



Probability Theory/Functional Analysis

A new approach to Kolmogorov equations in infinite dimensions and applications to the stochastic 2D Navier–Stokes equation

Michael Röckner, Zeev Sobol

Department of Mathematics, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907-2, USA

Received 20 December 2006; accepted after revision 19 July 2007

Available online 23 August 2007

Presented by Paul Malliavin

Abstract

In this Note we present a new approach to solve Kolmogorov equations in infinitely many variables in weighted spaces of weakly continuous functions, including the case of non-constant possibly degenerate diffusion coefficients. **To cite this article:** *M. Röckner, Z. Sobol, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 345 (2007).*

© 2007 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

Résumé

Une nouvelle approche dans une infinité de dimensions et applications à l'équation Navier–Stokes stochastique en 2D. Dans cette Note nous présentons une nouvelle approche pour résoudre des équations de Kolmogorov à une infinité de variables dans des espaces à poids de fonctions faiblement continus. Le cas de coefficients de diffusion non-constants et éventuellement dégénérés est inclus. **Pour citer cet article :** *M. Röckner, Z. Sobol, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 345 (2007).*

© 2007 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

The purpose of this Note is to present a new general approach to Kolmogorov equations in infinite dimensions based on the methods first developed in [2]. We illustrate this approach through its application to the stochastic 2D Navier–Stokes equations (NSE, see [1] and the references therein) with state dependent (‘multiplicative’) noise, which on an open set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ or $\Omega = \mathbb{T}^d$ is given by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u + u \cdot \nabla u = \nu \Delta u - \nabla p + f, \quad \operatorname{div} u = 0, \quad u \upharpoonright_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad u(x, 0) = u_0(x). \tag{1}$$

Here $u(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is the velocity of a fluid in $x \in \Omega$ at time $t \geq 0$, $p(t, x)$ the pressure, $f(t, x)$ an external stochastic force and ν the viscosity constant. We consider the Laplacian with Dirichlet and periodic boundary conditions.

As usual we project (1) onto the sub-space $H \subset L^2(\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2)$ of divergence free vector fields by the Leray–Helmholtz projection P . Then the SPDE (1) becomes an SDE in H .

To describe the stochastic force f precisely, let $\{\ell_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be the eigenbasis of the part of Δ on H and let $\{w_t^k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of iid Brownian motions with $\mathcal{F}_t := \sigma\{w_s^k \mid 0 \leq s \leq t, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ its associated filtration. If σ is an (\mathcal{F}_t) -adapted locally bounded separable process taking values in the space $L_2(H)$ of Hilbert–Schmidt operators on

E-mail addresses: roeckner@math.purdue.edu (M. Röckner), zsobol@gmail.com (Z. Sobol).

H , the series $\sum_k \int_0^t \sigma \ell_k dw_t^k$ converges in H almost surely. We denote the differential of the latter process by σdw_t and set $f = \frac{\sigma(u)dw_t}{dt}$, with a continuous map $\sigma : H \rightarrow L_2(H)$, i.e. we allow σ to depend on the solution. Thus, (1) turns into the following SDE in H :

$$du_t = [v\Delta u_t - P(u_t \cdot \nabla u_t)] dt + \sigma(u_t) dw_t. \tag{2}$$

The usual way to obtain the Kolmogorov equations corresponding to SDE (2) is to reformulate the latter as a martingale problem, which is a standard approach to construct weak solutions to an SDE of type

$$du_t = \mu(u_t) dt + \sigma(u_t) dw_t \tag{3}$$

(cf. Stroock and Varadhan in [5] if $H = \mathbb{R}^d$): Let \mathcal{D} be the set of all cylindrical functions of type

$$\Phi(u) = \phi(\langle \ell_1, u \rangle, \langle \ell_2, u \rangle, \dots, \langle \ell_n, u \rangle), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \phi \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^n). \tag{4}$$

Itô's formula applied to $\Phi(u_t)$, with u_t solving (3), yields that

$$m_\Phi(t) := \Phi(u_t) - \Phi(u_0) - \int_0^t (L\Phi)(u_s) ds, \tag{5}$$

is an (\mathcal{F}_t) -martingale, with the *Kolmogorov operator* L defined as follows:

$$L\Phi(u) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{km} (\sigma(u)\ell_k, \sigma(u)\ell_m) \frac{\partial^2 \Phi(u)}{\partial \ell_k \partial \ell_m} + \sum_k \mu_k(u) \frac{\partial \Phi(u)}{\partial \ell_k}, \quad \Phi \in \mathcal{D}, \tag{6}$$

where in the special case of (2)

$$\mu_k(u) := \langle \ell_k, \mu(u) \rangle = \langle v\Delta \ell_k, u \rangle + \langle u \cdot \nabla \ell_k, u \rangle, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then a solution to the *martingale problem* (L, \mathcal{D}) is a family of measures $(\mathbb{P}_u)_{u \in H}$ on $C([0, \infty), H)$, i.e. the space of continuous trajectories in H such that, for $u \in H$, first, $\mathbb{P}_u\{u_0 = u\} = 1$, and second, for $\Phi \in \mathcal{D}$, the process m_Φ is a \mathbb{P}_u -martingale with respect to the standard filtration on $C([0, \infty), H)$.

We confine ourselves to Markov solutions, i.e. $(\mathbb{P}_u)_{u \in H}$ form a Markov process. Then it suffices to construct the transition probability semigroup (TPS), i.e. a semi-group of Markov kernels $p_t(u, dv)$ on H such that

$$p_t \Phi(u) - \Phi(u) = \int_0^t p_s(L\Phi)(u) ds, \quad t > 0, \Phi \in \mathcal{D}, \tag{7}$$

which is obtained from (5) by taking expectation. (7) as equations in the unknown measures $p_s(u, dv)$ are called *Kolmogorov equations* and by construction can be considered as a linearization of (3).

A purely analytic method of solving (7) was introduced in [2] and then developed in [3] (see also [4]). Its main point is the construction of the TPS p_t as a semi-group P_t of Markov operators on

$$C_\mathbb{V} := \left\{ f : \{\mathbb{V} < \infty\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f \upharpoonright_{\{\mathbb{V} \leq R\}} \text{ is weakly continuous } \forall R > 0 \text{ and } \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\{\mathbb{V} \geq R\}} \mathbb{V}^{-1} |f| = 0 \right\}, \tag{8}$$

$\mathbb{V} : H \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ being a Lyapunov function for L , i.e. \mathbb{V} is of compact level sets, such that $(\lambda - L)\mathbb{V} > 0$.

To state our result precisely, let us consider the SDE (3) on an abstract separable Hilbert space H . Let $H_n \subset H_{n+1} \subset H$, be an increasing sequence of finite dimensional subspaces of H , $H_\infty := \bigcup H_n$ be dense in H , $P_n : H \rightarrow H_n$ be the corresponding orthogonal projections.

Hypothesis 1. The noise $\sigma : H \rightarrow L_2(H)$ is Lipschitz continuous and has block diagonal structure, that is, there exists a sequence $N_n \rightarrow \infty$ such that $P_{N_n} \sigma(u) = P_{N_n} \sigma(P_{N_n} u)$ for all $u \in H$.

Hypothesis 2. Let $N_n \rightarrow \infty$ be as in Hypothesis 1, $\sigma_n(u) := P_{N_n} \sigma(u) = P_{N_n} \sigma(P_{N_n} u)$. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $\mu_n \in C(H \rightarrow H_{N_n})$, and $\mathbb{V}_n \in C^2(H)$, $\mu_n(u) = \mu_n(P_{N_n} u)$, $\mathbb{V}_n(u) = \mathbb{V}_n(P_{N_n} u)$ for all $u \in H$, such that

- (a) $\mathbb{V}_n > 0$;
- (b) $\sup_{u,w \in H_{N_n}, u \neq w, |u|, |w| \leq R} \frac{\langle \mu_n(u) - \mu_n(w), u - w \rangle}{|u - w|^2} < \infty$;
- (c) There exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ independent of n such that, for a.a. $u \in H_{N_n}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{H_{N_n} \ni w \rightarrow u} \frac{\langle \mu_n(u) - \mu_n(w), u - w \rangle}{|u - w|^2} + \sup_{\xi \in H_{N_n}, |\xi|=1} |D_\xi \sigma_n|_{L^2}^2(u) \\ & + \sup_{\xi \in H_{N_n}, |\xi|=1} \left\langle D_\xi \sigma_n^*(x) \xi, \sigma_n^* \frac{D \mathbb{V}_n}{\mathbb{V}_n} \right\rangle(u) + \frac{L_n \mathbb{V}_n}{\mathbb{V}_n}(u) \leq \lambda, \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where L_n on $C^2(H_{N_n})$ is given by (6) with μ_n, σ_n replacing σ and μ , respectively.

Hypothesis 3. Let $N_n \rightarrow \infty$ be as in Hypothesis 1, and μ_n, \mathbb{V}_n, L_n be as in Hypothesis 2. There are positive functions \mathbb{V}, \mathbb{W} of compact level sets, finite on H_∞ , such that

- (a) $\mathbb{V}_n, \mathbb{V} \in C_{\mathbb{W}}$ (the latter is defined as in (8)) and $\mathbb{V}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$ in $C_{\mathbb{W}}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$;
- (b) For all $u \in \{\mathbb{W} < \infty\}$, $\mu(u)$ is defined, $|\mu_n - P_{N_n} \mu|(u) \leq c \frac{\mathbb{W}}{\mathbb{V}}(u)$ and $|\mu_n - P_{N_n} \mu|(u) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$;
- (c) $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{u \in H_{N_n}} \frac{(\lambda_* - L_n) \mathbb{V}_n}{\mathbb{W}}(u) \geq 1$ for some $\lambda_* \in \mathbb{R}$.

The following theorem is our main result in [3]. To the best of our knowledge it is the first result on solving the Kolmogorov equations (7) purely analytically for all points u in an explicitly specified subspace of H and with a non-constant possibly degenerate diffusion matrix in the second order part of L .

Theorem 4. Let Hypotheses 1–3 hold. Then there exists a unique solution to (7) on $\{\mathbb{V} < \infty\}$ and the TPS constitutes a C_0 -semi-group of quasi-contractions on $C_{\mathbb{V}}$. Furthermore, there exists a unique Markov solution $(\mathbb{P}_u)_{u \in \{\mathbb{V} < \infty\}}$ of (5).

We now apply Theorem 4 to the 2D NSE (2). Let H be the sub-space of $L^2(\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2)$ consisting of all divergence free vector fields, let $H_0^1 := H_0^1(\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2)$ (note that $H_0^1 = H^1$ if $\Omega = \mathbb{T}^2$), $H^2 := H^2(\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2)$ and let $\mu(u) := \nu \Delta u - P(u \cdot \nabla u)$ for $u \in H_0^1 \cap H^2$.

Theorem 5. Let $\sigma : H \rightarrow L_2(H, H_0^1)$ be bounded, satisfying Hypothesis 1.

Moreover, let $\mathbb{V}(u) = \mathbb{V}_\kappa(u) = e^{\kappa |\nabla u|^2}$ for $\kappa < \nu / \sup_u |\sigma(u)|_{H \rightarrow H}^2$.

Then (7) for L with μ and σ as above has a unique solution on $H_0^1 \cap H$ and the respective TPS constitutes a C_0 -semi-group of quasi-contractions on $C_{\mathbb{V}}$. Furthermore, there exists a unique Markov solution $(\mathbb{P}_u)_{u \in H_0^1 \cap H}$ of the corresponding martingale problem.

Proof. Let $\mathbb{W}(u) := c \mathbb{V}(u) |\Delta u|^2$ if $u \in H_0^1 \cap H^2$, and $\mathbb{W} \equiv +\infty$ else. Let H_n be the linear hull of the first n eigenvectors of Δ , $\mathbb{V}_n(u) := \mathbb{V}(P_n u)$ and $\mu_n(u) := P_n \mu(P_n u)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $|P_n \mu(u) - \mu_n(u)| \leq 2|u| |\nabla u| \leq c |\Delta u|^2$. So Hypothesis 2(a)–(b) and Hypothesis 3(a)–(b) readily follow.

Note that for $u, \xi, \eta \in H \cap H_0^1 \cap H^2$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{D_\xi \mathbb{V}}{\mathbb{V}}(u) &= -2\kappa \langle \Delta u, \xi \rangle, & \frac{D_{\xi\eta}^2 \mathbb{V}}{\mathbb{V}}(u) &= 4\kappa^2 \langle \Delta u, \xi \rangle \langle \Delta u, \eta \rangle - 2\kappa \langle \Delta \xi, \eta \rangle, \\ \langle \Delta u, P(u \cdot \nabla u) \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} (\operatorname{curl} u) \operatorname{curl} P(u \cdot \nabla u) \, ds = \int_{\Omega} (\operatorname{curl} u)(u \cdot \nabla \operatorname{curl} u) \, ds = 0. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{L_n \mathbb{V}_n}{\mathbb{V}_n}(u) &= -2\kappa \nu |\Delta u|^2 + 2\kappa^2 |\sigma^*(u) \Delta u|^2 + \kappa |\sigma^*(u) (-\Delta)^{1/2}|_{L^2(H)}^2 \\ &\leq -2\kappa (\nu - \kappa \sup_u |\sigma(u)|_{H \rightarrow H}^2) |\Delta u|^2 + C. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

So Hypothesis 3(c) follows. Furthermore, for $u, w \in H_0^1 \cap H^2 \cap H$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u - w, P(u \cdot \nabla u) - P(w \cdot \nabla w) \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} (u - w) \cdot (u \cdot \nabla u - w \cdot \nabla w) \, ds \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (u - w) \cdot ((u - w) \cdot \nabla u) \, ds, \end{aligned}$$

since $\int_{\Omega} (u - w) \cdot (w \cdot \nabla(u - w)) \, ds = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} w \cdot \nabla |u - w|^2 \, ds = 0$.

$$\text{So, } |\langle u - w, P(u \cdot \nabla u) - P(w \cdot \nabla w) \rangle| \leq |\Delta u| |(-\Delta)^{-1/2} |u - w|^2| \leq c |\Delta u| |u - w|^2.$$

Hence, for any $\varkappa, \varepsilon > 0$,

$$\limsup_{H_{N_n} \ni w \rightarrow u} \frac{\langle \mu_n(u) - \mu_n(w), u - w \rangle}{|u - w|^2} \leq 2\varkappa \varepsilon |\Delta u|^2 + \frac{c}{\varkappa \varepsilon}.$$

Now, using (10) it is easy to verify (9) and thus Hypothesis 2(c) holds. \square

References

- [1] F. Flandoli, M. Romito, Markov selections and their regularity for the three-dimensional stochastic Navier–Stokes equations, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I* 343 (2006) 47–50.
- [2] M. Röckner, Z. Sobol, Kolmogorov equations in infinite dimensions: well-posedness and regularity of solutions, with applications to stochastic generalized Burgers equations, *Ann. Probab.* 34 (2006) 663–727.
- [3] M. Röckner, Z. Sobol, Markov solutions for martingale problem: method of Lyapunov function, in preparation.
- [4] R. Stasi, m -dissipativity for 2D Navier–Stokes operators with periodic boundary conditions, in preparation.
- [5] D.W. Stroock, S.R.S. Varadhan, *Multidimensional Diffusion Processes*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften (Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences), vol. 233, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–New York, 1979.