### COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

### LAWRENCE CORWIN

# Inducing "supercuspidal" representations of unipotent p-adic groups from compact-mod-center subgroups

Compositio Mathematica, tome 84, nº 1 (1992), p. 85-89

<a href="http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM">http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM</a> 1992 84 1 85 0>

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1992, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (http://http://www.compositio.nl/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.



Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/

## Inducing 'Supercuspidal' Representations of Unipotent *p*-adic groups from compact-mod-Center Subgroups

#### LAWRENCE CORWIN\*

Department of Mathematics, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ 08903, U.S.A.

Received 10 January 1991; accepted 20 August 1991

**Keywords:** nilpotent *p*-adic Lie group, square integrable irreducible representation, supercuspidal representation, induced representation, compact open subgroup.

**Abstract.** Let G be a p-adic nilpotent Lie group,  $\pi$  an irreducible unitary representation of G with matrix coefficients that are  $L^2$  functions modulo the center Z of G. It is proved that  $\pi$  is induced from a character on a subgroup that is compact modulo Z.

Let G be a totally disconnected locally compact group, with center Z. We shall say that an irreducible unitary representation  $\pi$  of G is supercuspidal if  $\pi$  has matrix coefficients with compact support modulo Z. In the case of reductive padic groups, this agrees with the standard definition (see [5]). For these groups, it is a "classical" conjecture that any supercuspidal representation is induced from a finite-dimensional representation on a subgroup that is compact mod Z; while some recent progress has been made, the conjecture is still open.

In this note, we show that the corresponding result for *p*-adic nilpotent groups is true. More precisely, we prove:

THEOREM. Let G be the group of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -rational points of a unipotent algebraic group over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , and let Z be the center of G. If  $\pi$  is an irreducible unitary representation of G with square integrable matrix coefficients mod Z, then there is an open subgroup  $K \supseteq Z$  and a character  $\chi$  on K such that K/Z is compact and  $\pi = \operatorname{Ind}_K^G \chi$ .

REMARK. It is clear that supercuspidal representations have matrix coefficients that are square integrable mod Z. For nilpotent p-adic groups, the converse is true (see below); for reductive p-adic groups, the converse is known to be false.

Before embarking on the proof, we recall some facts about representation theory for G. The basic principle (see [3]) is that the theory is the same as for real nilpotent Lie groups. We write  $P^n$  for the compact open subgroup  $p^n \mathbb{Z}_p \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_p$ .

<sup>\*</sup>Supported by NSF Grant DMS 89-02993.

Let  $\psi$  be the standard character on  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\psi)$  is trivial on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  but not on  $P^{-1}$ ), let g be the Lie algebra of G (over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ ), and let  $g^*$  be the dual of g;  $g^* \simeq g^*$  under the correspondence of  $l \in g^*$  with the homomorphism  $X \mapsto \psi(l(X))$  of  $g^* \to S^1$ . G acts on g by Ad and on  $g^*$  by the contragredient action, Ad\*, and  $G \cong g^*/Ad^*(G)$ , just as described by Kirillov theory for real groups. For  $l \in g^*$ , let  $r_l = \{Y \in g: l([X, Y]) = 0 \text{ for all } X \in g\}$ . There exists a subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  of g such that  $l|_{\mathfrak{h}}$  is a Lie homomorphism and  $2 \dim \mathfrak{h} = \dim \mathfrak{g} + \dim \mathfrak{r}_l$  (as  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -vector spaces). Define  $\chi_l$  on  $M = \exp \mathfrak{h}$  by  $\chi_l(\exp Y) = \psi(l(Y))$ . (If one realizes  $\mathfrak{h}$  as a Lie subalgebra of the strictly upper triangular matrices, then  $\exp$  is the usual exponential,

$$\exp Y = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{Y^j}{j!};$$

the sum is really finite.) Then  $\operatorname{Ind}_H^G \chi_l = \pi_l$  is the element of G corresponding to l. For  $\pi \in G$ , we let  $\mathcal{O}_{\pi}$  be the corresponding  $\operatorname{Ad}^*(G)$ -orbit; thus  $l \in \mathcal{O}_{\pi_l}$ .

For  $\pi \in G$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a)  $\pi$  has square integrable matrix coefficients mod Z.
- (b) For all  $l \in \mathcal{O}_{\pi}$ ,  $r_l = 3$  (the center of g, and the Lie algebra of Z).
- (c) For any  $l \in \mathcal{O}_{\pi}$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_{\pi} = l + 3^{\perp}$ .
- (d) Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_r$  span a subspace of g complementary to 3. Then the  $r \times r$  matrix  $A = (a_{ij}) = (l([X_i, X_i]))$  is invertible.

In fact, if one defines Haar measure on G/Z by exponentiating the Haar measure on  $g/3 \simeq \mathbb{Q}_p X_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{Q}_p X_r$  that gives  $\mathbb{Z}_p X_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}_p X_r$  mass 1, then the formal degree  $d_{\pi}$  of  $\pi$  with respect to this measure is  $|\text{Det }A|^{1/2}$ , where  $|\cdot|$  denotes the usual p-adic absolute value.

The equivalence of (a)–(d) and the other results on square integrable representations are proved in [4] and [1] for real groups; both proofs can be adapted to the p-adic situation. Further remarks on this matter can be found in [2], and further details will appear in a forthcoming book by F. P. Greenleaf and the author.

Van Dijk proved in [2] that any  $\pi$  satisfying the equivalent conditions (a)-(d) is in fact supercuspidal. (See our earlier remark.) We do not need van Dijk's result to prove the Theorem; thus we get a new proof that if  $\pi$  has square integrable coefficients, then  $\pi$  is supercuspidal. We say more about the results in [2] below.

Proof of the Theorem. We use induction on dim G; when dim G=1, G is Abelian and the theorem is trivial. Let  $Z=\exp \mathfrak{z}$  be the center of G, let  $\pi$  have square-integrable matrix coefficients mod Z, and let  $l\in \mathcal{O}_{\pi}$ . If dim Z>1, then there is a 1-dimensional subgroup  $Z_0\subseteq Z\cap \operatorname{Ker}\pi$ ; this reduces the problem for G to one for  $G/Z_0$ , where the inductive hypothesis applies.

We may therefore assume that dim Z=1 and that l is nontrivial on Z. Let  $X_1 \in \mathfrak{F}$  satisfy  $l(X_1)=1$ , let  $\overline{Y}$  be central in  $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}=\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{F}$ , and let Y be a pre-image of Y in  $\mathfrak{g}$  with l(Y)=0. (Since Y and  $Y+\alpha X_1$  map to  $\overline{Y}$ , this is possible.) Just as in the real case (see, e.g., Lemma 1.1.12 of [1]), the centralizer  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  of Y in  $\mathfrak{g}$  is an ideal of codimension 1. We can pick a basis  $\{X_1,\ldots,X_n\}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that:

- (i) for all j,  $g_i = \text{span}\{X_1, \dots, X_i\}$  is an ideal of g;
- (ii)  $g_0 = g_{n-1}$  and  $Y = X_2$ .

We may also assume (possibly rescaling elements  $X_j$  with j > 1) that all structure constants for this basis are in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ ; i.e.,  $[X_i, X_j] = \sum_{k=1}^n c_{ijk} X_k$ , with all  $c_{ijk} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . (In addition,  $c_{ijk} = 0$  if  $k \ge i$  or  $k \ge j$ , by (i) above.) We use this basis to define Haar measure on G and on G/Z so that  $\exp(\sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{Z}_p X_j)$  has mass 1 in G and its image in G/Z has mass 1 there.

By construction,  $[X_2, X_j] = 0$  if j < n. Therefore the first row and first column of the matrix  $A = (l([X_i, X_j]): 2 \le i, j \le n)$  have only one nonzero element each, namely  $l([X_2, X_n])$  and  $l([X_n, X_2])$  respectively. In  $\mathbb{G}_0$ , the radical  $\mathfrak{r}_{l_0}$  of  $l_0 = l|_{\mathbb{G}_0}$  is  $\mathfrak{z}_0 = \mathbb{Q}_p X_1 + \mathbb{Q}_p X_2$ , the center of  $\mathbb{G}_0$ . (Obviously  $\mathfrak{z}_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{r}_{l_0}$ , but  $\dim \mathfrak{r}_{l_0} \le \dim \mathfrak{r}_l + 1 = 2$ .) The corresponding matrix  $A_0 = (l_0([X_i, X_j]): 3 \le i, j \le n - 1)$  is nonsingular because  $\mathrm{Det}\, A = l([X_2, X_n])^2 \,\mathrm{Det}\, A_0$ . Let  $\sigma$  be the representation corresponding to  $l_0$ . The above computation of  $\mathrm{Det}\, A_0$  shows that  $\sigma_0$  is square-integrable; Kirillov theory says that  $\sigma$  induces to  $\pi$ . In fact, the computation also shows that if we normalize Haar measure on  $G_0/Z_0$  (where  $Z_0 = \exp \mathfrak{z}_0$ ) by giving  $\exp(\Sigma_{j=3}^{n-1} \mathbb{Z}_p X_j)$  mass 1, then the formal degrees of  $\pi$ ,  $\sigma$  are related by  $d_{\pi} = |l([X_2, X_n])|d_{\sigma}$ .

Let  $K_0$  be a compact-mod-center subgroup of  $G_0$  such that  $\sigma$  is induced from  $\chi_0$  on  $K_0$ . Then  $K_0 = H_0 \exp(\mathbb{Q}_p X_1 + \mathbb{Q}_p X_2)$ , where  $\log H_0 \subseteq \operatorname{span}$  $\{X_3,\ldots,X_{n-1}\}.$ Let  $K_1 \subseteq G_0$  be the group generated  $H_0 \exp \mathbb{Z}_p X_2 \exp \mathbb{Q}_p X_1$ .  $K_1$  is compact mod Z; the reason is that if we use exponential coordinates on G, we need only to worry that the  $X_2$  coordinates of elements stay bounded, and the compactness of  $H_0$  insures this. We may assume (by perhaps increasing  $K_1$ ) that  $K_1 = H_0 \exp(\mathbb{Q}_p X_1 + P^{g_0} X_2)$ . Choose an open subgroup  $P^h \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_p$ ,  $h \ge 0$ , such that  $\exp(P^h X_n)$  normalizes  $K_1$  and fixes  $\chi_0$  there. (Clearly  $\exp tX^n$  normalizes  $K_1$  if it normalizes  $K_1 \mod Z_1$  and it fixes  $\chi_0$  if it fixes  $\chi$  on the compact open group generated by  $H_0\mathbb{Z}_pX_2\exp\mathbb{Z}_pX_1$ . Because  $K_1/Z_1$  is open and  $\chi$  is locally constant, for every  $\bar{x} \in K_1/Z_1$  there is an integer  $n(\bar{x})$  and a neighborhood  $U_{\bar{x}}$  of  $\bar{x}$  such that conjugation by any element of  $\exp(P^{n(\bar{x})}X_n)$ maps  $U(\bar{x})$  into  $K_1/Z_1$ . Let  $U(\bar{x}_1), \ldots, U(\bar{x}_m)$  cover  $K_1/Z_1$ , and let  $h_1 = \max(n(x_1), \dots, n(x_m))$ . Then  $\exp(P^{h_1}X_n)$  normalizes  $K_1/Z_1$  and hence  $K_1$ . The proof that we can choose  $h \ge h_1$  so that  $\exp(P^h X_n)$  also fixes  $\chi_0$  is similar.) Suppose that  $[X_n, X_2] = aX_1$ , so that  $l([X_n, X_2]) = a$ ; choose an integer g so that  $aP^{g+h} = \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Since  $h \ge 0$  and  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ ,  $g \le 0$ ; also,  $g \le g_0$ . Then  $|l(a)| = p^{-(g+h)}$ . We know that  $\chi_0$  is trivial on  $\exp \mathbb{Q}_p X_2$ ,  $\exp \mathbb{Q}_n X_2 \subseteq \operatorname{Ker} \sigma_0$ . Furthermore,  $\exp(tX_n) \exp(uX_2) \exp(-tX_n) = \exp(-tX_n)$ 

 $(uX_2 + atuX_1)$ ; this shows that  $\exp tX_n$  fixes  $\chi_0$  on  $K_1 \exp P^gX_2 = K_0 \exp P^gX_2$ . Define  $\chi$  on  $K = K_0 \exp P^gX_2 \exp P^hX_n$  by letting  $\chi(k_0 \exp tX_2 \exp uX_n) = \chi_0(k_0)$ ; the definition of h and the above remarks show that  $\chi$  is a character.

Proving directly that  $\operatorname{Ind}_K^G \chi \simeq \pi$  presents some problems (though a direct proof does exist); the argument that follows has its own interest. Let  $\rho = \operatorname{Ind}_K^G \chi$ . Then  $\rho|_Z$  is a multiple of  $\chi|_Z$ , and the same is true for  $\pi|_Z$ . Since the Kirillov orbit of  $\pi$  is  $l+\mathfrak{z}^\perp$ , any irreducible agreeing with  $\pi$  on Z must be  $\pi$ . Therefore  $\rho$  is a multiple of  $\pi$ .

Realize  $\sigma$  as  $\operatorname{Ind}_{K_0}^{G_0} \chi_0$ ; since  $K_0 \backslash G_0$  is discrete, counting measure on cosets is an invariant measure. The function  $\varphi: G_0 \to \mathbb{C}$  defined by

$$\varphi_0(x_0) = \begin{cases} \chi_0(x_0) & \text{if } x_0 \in K_0, \\ 0 & \text{if } x_0 \notin K_0 \end{cases}$$

is clearly in  $\mathscr{H}_{\pi_0}$ , and  $\|\varphi_0\|_2^2 = 1$ . It is easy to see that  $\langle \sigma(x)\varphi_0, \varphi_0 \rangle = \varphi_0(x)$ . Therefore the matrix coefficient  $f_0 = f_{\varphi_0, \varphi_0}$  is equal to  $\varphi_0$ , and

$$||f_0||^2 = \int_{G_0} |\varphi_0(x)|^2 dx = \bar{m}_0(K_0),$$

where  $\bar{m}_0$  is Haar measure on  $G_0/Z_0$ . Since  $\|f_0\|^2 = d_{\pi_0}^{-1}\|\varphi_0\|^4 = d_{\pi_0}^{-1}$ , this means that  $d_{\pi_0}^{-1} = \bar{m}_0(K_0)$ . Hence  $d_{\pi}^{-1} = |a|^{-1}\bar{m}_0(K_0)$ , where a was defined above. We have  $K_0 = H_0Z_0$  and  $K = (H_0\exp(P^gX_2)\exp(P^hX_n))Z$ ; a Fubini-type argument (using the fact that the map of  $g_0 \times \mathbb{Q}_p$  to G taking  $(X_0, t)$  to  $\exp X_0 \exp tX_n$  preserves Haar measure; see §1.2 of [1] for the corresponding result in the real case) shows that

$$\bar{m}(K/Z) = \bar{m}_0(K_0/Z_0)|p^{g+h}|,$$

where  $\bar{m}$  is Haar measure on G/Z. Since  $|p^{g+h}| = |a|^{-1}$ , we have

$$d_{\pi}^{-1}=\bar{m}(K/Z).$$

Because K/G is discrete, counting measure for cosets gives an invariant measure that we use to define  $\mathscr{H}_a$ . Define  $\varphi: G \to \mathbb{C}$  by

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} \chi(x) & \text{if } x \in K, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin K. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{\rho}$  and  $\|\varphi\|_2^2 = 1$ . The same calculation as with  $\varphi_0$  shows that the matrix coefficient  $f = f_{\varphi,\varphi}$  satisfies  $\|f\|^2 = \bar{m}(K/Z) = d_{\pi}^{-1}$ .

The vector  $\varphi$  is clearly cyclic for  $\rho$ . Suppose that  $\rho$  is not irreducible and that  $\mathscr{H}_{\rho} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} \mathscr{H}_{j}$  is a decomposition of  $\rho$  into irreducibles (all equivalent to  $\pi$ ; r may be  $\infty$ ). Write  $\varphi = \sum_{j=1}^{r} \varphi_{j}$  correspondingly, so that  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|\varphi_{j}\|^{2} = 1$ , and let  $f_{j} = f_{\varphi_{j},\varphi_{j}}$  be the matrix coefficient corresponding to  $\varphi_{j}$ . Clearly  $\langle \rho(x)\varphi_{i}, \varphi_{j} \rangle = 0$  if  $i \neq j$ ; therefore

$$f = \sum_{j=1}^{r} f_j.$$

Now  $||f|| = d_{\pi}^{-1/2}$  and  $||f_i|| = d_{\pi}^{-1/2} ||\varphi_i||^2$ . Hence

$$||f|| = \sum_{j=1}^{r} ||f_j||.$$

This is possible only if the  $f_j$  are all nonnegative multiples of f. Hence if  $U_j$ :  $\mathcal{H}_1 \to \mathcal{H}_j$  gives the unitary equivalence of  $\rho_1$  with  $\rho_j$ , then  $U_j(\varphi_1) = c_j \varphi_j$  for some constants  $c_j$ . But it is then obvious that  $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots)$  is not cyclic in  $\mathcal{H}_{\varrho}$ . This contradiction completes the proof.

REMARK. Van Dijk proved in [2] not only that square-integrable  $\pi$  have compact-mod-center matrix coefficients, but, that if  $v, w \in \mathcal{H}_{\pi}$  and  $\varphi, \psi \in C_0^{\infty}(G)$  (the space of locally constant functions with compact support), then  $f_{\pi(\varphi)v,\pi(\psi)w}$  has compact support mod Z. One can also prove this by mimicking the proof of the corresponding result for real groups (see Theorem 4.5 of [1]); we omit the details. There does not seem to be an easy way to modify the above proof to yield Van Dijk's result as well.

#### References

- Corwin, L.J., and Greenleaf, F.P. Representations of Nilpotent Lie Groups and their Applications, Part I. Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- 2. Van Dijk, G., Square integrable representations mod Z of unipotent groups, Compositio Math. 29 (1974), 141-150.
- 3. Moore, C.C., Decomposition of unitary representations defined by discrete subgroups of nilpotent Lie groups, *Ann. Math.* 82 (1965), 146–182.
- 3. Moore, C.C., and Wolf, J.A., Square integrable representations of nilpotent Lie groups, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* 185 (1973), 445-62.
- Silberger, A., Introduction to Harmonic Analysis on Reductive P-adic Groups. Mathematical Notes, #23. Princeton University Press, 1979.