

Probability Theory/Optimal Control

Dual representation as stochastic differential games of backward stochastic differential equations and dynamic evaluations

Shanjian Tang^{a,b}

^a Department of Financial Mathematics and Control Sciences, School of Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China

^b Key Laboratory of Mathematics for Nonlinear Sciences (Fudan University), Ministry of Education, China

Received 18 August 2005; accepted after revision 6 March 2006

Available online 18 April 2006

Presented by Jean-Michel Bony

Abstract

In this Note, assuming that the generator is uniform Lipschitz in the unknown variables, we relate the solution of a one dimensional backward stochastic differential equation with the value process of a stochastic differential game. Under a domination condition, an \mathcal{F} -consistent evaluation is also related to a stochastic differential game. This relation comes out of a min–max representation for uniform Lipschitz functions in terms of affine functions. The extension to reflected backward stochastic differential equations is also included. **To cite this article:** S. Tang, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 342 (2006).

© 2006 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier SAS. All rights reserved.

Résumé

Duale représentation comme les jeux différentielles stochastiques pour les équations différentielles stochastiques rétrogrades, et les évaluations dynamiques. Dans cette Note, supposant que le générateur soit une fonction uniformément lipschitzienne, nous présentons un lien entre les équations différentielles stochastiques rétrogrades et les jeux différentiels stochastiques. Sous une hypothèse de domination, une évaluation \mathcal{F}_t -consistante est associée avec un jeu différentiel stochastique. Ce lien est une conséquence d'une représentation du min–max type pour les fonctions lipschitzienne en termes de fonctions affines. Une formule duale est aussi donnée pour les équations différentielles stochastiques rétrogrades réfléchies. **Pour citer cet article :** S. Tang, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 342 (2006).

© 2006 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier SAS. All rights reserved.

Version française abrégée

On se donne (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) , un espace de probabilité complet, et $\{B_s; s \geq 0\}$ un mouvement brownien défini sur (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) à valeurs dans \mathbb{R}^d . Soient \mathcal{F}_t la σ -algèbre engendrée par B_s , avec $0 \leq s \leq t$, et les ensembles de P -mesure nulle dans \mathcal{F} , $L^2(\mathcal{F}_t)$ désigne l'ensemble des variables X aléatoires \mathcal{F}_t -mesurables telles que $E|X|^2 < \infty$, et $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T)$ l'ensemble des processus φ , \mathcal{F}_t -adaptés tels que $E \int_0^T |\varphi|^2 dt < \infty$. Nous désignons par \mathcal{T}_t l'ensemble de tous les \mathcal{F}_s -temps d'arrêt à valeurs dans $[t, T]$, $(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T))^{d+1}$ est noté $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{d+1})$, et V_{d+1} est le sous-ensemble de $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ des fonctions à valeurs dans la boule $\overline{O}_{d+1}(0, 1)$ fermée.

Considérons l'équation différentielle stochastique rétrograde (1). Nous avons le théorème suivant :

E-mail address: sjtang@fudan.edu.cn (S. Tang).

Théorème 0.1. *Supposons que la fonction f satisfait (9) et que (y, z) soit la solution adaptée de l'EDSR (1). Désignons par $\{\Gamma_{t,s}^\beta; t \leq s \leq T\}$ la solution de l'Éq. (15). Alors nous avons :*

$$y_t = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^{d+1})} \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{\beta \in V_{d+1}} E \left[\int_t^T \Gamma_{t,s}^\beta F(s, \beta_1(s), \beta_2(s), \alpha_1(s), \alpha_2(s)) \, ds + \Gamma_{t,T}^\beta \xi \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right], \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

Définition 0.2. Un système d'opérateurs,

$$\mathcal{E}_{s,t} : L^2(\mathcal{F}_t) \rightarrow L^2(\mathcal{F}_s), \quad 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T,$$

est une évaluation \mathcal{F}_t -consistante définie sur $[0, T]$ s'il vérifie les propriétés suivantes :

pour chaque r, s et t dans $[0, T]$ tels que $0 \leq r \leq s \leq t \leq T$ et pour chaque $X_1, X_2 \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_t)$, on a,

- (A1) $\mathcal{E}_{s,t}[X_1] \geq \mathcal{E}_{s,t}[X_2]$, p.s., si $X_1 \geq X_2$, p.s.,
- (A2) $\mathcal{E}_{t,t}[X_1] = X_1$, p.s.,
- (A3) $\mathcal{E}_{r,s}[\mathcal{E}_{s,t}[X_1]] = \mathcal{E}_{r,t}[X_1]$, p.s.,
- (A4) $\chi_A \mathcal{E}_{s,t}[X_1] = \mathcal{E}_{s,t}[\chi_A X_1]$, p.s. pour chaque $A \in \mathcal{F}_s$.

Soit $f : \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d$ une fonction réelle qui vérifie (9). Soit $\{(Y_s, Z_s); 0 \leq s \leq t\}$ la solution de l'équation différentielle stochastique rétrograde (1) avec la condition terminale : $Y_t = X \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_t)$. Désignons Y_s par $\mathcal{E}_{s,t}^f[X]$ pour tout $s \in [0, t]$.

Une conséquence du Théorème 0.1 est le théorème suivant :

Théorème 0.3. *Soit $\{\mathcal{E}_{s,t}\}$ une évaluation \mathcal{F}_t -consistante définie sur $[0, T]$. Supposons qu'il existe une fonction $g_\mu(t, y, z) := \mu(|y| + |z|)$ pour tout $(t, y, z) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d$ et pour $\mu > 0$ telle que $\{\mathcal{E}_{s,t}\}$ est dominée par \mathcal{E}^{g_μ} au sens suivant :*

pour chaque $s, t \in [0, T]$, tels que $s \leq t$, on a

$$\mathcal{E}_{s,t}[X_1] - \mathcal{E}_{s,t}[X_2] \leq \mathcal{E}_{s,t}^{g_\mu}[X_1 - X_2], \quad p.s., \forall X_1, X_2 \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_t).$$

De plus, supposons qu'il existe un processus $g_0 \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T)$ tel que

$$\mathcal{E}_{s,t}^{-g_\mu + g_0}[0] \leq \mathcal{E}_{s,t}[0] \leq \mathcal{E}_{s,t}^{g_\mu + g_0}[0].$$

Désignons par $\{\Gamma_{t,s}^\beta; t \leq s \leq T\}$ la solution de l'Éq. (15). Alors il existe une fonction réelle $f : \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ qui satisfait (9), telle que

$$\mathcal{E}_{s,t}[\xi] = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^{d+1})} \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{\beta \in V_{d+1}} E \left[\Gamma_{s,t}^\beta \xi + \int_s^t \Gamma_{s,r}^\beta F(r, \alpha(r), \beta(r)) \, dr \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right],$$

où la fonction $F : \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ est donnée par (12) avec f .

Nous avons pour l'équation différentielle stochastique rétrograde réfléchie (l'EDSRR) (20) les :

Théorème 0.4. *Supposons que la fonction f satisfait (9) et l'obstacle $\{S_t, 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ vérifie l'hypothèse (B3). Désignons par (y, z) la solution adaptée de l'EDSRR (20), et par $\{\Gamma_{t,s}^\beta; t \leq s \leq T\}$ la solution de l'Éq. (15). Alors nous avons pour chaque $t \in [0, T]$,*

$$y_t = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^{d+1}), \tau \in \mathcal{T}_t} \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{\beta \in V_{d+1}} E \left[\int_t^\tau \Gamma_{t,s}^\beta F(s, \beta_1(s), \beta_2(s), \alpha_1(s), \alpha_2(s)) \, ds + \Gamma_{t,\tau}^\beta S_\tau \chi_{\{\tau < T\}} + \Gamma_{t,\tau}^\beta \xi \chi_{\{\tau = T\}} \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right].$$

1. Introduction

Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space, and $\{B_s; s \geq 0\}$ a d -dimensional Brownian motion defined on (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) . Let \mathcal{F}_t be the σ -algebra generated by $\{B_s; 0 \leq s \leq t\}$ and the totality of P -null sets in \mathcal{F} , $L^2(\mathcal{F}_t)$ the set of all \mathcal{F}_t -measurable random variables X such that $E|X|^2 < \infty$, and $\mathcal{L}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T)$ the set of \mathcal{F}_t -adapted processes φ such that $E \int_0^T |\varphi|^2 dt < \infty$. Denote by \mathcal{T}_t the set of all \mathcal{F}_s -stopping times taking values in $[t, T]$.

Consider the following one dimensional backward stochastic differential equation (BSDE):

$$\begin{cases} dy_s = -f(s, y_s, z_s) ds + \langle z_s, dB_s \rangle, & 0 \leq s \leq T, \\ y_T = \xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_T). \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

It is known that when the generator is convex or concave with respect to the unknown variables, BSDE (1) is related with a stochastic control problem.

More precisely, assume that f is concave in the last two variables. Consider the Fenchel–Legendre transformation:

$$F(\omega, t, \beta_1, \beta_2) := \sup_{(y,z)} [f(\omega, t, y, z) - \beta_1 y - \langle \beta_2, z \rangle], \quad \forall (\omega, t, \beta_1, \beta_2) \in \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d. \tag{2}$$

Define:

$$D_t^F(\omega) = \{(\beta_1, \beta_2) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d : F(\omega, t, \beta_1, \beta_2) < \infty\}. \tag{3}$$

Then the set D_t^F is a.s. bounded. It follows from well-known results (see, e.g., [4]) that

$$f(\omega, t, y, z) = \inf_{(\beta_1, \beta_2) \in D_t^F(\omega)} [F(\omega, t, \beta_1, \beta_2) + \beta_1 y + \langle \beta_2, z \rangle], \tag{4}$$

and the infimum is achieved. Let us now denote by \mathcal{A} the set of bounded progressively measurable $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d$ valued processes $\{\beta_1(t), \beta_2(t) : 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ such that

$$E \left(\int_0^T F(t, \beta_1(t), \beta_2(t))^2 dt \right) < \infty. \tag{5}$$

To each $(\beta_1, \beta_2) \in \mathcal{A}$, we associate the unique adapted solution $(Y^{\beta_1, \beta_2}, Z^{\beta_1, \beta_2})$ of BSDE (1) with the coefficient f being replaced with the affine one $f^{\beta_1, \beta_2}(t, y, z) := F(t, \beta_1(t), \beta_2(t)) + \beta_1(t)y + \langle \beta_2(t), z \rangle$. In [4, pages 35–37], the solution y of BSDE (1) is interpreted as the value process of a control problem. That is,

$$y_t = \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{(\beta_1, \beta_2) \in \mathcal{A}} E[\Phi(t, \beta_1, \beta_2) | \mathcal{F}_t], \tag{6}$$

where

$$\Phi(t, \beta_1, \beta_2) := \Delta_{t,T}^{\beta_1, \beta_2} \xi + \int_t^T \Delta_{t,s}^{\beta_1, \beta_2} F(s, \beta_1(s), \beta_2(s)) ds \tag{7}$$

and for each $t \in [0, T]$, $\{\Delta_{t,s}^{\beta_1, \beta_2} : t \leq s \leq T\}$ is the unique solution of the following stochastic differential equation (SDE):

$$d\Delta_{t,s} = \Delta_{t,s} [\beta_1(s) ds + \langle \beta_2(s), dB_s \rangle], \quad s \in [t, T]; \quad \Delta_{t,t} = 1. \tag{8}$$

The purpose of this Note is to obtain a similar dual representation for the solution y of BSDE (1) under the Lipschitz assumption on the generator, instead of the convexity assumption on the generator f .

Assume throughout the rest of the Note that there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \text{(B1)} & f(\cdot, y, z) \in \mathcal{L}^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T) \text{ for any pair } (y, z) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d, \\ \text{(B2)} & |f(t, y_1, z_1) - f(t, y_2, z_2)| \leq C(|y_1 - y_2| + |z_1 - z_2|) \text{ for any } t \in [0, T], \\ & \text{and } (y_1, z_1), (y_2, z_2) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d. \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

Then, it follows from [6] that for any $X \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_T)$, there is a unique adapted solution $\{(Y_s, Z_s); 0 \leq s \leq t\}$ of BSDE (1) with the terminal condition: $Y_t = X$. Define $\mathcal{E}_{s,t}^f[X] := Y_s$ for any $s \in [0, t]$.

The rest of this Note is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give a Min–Max representation of a Lipschitz function in terms of affine functions, which is the basis of the Note. In Section 3, we present the dual formula for the solution of one dimensional BSDE (1). In Section 4, the formula obtained in Section 3 is applied to the dynamical evaluation and a dual formula is therefore derived for an \mathcal{F}_t -consistent evaluation. Finally in Section 5, a dual formula is also obtained for one dimensional reflected backward stochastic differential equations (RBSDEs) (20).

2. Min–max representation of a Lipschitz function as affine functions

The following representation is due to Evans and Souganidis [2, pages 786–787].

Lemma 2.1. *Let $f : [0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Lipschitz function in the last variable. That is, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$|f(t, \omega, x_1) - f(t, \omega, x_2)| \leq C|x_1 - x_2|, \quad \forall x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } (t, \omega) \in [0, T] \times \Omega. \quad (10)$$

Then for each $(t, \omega, x) \in [0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$f(t, \omega, x) = \max_{z \in \mathbb{R}^n} \min_{y \in \bar{O}_n(0,1)} \{C\langle y, x \rangle + F(t, \omega, y, z)\}, \quad (11)$$

where $F(t, \omega, y, z) := f(t, \omega, z) - C\langle y, z \rangle$ for $y, z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $(t, \omega) \in [0, T] \times \Omega$, and $\bar{O}_n(0, 1)$ is the closed unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n .

Proof. In view of the assumption (10), we have for any $(t, \omega, x) \in [0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$f(t, \omega, x) = \max_{z \in \mathbb{R}^n} \{f(t, \omega, z) - C|x - z|\} = \max_{z \in \mathbb{R}^n} \min_{y \in \bar{O}_n(0,1)} \{f(t, \omega, z) + C\langle y, x - z \rangle\}. \quad \square$$

Remark 1. See Fleming [5, pages 996–1000] or Evans [1] for other, more complicated ways of writing a nonlinear function as the max–min (or min–max) of affine mappings.

3. Backward stochastic differential equations and related stochastic differential games

Denote $(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T))^{d+1}$ by $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{d+1})$, and by V_{d+1} the subset of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ whose elements take values in the closed unit ball $\bar{O}_{d+1}(0, 1)$.

Define the function $F : \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows:

$$F(\omega, s, \beta_1, \beta_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_2) = f(\omega, s, \alpha_1, \alpha_2) - C\beta_1\alpha_1 - C\langle \beta_2, \alpha_2 \rangle \quad (12)$$

for any $(\omega, s, \beta_1, \beta_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$. Then, in view of Lemma 2.1, we have for any $(\omega, s, \beta_1, \beta_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$,

$$f(\omega, t, y, z) = \max_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}} \min_{\beta \in \bar{O}_{d+1}(0,1)} [F(\omega, t, \beta, \alpha) + C\beta_1 y + C\langle \beta_2, z \rangle]. \quad (13)$$

Given $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ and $\beta \in V_{d+1}$, consider the related BSDE:

$$\begin{cases} dY_s = -[C\beta_1(s)Y_s + C\langle \beta_2(s), Z_s \rangle + F(s, \beta_1(s), \beta_2(s), \alpha_1(s), \alpha_2(s))] ds + \langle Z_s, dB_s \rangle, \\ Y_T = \xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_T). \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

The solution is denoted by $(Y^{\alpha, \beta}, Z^{\alpha, \beta})$ when it is necessary to emphasize the dependence on (α, β) with $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ and $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2)$.

Introduce the following stochastic differential equation (SDE):

$$d\Gamma_{t,s} = \Gamma_{t,s}[C\beta_1(s) ds + C\langle \beta_2(s), dB_s \rangle], \quad s \in [t, T]; \quad \Gamma_{t,t} = 1. \quad (15)$$

Its solution is denoted by $\Gamma_{t,s}^{\beta}$, $t \leq s \leq T$ to indicate the dependence on $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2)$.

We have

$$Y_t^{\alpha, \beta} = E \left[\int_t^T \Gamma_{t,s}^\beta F(s, \beta_1(s), \beta_2(s), \alpha_1(s), \alpha_2(s)) ds + \Gamma_{t,T}^\beta \xi \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right], \quad \forall t \in [0, T]. \tag{16}$$

Using the comparison result for BSDEs [4, Theorem 2.2, page 23], we easily conclude the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that the function f satisfies (9). Let (y, z) be the adapted solution of BSDE (1) and $\{\Gamma_{t,s}^\beta; t \leq s \leq T\}$ the solution of SDE (15). Then we have for any $t \in [0, T]$,*

$$y_t = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{d+1})} \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{\beta \in V_{d+1}} E \left[\int_t^T \Gamma_{t,s}^\beta F(s, \beta_1(s), \beta_2(s), \alpha_1(s), \alpha_2(s)) ds + \Gamma_{t,T}^\beta \xi \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right]. \tag{17}$$

4. An \mathcal{F}_t -consistent evaluation and its dual representation as a stochastic differential game

Definition 4.1. A system of operators $\mathcal{E}_{s,t} : L^2(\mathcal{F}_t) \rightarrow L^2(\mathcal{F}_s), 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$ is called an \mathcal{F}_t -consistent evaluation defined on $[0, T]$ if it satisfies the following four properties: for any $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$ and any $X_1, X_2 \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_t)$,

- (A1) $\mathcal{E}_{s,t}[X_1] \geq \mathcal{E}_{s,t}[X_2]$, a.s., if $X_1 \geq X_2$, a.s.;
- (A2) $\mathcal{E}_{t,t}[X_1] = X_1$, a.s.;
- (A3) $\mathcal{E}_{r,s}[\mathcal{E}_{s,t}[X_1]] = \mathcal{E}_{r,t}[X_1]$, a.s.;
- (A4) $\chi_A \mathcal{E}_{s,t}[X_1] = \mathcal{E}_{s,t}[\chi_A X_1]$, a.s. for any $A \in \mathcal{F}_s$.

In view of Peng [7, Corollary 4.2, page 588], the following is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\{\mathcal{E}_{s,t}\}_{0 \leq s \leq t \leq T}$ denote an \mathcal{F}_t -consistent evaluation defined on $[0, T]$. Assume that there is a function $g_\mu(t, y, z) := \mu(|y| + |z|), (t, y, z) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d$ for some $\mu > 0$ such that the \mathcal{F}_t -consistent evaluation $\{\mathcal{E}_{s,t}\}_{0 \leq s \leq t \leq T}$ is dominated by $\mathcal{E}_{s,t}^{g_\mu}$ in the following sense: for any $s, t \in [0, T]$ such that $s \leq t$ and for any $X_1, X_2 \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_t)$, we have:*

$$\mathcal{E}_{s,t}[X_1] - \mathcal{E}_{s,t}[X_2] \leq \mathcal{E}_{s,t}^{g_\mu}[X_1 - X_2], \quad a.s. \tag{18}$$

Furthermore, assume that there is $g_0 \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T)$ such that

$$\mathcal{E}_{s,t}^{-g_\mu + g_0}[0] \leq \mathcal{E}_{s,t}[0] \leq \mathcal{E}_{s,t}^{g_\mu + g_0}[0].$$

Then there is a function $f : \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which satisfies (9), such that

$$\mathcal{E}_{s,t}[\xi] = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{d+1})} \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{\beta \in V_{d+1}} E \left[\Gamma_{s,t}^\beta \xi + \int_s^t \Gamma_{s,r}^\beta F(r, \alpha(r), \beta(r)) dr \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right].$$

Here $\{\Gamma_{t,s}^\beta; t \leq s \leq T\}$ is the solution of SDE (15) and the function $F : \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by (12).

5. Reflected backward stochastic differential equations and related mixed stochastic differential games

We make the following assumption:

- (B3) The obstacle $\{S_t, 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ is a continuous progressively measurable real-valued process satisfying

$$E \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (S_t^+)^2 < \infty, \quad S_T \leq \xi, \text{ a.s.} \tag{19}$$

Consider the following RBSDE:

$$\begin{cases} dy_t = -f(t, y_t, z_t) dt - da_t + \langle z_t, dB_t \rangle, \\ y_T = \xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_T); \quad y_t \geq S_t, \text{ a.s. } \forall t \in [0, T]; \quad \int_0^T (y_t - S_t) da_t = 0. \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

In view of [3, Theorem 5.2, page 718], it has a unique solution (y, z, a) .

Given $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ and $\beta \in V_{d+1}$, identically as in Section 3, consider the function F given by (12) and the related RBSDE:

$$\begin{cases} dY_s = -[C\beta_1(s)Y_s + C\langle \beta_2(s), Z_s \rangle + F(s, \beta_1(s), \beta_2(s), \alpha_1(s), \alpha_2(s))] ds - dA_s + \langle Z_s, dB_s \rangle; \\ Y_T = \xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_T); \quad Y_s \geq S_s, \text{ a.s. } \forall s \in [0, T]; \quad \int_0^T (Y_s - S_s) dA_s = 0. \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

The unique solution is denoted by $(Y^{\alpha, \beta}, Z^{\alpha, \beta}, A^{\alpha, \beta})$. From [3], we have for any $t \in [0, T]$,

$$Y_t^{\alpha, \beta} = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_t} E \left[\int_t^\tau \Gamma_{t,s}^\beta F(s, \beta_1(s), \beta_2(s), \alpha_1(s), \alpha_2(s)) ds + \Gamma_{t,\tau}^\beta S_\tau \chi_{\{\tau < T\}} + \Gamma_{t,\tau}^\beta \xi \chi_{\{\tau = T\}} \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right]. \quad (22)$$

Theorem 5.1. *Assume that the function f satisfies (9) and the obstacle $\{S_t, 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ satisfies assumption (B3). Let (y, z, a) be the adapted solution of RBSDE (20) and $\{\Gamma_{t,s}^\beta; t \leq s \leq T\}$ the solution of SDE (15). Then we have for any $t \in [0, T]$:*

$$y_t = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{d+1})} \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_t, \beta \in V_{d+1}} E \left[\int_t^\tau \Gamma_{t,s}^\beta F(s, \beta_1(s), \beta_2(s), \alpha_1(s), \alpha_2(s)) ds + \Gamma_{t,\tau}^\beta S_\tau \chi_{\{\tau < T\}} + \Gamma_{t,\tau}^\beta \xi \chi_{\{\tau = T\}} \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right]. \quad (23)$$

Acknowledgements

The author is partially supported by the NSFC under grants 10325101 (distinguished youth foundation) and 101310310 (key project), the Chang Jiang Scholars Programme, and the Science Foundation of Chinese Ministry of Education under grant 20030246004.

References

- [1] L.C. Evans, Some min–max methods for the Hamilton–Jacobi equations, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 33 (1984) 31–50.
- [2] L.C. Evans, P.E. Souganidis, Differential games and representation formulas for solutions of Hamilton–Jacobi–Isaacs equations, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 33 (1984) 773–797.
- [3] N. El Karoui, C. Kapoudjian, E. Pardoux, S. Peng, M.C. Quenez, Reflected solution of backward SDE's, and related obstacle problems for PDE's, *Ann. Probab.* 25 (1997) 702–737.
- [4] N. El Karoui, S. Peng, M.C. Quenez, Backward stochastic differential equations in finance, *Math. Finance* 7 (1997) 1–71.
- [5] W. Fleming, The Cauchy problem for degenerate parabolic equations, *J. Math. Mech.* 13 (1964) 987–1008.
- [6] E. Pardoux, S. Peng, Adapted solution of a backward stochastic differential equation, *Systems Control Lett.* 14 (1990) 55–61.
- [7] S. Peng, Dynamical evaluation, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I* 339 (2004) 585–589.