

Partial Differential Equations

# Some asymptotic properties for solutions of one-dimensional advection–diffusion equations with Cauchy data in $L^p(\mathbb{R})$

Pablo Braz e Silva<sup>a</sup>, Paulo R. Zingano<sup>b,1</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, Recife, PE 50740-540, Brazil*

<sup>b</sup> *Departamento de Matemática Pura e Aplicada, Universidade Federal do Rio G. do Sul, Porto Alegre, RS 91500, Brazil*

Received 27 September 2005; accepted after revision 7 February 2006

Presented by Philippe G. Ciarlet

---

## Abstract

We state and discuss a number of fundamental asymptotic properties of solutions  $u(\cdot, t)$  to one-dimensional advection–diffusion equations of the form  $u_t + f(u)_x = (a(u)u_x)_x$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $t > 0$ , assuming initial values  $u(\cdot, 0) = u_0 \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$  for some  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .

**To cite this article:** P. Braz e Silva, P.R. Zingano, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 342 (2006)*.

© 2006 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier SAS. All rights reserved.

## Résumé

**Quelques propriétés asymptotiques des solutions des équations d’avection–diffusion unidimensionnelles aux données initiales dans  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ .** Nous établissons plusieurs propriétés asymptotiques fondamentales des solutions  $u(\cdot, t)$  des équations d’avection–diffusion du type  $u_t + f(u)_x = (a(u)u_x)_x$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $t > 0$ , aux données initiales dans l’espace de Lebesgue  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ , où  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . **Pour citer cet article :** P. Braz e Silva, P.R. Zingano, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 342 (2006)*.

© 2006 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier SAS. All rights reserved.

---

## 1. Introduction

We examine some properties of solutions  $u(\cdot, t)$  to the Cauchy problem for scalar advection–diffusion equations

$$u_t + f(u)_x = (a(u)u_x)_x, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t > 0, \quad (1a)$$

$$u(\cdot, 0) = u_0 \in L^p(\mathbb{R}), \quad 1 \leq p < \infty, \quad (1b)$$

where  $a(\cdot)$  and  $f(\cdot)$  are given smooth functions. We assume that  $a(u) \geq \mu > 0$  for some fixed constant  $\mu$  and all values of  $u$  concerned. Under these conditions, it is known that problem (1) admits a unique, smooth (classical), globally defined solution  $u(\cdot, t) \in C^0([0, \infty[, L^p(\mathbb{R}))$ , which is bounded for  $t > 0$  and satisfies (1b) in  $L^p$  sense, that is,  $\|u(\cdot, t) - u_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ , see e.g. [2–4] and Section 2 below. Several additional properties of  $u(\cdot, t)$  are given next.

---

*E-mail addresses:* pablo@dmaf.ufpe.br (P. Braz e Silva), pzingano@mat.ufrgs.br (P.R. Zingano).

<sup>1</sup> Partially supported by CNPq, Brazil.

### 2. Decay estimates

We first state an important energy-type inequality for  $u(\cdot, t)$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** Assume  $a(\cdot), f(\cdot) \in C^1$  with  $a(\cdot)$  bounded below by some constant  $\mu > 0$ . Then, for each  $q \geq \max\{p, 2\}$ , the solution  $u(\cdot, t) \in C^0([0, \infty[, L^p(\mathbb{R}))$  of the Cauchy problem (1) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
 & T^{\frac{q}{2p}} \|u(\cdot, T)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R})}^q + q(q-1)\mu \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^{\frac{q}{2p}} |u(x, t)|^{q-2} |u_x(x, t)|^2 dx dt \\
 & \leq 2 \left(\frac{q}{2p}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{q}{p}+1)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{q}{p}-1)} \|u_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}^q \mu^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{q}{p}-1)} T^{\frac{1}{2}}
 \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

for all  $T > 0$ .

Theorem 2.1 can be proved adapting the method discussed in [5] to our present needs. Decay estimates for  $u(\cdot, t)$  are readily obtained from inequality (2). Indeed, by the maximum principle and choosing  $q = 4p$ , inequality (2) yields the supnorm estimate

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq C_p \|u_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} (\mu t)^{-\frac{1}{2p}}, \quad C_p := 4^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(4 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2p}} \tag{3}$$

for all  $t > 0$ . We note that the minimal value for  $C_p$  is not known; its particular value given here is not optimal. Now, since  $\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \leq \|u_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}$  for all  $t \geq 0$ , it follows from a simple interpolation estimate that

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R})} \leq C_p^{1-\frac{p}{r}} \|u_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} (\mu t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})}, \quad \forall t > 0 \tag{4}$$

for all  $p \leq r \leq \infty$ . Therefore, solutions decay in  $L^r$  for any  $r > p$ . Using standard estimates for fundamental solutions of linear parabolic problems (see [1,2]), one obtains decay rates for the derivatives of  $u(\cdot, t)$  as well, e.g.

$$\|u_x(\cdot, t)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R})} \leq C(p, r, \mu, K_p, t_0) \|u_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} t^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \forall t \geq t_0 \tag{5}$$

for each  $t_0 > 0$ . Similar bounds hold for the other derivatives. Here, the constant  $C(p, r, \mu, K_p, t_0)$  depends on the particular functions  $a(\cdot)$  and  $f(\cdot)$ , on the values of  $p, r, \mu, t_0$ , and on  $K_p$ , a bound for  $\|u_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}$ , i.e.,  $K_p > 0$  chosen such that

$$\|u_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \leq K_p. \tag{6}$$

### 3. Asymptotic behavior, $p = 1$

For  $t \gg 1$ , more detailed behavior of  $u(\cdot, t)$  can be obtained from Theorem 3.1 below. This theorem follows from the estimates given in Section 2. Here, we assume  $a, f \in C^2$ , with  $f$  Hölder continuous at 0. We also assume  $a(u) \geq \mu > 0$  for all  $u$ , as before.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , and let  $v(\cdot, t) \in C^0([0, \infty[, L^1(\mathbb{R}))$  be (any) solution of the Burgers equation

$$v_t + f'(0)v_x + f''(0)vv_x = a(0)v_{xx}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0 \tag{7}$$

having the same mass as  $u(\cdot, t)$ , i.e.,  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} v(x, 0) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_0(x) dx$ . Then, one has

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{1}{r})} \|u(\cdot, t) - v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R})} = 0 \tag{8}$$

for each  $1 \leq r \leq \infty$ , uniformly in  $r$ .

Now, solutions of (7) can be studied in detail through explicit representation formulas obtained with the so-called Hopf–Cole transformation, see [6]. In many cases, these properties can be recast for (1a) using Theorem 3.1 above, as illustrated by the following two results.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $u(\cdot, t), \hat{u}(\cdot, t) \in C^0([0, \infty[, L^1(\mathbb{R}))$  be solutions of Eq. (1a) corresponding to initial states  $u_0, \hat{u}_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , respectively, with the same mass. Then, one has

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{1}{r})} \|u(\cdot, t) - \hat{u}(\cdot, t)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R})} = 0, \tag{9}$$

for all  $1 \leq r \leq \infty$ , uniformly in  $r$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $u(\cdot, t) \in C^0([0, \infty[, L^1(\mathbb{R}))$  be the solution of problem (1) for some given initial state  $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  with mass  $m$ . Then, for each  $1 \leq r \leq \infty$ ,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{1}{r})} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R})} = \gamma_r(m),$$

where, if  $f''(0) \neq 0$ , the quantity  $\gamma_r(m)$  is given by

$$\gamma_r(m) = \frac{|m|}{\sqrt{4\pi a(0)}} (4a(0))^{\frac{1}{2r}} \frac{2a(0)}{mf''(0)} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{mf''(0)}{2a(0)}}\right) \|\mathcal{F}\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R})},$$

for  $\mathcal{F} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  defined by

$$\mathcal{F}(x) = 2e^{-x^2} \left/ \left(1 + e^{-\frac{mf''(0)}{2a(0)}} - \left(1 - e^{-\frac{mf''(0)}{2a(0)}}\right) \operatorname{erf}(x)\right)\right.$$

Here,  $\operatorname{erf}(x)$  is the error function, and  $\gamma_r(0) = 0$ . If  $f''(0) = 0$ ,  $\gamma_r(m)$  is given by

$$\gamma_r(m) = \frac{|m|}{\sqrt{4\pi a(0)}} \left(\frac{4\pi a(0)}{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{2r}}.$$

The case  $r = 1$  is worth explicit mention:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} = |m| \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(\cdot, t) - \hat{u}(\cdot, t)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} = 0$$

for any two solutions  $u(\cdot, t), \hat{u}(\cdot, t)$  of Eq. (1a) transporting the same mass  $m$ .

#### 4. Asymptotic behavior, $p > 1$

Lastly, we consider solutions  $u(\cdot, t)$  of problem (1) when  $p > 1$ . In this case, taking cut-off approximations  $v_R = u_0 \cdot \chi_{[-R, R]} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R})$  of the given initial data  $u_0 \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ , and using results for the case  $p = 1$ , one obtains the following.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $u(\cdot, t) \in C^0([0, \infty[, L^p(\mathbb{R}))$  be the solution of problem (1) corresponding to an initial state  $u_0 \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $p > 1$ . Then, one has

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R})} = 0 \tag{10}$$

for each  $p \leq r \leq \infty$ , uniformly in  $r$ .

As for the heat equation, the convergence to zero in (10) can be arbitrarily slow (for suitable  $u_0 \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$  verifying (6),  $K_p > 0$  fixed). Therefore, no rates better than (4) can be given in general.

#### References

[1] D.G. Aronson, Bounds for the fundamental solution of a parabolic equation, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 73 (1967) 890–896.  
 [2] A.M. Ilin, A.S. Kalashnikov, O.A. Oleinik, Second order linear equations of parabolic type, Russian Math. Surveys 17 (1962) 1–143.  
 [3] D.B. Kotlow, Quasilinear parabolic equations and first-order quasilinear conservation laws with bad Cauchy data, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 35 (1971) 563–576.  
 [4] O.A. Ladyzhenskaya, V.A. Solonnikov, N.N. Uralceva, Linear and Quasilinear Equations of Parabolic Type, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1968.  
 [5] P.R. Zingano, Nonlinear  $L^2$  stability under large disturbances, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 103 (1999) 207–219.  
 [6] P.R. Zingano, Some asymptotic limits for solutions of Burgers equation, arXiv: math.AP/0512503.