



Complex Analysis/Analytic Geometry

Bott–Chern cohomology and  $q$ -complete domains <sup>☆</sup>*Cohomologie de Bott–Chern et domaines  $q$ -complets*Daniele Angella <sup>a</sup>, Simone Calamai <sup>b</sup><sup>a</sup> Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Pisa, Largo Bruno Pontecorvo 5, 56127, Pisa, Italy<sup>b</sup> Scuola Normale Superiore, Piazza dei Cavalieri 7, 56126, Pisa, Italy

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 16 April 2013

Accepted after revision 21 May 2013

Available online 30 May 2013

Presented by Jean-Pierre Demailly

## ABSTRACT

In studying the Bott–Chern and Aeppli cohomologies for  $q$ -complete manifolds, we introduce the class of cohomologically Bott–Chern  $q$ -complete manifolds.

© 2013 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

## R É S U M É

Dans l'étude des cohomologies de Bott–Chern et d'Aeppli pour les variétés  $q$ -complètes, nous introduisons la classe des variétés cohomologiquement Bott–Chern  $q$ -complètes.

© 2013 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

## 0. Introduction

The notion of  $q$ -complete manifolds has been introduced and studied in [2,11]. In particular, in [2, Proposition 27], A. Andreotti and H. Grauert proved a vanishing result for the higher-degree Dolbeault cohomology groups of  $q$ -complete manifolds  $D$ , namely, that  $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{r,s}(D) = \{0\}$  for any  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  and for any  $s \geq q$ , see also [3, Theorem 5]. Domains having such a vanishing property are called *cohomologically  $q$ -complete*, and coincide with  $q$ -complete domains under some regularity conditions. In fact, M.G. Eastwood and G. Vigna Suria proved that cohomologically  $q$ -complete domains of a Stein manifold with boundary of class  $C^2$  are in fact  $q$ -complete, [7, Theorem 3.8]; see also [9, §V.5] for a sheaf-theoretic characterization of Stein domains in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

Besides Dolbeault cohomology, other relevant tools to study geometry and analysis of complex manifolds  $X$  are provided by Bott–Chern and Aeppli cohomologies, [5,1], namely,

$$H_{BC}^{\bullet,\bullet}(X) := \frac{\ker \partial \cap \ker \bar{\partial}}{\text{im } \partial \bar{\partial}}, \quad H_A^{\bullet,\bullet}(X) := \frac{\ker \partial \bar{\partial}}{\text{im } \partial + \text{im } \bar{\partial}}.$$

In this note, we are concerned with studying Bott–Chern and Aeppli cohomologies of open manifolds, in particular, assuming the vanishing of certain Dolbeault cohomology groups.

In particular, as a consequence of Theorem 3.2, we get that cohomologically  $q$ -complete manifolds are also cohomologically Bott–Chern  $q$ -complete. Here, by *cohomologically Bott–Chern  $q$ -complete* manifold, we mean a complex manifold  $X$  of complex dimension  $n$  such that  $H_{BC}^{r,s}(X)$  vanishes for  $r + s \geq n + q$ . In this sense, such a notion provides a natural generalization of the classical notion of cohomologically  $q$ -complete manifolds.

<sup>☆</sup> This work was supported by the Project PRIN “Varietà reali e complesse: geometria, topologia e analisi armonica”, by the Project FIRB “Geometria Differenziale e Teoria Geometrica delle Funzioni”, and by GNSAGA of INdAM.

E-mail addresses: [angella@mail.dm.unipi.it](mailto:angella@mail.dm.unipi.it) (D. Angella), [simone.calamai@sns.it](mailto:simone.calamai@sns.it) (S. Calamai).

## 1. Dolbeault cohomology vanishing and Bott–Chern and Aeppli cohomologies

In this section, we prove that the vanishing of certain Dolbeault cohomology groups assures connections between Bott–Chern cohomology and de Rham cohomology, and the vanishing of some Aeppli cohomology groups.

Inspired by [1], we prove the following inequalities, involving Bott–Chern and de Rham cohomologies, under the assumption of the vanishing of some Dolbeault cohomology groups. (As regards the compact case, we refer to [4], where an inequality *à la* Frölicher is proven, yielding also a characterization of the  $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -lemma on compact complex manifolds.)

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $X$  be a complex manifold. Fix  $(p, q) \in (\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\})^2$ .*

- (a) *If  $\sum_{\substack{r+s=p+q-1 \\ s \geq \min\{p,q\}}} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H_{\bar{\partial}}^{r,s}(X) = 0$ , then there is a natural injective map  $H_{BC}^{p,q}(X) \rightarrow H_{dR}^{p+q}(X; \mathbb{C})$ .*
- (b) *If  $\sum_{\substack{r+s=p+q \\ s \geq \min\{p,q\}+1}} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H_{\bar{\partial}}^{r,s}(X) = 0$ , then there is a natural surjective map  $H_{BC}^{p,q}(X) \rightarrow H_{dR}^{p+q}(X; \mathbb{C})$ .*

**Proof.** We split the proof in the following steps.

Step 1. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{\partial\bar{\partial}}^{p-1,q-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{p-1,q-1} \xrightarrow{\partial\bar{\partial}} \mathcal{Z}_d^{p,q} \rightarrow 0$$

of sheaves, [12, Lemme 4.1(2.i)]. Since the sheaf  $\mathcal{A}^{p-1,q-1}$  is (a fine sheaf over a para-compact Hausdorff space and hence) acyclic, one gets the exact sequence:

$$\check{H}^0(X; \mathcal{A}^{p-1,q-1}) \xrightarrow{\partial\bar{\partial}} \check{H}^0(X; \mathcal{Z}_d^{p,q}) \rightarrow \check{H}^1(X; \mathcal{Z}_{\partial\bar{\partial}}^{p-1,q-1}) \rightarrow 0.$$

It follows that:

$$\check{H}^1(X; \mathcal{Z}_{\partial\bar{\partial}}^{p-1,q-1}) \simeq \frac{\check{H}^0(X; \mathcal{Z}_d^{p,q})}{\partial\bar{\partial}\check{H}^0(X; \mathcal{A}^{p-1,q-1})} = H_{BC}^{p,q}(X). \quad (1)$$

Step 2. Consider the exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{\partial}^{p-1,q-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{\partial\bar{\partial}}^{p-1,q-1} \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathcal{Z}_d^{p,q-1} \rightarrow 0$$

of sheaves, because of the Dolbeault and Grothendieck Lemma, see, e.g., [6, Lemma I.3.29].

Step 2a. In case (a), since  $\check{H}^1(X; \mathcal{Z}_{\partial}^{p-1,q-1}) \simeq H_{\partial}^{p,q-1}(X) = \overline{H_{\partial}^{q-1,p}(X)} = \{0\}$  by the hypothesis, one gets the injective map:

$$0 \rightarrow \check{H}^1(X; \mathcal{Z}_{\partial\bar{\partial}}^{p-1,q-1}) \rightarrow \check{H}^1(X; \mathcal{Z}_d^{p,q-1}). \quad (2)$$

Step 2b. In case (b), since  $\check{H}^2(X; \mathcal{Z}_{\partial}^{p-1,q-1}) \simeq H_{\partial}^{p+1,q-1}(X) = \overline{H_{\partial}^{q-1,p+1}(X)} = \{0\}$  by the hypothesis, one gets the surjective map:

$$\check{H}^1(X; \mathcal{Z}_{\partial\bar{\partial}}^{p-1,q-1}) \rightarrow \check{H}^1(X; \mathcal{Z}_d^{p,q-1}) \rightarrow 0. \quad (3)$$

Step 3. Fix  $\ell \in \{0, \dots, q-2\}$ . Consider the exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_d^{p,\ell} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{\partial}^{p,\ell} \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} \mathcal{Z}_d^{p,\ell+1} \rightarrow 0$$

of sheaves, [12, Lemme 4.1(2.i, 2.ii)].

Step 3a. In case (a), since  $\check{H}^{q-\ell-1}(X; \mathcal{Z}_{\partial}^{p,\ell}) \simeq H_{\partial}^{p+q-\ell-1,\ell}(X) = \overline{H_{\partial}^{\ell,p+q-\ell-1}(X)} = \{0\}$  by the hypothesis, one gets the injective map:

$$0 \rightarrow \check{H}^{q-\ell-1}(X; \mathcal{Z}_d^{p,\ell+1}) \rightarrow \check{H}^{q-\ell}(X; \mathcal{Z}_d^{p,\ell}).$$

Hence one gets the injective map:

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(X; \mathcal{Z}_d^{p,q-1}) \rightarrow \check{H}^q(X; \mathcal{Z}_d^{p,0}). \quad (4)$$

Step 3b. In case (b), since  $\check{H}^{q-\ell}(X; \mathcal{Z}_{\partial}^{p,\ell}) \simeq H_{\partial}^{p+q-\ell,\ell}(X) = \overline{H_{\partial}^{\ell,p+q-\ell}(X)} = \{0\}$  by the hypothesis, one gets the surjective map:

$$\check{H}^{q-\ell-1}(X; \mathcal{Z}_d^{p,\ell+1}) \rightarrow \check{H}^{q-\ell}(X; \mathcal{Z}_d^{p,\ell}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence one gets the surjective map:

$$\check{H}^1(X; \mathcal{Z}_d^{p,q-1}) \rightarrow \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \check{H}^q(X; \mathcal{Z}_d^{p,0}) \rightarrow 0. \tag{5}$$

Step 4. Fix  $\ell \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$ . Consider the exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_d^{\ell,0} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{\bar{\partial}}^{\ell,0} \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathcal{Z}_d^{\ell+1,0} \rightarrow 0$$

of sheaves, [12, Lemme 4.1(2.ii)].

Step 4a. In case (a), since  $\check{H}^{p+q-\ell-1}(X; \mathcal{Z}_{\bar{\partial}}^{\ell,0}) \simeq H_{\bar{\partial}}^{\ell,p+q-\ell-1}(X) = \{0\}$  by the hypothesis, one gets the injective map:

$$0 \rightarrow \check{H}^{p+q-\ell-1}(X; \mathcal{Z}_d^{\ell+1,0}) \rightarrow \check{H}^{p+q-\ell}(X; \mathcal{Z}_d^{\ell,0}).$$

Hence one gets the injective map:

$$0 \rightarrow \check{H}^q(X; \mathcal{Z}_d^{p,0}) \rightarrow \check{H}^{p+q}(X; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_X). \tag{6}$$

Step 4b. In case (b), since  $\check{H}^{p+q-\ell}(X; \mathcal{Z}_{\bar{\partial}}^{\ell,0}) \simeq H_{\bar{\partial}}^{\ell,p+q-\ell}(X) = \{0\}$  by the hypothesis, one gets the surjective map:

$$\check{H}^{p+q-\ell-1}(X; \mathcal{Z}_d^{\ell+1,0}) \rightarrow \check{H}^{p+q-\ell}(X; \mathcal{Z}_d^{\ell,0}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence one gets the surjective map:

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \check{H}^q(X; \mathcal{Z}_d^{p,0}) \rightarrow \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \check{H}^{p+q}(X; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_X) \rightarrow 0. \tag{7}$$

Step 5a. In case (a), by using (1), (2), (4), and (6), one gets:

$$H_{BC}^{p,q}(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} \check{H}^1(X; \mathcal{Z}_{\partial\bar{\partial}}^{p-1,q-1}) \hookrightarrow \check{H}^1(X; \mathcal{Z}_d^{p,q-1}) \hookrightarrow \check{H}^q(X; \mathcal{Z}_d^{p,0}) \hookrightarrow \check{H}^{p+q}(X; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_X) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{dR}^{p+q}(X; \mathbb{C}),$$

concluding the proof of the item (a).

Step 5b. In case (b), by using (1), (3), (5), and (7), one gets:

$$H_{BC}^{p,q}(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} \check{H}^1(X; \mathcal{Z}_{\partial\bar{\partial}}^{p-1,q-1}) \twoheadrightarrow \check{H}^1(X; \mathcal{Z}_d^{p,q-1}) \twoheadrightarrow \check{H}^q(X; \mathcal{Z}_d^{p,0}) \twoheadrightarrow \check{H}^{p+q}(X; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_X) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{dR}^{p+q}(X; \mathbb{C}),$$

concluding the proof of the item (b).  $\square$

As regards the Aeppli cohomology, we have the following vanishing result.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $X$  be a complex manifold. Fix  $(p, q) \in (\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\})^2$ . If*

$$H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,q}(X) = \{0\} \quad \text{and} \quad H_{\bar{\partial}}^{q,p}(X) = \{0\},$$

then

$$H_A^{p,q}(X) = \{0\}.$$

**Proof.** We split the proof in the following steps.

Step 1. Consider the exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{\partial}^{p-1,q} \oplus \mathcal{Z}_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,q-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{p-1,q} \oplus \mathcal{A}^{p,q-1} \xrightarrow{(\partial, \bar{\partial})} \mathcal{Z}_{\partial\bar{\partial}}^{p,q} \rightarrow 0$$

of sheaves, [12, Lemme 4.1(3.i)]. Since the sheaf  $\mathcal{A}^{p-1,q} \oplus \mathcal{A}^{p,q-1}$  is (a fine sheaf over a para-compact Hausdorff space and hence) acyclic, one gets the exact sequence:

$$\check{H}^0(X; \mathcal{A}^{p-1,q} \oplus \mathcal{A}^{p,q-1}) \xrightarrow{(\partial, \bar{\partial})} \check{H}^0(X; \mathcal{Z}_{\partial\bar{\partial}}^{p,q}) \rightarrow \check{H}^1(X; \mathcal{Z}_{\partial}^{p-1,q} \oplus \mathcal{Z}_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,q-1}) \rightarrow 0.$$

It follows that:

$$\check{H}^1(X; \mathcal{Z}_{\partial}^{p-1,q} \oplus \mathcal{Z}_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,q-1}) \simeq \frac{\check{H}^0(X; \mathcal{Z}_{\partial\bar{\partial}}^{p,q})}{\partial \check{H}^0(X; \mathcal{A}^{p-1,q}) + \bar{\partial} \check{H}^0(X; \mathcal{A}^{p,q-1})} = H_A^{p,q}(X). \tag{8}$$

Step 2. Since  $\check{H}^1(X; \mathcal{Z}_\partial^{p-1,q}) \simeq H_\partial^{p,q}(X) = \overline{H_\partial^{q,p}(X)} = \{0\}$  and  $\check{H}^1(X; \mathcal{Z}_\partial^{p,q-1}) \simeq H_\partial^{p,q}(X) = \{0\}$  by the hypotheses, one gets:

$$\check{H}^1(X; \mathcal{Z}_\partial^{p-1,q} \oplus \mathcal{Z}_\partial^{p,q-1}) = \{0\}. \quad (9)$$

Step 3. By (8) and (9), one gets the vanishing of  $H_A^{p,q}(X)$ .  $\square$

As a straightforward consequence, we get the following vanishing result for cohomologically  $q$ -complete manifold.

**Corollary 1.3.** *Let  $X$  be a cohomologically  $q$ -complete manifold. Then  $H_A^{r,s}(X) = \{0\}$  for any  $(r, s) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  such that  $\min\{r, s\} \geq q$ .*

## 2. Dolbeault cohomology vanishing and Bott–Chern and Aepli cohomologies

As partial converse of Theorem 1.1 and of Theorem 1.2 respectively, we provide the following results.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $X$  be a complex manifold of complex dimension  $n$ . Fix  $(p, q) \in \mathbb{N} \times (\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\})$ . If*

$$H_{BC}^{p,q}(X) = \{0\} \quad \text{and} \quad H_{BC}^{p+1,q}(X) = \{0\},$$

then

$$H_\partial^{p,q}(X) = \{0\}.$$

**Proof.** Take  $\alpha = [\alpha] \in H_\partial^{p,q}(X)$ , and consider  $[\partial\alpha] \in H_{BC}^{p+1,q}(X) = \{0\}$ . (As a matter of notation, we set  $\wedge^{\ell,m} X := \{0\}$  for  $\ell \notin \mathbb{N}$  or  $m \notin \mathbb{N}$ .) Hence there exists  $\beta \in \wedge^{p,q-1} X$  such that  $\partial\alpha = \partial\bar{\partial}\beta$ . Consider  $[\alpha - \bar{\partial}\beta] \in H_{BC}^{p,q}(X) = \{0\}$ . Hence there exists  $\gamma \in \wedge^{p-1,q-1} X$  such that  $\alpha - \bar{\partial}\beta = \partial\bar{\partial}\gamma$ . Therefore  $\alpha = \bar{\partial}(\beta - \partial\gamma)$ , that is,  $\alpha = 0 \in H_\partial^{p,q}(X)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $X$  be a complex manifold of complex dimension  $n$ . Fix  $(p, q) \in \mathbb{N} \times (\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\})$ . If*

$$H_A^{p-1,q}(X) = \{0\} \quad \text{and} \quad H_A^{p,q}(X) = \{0\},$$

then

$$H_\partial^{p,q}(X) = \{0\}.$$

**Proof.** Take  $\alpha = [\alpha] \in H_\partial^{p,q}(X)$ , and consider  $[\alpha] \in H_A^{p,q}(X) = \{0\}$ . (As a matter of notation, we set  $\wedge^{\ell,m} X := \{0\}$  for  $\ell \notin \mathbb{N}$  or  $m \notin \mathbb{N}$ .) Hence there exist  $\beta \in \wedge^{p-1,q} X$  and  $\gamma \in \wedge^{p,q-1} X$  such that  $\alpha = \partial\beta + \bar{\partial}\gamma$ . Consider  $[\beta] \in H_A^{p-1,q}(X) = \{0\}$ . Hence there exist  $\xi \in \wedge^{p-2,q} X$  and  $\eta \in \wedge^{p-1,q-1} X$  such that  $\beta = \partial\xi + \bar{\partial}\eta$ . Therefore  $\alpha = \bar{\partial}(\gamma - \partial\eta)$ , that is,  $\alpha = 0 \in H_\partial^{p,q}(X)$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.3.** Note that, by Proposition 2.2, respectively Proposition 2.1, and by [9, Theorem V.5.2], for domains  $D$  of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  to be Stein, it is sufficient that  $H_A^{0,\ell}(D) = \{0\}$  for any  $\ell \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ , respectively that  $H_{BC}^{0,\ell}(D) = H_{BC}^{1,\ell}(D) = \{0\}$  for any  $\ell \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ , but not the converse.

## 3. Cohomologically Bott–Chern $q$ -complete manifolds

We recall that, fixed  $q \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ , a complex manifold  $X$  is called *cohomologically  $q$ -complete* if  $H_\partial^{r,s}(X) = \{0\}$  for any  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  and for any  $s \geq q$ . In view of A. Andreotti and H. Grauert vanishing theorem, [2, Proposition 27],  $q$ -complete manifolds [2,11] are cohomologically  $q$ -complete. Conversely, cohomologically  $q$ -complete domains of a Stein manifold with boundary of class  $\mathcal{C}^2$  are  $q$ -complete, [7, Theorem 3.8].

In this section, we study the Bott–Chern counterpart of  $q$ -completeness. More precisely, consider the following definition.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $X$  be a complex manifold of complex dimension  $n$ , and fix an integer  $q \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . The manifold  $X$  is called *cohomologically Bott–Chern  $q$ -complete* if there holds that, for any positive integers  $r$  and  $s$  such that  $r+s \geq n+q$ , then  $H_{BC}^{r,s}(X) = \{0\}$ .

In order to motivate the previous definition, we note the following straightforward corollary of Theorem 1.1.

**Corollary 3.2.** Let  $X$  be a complex manifold. Fix  $(p, q) \in (\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\})^2$ . If

$$\sum_{\substack{r+s=p+q-1 \\ s \geq \min\{p,q\}}} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H_{\bar{\partial}}^{r,s}(X) = \sum_{\substack{r+s=p+q \\ s \geq \min\{p,q\}+1}} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H_{\bar{\partial}}^{r,s}(X) = 0$$

then, for any  $(h, k) \in (\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\})^2$  such that  $h + k = p + q$  and  $\min\{p, q\} \leq h, k \leq \max\{p, q\}$ , there is a natural isomorphism:

$$H_{BC}^{h,k}(X) \simeq H_{dR}^{p+q}(X; \mathbb{C}).$$

In particular, for cohomologically  $q$ -complete manifolds, we get the following result.

**Corollary 3.3.** Let  $X$  be a cohomologically  $q$ -complete manifold. Then  $H_{BC}^{r,s} = H_{dR}^{r+s}(X; \mathbb{C})$  for any  $(r, s) \in (\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\})^2$  such that  $\min\{r, s\} \geq q$ .

By using the Frölicher inequality, [8, Theorem 2], see also [10, Theorem 2.15], we get the following vanishing result.

**Corollary 3.4.** Let  $X$  be a complex manifold. Fix  $(p, q) \in (\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\})^2$ . If,

$$\sum_{\substack{r+s=p+q-1 \\ s \geq q}} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H_{\bar{\partial}}^{r,s}(X) = \sum_{r+s=p+q} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H_{\bar{\partial}}^{r,s}(X) = 0,$$

then

$$H_{BC}^{p,q}(X) \simeq \{0\}.$$

As an application, Corollary 3.4 relates the just introduced notion of cohomologically Bott–Chern  $q$ -completeness to the more classical notion of  $q$ -completeness.

**Corollary 3.5.** Every cohomologically  $q$ -complete manifold is also cohomologically Bott–Chern  $q$ -complete.

We conclude this note indicating some directions for further investigations.

**Remark 3.6.** It would be interesting to have an example of a non-Stein domain being cohomologically Bott–Chern 1-complete. More precisely, one would have a complex manifold  $X$  of complex dimension 2 such that  $\sum_{r+s \geq 3} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H_{BC}^{r,s}(X) = 0$ , in particular, with  $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{r,s}(X) = \{0\}$  for  $(r, s) \in \{(1, 1), (2, 1), (0, 2), (1, 2), (2, 2)\}$  and  $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{0,1}(X) \neq \{0\}$ . As the anonymous referee pointed out to us, such an example cannot occur when  $X$  is a domain in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  or, more generally, in a complex manifold whose holomorphic cotangent bundle is holomorphically trivial.

**Remark 3.7.** In view of the very definition of  $q$ -complete domains, [2,11], it would be interesting to have a geometric characterization of cohomologically Bott–Chern  $q$ -complete domains, for example in terms of positivity properties of the Levi form.

## Acknowledgements

The authors are warmly grateful to Adriano Tomassini and Xiuxiong Chen for their constant support and encouragement. They would like to thank also Alessandro Silva for useful discussions and for his interest, and Marco Franciosi for several suggestions. Many thanks are also due to the anonymous referee for his/her remarks.

## References

- [1] A. Aeppli, On the cohomology structure of Stein manifolds, in: Proc. Conf. Complex Analysis, Minneapolis, MN, 1964, Springer, Berlin, 1965, pp. 58–70.
- [2] A. Andreotti, H. Grauert, Théorème de finitude pour la cohomologie des espaces complexes, Bull. Soc. Math. Fr. 90 (1962) 193–259.
- [3] A. Andreotti, E. Vesentini, Carleman estimates for the Laplace–Beltrami equation on complex manifolds, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 25 (1965) 81–130;  
A. Andreotti, E. Vesentini, Erratum to: Carleman estimates for the Laplace–Beltrami equation on complex manifolds, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 27 (1965) 153–155.
- [4] D. Angella, A. Tomassini, On the  $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -lemma and Bott–Chern cohomology, Invent. Math. 192 (1) (2013) 71–81.
- [5] R. Bott, S.S. Chern, Hermitian vector bundles and the equidistribution of the zeroes of their holomorphic sections, Acta Math. 114 (1) (1965) 71–112.
- [6] J.-P. Demailly, Complex analytic and differential geometry, <http://www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/~demailly/manuscripts/agbook.pdf>, 2012.
- [7] M.G. Eastwood, G. Vigna Suria, Cohomologically complete and pseudoconvex domains, Comment. Math. Helv. 55 (3) (1980) 413–426.
- [8] A. Frölicher, Relations between the cohomology groups of Dolbeault and topological invariants, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 41 (1955) 641–644.

- [9] H. Grauert, R. Remmert, *Theory of Stein Spaces*, reprint of the 1979 edition, originally published as *Grundlehren Math. Wiss.*, vol. 236, Springer, 2004.
- [10] J. McCleary, *A User's Guide to Spectral Sequences*, second edition, *Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math.*, vol. 58, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.
- [11] W. Rothstein, Zur Theorie der analytischen Mannigfaltigkeiten im Raume von  $n$  komplexen Veränderlichen, *Math. Ann.* 129 (1) (1955) 96–138.
- [12] M. Schweitzer, *Autour de la cohomologie de Bott–Chern*, arXiv:0709.3528v1 [math.AG].