(TE) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(TE \cap E_i) \quad \text{whenever} \quad T \subset X.$$

1.6. DEFINITION. — If $\{E_i\}$ and $\{F_j\}$ are partitions, then $\{E_i\}$ is called a subpartition of $\{F_j\}$ if each E_i is contained in some F_j .

1.7. DEFINITION. — A set E is a μ -set if the partition $\{E, E'\}$ is a μ -partition.

1.8. DEFINITION. — A set D is a μ -set F if the partition $\{D, D'\}$ is a μ -partition F .

1.9. DEFINITION. — A is μ -measurable F if μ is a measure and, for each member E of F

$$\mu(TE) = \mu(TE \cap A) + \mu(TE \sim A)$$

whenever $T \subset X$.

1.10. DEFINITION. — F is μ -convenient if μ is a measure, F is hereditary, and corresponding to each T of finite μ measure there exists such a sequence C that $\mu\left(T \sim \bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} C_j\right) = 0$ and for each integer n , $C_n \subset C_{n+1} \in F$ and C_n is a μ -set F .

1.11. DEFINITION. — Sect (μ, B) is the function f on the subsets of X such that $f(\alpha) = \mu(\alpha B)$ for $\alpha \subset X$.

1.12. DEFINITION. — If ρ metrizes X , then

$$\text{dist}(A, B) = \inf \{\rho(x, y); x \in A, y \in B\}.$$

1.13. DEFINITION. — If X is a topological space, then μ is a Radon measure on X if μ is a measure and

- (i) open sets are μ -measurable
- (ii) if C is compact, then $\mu C < \infty$
- (iii) if α is open, then $\mu\alpha = \sup\{\mu C; C \text{ compact, } C \subset \alpha\}$
- (iv) if $A \subset X$, then $\mu A = \inf\{\mu\alpha, \alpha \text{ open, } A \subset \alpha\}$.

1.14. DEFINITION. — $(D, <)$ is a directed set if $D \neq 0$, D is partially ordered by $<$ such that for any $i, j \in D$, there exists $k \in D$ with $i < k, j < k$.

Let X be a regular topological space; \mathcal{B} be a base for the topology; $(D, <)$ be a directed set and for each $i \in D$, μ_i be a Radon measure on X .

For each $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}$, let

$$g(\alpha, E) = \frac{\int_t}{i \in D} \text{Sect} (\mu_i, E)\alpha \quad \text{where } E \text{ is a member of } \mathcal{F}.$$

Let $\varphi(A, E) = \inf\left\{ \sum_{\alpha \in H} g(\alpha, E); H \text{ countable, } H \subset \mathcal{B}, A \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in H} \alpha \right\}$
 and $\varphi^*(A, E) = \inf_{\substack{\alpha \text{ open} \\ A \subset \alpha}} \sup_{\substack{C \text{ compact} \\ C \subset \alpha}} \varphi(C, E)$ where $A \subset X$.

Then φ is a measure on X generated by g and \mathcal{B} [3].

2. Theorems and Corollaries.

2.1. THEOREM. — Product of two μ -partitions F is a μ -partition F .

Proof. — Let $\{E_i\}$ and $\{F_i\}$ be two μ -partitions F , then for every E of F

$$\mu(\text{TE}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(\text{TE} \cap E_i) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(\text{TE}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(\text{TE} \cap F_i)$$

whenever $T \subset X$.

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j} \mu(\text{TE} \cap E_i \cap F_j) &= \sum_j \left\{ \sum_i \mu(\text{TE} \cap F_j \cap E_i) \right\} \\ &= \sum_j \mu(\text{TE} \cap F_j) \\ &= \mu(\text{TE}), \end{aligned}$$

the proof is complete.

2.2. THEOREM. — *If a subpartition $\{F_i\}$ of a partition $\{E_i\}$ is a μ -partition F , then $\{E_i\}$ is a μ -partition F .*

Proof. — For $T \subset X$ and any member E of F , we have

$$\sum_i \mu(\text{TE} \cap E_i) = \sum_i \mu[\text{TE} \cap \{ \bigcup_j E_{ji} \}]$$

where $\bigcup_j E_{ji} = E_i$ and E_{ji} is a member of $\{F_i\}$

$$\leq \sum_i \sum_j \mu(\text{TE} \cap E_{ji}) = \mu(\text{TE}),$$

since $\{F_i\}$ is a μ -partition F . The reverse inequality is, however, clear. This proves the theorem.

2.3. THEOREM. — *A partition $\{E_i\}$ is a μ -partition F if each E_i is a μ -set F .*

Proof. — Suppose that each E_i is a μ -set F . Then for E in F and $T \subset X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\text{TE}) &= \mu(\text{TE} \cap E_1) + \mu(\text{TE} \cap E_1') \\ &= \mu(\text{TE} \cap E_1) + \mu(\text{TE} \cap \{E_2 \cup E_3 \cup \dots\}). \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\text{TE} \cap \{E_2 \cup E_3 \cup \dots\}) &= \mu(\text{TE} \cap \{E_2 \cup E_3 \cup \dots\} \cap E_2) \\ &\quad + \mu(\text{TE} \cap \{E_2 \cup E_3 \cup \dots\} \cap E_2') \\ &= \mu(\text{TE} \cap E_2) + \mu(\text{TE} \cap \{E_3 \cup E_4 \cup \dots\}). \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\mu(\text{TE}) = \mu(\text{TE} \cap E_1) + \mu(\text{TE} \cap E_2) + \mu(\text{TE} \cap \{E_3 \cup E_4 \cup \dots\}).$$

Proceeding in this way, we ultimately obtain

$$\mu(\text{TE}) = \mu(\text{TE} \cap E_1) + \mu(\text{TE} \cap E_2) + \dots = \sum_i \mu(\text{TE} \cap E_i).$$

This proves that $\{E_i\}$ is a μ -partition F .

Conversely, suppose that $\{E_i\}$ is a μ -partition F . Then for every E of F and $T \subset X$, we have $\mu(\text{TE}) = \sum_i \mu(\text{TE} \cap E_i)$.

Replacing T by $T \cap \{E_2 \cup E_3 \cup \dots\}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\text{TE} \cap \{E_2 \cup E_3 \cup \dots\}) &= \sum_i \mu(\text{TE} \cap \{E_2 \cup E_3 \cup \dots\} \cap E_i) \\ &= \mu(\text{TE} \cap E_2) + \mu(\text{TE} \cap E_3) + \dots \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(\text{TE}) &= \mu(\text{TE} \cap E_1) + \mu(\text{TE} \cap \{E_2 \cup E_3 \cup \dots\}) \\ &= \mu(\text{TE} \cap E_1) + \mu(\text{TE} \cap E'_1).\end{aligned}$$

This shows that E_1 is a μ -set F . Similarly, it can be shown that each E_i , $i = 2, 3, \dots$ is a μ -set F .

COROLLARY. — *If F is μ -convenient, then any μ -partition F is a μ -partition.*

Proof. — Let the partition $\{E_i\}$ be a μ -partition F , then each E_i is a μ -set F . Since F is μ -convenient, by Theorem 3.4 [5], a μ -set F is a μ -set. So, each E_i is a μ -set and consequently the partition $\{E_i\}$ is a μ -partition [p. 48 [1]].

In the following two theorems, we shall suppose that ρ metrizes X .

2.4. THEOREM. — *If F is hereditary and $\mu(A \cup B) = \mu A + \mu B$ whenever A and B are such members of F that $d(A, B) > 0$, then each open set is a μ -set F .*

Proof. — This theorem is due to Trevor J. McMinn [5].

2.5. THEOREM. — *If F is μ -convenient and every open set is a μ -set F , then μ is a metric outer measure.*

Proof. — It follows from Theorem 3.4 [5] that each open set is a μ -set. Let A and B be two sets with $d(A, B) > 0$.

Let α be an open set such that $A \subset \alpha$ and $\alpha \cap B = 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(A \cup B) &= \mu(\{A \cup B\} \cap \alpha) + \mu(\{A \cup B\} \sim \alpha) \\ &= \mu A + \mu B.\end{aligned}$$

In the following theorems, we shall suppose that X is a regular topological space and \mathcal{B} be a base for the topology.

2.6. THEOREM. — *If A and B are disjoint, closed compact sets, then*

$$\varphi(A \cup B, E) = \varphi(A, E) + \varphi(B, E) \quad \text{for each } E \text{ of } F.$$

Proof. — Let α and β be two open sets such that $A \subset \alpha$, $B \subset \beta$ and $\alpha \cap \beta = 0$. This is possible, since X is regular. If $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, there exists a sequence $\{\nu_n\}$ of open

sets such that

$$A \cup B \subset \bigcup_n v_n \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_n g(v_n, E) \leq \varphi(A \cup B, E) + \varepsilon.$$

Let $v'_n = v_n \cap \alpha$ and $v''_n = v_n \cap \beta$, then v'_n, v''_n are open and

$$A \subset \bigcup_n v'_n, \quad B \subset \bigcup_n v''_n.$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(A, E) + \varphi(B, E) &\leq \sum_n \{g(v'_n, E) + g(v''_n, E)\} \\ &= \sum_n \{g(v_n \cap \alpha, E) + g(v_n \cap \beta, E)\} \\ &= \sum_n \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{L}t}{i \in D} \text{Sect}(\mu_i, E)(v_n \cap \alpha) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\mathcal{L}t}{i \in D} \text{Sect}(\mu_i, E)(v_n \cap \beta) \right\} \\ &\leq \sum_n \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{L}t}{i \in D} \text{Sect}(\mu_i, E)v_n \right\} \\ &= \sum_n g(v_n, E) \\ &\leq \varphi(A \cup B, E) + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we have

$$\varphi(A, E) + \varphi(B, E) \leq \varphi(A \cup B, E).$$

The reverse inequality is clear, because φ is a Carathéodory measure. This proves the theorem.

2.7. THEOREM. — For each E of F , φ^* is a Radon measure on X .

Proof. — (i) If α is any open set, by definition

$$\varphi^*(\alpha, E) = \sup_{\substack{C \text{ compact} \\ C \subset \alpha}} \varphi(C, E) \leq \varphi(\alpha, E).$$

So, for any $A \subset X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^*(A, E) &= \inf_{\substack{\alpha \text{ open} \\ A \subset \alpha}} \sup_{\substack{C \text{ compact} \\ C \subset \alpha}} \varphi(C, E) = \inf_{\substack{\alpha \text{ open} \\ A \subset \alpha}} \varphi^*(\alpha, E) \\ &\leq \inf_{\substack{\alpha \text{ open} \\ A \subset \alpha}} \varphi(\alpha, E) = \varphi(A, E). \end{aligned}$$

If C is compact and α is open, $C \subset \alpha$, we have

$$\varphi(C, E) \leq \varphi^*(\alpha, E), \quad \text{so} \quad \varphi(C, E) \leq \varphi^*(C, E).$$

Therefore, if C is compact, $\varphi(C, E) = \varphi^*(C, E)$. But, it is clear that for any compact C , $\varphi(C, E) < \infty$ and hence $\varphi^*(C, E) < \infty$.

(ii) Let α be an open set, $T \subset X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ arbitrary. Since for any $A \subset X$, $\varphi^*(A, E) = \inf_{\substack{v \text{ open} \\ A \subset v}} \varphi(v, E)$, there exists open set T' , $T \subset T'$ and $\varphi^*(T', E) < \varphi^*(T, E) + \varepsilon$.

$$\text{Also, } \varphi^*(\alpha, E) = \sup_{\substack{C \text{ compact} \\ C \subset \alpha}} \varphi(C, E).$$

Therefore, since X is regular, there exists a closed compact set $C_1 \subset T' \cap \alpha$ such that $\varphi^*(T' \cap \alpha, E) \leq \varphi(C_1, E) + \varepsilon$. Similarly, there exists a closed compact set $C_2 \subset T' \sim C_1$ such that $\varphi^*(T' \sim C_1, E) \leq \varphi(C_2, E) + \varepsilon$.

So,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^*(T \cap \alpha, E) + \varphi^*(T \sim \alpha, E) &\leq \varphi^*(T' \cap \alpha, E) + \varphi^*(T' \sim C_1, E) \\ &\leq \varphi(C_1, E) + \varphi(C_2, E) + 2\varepsilon \\ &= \varphi^*(C_1 \cup C_2, E) + 2\varepsilon, \text{ by Theorem 2.6} \\ &\leq \varphi^*(T', E) + 2\varepsilon \\ &\leq \varphi^*(T, E) + 3\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, this shows that α is φ^* -measurable.

The other properties are evident. This proves the theorem.

2.8. THEOREM. — *If A and B are sets of which any one of them is open and $A \cap B = 0$, then*

$$\varphi^*(A \cup B, E) = \varphi^*(A, E) + \varphi^*(B, E) \quad \text{for each } E \text{ of } F.$$

Proof. — Let A be open and so it is φ^* -measurable. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^*(A \cup B, E) &= \varphi^*\{(A \cup B) \cap A, E\} + \varphi^*\{(A \cup B) \sim A, E\} \\ &= \varphi^*(A, E) + \varphi^*(B, E). \end{aligned}$$

2.9. THEOREM. — *If X is a metric space, then φ^* is a metric outer measure.*

Proof. — This is clear.

In conclusion, I offer my best thanks to Dr. B. K. Lahiri of Calcutta University for his helpful guidance in the preparation of this paper.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] P. R. HALMOS, *Measure Theory* (1950).
- [2] J. -L. KELLEY, *General Topology* (1955).
- [3] M. E. MUNROE, *Measure and Integration* (1952).
- [4] M. SION, A characterization of weak convergence, *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* (1964), vol. 14, n° 3, 1059.
- [5] TREVOR J. McMinn, Restricted Measurability, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* (1948), vol. 54, July-Dec., 1105.

Manuscrit reçu le 24 septembre 1965.

Asim K. MOOKHOPADHYAYA,
Department of Mathematics,
Charuchandra College,
22 Lake Road,
Calcutta-29 (Inde)