



Mathematical Analysis

On m -symmetric d -orthogonal polynomialsSur les polynômes d -orthogonaux m -symétriquesMongi Blel^{1,2}

Department of Mathematics College of Science, King Saud University, Riyadh 11451, BP 2455, Saudi Arabia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 26 January 2011

Accepted after revision 16 December 2011

Available online 5 January 2012

Presented by Jean-Pierre Demailly

ABSTRACT

In this Note, we prove that all the components of a d -symmetric classical d -orthogonal are classical and in the case where the sequence is m -symmetric and d -orthogonal, we prove that the first component of an m -symmetric classical d -orthogonal is classical. That generalized the Douak and Maroni (1992) [8] results for the case $m = d$. Then we discuss, as far as we know, a new symmetric classical 3-PS.

© 2011 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

R É S U M É

Dans cette Note, on montre que les composantes d'une suite d -symétrique d -orthogonale et classique sont aussi classiques. Dans le cas où la suite est d -orthogonale classique et m -symétrique, on montre que la première composante est d -orthogonale classique. On généralise ainsi les résultats de Douak et Maroni (1992) [8]. On donne à la fin de cette note un exemple d'une nouvelle suite 3-orthogonale symétrique classique.

© 2011 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{P} be the vector space of polynomials with coefficients in \mathbb{C} and let \mathcal{P}' be its algebraic dual. A polynomial sequence $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ in \mathcal{P} is called a polynomial set (PS, for shorter) if $\deg P_n = n$ for all integer n . We denote by $\langle u, f \rangle$ the effect of the linear functional $u \in \mathcal{P}'$ on the polynomial $f \in \mathcal{P}$. A natural extension of the notion of orthogonality was introduced by Van Iseghem [14] and Maroni [9] as follows:

Definition 1.1. Let d be a positive integer and let $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be a PS in \mathcal{P} . $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is called a d -orthogonal polynomial set (d -OPS, for shorter) with respect to the d -dimensional functional vector $\Gamma = {}^t(\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_{d-1})$ if it satisfies the following conditions:

$$\begin{cases} \langle \Gamma_k, P_m P_n \rangle = 0, & m > nd + k, n \geq 0, k = 0, \dots, d-1, \\ \langle \Gamma_k, P_n P_{nd+k} \rangle \neq 0, & n \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

For the particular case $d = 1$, we meet the well known notion of orthogonality [7].

E-mail address: mblel@ksu.edu.sa.

¹ The research is supported by NPST Program of King Saud University; project number 10-MAT1293-02.

² The author thanks Professor Y. Ben Cheikh for many enlightening discussions, and the referee for his/her careful reading of the manuscript and corrections.

Definition 1.2. Let m be a nonnegative integer. A PS $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is called m -symmetric if $P_n(wx) = w^n P_n(x)$ for all n , where $w = e^{\frac{2i\pi}{m+1}}$ an $(m+1)$ -root of the unity.

For the particular case: $m = 1$, we meet the well-known notion of symmetric PS [7]. A characteristic property of m -symmetric PS is given by the following:

Proposition 1.3. A PS $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is m -symmetric if and only if there exist $(m+1)$ PSs $\{P_n^k\}_{n \geq 0}$, $k = 0, \dots, m$, such that $P_{(m+1)n+k}(x) = x^k P_n^k(x^{m+1})$, $n \geq 0$.

The PSs $\{P_n^k\}_{n \geq 0}$, $k = 0, \dots, m$, are called *the components of the m -symmetric PS $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$* .

There exist in the literature many works dealing with m -symmetric d -orthogonal polynomials for particular couples (m, d) . One of the main questions related to this notion asks to find properties satisfied by the components and corresponding to fixed ones satisfied by the involved m -symmetric d -OPS.

The case $(m, d) = (1, 1)$ is widely known (see, for instance, Chihara [7]). The case $(m, d) = (m, 1)$, $m > 1$, corresponds to the orthogonality on certain sets in the complex domain and having some symmetrical properties. This case was investigated by Ben Cheikh [1] where the author unified some previous works written by Carlitz [6], Milovanović [11], Marcellàn and Sansigre [10] and Ricci [12]. The case $(m, d) = (d, d)$, $d > 1$, was initiated by Douak and Maroni [8] where the authors characterized the d -symmetric d -OPSs by means of a lacunary $(d+1)$ -order recurrence relation and showed the d -orthogonality of the components. Other results for these polynomials were derived by Ben Cheikh and Douak [2] and Ben Cheikh and Gaied [5]. In [4], the authors gave some characteristic properties for the d -symmetric classical d -orthogonal polynomials related to generating functions and recuro-differential equation. The aim of this Note is to generalize some results obtained by Douak and Maroni [8] to the case (m, d) where $d > 1$ and $m \leq d$. Without losing the generality, in which follows we assume that the polynomials P_n , $n \geq 0$, are monic.

2. m -Symmetric d -OPSs

2.1. Characterizations of m -symmetric d -OPSs

Let d be a positive integer and m be a nonnegative integer satisfying $m \leq d$. Next, we give a necessary condition on m and d to have an m -symmetric d -OPS and two characterizations of m -symmetric d -OPSs. We denote by \tilde{X}^k the multiplication operator by x^k in \mathcal{P} .

Theorem 2.1. Let $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be a d -OPS. Then the following properties are equivalent:

- (i) The PS $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is m -symmetric.
- (ii) $d+1$ is a multiple of $m+1$, say $d+1 = p(m+1)$, and the PS $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ satisfies a $(d+1)$ -order recurrence relation of type

$$\tilde{X}P_n = P_{n+1} + \sum_{j=1}^p \gamma_{n,j} P_{n-j(m+1)+1}, \quad (1)$$

with $\gamma_{n,p} \neq 0$ and the convention $P_{-n} = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Since $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a d -OPS, it satisfies a $(d+1)$ -order recurrence relation of type (cf. [9]):

$$\tilde{X}P_n = P_{n+1} + \sum_{k=0}^d \alpha_{k,n-d+k} P_{n-d+k}, \quad \alpha_{0,n-d} \neq 0. \quad (2)$$

Take the polynomials in (2) at wx , and use the fact that the PS $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is m -symmetric, we obtain

$$\tilde{X}P_n = P_{n+1} + \sum_{k=0}^d \alpha_{k,n-d+k} w^{k-d-1} P_{n-d+k}. \quad (3)$$

Comparing the coefficients of P_{n-d} in (2) and (3) we deduce that $w^{d+1} = 1$ since $\alpha_{0,n-d} \neq 0$. It follows then $d+1$ is a multiple of $m+1$. If we compare the coefficients of P_{n-d-k} in (2) and (3) we deduce that

$$\tilde{X}P_n = P_{n+1} + \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \alpha_{j(m+1),n-d+j(m+1)} P_{n-d+j(m+1)} = P_{n+1} + \sum_{j=1}^p \gamma_{n,j} P_{n-j(m+1)+1}, \quad \text{with } \gamma_{n,p} \neq 0.$$

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) From (1) we get $P_j(x) = x^j$ for $0 \leq j \leq m$. The result is obtained by induction. \square

2.2. Properties of the components

As an analogue of the Hahn's characterization for classical polynomials when $d = 1$, Douak and Maroni [8] introduced the concept of classical d -orthogonal polynomials as follows:

Definition 2.2. A PS $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is called classical d -orthogonal if and only if both $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ and $\{(1/(n+1))P'_{n+1}\}_{n \geq 0}$ are d -orthogonal.

They showed that if $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a d -symmetric d -OPS, all the components $\{P_n^k\}_{n \geq 0}$, $k = 0, \dots, d$, are d -orthogonal and if moreover $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is classical, the first component P_n^0 is classical. In this subsection, we generalize these two results by proving that they remain true for m -symmetric d -OPS and we improve the second one by proving that all the $d + 1$ components are classical.

Theorem 2.3. Let $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be an m -symmetric d -OPS. Then its components $\{P_n^k\}_{n \geq 0}$, $k = 0, \dots, m$, are d -orthogonal.

Proof. Since $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is an m -symmetric d -OPS, it verifies the following recurrence relation:

$$\tilde{X}P_n = P_{n+1} + \sum_{j=1}^p \alpha_{j,n} P_{n+1-j(m+1)}, \quad \alpha_{p,n-d} \neq 0. \tag{4}$$

We apply the operator \tilde{X} on both sides of (4) and we replace $\tilde{X}P_q$ by a relation of type (4). We obtain a relation of type $\tilde{X}^2 P_n = P_{n+2} + \sum_{j=1}^{2p} \alpha_{2,j,n} P_{n+2-j(m+1)}$, with $\alpha_{2,2p,n} \neq 0$. By iteration, we deduce that for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \geq rd$

$$\tilde{X}^r P_n = P_{n+r} + \sum_{j=1}^{rp} \alpha_{r,j,n} P_{n+r-j(m+1)} \tag{5}$$

with $\alpha_{r,rp,n} \neq 0$. Thus $\tilde{X}^{m+1} P_{n(m+1)+k} = P_{(n+1)(m+1)+k} + \sum_{j=1}^{p(m+1)=d+1} \alpha_{m+1,j,n(m+1)+k} P_{(n-j+1)(m+1)+k}$, which is equivalent to $\tilde{X}^{m+1} P_{n(m+1)+k} = P_{(n+1)(m+1)+k} + \sum_{j=0}^d \alpha_{j,n-d+j} P_{(n-d+j)(m+1)+k}$, with $\alpha_{0,n-d} \neq 0$. It results that $x^{m+1} P_n^k(x^{m+1}) = P_{n+1}^k(x^{m+1}) + \sum_{j=0}^d \alpha_{j,n-d+j} P_{n-d+j}^k(x^{m+1})$, with $\alpha_{0,n-d} \neq 0$. In other words $\tilde{X} P_n^k = P_{n+1}^k + \sum_{j=0}^d \alpha_{j,n-d+j} P_{n-d+j}^k$, $\alpha_{0,n-d} \neq 0$. Then $\{P_n^k\}_{n \geq 0}$, $k = 0, \dots, m$, is a d -OPS. \square

2.2.1. Classical d -OPSs

Douak and Maroni showed in [8] that if $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a d -symmetric classical d -OPS, then the first component $\{P_n^0\}_{n \geq 0}$ is classical. Next, we prove that all the components $\{P_n^k\}_{n \geq 0}$, $k = 0, \dots, d$, are classical and if $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is m -symmetric and classical, we prove that the first component is classical. We state the following:

Theorem 2.4. If $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a d -symmetric classical d -OPS, then its components $\{P_n^k\}_{n \geq 0}$, $k = 0, \dots, d$, are classical d -orthogonal.

Proof. Since $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ and $\{A_n = (1/(n+1))P'_{n+1}\}_{n \geq 0}$ are d -symmetric d -orthogonal, it results from Theorem 2.3 that the families $\{P_n^k\}_{n \geq 0}$ and $\{A_n^k\}_{n \geq 0}$ are d -orthogonal, $k = 0, \dots, d$. Our goal here is to prove that the family $\{P_n^k\}_{n \geq 0}$ is classical. It is enough to prove that the PS $\{K_n^k = (1/(n+1))(P'_{n+1})^k\}_{n \geq 0}$ verifies a recurrence relation of type (2).

Case $k = 0$. We recall that $P_{n+1}^0(x^{d+1}) = P_{(n+1)(d+1)}(x)$. Then taking derivatives in both sides of this relation, we obtain:

$$x^d K_n^0(x^{d+1}) = A_{(n+1)(d+1)-1}(x). \tag{6}$$

Since $\{A_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is d -symmetric and d -orthogonal, we replace in (5) r by $d + 1$ and n by $(n + 1)(d + 1) - 1$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{X}^{d+1} A_{(n+1)(d+1)-1} &= A_{(n+2)(d+1)-1} + \sum_{j=1}^d \beta_{j,(n+2-j)(d+1)} A_{(n+2-j)(d+1)-1} + \gamma_{n-d} A_{(n+1-d)(d+1)-1} \\ &= A_{(n+2)(d+1)-1} + \sum_{j=1}^d \beta_{d+1-j,(n-d+j+1)(d+1)} A_{(n-d+j+1)(d+1)-1}, \end{aligned}$$

with $\beta_{d+1,(n-d+1)(d+1)} \neq 0$. Then $x^{d+1} K_n^0(x^{d+1}) = K_{n+1}^0(x^{d+1}) + \sum_{j=0}^d \beta_{d+1-j,(n-d+j+1)(d+1)} K_{n-d+j}^0(x^{d+1})$. Thus $\tilde{X} K_n^0 = K_{n+1}^0 + \sum_{j=0}^d C_{n-d+j} K_{n-d+j}^0$, $C_{n-d} \neq 0$, which means that $\{P_n^0\}_{n \geq 0}$ is classical and d -orthogonal.

Case $k \geq 1$. The PS $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is classical d -symmetric d -orthogonal, then

$$\tilde{X}P_n = P_{n+1} + b_{n-d}P_{n-d}, \quad b_{n-d} \neq 0. \quad (7)$$

Moreover since $\{A_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is d -symmetric and d -orthogonal, $\tilde{X}A_n = A_{n+1} + a_{n-d}A_{n-d}$, with $a_{n-d} \neq 0$. Taking derivatives in both sides of (7) and $x^k P_{n+1}^k(x^{d+1}) = P_{(n+1)(d+1)+k}(x)$, we obtain:

$$P_{n+1} = A_{n+1} + ((n+1-d)b_{n+1-d} - (n+1)a_{n-d})A_{n-d}. \quad (8)$$

Then $kP_{n+1}^k + (d+1)(n+1)\tilde{X}K_n^k = ((n+1)(d+1)+k)(A_{n+1}^k + a_{n(d+1)+k}A_n^k)$.

From (8), we deduce that $P_{n(d+1)+k} = A_{n(d+1)+k} + (((n-1)(d+1)+k)b_{(n-1)(d+1)+k} - (n(d+1)+k)a_{(n-1)(d+1)+k}) \times A_{(n-1)(d+1)+k}$ and then

$$\tilde{X}K_n^k = A_{n+1}^k + \delta_{n,k}A_n^k. \quad (9)$$

We recall that

$$\tilde{X}A_n^k = A_{n+1}^k + \sum_{j=0}^d a_{k,n-d+j}A_{n-d+j}^k, \quad \text{with } a_{k,n-d} \neq 0. \quad (10)$$

Replace in this equation n by $n+1$ and A_{j+1}^k by $\tilde{X}K_j^k - \delta_{j,k}A_j^k$, to obtain: $\tilde{X}^2K_n^k = \tilde{X}K_{n+1}^k + \sum_{j=0}^d b_{k,n-d+j}\tilde{X}K_{n-d+j}^k + c_{k,n-d-1}A_{n-d-1}^k$. If $c_{k,n-d-1} \neq 0$ for a suitable n , then $A_{n-d-1}^k(0) = 0$, and from (9) $A_{n-d}^k(0) = 0$. Then from (10), we deduce that $A_1 = 0$ which is impossible. \square

Theorem 2.5. Let $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be an m -symmetric classical d -OPS, then its first component $\{P_n^0\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a classical d -OPS.

Proof. Since the PS $\{A_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is m -symmetric d -orthogonal, it fulfills (5). We replace r by $m+1$ and n by $(n+1)(m+1)-1$ in this relation to obtain: $\tilde{X}^{m+1}A_{(n+1)(m+1)-1} = A_{(n+2)(m+1)-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{d+1} \gamma_{n,j}A_{(n+2-j)(m+1)-1}$, with $\gamma_{n,n-d} \neq 0$. Thus

$$\tilde{X}^{m+1}A_{(n+1)(m+1)-1} = A_{(n+2)(m+1)-1} + \sum_{j=0}^d \alpha_{n-d+j}A_{(n-d+j+1)(m+1)-1}, \quad (11)$$

with $\alpha_{n-d} \neq 0$. If we take derivatives in both sides of the relation $P_{n+1}^0(x^{m+1}) = P_{(n+1)(m+1)}(x)$, we obtain:

$$x^m K_n^0(x^{m+1}) = A_{(n+1)(m+1)-1}(x), \quad (12)$$

with $K_n^0 = (1/(n+1))(P_{n+1}^0)'$. From (11) and (12) we deduce that $x^{m+1}x^m K_n^0(x^{m+1}) = x^m K_{n+1}^0(x^{m+1}) + \sum_{j=0}^d \alpha_{n-d+j}x^m \cdot K_{n-d+j}^0(x^{m+1})$, with $\alpha_{n-d} \neq 0$, which is equivalent to $\tilde{X}K_n^0 = K_{n+1}^0 + \sum_{j=0}^d \alpha_{n-d+j}K_{n-d+j}^0$, with $\alpha_{n-d} \neq 0$, and the desired result follows. \square

3. Example

We introduce, as far as we know, a new 3-OPS defined by a generating function. Using the identity 2, Problem 7, p. 213 in [13] and Theorem 1 in [3], one can easily prove that the PS $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ generated by: $e^{t^{m+1}} {}_0F_r \left(\begin{matrix} - \\ b_1, \dots, b_r \end{matrix} -xt \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n(x)t^n$ is m -symmetric classical $((m+1)(r+1)-1)$ -orthogonal. Moreover the corresponding $m+1$ components are also classical $((m+1)(r+1)-1)$ -orthogonal.

References

- [1] Y. Ben Cheikh, On some $(n-1)$ -symmetric linear functionals, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 133 (2001) 207–218.
- [2] Y. Ben Cheikh, K. Douak, On the classical d -orthogonal polynomials defined by certain generating function, II, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. 8 (2001) 591–605.
- [3] Y. Ben Cheikh, K. Douak, A generalized hypergeometric d -orthogonal polynomial set, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 331 (5) (2000) 349–354.
- [4] Y. Ben Cheikh, N. Ben Romdhane, On d -symmetric classical d -orthogonal polynomials, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 236 (2011) 85–93.
- [5] Y. Ben Cheikh, M. Gaied, A characterization of Dunkl-classical d -symmetric d -orthogonal polynomials and its applications, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 236 (2011) 49–64.
- [6] L. Carlitz, The relationship of the Hermite to the Laguerre polynomials, Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. 16 (3) (1961) 386–390.
- [7] T.S. Chihara, An Introduction to Orthogonal Polynomials, Gordon and Breach, New York, London, Paris, 1978.
- [8] K. Douak, P. Maroni, Les polynômes orthogonaux « classiques » de dimension deux, Analysis 12 (1992) 71–107.
- [9] P. Maroni, L'orthogonalité et les récurrences de polynômes d'ordre supérieur à deux, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse 10 (1) (1989) 105–139.
- [10] F. Marcellàn, G. Sansigre, Orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle: Symmetrization and quadratic decomposition, J. Approx. Theory 65 (1) (1991) 109–119.
- [11] G.V. Milovanović, A class of orthogonal polynomials on the radial rays in the complex plane, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 206 (1997) 121–139.
- [12] P.E. Ricci, Symmetric orthonormal systems on the unit circle, Atti. Semin. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena XL (1992) 667–687.
- [13] H.M. Srivastava, H.L. Manocha, A Treatise on Generating Functions, John Wiley & Sons, New York, Toronto, 1984.
- [14] J. Van Iseghem, Vector orthogonal relations. Vector QD-algorithm, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 19 (1987) 141–150.