



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I

www.sciencedirect.com



Algebraic geometry

Corrections to “Singular Hochschild cohomology via the singularity category” [C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 356 (2018) 1106–1111]



Corrigendum à « La cohomologie de Hochschild singulière via la catégorie des singularités » [C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 356 (2018) 1106–1111]

Bernhard Keller

Université Paris-Diderot – Paris-7, UFR de mathématiques, Institut de mathématiques de Jussieu-PRG, UMR 7586 du CNRS, case 7012, bâtiment Sophie Germain, 75205 Paris cedex 13, France

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 30 January 2019

Accepted after revision 7 June 2019

Available online 2 July 2019

Presented by Michèle Vergne

ABSTRACT

We correct a mistake that occurred in the proof of the main theorem of “Singular Hochschild cohomology via singularity categories” and some inaccuracies in the proof of the reconstruction theorem.

© 2019 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

R É S U M É

Nous corrigeons une erreur qui s’est glissée dans la démonstration du théorème principal de « La cohomologie singulière via la catégorie des singularités », ainsi que des imprécisions dans la démonstration du théorème de reconstruction.

© 2019 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

1. Corrections in the proof of the main theorem

We use the notations from section 2.3 of [8] but for simplicity, we assume that k is a field. The case of a commutative ground ring is an easy extension, cf. [7]. Let A be k -algebra and $\text{Sg}(A)$ the singularity category, i.e. the Verdier quotient of the homotopy category of right bounded complexes of finitely generated projective (right) A -modules with bounded homology by its full subcategory of the bounded complexes of finitely generated projective A -modules. For a dg category \mathcal{A} , denote by $X \mapsto Y(X)$ the dg Yoneda functor and by $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{A}$ the derived category. We write \mathcal{A}^e for the enveloping dg category $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}^{op}$ and $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ for the identity bimodule

DOI of original article: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crma.2018.10.003>.

E-mail address: bernhard.keller@imj-prg.fr.

URL: <https://webusers.imj-prg.fr/~bernhard.keller/>.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crma.2019.06.004>

1631-073X/© 2019 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

$$I_{\mathcal{A}} : (X, Y) \mapsto \mathcal{A}(X, Y).$$

By definition, the Hochschild cohomology of \mathcal{A} is the graded endomorphism algebra of $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ in the derived category $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}^e)$. In the case of the algebra A , the identity bimodule is the A -bimodule A . Recall that if $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a fully faithful dg functor, the restriction $F_* : \mathcal{D}\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}\mathcal{A}$ is a localization functor admitting fully faithful left and right adjoint functors F^* and $F^!$ given respectively by

$$F^* : M \mapsto M \overset{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}F} \mathcal{B} \quad \text{and} \quad F^! : N \mapsto \text{RHom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{B}_F, N),$$

where ${}_F\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(\?, F-)$ and $\mathcal{B}_F = \mathcal{B}(F\?, -)$.

Let $\mathcal{M} = C_{dg}^{-,b}(\text{proj } A)$ denote the dg category of right-bounded complexes of finitely generated projective A -modules with bounded homology. Let \mathcal{S} denote the dg quotient \mathcal{M}/\mathcal{P} . Then $H^0(\mathcal{S})$ is triangle equivalent to $\text{Sg}(A)$. We have the obvious inclusion and projection dg functors

$$A \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{p} \mathcal{S}.$$

It was claimed on line 4 of page 1108 in [7] that the functor $(i \otimes i)^* : \mathcal{D}(A^e) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{M}^e)$ takes the identity bimodule A to the identity bimodule $I_{\mathcal{M}}$. This cannot be true, since the image of $I_{\mathcal{M}}$ under $(p \otimes p)^*$ is $I_{\mathcal{S}}$ (because p is a localization), whereas $(p \otimes p)^*(i \otimes i)^*(A)$ vanishes. To correct the mistake, we replace $(i \otimes i)^*$ with another functor: consider the fully faithful dg functors

$$A \otimes A^{op} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{1} \otimes i} A \otimes \mathcal{M}^{op} \xrightarrow{i \otimes \mathbf{1}} \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{op}.$$

The restriction along $G = \mathbf{1} \otimes i$ admits the left adjoint G^* given by

$$G^* : X \mapsto \mathcal{M}_i \overset{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes}_A X,$$

and the restriction along $F = i \otimes \mathbf{1}$ admits the fully faithful left and right adjoints F^* and $F^!$ given by

$$F^* : Y \mapsto Y \overset{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes}_{A_i} \mathcal{M} \quad \text{and} \quad F^! : Y \mapsto \text{RHom}_A(\mathcal{M}_i, Y).$$

Since F^* and $F^!$ are the two adjoints of a localization functor, we have a canonical morphism $F^* \rightarrow F^!$.

Lemma 1.1. *If P is an arbitrary projective A^e -module, the morphism*

$$F^*G^*(P) \rightarrow F^!G^*(P)$$

is invertible.

Proof. Let P be the direct sum of finitely generated projective A^e -modules $P_j \otimes Q_j$, $j \in J$. Since F^* and G^* commute with (arbitrary) coproducts, the left-hand side is the dg module

$$\bigoplus_J \mathcal{M}(i\?, -) \overset{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes}_A (P_j \otimes Q_j) \overset{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes}_A \mathcal{M}(\?, i-) = \bigoplus_J \mathcal{M}(P_j^\vee, -) \otimes \mathcal{M}(\?, Q_j),$$

where $P_j^\vee = \text{Hom}_{A^{op}}(P_j, A)$. The right-hand side is the dg module

$$\text{Hom}_A(\mathcal{M}_i, \mathcal{M}_i \otimes_A (\bigoplus_J P_j \otimes Q_j)) = \text{Hom}_A(\mathcal{M}_i, \bigoplus_J \mathcal{M}(P_j^\vee, -) \otimes Q_j).$$

Let us evaluate the canonical morphism at $(M, L) \in \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{op}$. We find the canonical morphism

$$\bigoplus_J \mathcal{M}(P_j^\vee, M) \otimes \mathcal{M}(L, Q_j) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(L, \bigoplus_J \mathcal{M}(P_j^\vee, M) \otimes Q_j).$$

We may assume that $P_j = A$ for all j . We then find the canonical morphism

$$\bigoplus_J M \otimes \text{Hom}_A(L, Q_j) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(L, \bigoplus_J M \otimes Q_j).$$

Recall that L and M are right bounded complexes of finitely generated projective modules with bounded homology. We fix M and consider the morphism as a morphism of triangle functors with argument $L \in \mathcal{D}^b(\text{Mod } A)$. Then we are reduced to the case where L is in $\text{Mod } A$. In this case, the morphism becomes an isomorphism of complexes because the components of L are finitely generated projective. \square

Let us put

$$H = F^!G^* : \mathcal{D}(A^e) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{M}^e).$$

It is this functor H that replaces the mistaken functor $(i \otimes i)^*$ of [8]. Let us compute the image of the identity bimodule A under H . We have

$$H(A) = F^!(\mathcal{M}_i \overset{L}{\otimes}_A A) = F^!(\mathcal{M}_i) = \text{RHom}_A(\mathcal{M}_i, \mathcal{M}_i)$$

and when we evaluate at L, M in \mathcal{M} , we find

$$H(A)(L, M) = \text{RHom}_A(\mathcal{M}(i?, L), \mathcal{M}(i?, M)) = \text{RHom}_A(\mathcal{M}(A, L), \mathcal{M}(A, M)) = \text{Hom}_A(L, M).$$

Thus, the functor H takes the identity bimodule A to the identity bimodule $I_{\mathcal{M}}$. Since $F^!$ and G^* are fully faithful so is H . Denote by \mathcal{N} the image under H of the closure of $\text{Proj } A^e$ under finite extensions. Then H yields a fully faithful functor

$$\widehat{\text{Sg}}(A^e) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{M}^e)/\mathcal{N}$$

taking the bimodule A to the identity bimodule $I_{\mathcal{M}}$. Now one concludes as in [8] by showing that the canonical functor $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{M}^e)/\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{S}^e)$ induces a bijection in the morphism spaces from $I_{\mathcal{M}}$ to its suspensions.

2. Proof of the reconstruction theorem

The proof given in [8] neglected the subtleties arising from the fact that $A = P/(Q)$ is a complete algebra and did not give enough details in the reference to [6]. We correct this in the following argument: by the Weierstrass preparation theorem, we may assume that Q is a polynomial. Let $P_0 = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and $S = P_0/(Q)$. Then S has isolated singularities, but may have singularities other than the origin. Let \mathfrak{m} be the maximal ideal of P_0 generated by the x_i and let R be the localization of S at \mathfrak{m} . Now R is local with an isolated singularity at \mathfrak{m} and A is isomorphic to the completion \widehat{R} .

By Theorem 3.2.7 of [6], in sufficiently high degrees r , the Hochschild cohomology of S is isomorphic to the homology in degree r of the complex

$$k[u] \otimes K(S, \partial_1 Q, \dots, \partial_n Q),$$

where u is of degree 2 and K denotes the Koszul complex. Now S is isomorphic to $K(P_0, Q)$ and so $K(S, \partial_1 Q, \dots, \partial_n Q)$ is isomorphic to

$$K(P_0, Q, \partial_1 Q, \dots, \partial_n Q).$$

Since Q has isolated singularities, the $\partial_i Q$ form a regular sequence in P_0 . So

$$K(P_0, Q, \partial_1 Q, \dots, \partial_n Q)$$

is quasi-isomorphic to $K(M, Q)$, where $M = P_0/(\partial_1 Q, \dots, \partial_n Q)$. Therefore, in high even degrees $2r$, the Hochschild cohomology of S is isomorphic to

$$T = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(Q, \partial_1 Q, \dots, \partial_n Q)$$

as an S -module. Since S and S^e are Noetherian, this implies that the Hochschild cohomology of R in high even degrees is isomorphic to the localization $T_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Since $R \otimes R$ is Noetherian and Gorenstein (cf. Theorem 1.6 of [11]), by Theorem 6.3.4 of [2], the singular Hochschild cohomology of R coincides with Hochschild cohomology in sufficiently high degrees. By the main theorem, the Hochschild cohomology of $\text{Sg}_{\text{dg}}(R)$ is isomorphic to the singular Hochschild cohomology of R and thus isomorphic to $T_{\mathfrak{m}}$ in high even degrees. Since R is a hypersurface, the dg category $\text{Sg}_{\text{dg}}(R)$ is isomorphic, in the homotopy category of dg categories, to the underlying differential \mathbb{Z} -graded category of the differential $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded category of matrix factorizations of Q , cf. [4], [10] and Theorem 2.49 of [1]. Thus, it is 2-periodic and so is its Hochschild cohomology. It follows that the zeroth Hochschild cohomology of $\text{Sg}_{\text{dg}}(R)$ is isomorphic to $T_{\mathfrak{m}}$ as an algebra. The completion functor $? \otimes_R \widehat{R}$ yields an embedding $\text{Sg}(R) \rightarrow \text{Sg}(A)$, through which $\text{Sg}(A)$ identifies with the idempotent completion of the triangulated category $\text{Sg}(R)$, cf. Theorem 5.7 of [3]. Therefore, the corresponding dg functor $\text{Sg}_{\text{dg}}(R) \rightarrow \text{Sg}_{\text{dg}}(A)$ induces an equivalence in the derived categories and an isomorphism in Hochschild cohomology. So we find an isomorphism

$$HH^0(\text{Sg}_{\text{dg}}(A), \text{Sg}_{\text{dg}}(A)) \xrightarrow{\sim} T_{\mathfrak{m}}.$$

Since $Q \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]_{\mathfrak{m}}$ has an isolated singularity at the origin, we have an isomorphism

$$T_{\mathfrak{m}} \xrightarrow{\sim} k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]/(Q, \partial_1 Q, \dots, \partial_n Q)$$

with the Tyurina algebra of $A = P/(Q)$. Now by the Mather–Yau theorem [9], more precisely by its formal version [5, Prop. 2.1], in a fixed dimension, the Tyurina algebra determines A up to isomorphism.

Acknowledgement

I am very grateful to Xiao-Wu Chen for pointing out the error at the beginning of the proof of the main theorem of [7].

References

- [1] A. Blanc, M. Robalo, B. Toën, G. Vezzosi, Motivic realizations of singularity categories and vanishing cycles, arXiv:1607.03012 [math.AG].
- [2] R.-O. Buchweitz, Maximal Cohen–Macaulay modules and Tate cohomology over Gorenstein rings, <http://hdl.handle.net/1807/16682>, 1986, 155 pp.
- [3] T. Dyckerhoff, Compact generators in categories of matrix factorizations, *Duke Math. J.* 159 (2) (2011) 223–274.
- [4] D. Eisenbud, Homological algebra on a complete intersection, with an application to group representations, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 260 (1) (1980) 35–64.
- [5] G.-M. Greuel, T.H. Pham, Mather–Yau theorem in positive characteristic, *J. Algebraic Geom.* 26 (2) (2017) 347–355.
- [6] J.A. Guccione, J. Guccione, M.J. Redondo, O.E. Villamayor, Hochschild and cyclic homology of hypersurfaces, *Adv. Math.* 95 (1) (1992) 18–60.
- [7] B. Keller, Singular Hochschild cohomology via the singularity category, arXiv:1809.05121 [math.RT].
- [8] B. Keller, Singular Hochschild cohomology via the singularity category, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I* 356 (11) (2018) 1106–1111.
- [9] J.N. Mather, S.S.T. Yau, Classification of isolated hypersurface singularities by their moduli algebras, *Invent. Math.* 69 (2) (1982) 243–251.
- [10] D.O. Orlov, Triangulated categories of singularities and D-branes in Landau–Ginzburg models, *Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova* 246 (2004) 240–262 (issue “Algebraic geometry: Methods, relations, and applications”).
- [11] M. Tousi, S. Yassemi, Tensor products of some special rings, *J. Algebra* 268 (2) (2003) 672–676.