



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I

www.sciencedirect.com



Probability theory

## A short proof of the Marchenko–Pastur theorem



## Une courte démonstration du théorème de Marchenko–Pastur

Pavel Yaskov <sup>a,b,1</sup><sup>a</sup> Steklov Mathematical Institute of RAS, Russia<sup>b</sup> National University of Science and Technology MISIS, Russia

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 5 August 2015

Accepted after revision 14 December 2015

Available online 3 February 2016

Presented by Jean-François Le Gall

## ABSTRACT

We prove the Marchenko–Pastur theorem for random matrices with i.i.d. columns and a general dependence structure within the columns by a simple modification of the standard Cauchy–Stieltjes resolvent method.

© 2015 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

## R É S U M É

Nous prouvons le théorème de Marchenko–Pastur pour les matrices aléatoires avec des colonnes i.i.d. et une structure de dépendance générale à l'intérieur des colonnes par une simple modification de la méthode standard résolvente de Cauchy–Stieltjes standard.

© 2015 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathbf{X}_{pn}$  be a  $p \times n$  random matrix whose columns  $\{\mathbf{x}_{pk}\}_{k=1}^n$  are i.i.d. copies of some random vector  $\mathbf{x}_p$  in  $\mathbb{R}^p$  for all  $p, n \geq 1$ . All random elements are defined on the same probability space. The object of our study is  $\mu_{pn}$ , the empirical spectral distribution (ESD) of  $n^{-1}\mathbf{X}_{pn}\mathbf{X}_{pn}^T$ . Here ESD of a  $p \times p$  real symmetric matrix  $A$  is defined by

$$\mu = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^p \delta_{\lambda_i},$$

where  $\delta_\lambda$  stands for the Dirac mass at  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_p$  are eigenvalues of  $A$ .

Recall that the Marchenko–Pastur law  $\mu_c$  with parameter  $c > 0$  is the probability distribution

$$(1 - 1/c)^+ \delta_0 + \frac{\sqrt{(b-x)(x-a)}}{2\pi cx} I(x \in [a, b]) dx,$$

E-mail address: yaskov@mi.ras.ru.

<sup>1</sup> The research is supported by the Russian Science Foundation via grant 14-21-00162.

where  $x^+ = \max\{x, 0\}$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a = (1 - \sqrt{c})^2$ , and  $b = (1 + \sqrt{c})^2$ .

The Marchenko–Pastur theorem [8] states that, for any  $p = p(n)$  with  $p/n \rightarrow c > 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(\mu_{pn} \Rightarrow \mu_c \text{ weakly, } n \rightarrow \infty) = 1 \tag{1}$$

if each  $\mathbf{x}_p$  has centered orthonormal entries  $\{X_{pk}\}_{k=1}^p$  satisfying certain conditions. The standard conditions include the independence of  $\{X_{pk}\}_{k=1}^p$  and the Lindeberg condition

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{p} \sum_{k=1}^p \mathbb{E} X_{pk}^2 I(|X_{pk}| > \varepsilon \sqrt{p}) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon > 0. \tag{2}$$

These conditions first appeared in [10]. Succeeding work related to the Marchenko–Pastur theorem were done in many papers (see [5,7,13,14], among others). In particular, Bai and Zhou [3], Pastur and Pajor [9], and Pastur and Shcherbina [11] (see Theorem 19.1.8) proved the Marchenko–Pastur theorem, assuming that  $\text{Var}(\mathbf{x}_p^\top A_p \mathbf{x}_p / p) \rightarrow 0$ ,  $p \rightarrow \infty$ , for all sequences of  $p \times p$  complex matrices  $A_p$  with uniformly bounded spectral norms  $\|A_p\|$  (see also [2]). If entries of  $\mathbf{x}_p$  are independent, this assumption is much stronger than (2).

In this note we give a short proof of the Marchenko–Pastur theorem under weaker conditions that cover all mentioned results.

### 2. Main results

Consider the following assumption.

(A)  $(\mathbf{x}_p^\top A_p \mathbf{x}_p - \text{tr}(A_p)) / p \xrightarrow{P} 0$  as  $p \rightarrow \infty$  for all sequences of  $p \times p$  complex matrices  $A_p$  with uniformly bounded spectral norms  $\|A_p\|$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *If (A) holds, then (1) holds.*

If entries of  $\mathbf{x}_p$  are orthonormal, then  $\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{x}_p^\top A_p \mathbf{x}_p) = \text{tr}(A_p)$ , and the assumption considered in [3,9,11] (see § Introduction) is stronger than (A). In addition, we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $\{X_{pk}\}_{k=1}^p$  be independent random variables with  $\mathbb{E}X_{pk} = 0$ ,  $\mathbb{E}X_{pk}^2 = 1$  for all  $p \geq k \geq 1$ . Then (2) holds if and only if (A) holds for  $\mathbf{x}_p = (X_{p1}, \dots, X_{pp})$ ,  $p \geq 1$ .*

Assumption (A) also covers the case where entries of  $\mathbf{x}_p$  are orthonormal infinite linear combinations (in  $L_2$ ) of some i.i.d. random variables  $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  with  $\mathbb{E}\varepsilon_k = 0$  and  $\mathbb{E}\varepsilon_k^2 = 1$  (see Corollary 4.9 in arXiv:1410.5190).

**Remark.** We get an equivalent reformulation of (A) if we consider real symmetric positive semi-definite matrices  $A_p$  instead of matrices with complex entries.

### 3. Proofs

**Proof of Theorem 2.1.** We will use the Cauchy–Stieltjes transform method. By the Stieltjes continuity theorem (e.g., see Exercise 2.4.10(i) in [12]), we only need to show that  $s_n(z) \rightarrow s(z)$  a.s. for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\text{Im}(z) > 0$ , where  $s_n = s_n(z)$  and  $s = s(z)$  are the Stieltjes transforms of  $\mu_{pn}$  and  $\mu_c$  defined by

$$s_n(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\mu_{pn}(d\lambda)}{\lambda - z} \quad \text{and} \quad s(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\mu_c(d\lambda)}{\lambda - z}.$$

By the definition of  $\mu_{pn}$ ,  $s_n(z) = \text{tr}(n^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{pn} \mathbf{X}_{pn}^\top - zI_p)^{-1} / p$  for the  $p \times p$  identity matrix  $I_p$ .

Fix any  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $v = \text{Im}(z) > 0$ . By the standard martingale argument (e.g., see Step 1 in the proof of Theorem 1.1 in [3] or Lemma 4.1 in [1]), we derive that  $s_n(z) - \mathbb{E}s_n(z) \rightarrow 0$  a.s. We finish the proof by checking that  $\mathbb{E}s_n(z) \rightarrow s(z)$ . We need a technical lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $C$  be a  $p \times p$  real symmetric positive semi-definite matrix and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^p$ . If  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  is such that  $v = \text{Im}(z) > 0$ , then (1)  $\|(C - zI_p)^{-1}\| \leq 1/v$ , (2)  $|\text{tr}(C + xx^\top - zI_p)^{-1} - \text{tr}(C - zI_p)^{-1}| \leq 1/v$ , (3)  $|x^\top (C + xx^\top - zI_p)^{-1} x| \leq 1 + |z|/v$ , (4)  $\text{Im}(z + z\text{tr}(C - zI_p)^{-1}) \geq v$  and  $\text{Im}(\text{tr}(C - zI_p)^{-1}) > 0$ , (5)  $\text{Im}(z + zx^\top (C - zI_p)^{-1} x) \geq v$ .*

All bounds in Lemma 3.1 are well known. Part (1) can be proved by diagonalizing  $C$ . Part (2) is given in Lemma 2.6 in [4]. Part (3) follows from the Sherman–Morrison formula and Part (5), since

$$x^\top (C + xx^\top - zI_p)^{-1}x = x^\top (C - zI_p)^{-1}x - \frac{(x^\top (C - zI_p)^{-1}x)^2}{1 + x^\top (C - zI_p)^{-1}x} = 1 - \frac{z}{z + x^\top (C - zI_p)^{-1}x}.$$

Parts (4)–(5) can be checked by showing that  $\text{Im}(\text{tr}((1/z)C - I_p)^{-1}) \geq 0$  and  $\text{Im}(x^\top ((1/z)C - I_p)^{-1}x) \geq 0$ .

Take  $\mathbf{x}_p = \mathbf{x}_{p,n+1}$  to be independent of  $\mathbf{X}_{pn}$  and distributed as  $\mathbf{X}_{pn}$ 's columns  $\{\mathbf{x}_{pk}\}_{k=1}^n$ . Define also

$$A_n = \mathbf{X}_{pn}\mathbf{X}_{pn}^\top = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{x}_{pk}\mathbf{x}_{pk}^\top \quad \text{and} \quad B_n = A_n + \mathbf{x}_p\mathbf{x}_p^\top = \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \mathbf{x}_{pk}\mathbf{x}_{pk}^\top.$$

The matrix  $B_n - znI_p$  is non-degenerate and

$$p = \text{tr}((B_n - znI_p)(B_n - znI_p)^{-1}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \mathbf{x}_{pk}^\top (B_n - znI_p)^{-1} \mathbf{x}_{pk} - zn \text{tr}(B_n - znI_p)^{-1}.$$

Taking expectations and using the exchangeability of  $\{\mathbf{x}_{pk}\}_{k=1}^{n+1}$ ,

$$p = (n + 1) \mathbb{E} \mathbf{x}_p^\top (B_n - znI_p)^{-1} \mathbf{x}_p - zn \mathbb{E} \text{tr}(B_n - znI_p)^{-1}. \tag{3}$$

Define  $S_n(z) = \text{tr}(A_n - znI_p)^{-1}$  and note that  $S_n(z) = (p/n)S_n(z)$ . By Lemma 3.1(2)–(3),

$$\mathbb{E} \text{tr}(B_n - znI_p)^{-1} = \mathbb{E} S_n(z) + O(1/n) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E} \mathbf{x}_p^\top (B_n - znI_p)^{-1} \mathbf{x}_p = O(1).$$

Moreover, we will show below that

$$\mathbb{E} \mathbf{x}_p^\top (B_n - znI_p)^{-1} \mathbf{x}_p = \frac{\mathbb{E} S_n(z)}{1 + \mathbb{E} S_n(z)} + o(1). \tag{4}$$

Suppose for a moment that (4) holds (and  $p/n = c + o(1)$ ). Then (3) reduces to

$$\frac{\mathbb{E} S_n(z)}{1 + \mathbb{E} S_n(z)} - z \mathbb{E} S_n(z) = c + o(1).$$

By (1) and (4) in Lemma 3.1,  $\mathcal{S} = (\mathbb{E} S_n(z))_{n=1}^\infty$  is a bounded sequence with  $\text{Im}(\mathbb{E} S_n(z)) > 0$ ,  $n \geq 1$ . Hence, any limiting point of  $\mathcal{S}$  has a non-negative imaginary part. In addition, it can be directly checked that the limiting quadratic equation  $S/(1 + S) - zS = c$  or  $zS^2 + (z - 1 + c)S + c = 0$  has a unique solution  $S = S(z)$  with  $\text{Im}(S(z)) \geq 0$  when  $\text{Im}(z) > 0$ . As a result, any limiting point of  $\mathcal{S}$  is equal to  $S(z)$ . Thus,  $\mathbb{E} S_n(z) = (p/n)\mathbb{E} S_n(z) \rightarrow S(z)$ .

One can also show that  $S(z) = cs(z)$  is the above unique solution, where  $s(z)$  is the Stieltjes transform of the Marchenko-Pastur law (see Remark 1.1 in [3]). Combining all above relations, we conclude that  $s_n(z) \rightarrow s(z)$  a.s.

To finish the proof, we only need to check (4). By the Sherman-Morrison formula,

$$\mathbf{x}_p^\top (B_n - znI_p)^{-1} \mathbf{x}_p = \mathbf{x}_p^\top (A_n + \mathbf{x}_p\mathbf{x}_p^\top - znI_p)^{-1} \mathbf{x}_p = \frac{\mathbf{x}_p^\top (A_n - znI_p)^{-1} \mathbf{x}_p}{1 + \mathbf{x}_p^\top (A_n - znI_p)^{-1} \mathbf{x}_p}.$$

Using Lemma 3.1(1), (A), and the independence of  $\mathbf{x}_p$  and  $A_n$ , we get  $\mathbf{x}_p^\top (A_n - znI_p)^{-1} \mathbf{x}_p - S_n(z) \xrightarrow{p} 0$ . We also have

$$S_n(z) - \mathbb{E} S_n(z) = (p/n)(s_n(z) - \mathbb{E} s_n(z)) \xrightarrow{p} 0.$$

Hence, Lemma 3.1(4)–(5) and the inequality  $|1 + w| \geq \text{Im}(z + zw)/|z|$ ,  $w \in \mathbb{C}$ , yield

$$\left| \frac{\mathbf{x}_p^\top (A_n - znI_p)^{-1} \mathbf{x}_p}{1 + \mathbf{x}_p^\top (A_n - znI_p)^{-1} \mathbf{x}_p} - \frac{\mathbb{E} S_n(z)}{1 + \mathbb{E} S_n(z)} \right| \leq \frac{|z|^2}{v^2} |\mathbf{x}_p^\top (A_n - znI_p)^{-1} \mathbf{x}_p - \mathbb{E} S_n(z)| \xrightarrow{p} 0.$$

Finally, (4) follows from Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem and Lemma 3.1(3).  $\square$

**Proof of Proposition 2.1.** For each  $p \geq 1$ , let  $A_p = (a_{kj}^{(p)})_{k,j=1}^p$  be a complex  $p \times p$  matrix with  $\|A_p\| \leq 1$ . If  $D_p$  is a diagonal matrix with diagonal entries  $(a_{kk}^{(p)})_{k=1}^p$ , then

$$\mathbb{E} \left| \mathbf{x}_p^\top (A_p - D_p) \mathbf{x}_p \right|^2 \leq 2 \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{1 \leq k < j \leq p} a_{kj}^{(p)} X_{pk} X_{pj} \right|^2 + 2 \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq p} a_{kj}^{(p)} X_{pk} X_{pj} \right|^2 = 2 \sum_{j \neq k} |a_{jk}^{(p)}|^2 \leq 4 \text{tr}(A_p A_p^*),$$

where  $A_p^*$  is the complex conjugate of  $A_p$ . By the definition of the spectral norm,  $\text{tr}(A_p A_p^*) \leq \|A_p\|^2 p$ . Thus,

$$\frac{\mathbf{x}_p^\top (A_p - D_p) \mathbf{x}_p}{p} \xrightarrow{p} 0.$$

To finish the proof, we need to show that (2) holds if and only if

$$\frac{1}{p} \sum_{k=1}^p a_k^{(p)} (X_{pk}^2 - 1) \xrightarrow{p} 0 \quad \text{for any triangular array } \{a_k^{(p)}, 1 \leq k \leq p, p \geq 1\} \text{ with } |a_k^{(p)}| \leq 1. \quad (5)$$

Let (5) hold. Then  $Z_p = p^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^p X_{pk}^2 \xrightarrow{p} 1$ . Note also that  $\mathbb{E}Z_p = 1$  and  $Z_p \geq 0$  a.s. Extracting almost surely converging subsequences from  $\{Z_p\}_{p=1}^\infty$  and applying Sheffé's lemma, one can prove that  $\mathbb{E}|Z_p - 1| \rightarrow 0$ . Using inequalities  $p^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^p \mathbb{E}|X_{pk}^2 - 1| \leq 2$ ,  $p \geq 1$ , we derive from [6] that

$$\frac{1}{p} \sum_{k=1}^p \mathbb{E}|X_{pk}^2 - 1| I(|X_{pk}^2 - 1| > \varepsilon p) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon > 0.$$

Obviously, this is equivalent to (2).

Let (2) hold. By the Marcinkiewicz–Zygmund inequality, there exists a universal constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\mathbb{E} \left| \frac{1}{p} \sum_{k=1}^p a_k^{(p)} (X_{pk}^2 - 1) \right| \leq \frac{C}{p} \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{k=1}^p (X_{pk}^2 - 1)^2 \right)^{1/2}, \quad \text{where each } a_k^{(p)} \text{ is as in (5).}$$

Using (2), Jensen's inequality, and  $\sqrt{x+y} \leq \sqrt{x} + \sqrt{y}$ ,  $x, y \geq 0$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{k=1}^p (X_{pk}^2 - 1)^2 \right)^{1/2} &\leq \left( \sum_{k=1}^p \mathbb{E}(X_{pk}^2 - 1)^2 I(|X_{pk}^2 - 1| \leq \varepsilon p) \right)^{1/2} + \sum_{k=1}^p \mathbb{E}|X_{pk}^2 - 1| I(|X_{pk}^2 - 1| > \varepsilon p) \\ &\leq p\sqrt{2\varepsilon} + o(p) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , where we also applied the bound  $\mathbb{E}(X_{pk}^2 - 1)^2 I(|X_{pk}^2 - 1| \leq \varepsilon p) \leq \varepsilon p \mathbb{E}|X_{pk}^2 - 1| \leq 2\varepsilon p$ . Therefore,

$$\overline{\lim}_{p \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left| \frac{1}{p} \sum_{k=1}^p a_k^{(p)} (X_{pk}^2 - 1) \right| \leq \sqrt{2\varepsilon}.$$

Tending  $\varepsilon$  to zero, we get (5).  $\square$

## Acknowledgements

The author thanks the referee for her/his valuable suggestions.

## References

- [1] R. Adamczak, On the Marcenko–Pastur and circular laws for some classes of random matrices with dependent entries, *Electron. J. Probab.* 16 (2011) 1065–1095.
- [2] R. Adamczak, Some remarks on the Dozier–Silverstein theorem for random matrices with dependent entries, *Random Matrices: Theory Appl.* 2 (2013) 1250017, 46pp.
- [3] Z. Bai, W. Zhou, Large sample covariance matrices without independence structures in columns, *Stat. Sin.* 18 (2008) 425–442.
- [4] Z. Bai, J.W. Silverstein, On the empirical distribution of eigenvalues of a class of large dimensional random matrices, *J. Multivar. Anal.* 54 (1995) 175–192.
- [5] U. Grenander, J.W. Silverstein, Spectral analysis of networks with random topologies, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* 32 (2) (1977) 499–519.
- [6] P. Hall, On the  $L_p$  convergence of sums of independent random variables, *Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.* 82 (1977) 439–446.
- [7] D. Jonsson, Some limit theorems for the eigenvalues of a sample covariance matrix, *J. Multivar. Anal.* 12 (1982) 1–38.
- [8] V.A. Marcenko, L.A. Pastur, Distribution of eigenvalues in certain sets of random matrices, *Mat. Sb. (N. S.)* 72 (1967) 507–536.
- [9] A. Pajor, L. Pastur, On the limiting empirical measure of eigenvalues of the sum of rank one matrices with log-concave distribution, *Stud. Math.* 195 (2009) 11–29.
- [10] L. Pastur, On the spectrum of random matrices, *Teor. Mat. Fiz.* 10 (1972) 102–112.
- [11] L. Pastur, M. Shcherbina, *Eigenvalue Distribution of Large Random Matrices*, *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, vol. 171, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, USA, 2011.
- [12] T. Tao, *Topics in Random Matrix Theory*, *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*, vol. 132, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, USA, 2012.
- [13] K.W. Wachter, The strong limits of random matrix spectra for sample matrices of independent elements, *Ann. Probab.* 6 (1) (1978) 1–18.
- [14] Y.Q. Yin, P.R. Krishnaiah, Limit theorem for the eigenvalues of the sample covariance matrix when the underlying distribution is isotropic, *Teor. Veroâtn. Primen.* 30 (4) (1985) 810–816.