



INSTITUT DE FRANCE  
Académie des sciences

# *Comptes Rendus*

---

## *Mathématique*

Jacob Fox, Yuval Wigderson and Yufei Zhao

**A short proof of the canonical polynomial van der Waerden theorem**

Volume 358, issue 8 (2020), p. 957-959

Published online: 3 December 2020

<https://doi.org/10.5802/crmath.101>



This article is licensed under the  
CREATIVE COMMONS ATTRIBUTION 4.0 INTERNATIONAL LICENSE.  
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



*Les Comptes Rendus. Mathématique* sont membres du  
Centre Mersenne pour l'édition scientifique ouverte  
[www.centre-mersenne.org](http://www.centre-mersenne.org)  
e-ISSN : 1778-3569



---

Number Theory / *Théorie des nombres*

# A short proof of the canonical polynomial van der Waerden theorem

## *Une démonstration courte du théorème de van der Waerden polynomial canonique*

Jacob Fox<sup>a</sup>, Yuval Wigderson<sup>a</sup> and Yufei Zhao<sup>\*, b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Mathematics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA

<sup>b</sup> Department of Mathematics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA

E-mails: [jacobfox@stanford.edu](mailto:jacobfox@stanford.edu) (Fox), [yuvalwig@stanford.edu](mailto:yuvalwig@stanford.edu) (Wigderson), [yufeiz@mit.edu](mailto:yufeiz@mit.edu) (Zhao)

**Abstract.** We present a short new proof of the canonical polynomial van der Waerden theorem, recently established by Girão.

**Résumé.** Nous présentons une nouvelle démonstration courte du théorème de van der Waerden polynomial canonique, récemment établi par Girão.

**2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.** 05D10, 11B30.

**Funding.** Fox is supported by a Packard Fellowship and by NSF award DMS-1855635. Wigderson is supported by NSF GRFP grant DGE-1656518. Zhao is supported by NSF award DMS-1764176, the MIT Solomon Buchsbaum Fund, and a Sloan Research Fellowship.

*Manuscript received 9th July 2020, revised 21st July 2020, accepted 26th July 2020.*

Girão [4] recently proved the following canonical version of the polynomial van der Waerden theorem. Here *canonical* [3] refers to the fact that the statement is independent of the number of colors. A set is *rainbow* if all elements have distinct colors. We write  $[N] := \{1, \dots, N\}$ .

**Theorem 1 ([4]).** *Let  $p_1, \dots, p_k$  be distinct polynomials with integer coefficients and  $p_i(0) = 0$  for each  $i$ . For all sufficiently large  $N$ , every coloring of  $[N]$  contains a sequence  $x + p_1(y), \dots, x + p_k(y)$  (for some  $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$ ) that is monochromatic or rainbow.*

Girão's proof uses a color-focusing argument. Here we give a new short proof of Theorem 1, deducing it from the polynomial Szemerédi theorem of Bergelson and Leibman [1].

---

\* Corresponding author.

**Theorem 2 ([1]).** *Let  $p_1, \dots, p_k$  be distinct polynomials with integer coefficients and  $p_i(0) = 0$  for each  $i$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . For all  $N$  sufficiently large, every  $A \subset [N]$  with  $|A| \geq \varepsilon N$  contains  $x + p_1(y), \dots, x + p_k(y)$  for some  $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

Our proof of Theorem 1 follows the strategy of Erdős and Graham [2], who deduced a canonical van der Waerden theorem (i.e., for arithmetic progressions) using Szemerédi’s theorem [7].

We quote the following result, proved by Linnik [6] in his elementary solution of Waring’s problem (see [5, Theorem 19.7.2]). Note the left-hand side below counts the number of solutions  $f(y_1) + \dots + f(y_{s/2}) = f(y_{s/2+1}) + \dots + f(y_s)$  with  $y_1, \dots, y_s \in [n]$ .

**Theorem 3 ([6]).** *Fix a polynomial  $f$  of degree  $d \geq 2$  with integer coefficients. Let  $s = 8^{d-1}$ . Then*

$$\int_0^1 \left| \sum_{y=1}^n e^{2\pi i \theta f(y)} \right|^s d\theta = O(n^{s-d})$$

for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where the constant in the big- $O$  depends only on  $f$ .

**Lemma 4.** *Fix a polynomial  $f$  of degree  $d \geq 2$  with integer coefficients. For every  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the number of pairs  $(a, y) \in A \times [n]$  with  $a + f(y) \in A$  is*

$$O\left(|A|^{1+\frac{1}{s}} n^{1-\frac{d}{s}}\right),$$

where  $s = 8^{d-1}$ .

**Proof.** We write

$$\widehat{1}_A(\theta) = \sum_{x \in A} e^{2\pi i \theta x} \quad \text{and} \quad F(\theta) = \sum_{y=1}^n e^{2\pi i \theta f(y)}.$$

Then the number of solutions to  $z = a + f(y)$  with  $a, z \in A$  and  $y \in [n]$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 |\widehat{1}_A(\theta)|^2 F(\theta) d\theta &\leq \left( \int_0^1 |\widehat{1}_A(\theta)|^{\frac{2s}{s-1}} d\theta \right)^{1-\frac{1}{s}} \left( \int_0^1 |F(\theta)|^s d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} && \text{[Hölder]} \\ &\leq \left( |A|^{\frac{2}{s-1}} \int_0^1 |\widehat{1}_A(\theta)|^2 d\theta \right)^{1-\frac{1}{s}} \cdot O\left(n^{1-\frac{d}{s}}\right) && [|\widehat{1}_A(\theta)| \leq |A| \text{ and Theorem 3}] \\ &= \left( |A|^{\frac{2}{s-1}} |A| \right)^{1-\frac{1}{s}} \cdot O\left(n^{1-\frac{d}{s}}\right) && \text{[Parseval]} \\ &= O\left(|A|^{1+\frac{1}{s}} n^{1-\frac{d}{s}}\right). && \square \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 5.** *Fix a polynomial  $f$  of degree  $d \geq 1$  with integer coefficients. Let  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose that  $|A \cap [x, x+L]| \leq \varepsilon L$  for every  $L \geq n^d$  and  $x \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the number of pairs  $(a, y) \in A \times [n]$  with  $a + f(y) \in A$  is  $O(\varepsilon^{1/s} |A| n)$ , where  $s = 8^{d-1}$ .*

**Proof.** If  $d = 1$ , then for every  $x \in A$ , the number of  $y \in [n]$  so that  $x + f(y) \in A$  is  $O(\varepsilon n)$  by the local density condition on  $A$ . Summing over all  $x \in A$  yields the desired bound  $O(\varepsilon |A| n)$  on the number of pairs. From now on assume  $d \geq 2$ .

Let  $m = O(n^d)$  so that  $|f(y)| \leq m$  for all  $y \in [n]$ . Let  $A_i = A \cap [im, (i+2)m)$ . Then  $|A_i| = O(\varepsilon m)$ . Every pair  $a, a + f(y) \in A$  with  $y \in [n]$  is contained in some  $A_i$ , and, by Lemma 4, the number of pairs contained in each  $A_i$  is

$$O\left(|A_i|^{1+\frac{1}{s}} n^{1-\frac{d}{s}}\right) = O\left((\varepsilon m)^{\frac{1}{s}} |A_i| n^{1-\frac{d}{s}}\right) = O(\varepsilon^{1/s} |A_i| n).$$

Summing over all integers  $i$  yields Lemma 5 (each element of  $A$  lies in precisely two different  $A_i$ ’s). □

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Choose a sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$  (depending on  $p_1, \dots, p_k$ ). Consider a coloring of  $[N]$  without monochromatic progressions  $x + p_1(y), \dots, x + p_k(y)$ . By Theorem 2, every color class has density at most  $\varepsilon$  on every sufficiently long interval.

Let  $D = \max_{i \neq j} \deg(p_i - p_j)$ . Let  $n$  be an integer on the order of  $N^{1/D}$  so that  $x + p_1(y), \dots, x + p_k(y) \in [N]$  only if  $y \in [n]$ . We apply Lemma 5 with  $A$  a fixed color class and  $f = p_i - p_j$ ; for every choice of  $x + p_i(y) = a_1 \in A$  and  $x + p_j(y) = a_2 \in A$ , we have that  $a_2 + f(y) = a_1$ , so  $(a_2, y)$  is a solution of the form in Lemma 5. Summing over all  $i \neq j$ , we see that the number of pairs  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{N} \times [n]$  where at least two of  $x + p_1(y), \dots, x + p_k(y)$  lie in  $A$  is  $O(\varepsilon^{1/8^{D-1}} |A|n)$ . Summing over all color classes  $A$ , we see that the number of non-rainbow progressions  $x + p_1(y), \dots, x + p_k(y) \in [N]$  is  $O(\varepsilon^{1/8^{D-1}} Nn)$ . Since the total number of sequences  $x + p_1(y), \dots, x + p_k(y) \in [N]$  is on the order of  $Nn$ , some such sequence must be rainbow, as long as  $\varepsilon > 0$  is small enough and  $N$  is large enough.  $\square$

## References

- [1] V. Bergelson, A. Leibman, “Polynomial extensions of van der Waerden’s and Szemerédi’s theorems”, *J. Am. Math. Soc.* **9** (1996), no. 3, p. 725-753.
- [2] P. Erdős, R. L. Graham, *Old and new problems and results in combinatorial number theory*, Monographies de l’Enseignement Mathématique, vol. 28, L’Enseignement Mathématique, 1980, 128 pages.
- [3] P. Erdős, R. Rado, “A combinatorial theorem”, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **25** (1950), p. 249-255.
- [4] A. Girão, “A canonical polynomial van der Waerden’s theorem”, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.07766>.
- [5] L. K. Hua, *Introduction to number theory*, Springer, 1982.
- [6] Y. V. Linnik, “An elementary solution of the problem of Waring by Schnirelman’s method”, *Mat. Sb., N. Ser.* **12(54)** (1943), p. 225-230.
- [7] E. Szemerédi, “On sets of integers containing no  $k$  elements in arithmetic progression”, *Acta Arith.* **27** (1975), p. 199-245.